



**FOR THE KIDS:
CALIFORNIA VOTERS MUST BECOME
WARY OF BORROWING BILLIONS
MORE FROM WEALTHY INVESTORS
FOR EDUCATIONAL CONSTRUCTION**

BY KEVIN DAYTON

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| 1. Executive Summary | 2 |
| 2. Why This Report Matters: More Borrowing in 2016 | 7 |
| 3. Quantifying California’s Educational Construction Debt | 15 |
| 4. How Educational Districts Acquire and Manage Debt | 21 |
| 5. Capital Appreciation Bonds: Disturbing Repayment Terms | 31 |
| 6. Tricks of the Trade: Questionable Behaviors with Bonds | 44 |
| 7. The System Is Skewed to Pass Bond Measures | 57 |
| 8. More Troubling Issues with Educational Construction Bond Finance | 67 |
| 9. Improving Oversight, Accountability, and Fiscal Responsibility | 76 |
| Tables A1 to A6 | 84 |
| Appendices A to L | 175 |

1. Executive Summary

Few Californians realize how much debt they've imposed on future generations with their votes for bond measures meant to fund the construction of new and modernized school facilities.

From 2001 to 2014, California voters considered 1147 ballot measures proposed by K-12 school districts and community college districts to borrow money for construction via bond sales. Voters approved 911 of these bond measures, giving 642 school and college districts authority to borrow a total of \$110.4 billion.

California voters also approved three statewide ballot measures during that time to authorize the state to borrow \$35.8 billion. That money has supplemented local borrowing for construction projects at school and college districts, and the state has spent all but \$195 million of it.

That's a total of \$146.1 billion authorized during the last 14 years for state and local educational districts to obtain and spend on construction projects. All of it has been borrowed or will be borrowed from wealthy investors, who buy state and local government bonds as a relatively safe investment that generates tax-exempt income through interest payments.

Current and future generations of Californians are already committed to paying these investors about \$200 billion in principal and interest — a number that will grow as school and college districts continue to borrow by selling bonds already authorized by voters but not yet sold.

And more borrowing is coming.

In 2016 California voters may be asked to authorize the state to borrow as much as \$9 billion for school construction. More than 100 school and college districts may ask voters to approve borrowing a total of several billion more dollars. Officials at the country's second largest school district, the Los Angeles Unified School District, claim they need more than \$40 billion for additional construction and plan to ask voters to approve borrowing several billion in 2016.

It is time to be wary. The California Policy Center believes that most Californians are unaware and uninformed about this relentless borrowing and the amount of debt already accumulated to pay for school construction. Most voters cannot explain how a bond measure works and do not get enough information to make an educated decision about the wisdom of a bond measure.

California voters who want to learn more before voting will have difficulty finding relevant information. Where does an ordinary Californian find out how much money a school or college district has already been authorized to borrow from past bond measures, or the principal and interest owed from past bond sales that still needs to be repaid, or the projected changes in assessed property valuation and how they affect tax and debt limits, or the past and projected student enrollment? The state does not offer a clearinghouse of information for the public to

research and compare data about bond measures and bond debt for educational districts. Much of the information available about debt finance for educational districts is oriented toward interests of bond investors rather than people who pay the debt.

Californians who recognize a need for their own local educational districts to refrain from accumulating additional debt have significant obstacles to overcome. State law gives supporters of bond measures a systematic strategic advantage when local districts develop bond measures and put them before voters for approval. Campaigns to support bond measures are funded and even managed by financial and construction industry interests that will profit after passage. And after voters approve a bond measure, educational districts are tempted to take advantage of ambiguities in state law and use bond proceeds for items and activities not typically regarded by the public as construction.

To help to fix these deficiencies, this report encourages the California legislature and the executive branch to adopt five sets of recommendations:

| | |
|----------|--|
| 1 | Provide Adequate and Effective Oversight and Accountability for Bond Measures |
| 2 | Enable Voters to Make a Reasonably Informed Decision on Bond Measures |
| 3 | Eliminate or Mitigate Conflicts of Interest in Contracting Related to Bond Measures |
| 4 | Reduce Inappropriate, Excessive, or Unnecessary Spending of Bond Proceeds |
| 5 | Improve Understanding of Bond Measures Through Public Education Campaigns |

At a time of low interest rates, California school and community college districts may benefit in some circumstances from borrowing money to fund school construction, just like households benefit from home mortgages and car loans. But California voters — and their elected representatives — need to become much more informed about the debt legacy they are leaving to their children and grandchildren.

Emotional sentiment, lobbying pressure from interest groups, and eagerness to circumvent frustrating tax and debt limits in state law can overwhelm a prudent sense of caution. As the notorious 2011 bond sale of the Poway Unified School District revealed, irrational decisions that burden future generations cannot necessarily be fixed after the public finds out about them.

Section Summaries

Section 2. Why This Report Matters: More Borrowing in 2016

Californians will be asked in 2016 to continue taking on debt for construction of educational facilities, but one elected official is leery. Governor Jerry Brown wants to change the funding system for school construction. He is concerned about debt that Californians have accumulated from years of allowing the state and local educational districts to relentlessly borrow.

That money borrowed through bond sales will have to be paid back — with interest — to the investors who bought them. Voters have limited understanding of bonds and how bonds provide funds for construction, and elections focus on what voters will get rather than how they will pay for it. To the detriment of future generations, few Californians realize the huge amount educational districts have been authorized to borrow and the huge amount of debt accumulated.

Section 3. Quantifying and Explaining California’s Educational Construction Debt

Whatever voters are asked to approve in 2016 will not launch a new program to fix long-neglected schools to serve a rapidly expanding state population while providing smaller class sizes. That thinking is a legacy of the 1990s that seems to endure today despite 14 years of most bond measures passing at a 55 percent threshold for voter approval. Arguments for another state bond measure in 2016 ignore or downplay how local school and college districts and the state obtained authority in the past 14 years to borrow \$146.1 billion for educational construction.

If voters are not told or reminded of recent borrowing patterns, how can they make an informed decision on future borrowing? To rectify the lack of availability of statistics on total bond debt in California for educational facility construction, the California Policy Center collected, synthesized, and analyzed data regarding California educational construction finance. The California Policy Center believes it is the first and only entity to painstakingly research and present an accurate and comprehensive record of all state and local educational construction bond measures considered by voters from 2001 through 2014.

Section 4. How Educational Districts Acquire and Manage Debt

It's likely that most California voters have limited familiarity with the organization and governance of their local school and community college districts. When voters authorize their local educational districts to borrow money for construction by selling bonds, presumably they trust that the local school or college district will exercise prudence in managing the process. Sometimes their trust is betrayed.

To discourage abuse of the school construction finance system, voters need to be aware of how their local government is organized and managed. They also need to realize that state law does not explicitly give Independent Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committees broad authority to review construction programs funded by bond measures.

How can voters become informed about bonds and the process of borrowing money for educational construction through bond sales? Is there a way to explain in clear plain language

what actually happens after voters approve a bond measure and authorize a school or college district to borrow money via bond sales?

Section 5. Capital Appreciation Bonds: Disturbing Repayment Terms

In 1993, California law was changed so that school and college districts could use an innovative form of debt finance called zero-coupon bonds, also known as Capital Appreciation Bonds. These bonds allow school and college districts to borrow now for construction and pay it back — with compounded interest — many years later. The borrowing strategy has been a tempting and dangerous lure for elected school and college boards.

Some people think Capital Appreciation Bonds are a “ticking time bomb” or the “creation of a toxic waste dump.” Others regard critics as uninformed and contend that these debt finance instruments are beneficial for school and college districts. Since the people who will be paying off many of these Capital Appreciation Bonds are now children or not even born yet, there isn’t much incentive to stop the flow of borrowed money that doesn’t need to be paid back for a generation or two.

Section 6. Tricks of the Trade: Questionable Behaviors with Bonds

Californians who want more spending on educational construction often express their resentment of a 2000 law limiting taxes and debt resulting from bond sales. It was passed in order to strengthen campaign arguments to voters in support of Proposition 39, which lowered the approval threshold for local bond measures from two-thirds to 55%. School districts have adopted several strategies to get around these limits in state law. One of them is very obscure but 100% successful: obtaining waivers from the State Board of Education.

Meanwhile, some districts are stretching legal definitions to use proceeds from bond sales to pay for items that resemble instructional material more than construction. One example is personal portable electronics such as iPads. Some of the state’s largest districts are purchasing this kind of technology while giving little assurance to the public that long term bonds aren’t the source of the money. This equipment may be obsolete well before the bonds mature, meaning that future generations will pay for these devices long after they are outdated and discarded.

Section 7. The System Is Skewed to Pass Bond Measures

Considering the advantages that supporters have in preparing and campaigning for a bond measure, perhaps it’s noteworthy that voters reject about 20% of local bond measures for educational construction. At every stage of the process, interests that will benefit from bond sales can take advantage of a system that favors passage of a bond measure. Some issues of concern include use of public funds to develop campaigns to pass bond measures, significant political contributions to campaigns from interests likely to benefit from construction, involvement of

college foundations as intermediaries for campaign contributions, and conflicts of interest and alleged pay-to-play contracts.

Section 8. More Troubling Issues with Bond Finance for Educational Construction

While compiling the comprehensive information provided in this study, California Policy Center researchers identified numerous other troubling aspects of bond finance. School and college districts are evading compliance with the law and making irresponsible decisions. Ordinary voters lack enough data to make an informed vote. Community activists who seek deeper understanding find themselves stymied.

Section 9. Improving Oversight, Accountability, and Fiscal Responsibility

This report encourages the California legislature and the executive branch to adopt five sets of recommendations that will help to fix these deficiencies:

| | |
|----------|--|
| 1 | Provide Adequate and Effective Oversight and Accountability for Bond Measures |
| 2 | Enable Voters to Make a Reasonably Informed Decision on Bond Measures |
| 3 | Eliminate or Mitigate Conflicts of Interest in Contracting Related to Bond Measures |
| 4 | Reduce Inappropriate, Excessive, or Unnecessary Spending of Bond Proceeds |
| 5 | Improve Understanding of Bond Measures Through Public Education Campaigns |

The California Policy Center rejects the idea that additional oversight and accountability isn't needed or desirable. Some legislative reforms and education programs (both public and private) can overcome voter cynicism, frustration, apathy, and ignorance.

Tables A1 to A6

Appendices A to L

2. Why This Report Matters: More Borrowing in 2016

Californians will be asked in 2016 to continue taking on debt for construction of educational facilities, but one elected official is leery. Governor Jerry Brown wants to change the funding system for school construction. He is concerned about debt that Californians have accumulated from years of allowing the state and local educational districts to relentlessly borrow.

That money borrowed through bond sales will have to be paid back — with interest — to the investors who bought them. Voters have limited understanding of bonds and how bonds provide funds for construction, and elections focus on what voters will get rather than how they will pay for it. To the detriment of future generations, few Californians realize the huge amount educational districts have been authorized to borrow and the huge amount of debt accumulated.

Interest Groups Want Voters to Consider Another State Bond Measure

When the California Policy Center published this report, the California Attorney General had approved circulation of petitions through September 21, 2015 for a proposed statewide ballot initiative entitled the “Kindergarten Through Community College Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2016.”¹ Professional signature gatherers set up tables at grocery stores and other public locations trying to cajole citizens into signing petitions to “help the kids” by putting the measure on the ballot.

If this proposal qualifies for the ballot and voters approve it, the State of California will have the authority to borrow \$9 billion through selling bonds to investors. According to the petition, this \$9 billion will ensure that “K-14 facilities are constructed and maintained in safe, secure and peaceful conditions.” As reported in the *Sacramento Bee*, school construction interests and residential housing developers want this bond measure, or one like it, on the ballot in 2016.²

Proponents point out, accurately, that most of the money that voters authorized the state to borrow in 1998, 2002, 2004, and 2006 has been distributed as matching grants to local

¹ “Request for Title and Summary for Proposed Initiative: Kindergarten Through Community College Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2016,” Office of the California Attorney General, January 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, [https://oag.ca.gov/system/files/initiatives/pdfs/15-0005%20\(Education%20Bond%20Act\).pdf](https://oag.ca.gov/system/files/initiatives/pdfs/15-0005%20(Education%20Bond%20Act).pdf)

² “California School Builders, Others to Gather Signatures for November 2016 Bond Measure,” *Sacramento Bee*, January 12, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, www.sacbee.com/news/politics-government/capitol-alert/article6143364.html

educational districts. As of April 15, 2015, \$195.4 million remains³ from \$35.4 billion approved to borrow as a result of three statewide ballot propositions in the 2000s.

The petition for the Kindergarten Through Community College Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2016 lists four “findings” explaining what the state could do if it borrowed \$9 billion:

1. Career technical education facilities to provide job training for many Californians and veterans who face challenges in completing their education and re-entering the workforce.

The history of recent bond measures on the state and local level shows that voters are inclined to support more government spending when veterans are cited as beneficiaries. Poll results confirm this. A “State of California School Bond Measure Feasibility Survey” of likely voters conducted January 30 to February 9, 2014 for California’s Coalition for Adequate School Housing (C.A.S.H.) indicated that “more than six-in-ten are highly concerned about unemployment among veterans.”⁴

2. Upgrade aging facilities to meet current health and safety standards, including retrofitting for earthquake safety and the removal of lead paint, asbestos and other hazardous materials.

Again, the “State of California School Bond Measure Feasibility Survey” concluded that “more than two-thirds agree that many California public schools need significant health and safety improvements,” specifically the statement that “many schools and community colleges throughout California are old, outdated and need upgrades to meet current health and safety standards, including retrofitting for earthquake safety and the removal of lead paint, asbestos and other hazardous materials.”

3. Studies show that 13,000 jobs are created for each \$1 billion of state infrastructure investment. These jobs include building and construction trades jobs throughout the state.

Influential construction interests are part of the coalition supporting this statewide bond measure. This statement acknowledges their pivotal role in the campaign to pass it.

4. Academic goals cannot be achieved without 21st Century school facilities designed to provide improved school technology and teaching facilities.

³ “AB 148 School Facilities: K–14 School Investment Bond Act of 2016 - California State Assembly Education Committee Analysis,” California Legislative Information, April 28, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billAnalysisClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160AB148#

⁴ “State of California School Bond Measure Feasibility Survey,” California’s Coalition for Adequate School Housing, Date, accessed June 28, 2015, https://www.cashnet.org/meetings/2014_Annual_Conference/documents/38_LegislativeUpdate_Bond_Feasibility.pdf

Once again, the “State of California School Bond Measure Feasibility Survey” concludes that “in particular, voters believe that funds must be directed towards upgrading vocational/career education programs, repairing classrooms and science labs and upgrading technology.”

For Investigation: *Is there credible evidence that new, expanded, or modernized educational facilities result in better test scores or other objective measurements of student academic or extracurricular achievement?*

These are deliberately chosen arguments to justify borrowing another \$9 billion for community college and K-12 school district construction projects. In fact, these were the same arguments used in newspaper opinion pieces and position papers in 2014 to support Assembly Bill 2235,⁵ which if signed into law would have asked state voters in the November 2014 election to authorize borrowing \$4.3 billion for school construction through bond sales.

Regardless of whether the four arguments listed above for a statewide bond measure are factually valid, they have been tested through polling and other voter research and shown to be effective in winning voter support. Surely a 2016 campaign for a state bond measure will use them.

Table 1: All General Obligation Bonds to Be Paid Off Through California’s General Fund

| | |
|---|------------------------|
| Amount Authorized to Borrow | \$135.2 billion |
| Amount Borrowed | \$105.7 billion |
| Amount Authorized But Not Borrowed | \$29.5 billion |
| Amount Owed in Principal (June 1, 2015) | \$72.4 billion |
| Amount of Debt Service Owed (June 1, 2015) | \$131.8 billion |
| Amount of Debt Service to Be Paid 2015-2016 | \$6 billion |
| Sources: “Schedule of Debt Service Requirements for General Fund Non-Self Liquidating Bonds (Fixed Rate),” California State Treasurer, June 1, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, www.treasurer.ca.gov/bonds/debt/201506/general-fixed.pdf and “Authorized and Outstanding General Obligation Bonds,” California State Treasurer, June 1, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, www.treasurer.ca.gov/bonds/debt/201506/authorized.pdf | |

⁵ “Text - AB 2235 Education Facilities: Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2014,” California Legislative Information, accessed June 28, 2015, https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201320140AB2235

How do these arguments stand in the larger context of bond indebtedness for the State of California and its community college districts and K-12 school districts? This report provides some of that context and introduces information never before available to the public.

Governor Brown Worries About Debt and Seeks Change in School Construction Finance

Governor Jerry Brown has used his executive power to thwart legislative efforts to place a statewide bond measure for educational construction on the 2016 ballot. Assembly Bill 2235 never received an opposition vote as it passed the Assembly and moved through Senate committees with support from numerous interest groups. Voters didn't get to consider it in the November 2014 election only because Governor Brown didn't want it on the ballot. As reported by a Capitol Public Radio reporter, the bill author issued a statement explaining its abandonment: "The governor has made it clear that he does not want a school bond on the same ballot as the water bond and rainy day fund. We do not expect the legislature to send the bill on him."⁶

Meanwhile, the Governor is taking a leading role in calling for change in how state and local governments fund California school construction. He submitted a state budget proposal to the California legislature in January 2015 with an introduction stating that funding commitments "must be honestly confronted so that they are properly accounted for and funded."⁷ It warned that "budget challenges over the past decade have also resulted in a greater reliance on debt financing, rather than pay-as-you-go spending...From 1974 to 1999, California voters authorized \$38.4 billion of general obligation bonds. Since 2000, voters authorized more than \$103.2 billion of general obligation bonds"⁸

Concern About Debt Growing from State Matching Grants to Local Educational Districts

One funding commitment Governor Brown "confronted" in his proposed fiscal year 2015-16 budget was the State of California's debt accumulated from funding construction of facilities for local school districts. California voters approved bond measures in 2002, 2004, and 2006 authorizing the state to borrow \$35.8 billion via bond sales for school and college construction, and only \$195 million remains to be borrowed. According to internal California State Treasurer documents, debt service on those three state bond measures is \$56.7 billion.¹⁰

⁶ "No School Bond, Lawmaker Suspension Measures On November Ballot," Capitol Public Radio, August 19, 2014, accessed June 28, 2015, www.capradio.org/articles/2014/08/19/no-school-bond,-lawmaker-suspension-measures-on-november-ballot/

⁷ "2015-16 Governor's Budget Summary," Department of Finance - California Budget, January 9, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, www.ebudget.ca.gov/2015-16/pdf/BudgetSummary/FullBudgetSummary.pdf

⁸ "2015 California's Five-Year Infrastructure Plan," Department of Finance - California Budget, January 9, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, www.ebudget.ca.gov/2015-Infrastructure-Plan.pdf

According to the Governor's 2015-16 Budget Summary,⁹ "the Administration has noted the following significant shortcomings" related to school bond finance over the past two years:

The current program does not compel districts to consider facilities funding within the context of other educational costs and priorities. For example, districts can generate and retain state facility program eligibility based on outdated or inconsistent enrollment projections. This often results in financial incentives for districts to build new schools to accommodate what is actually modest and absorbable enrollment growth. These incentives are exacerbated by the fact that general obligation bond debt is funded outside of Proposition 98. These bonds cost the General Fund approximately \$2.4 billion in debt service annually.

This statement is surprising and controversial recognition that some school districts spend money on new school construction that perhaps isn't needed. The proposed budget summary also notes that large school districts have in-house professional facilities departments that can take advantage of the first-come, first-serve application system to get funding from the State Allocation Board for local school construction.

Another surprising admission in the Governor's budget proposal is acknowledgement that voters approve four out of five proposed local bond measures, thus providing a relatively easy flow of money for school construction: "The current program was developed before the passage of ¹⁰Proposition 39 (which reduced the local bond vote threshold to 55 percent) in 2000, which has since allowed local school bonds to pass upwards of 80 percent of the time."

The budget summary also reported that the California Department of Finance had met with parties interested in educational construction and developed a set of recommendations, including three related to bond finance:

1. Increase Tools for Local Control: Expand Local Funding Capacity

While school districts can pass local bonds with 55% percent approval, assessed valuation caps for specific bond measures and total caps on local bonded indebtedness have not been adjusted since 2000. In order to provide greater access to local financing, these caps should be increased at minimum by the rate of inflation since 2000.

Based on the Consumer Price Index of the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the inflation rate from November 2000 (when voters approved Proposition 39) to May 2015 was 36.6%.

Therefore, under this proposal the California legislature would increase tax and debt limits at

⁹ "Governor's Budget Summary 2015-16: K Thru 12 Education," Department of Finance - California Budget, January 9, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, www.ebudget.ca.gov/2015-16/pdf/BudgetSummary/Kthru12Education.pdf

¹⁰ Tawnia Starr, personal communication, March 9, 2015.

least 36.6% above existing amounts. However, the flaw in this proposal is that it does not account for increases in property value or total assessed property valuation in California since 2000. (See Section 5 of this report for background on tax and debt limits.)

2. Expand Allowable Uses of Routine Restricted Maintenance Funding

Current law requires schools to deposit a percentage of their general fund expenditures into a restricted account for use in maintaining their facilities. Rather than requiring that these funds be used solely for routine maintenance, districts should have the ability to pool these funds over multiple years for modernization and new construction projects. Expanding the use of these funds will provide school districts with yet another funding stream to maintain, modernize, and construct new facilities.

This proposal injects a bit of “pay-as-you-go” from district general funds into educational facilities construction — a departure from the bond debt financing that has driven school construction since the enactment of Senate Bill 50, the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998.¹¹

3. Target State Funding for Districts Most in Need

State funding for a new program should be targeted in a way that: (1) limits eligibility to districts with such low per-student assessed value they cannot issue bonds at the local level in amounts that allow them to meet student needs, (2) prioritizes funding for health and safety and severe overcrowding projects, and (3) establishes a sliding scale to determine the state share of project costs based on local capacity to finance projects.

This recommendation is based on the perception that the current first-come, first-served funding system allows certain school and college districts to win a disproportionate amount of state matching grants at the expense of other districts that may have a more legitimate need but lack the resources and wherewithal to take advantage of opportunities.

Finally, the list of recommendations concludes with a message:

...it is the intent of the Administration to advance the dialogue on the future of school facilities funding. School districts and developers should have a clear understanding of which limited circumstances will qualify for state assistance. Over the course of the coming months, the Administration is prepared to engage with the Legislature and education stakeholders to shape a future state program that is focused on districts with the greatest need, while

¹¹ “SB 50 - Chaptered. Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998: Class Size Reduction - Kindergarten University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 1998,” Official California Legislative Information, August 27, 1998, accessed June 28, 2015, www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/97-98/bill/sen/sb_0001-0050/sb_50_bill_19980827_chaptered.html

providing substantial new flexibility for local districts to raise the necessary resources for school facilities needs.

These proposals are not new ideas. A 2003 report from the Public Policy Institute of California¹² analyzed school bond measures and identified disparities among districts based on wealth and region. In response to these findings, the report suggested raising state debt limits for bond measures to reduce the impact of changes in assessed property valuation. It also recommended adoption of a plan that would give deserving school and college districts access to state construction funds without having to match these grants with local funding.

State Legislative Initiatives

The stage is set for change in California school construction financing. Subsequent to the release of the proposed budget from the Governor, state legislators introduced bills such as Senate Bill 114¹³ and Assembly Bill 148.¹⁴ These bills would make some mild changes to the state's school construction program, while at the same time placing a statewide bond measure on the November 2016 ballot to borrow money (for a yet unidentified amount) via bond sales for school construction.

The author of Senate Bill 114 explained the purpose of the bill:

Funding for the School Facilities Program is virtually gone and there is a backlog in applications for state assistance...while the state's growing debt service is of concern, it is unclear whether local districts have the capacity to generate sufficient revenue at the local level to meet their specific facility needs. The "winding down" of the current program, and the Governor's call for change, present an opportunity to rethink the administrative and programmatic structure of the State Facilities Program...¹⁵

Supporting one or both of these bills are the California School Boards Association, the California Faculty Association, the California Association of School Business Officials, the American

¹² "Fiscal Effects of Voter Approval Requirements on Local Governments," Public Policy Institute of California, January 27, 2003, accessed June 28, 2015, www.ppic.org/content/pubs/report/R_103KRR.pdf

¹³ "Text - SB 114 Education Facilities: Kindergarten Through Grade 12 Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2016," California Legislative Information, June 3, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB114&search_keywords=

¹⁴ "Text - AB 148 School Facilities: K-14 School Investment Bond Act of 2016," California Legislative Information, May 6, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB114&search_keywords=

¹⁵ "Senate Education Committee Legislative Analysis - AB 148 School Facilities: K-14 School Investment Bond Act of 2016," California Legislative Information, March 25, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billAnalysisClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB114#

Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees union (AFSCME); the Los Angeles Unified School District, and the Riverside County Superintendent of Schools. Further debate will reveal if these groups are willing to withhold potential objections to some of the Department of Finance proposed changes to educational construction finance in exchange for having another statewide bond measure on the 2016 Presidential general election ballot.

No formal opposition to these bills has yet emerged, but at this time the bills are just a frame, to be expanded with more detailed proposals.

Table 2: Local Educational Bond Measures Considered by California Voters After Passage of Proposition 39 in November 2000

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Number on Ballot | 1147 |
| Number Approved | 911 |
| Number Rejected | 236 |
| Percentage Approved | 79.42% |
| Percentage Rejected | 20.58% |
| Amount Proposed to Authorize | \$124,350,056,744 |
| Amount Proposed to Authorize (including 16 reauthorizations) | \$125,080,421,744 |
| Amount Authorized | \$109,620,418,737 |
| Amount Authorized (including 16 reauthorizations) | \$110,350,783,737 |
| Amount Rejected | \$14,729,638,007 |
| Percentage of Authority Approved (including 16 reauthorizations) | 88.22% |
| Percentage of Authority Rejected (including 16 reauthorizations) | 11.78% |
| Amount Authorized Through Three Statewide Bond Measures | \$35,766,000,000 |
| Total Amount Proposed to Authorize (State and Local Bond Measures) | \$160,116,056,744 |
| Total Amount Proposed to Authorize (State and Local Bond Measures) (including 16 reauthorizations) | \$160,846,421,744 |
| Total Amount Authorized (State and Local Bond Measures) (including 16 reauthorizations) | \$146,116,783,737 |

3. Quantifying California's Educational Construction Debt

Whatever voters are asked to approve in 2016 will not launch a new program to fix long-neglected schools to serve a rapidly expanding state population while providing smaller class sizes. That thinking is a legacy of the 1990s that seems to endure today despite 14 years of most bond measures passing at a 55 percent threshold for voter approval. Arguments for another state bond measure in 2016 ignore or downplay how local school and college districts and the state obtained authority in the past 14 years to borrow \$146.1 billion for educational construction.

If voters are not told or reminded of recent borrowing patterns, how can they make an informed decision on future borrowing? To rectify the lack of availability of statistics on total bond debt in California for educational facility construction, the California Policy Center collected, synthesized, and analyzed data regarding California educational construction finance. The California Policy Center believes it is the first and only entity to painstakingly research and present an accurate and comprehensive record of all state and local educational construction bond measures considered by voters from 2001 through 2014.

How Did It Become So Easy to Pass Bond Measures?

A new era of generous borrowing for educational construction in California was inaugurated by the enactment of Proposition 39.¹⁶ Approved by 53.4% of voters in the November 7, 2000 election, it reduced the voter approval threshold for most educational construction bond measures from two-thirds to 55 percent. (Because the measure imposes restrictions on districts using the new 55 percent threshold, a minority of districts have continued to propose measures requiring a two-thirds vote.)

This lowered obstacle apparently encouraged local educational districts to take the risk of proposing many more bond measures at much higher amounts for voters to approve. As shown in Tables 3 and 4, dropping the voter threshold from 66.67% to 55% transformed the approval of educational bond measures from a 50-50 chance to a commonplace outcome.

As shown in Table 5, between now and 2055, California's taxpayers will pay about \$200 billion in principal and interest payments to investors who have bought bonds issued by the state and by local educational districts in order to get funding for facility construction.

How Was Debt Service Determined?

Calculating the debt service on all educational construction bonds approved by California voters since 1987 would be an especially challenging and time-consuming task because of inaccessibility of older records. However, over many days of tedious research, California Policy

¹⁶ "Proposition 39," California Secretary of State, November 7, 2000, accessed June 28, 2015, vig.cdn.sos.ca.gov/2000/general/pdf/39.pdf

Table 3: Local Educational Bond Measures Considered by California Voters After Passage of Proposition 39 in November 2000

| | 55% Approval | Two-Thirds Approval | Total |
|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------|
| Number on Ballot | 1037 | 110 | 1147 |
| Number Approved | 857 | 54 | 911 |
| Number Rejected | 180 | 56 | 236 |
| Percentage Approved | 82.64% | 49.09% | 79.42% |
| Percentage Rejected | 17.36% | 50.91% | 20.58% |

Table 4: Local Educational Bond Measures: Results If Proposition 39 Wasn't Law

| | Under Prop 39 (55% and 2/3) | If Prop 39 Wasn't Enacted (2/3) |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Total Number of Bond Measures on Ballot | 1147 | 1147 |
| Number of Bond Measures Approved | 911 | 423 |
| Percentage of Bond Measures Approved | 79.42% | 36.88% |
| Total Amount Authorized to Borrow (includes reauthorizations) | \$125,080,421,744 | \$52,712,273,012 |
| Percentage of Authorization Amount Approved | 88.22% | 42.15% |

Table 5: Total Amount of Debt Service for Educational Facility Construction

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| Amount for 642 School and College Districts for Which Voters Approved Bond Measures Since Proposition 39 Passed in 2000 | \$136,867,456,924 |
| Amount for Three Bond Measures That Voters Approved for State of California Since Proposition 39 Passed in 2000 | \$56,668,673,695 |
| Estimate for Several Dozen School Districts Where Voters Approved Bond Measures Only Before Enactment of Proposition 39 or Lack Data | \$2,000,000,000 |
| Estimated Amount for Several Bond Measures That Voters Approved for State of California Before Proposition 39 Passed in 2000 | \$4,500,000,000 |
| Approximate Total | \$200,000,000,000 |

Center researchers identified, calculated, and tallied aggregate debt service for almost all of the 642 California local educational districts in which voters approved borrowing money for construction through bond sales after the election of November 7, 2000. On that date, California voters approved Proposition 39 and reduced the threshold for voter approval of most bond measures for construction from two-thirds to 55 percent.

This debt service data was obtained using tables included in about 650 “Official Statements” posted on a publicly-accessible and free-to-use Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA) website¹⁷ administered by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB).

What are these statements? Federal law generally requires underwriters in a primary offering of municipal bonds of \$1 million or more to obtain and review an Official Statement from the issuer of those bonds. (Many smaller bond offerings also have Official Statements.) In a dense report of more than 200 pages, these statements disclose financial information meant to inform a potential buyer and reduce the chance of “fraudulent, deceptive, or manipulative acts or practices.”

Official Statements include a chart that indicates how much aggregate principal and interest the issuer of the bonds would owe each year if the bonds weren't refunded (“called in” or redeemed so that new bonds can be issued at a lower interest rate)

or paid off early. California Policy Center researchers entered each district name into the EMMA system, identified the most recent bond offering or bond refunding from the list of bond issues, downloaded the associated Official Statement, located the aggregate debt service chart, and calculated the total debt service for 2015 and/or later years.

Aggregate General Obligation Bond Debt Service Schedule. The following table shows combined annual debt service for outstanding general obligation bonds of the District (assuming no optional redemptions). See also Appendix B under the heading "Existing Debt Obligations."

MCKINLEYVILLE UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
Annual Debt Service for Outstanding General Obligation Bonds

| Period Ending (August 1) | Series A Bonds | Series B Bonds | 2014 Refunding GO Bonds | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 2015 | \$447,587.50 | — | — | \$447,587.50 |
| 2016 | 470,150.00 | — | — | 470,150.00 |
| 2017 | 491,650.00 | — | — | 491,650.00 |
| 2018 | 511,962.50 | — | — | 511,962.50 |
| 2019 | 486,962.50 | \$ 185,000.00 | — | 645,962.50 |
| 2020 | 421,782.50 | 285,000.00 | — | 696,782.50 |
| 2021 | 513,502.50 | 235,000.00 | — | 748,502.50 |
| 2022 | 541,550.00 | 287,900.00 | — | 809,450.00 |
| 2023 | 587,950.00 | 502,900.00 | — | 889,950.00 |
| 2024 | 600,300.00 | 337,900.00 | — | 938,200.00 |
| 2025 | 626,300.00 | 382,900.00 | — | 1,009,200.00 |
| 2026 | 660,300.00 | 427,900.00 | — | 1,088,200.00 |
| 2027 | 701,800.00 | 470,343.76 | — | 1,172,143.76 |
| 2028 | 736,300.00 | 525,343.76 | — | 1,261,643.76 |
| 2029 | 771,600.00 | 585,343.76 | — | 1,356,943.76 |
| 2030 | 866,300.00 | 605,343.76 | — | 1,483,643.76 |
| 2031 | 782,800.00 | 830,343.76 | — | 1,573,143.76 |
| 2032 | 1,006,800.00 | 690,343.76 | — | 1,697,143.76 |
| 2033 | 932,800.00 | 895,343.76 | — | 1,828,143.76 |
| 2034 | — | 1,965,343.76 | — | 1,965,343.76 |
| 2035 | — | 2,120,343.76 | \$1,640,000.00 | 3,760,343.76 |
| 2036 | — | 2,280,343.76 | 1,890,000.00 | 4,080,343.76 |
| 2037 | — | 2,480,117.16 | 1,880,000.00 | 4,440,117.16 |
| 2038 | — | 2,646,822.81 | 2,165,000.00 | 4,811,822.81 |
| 2039 | — | 2,850,343.76 | 1,550,000.00 | 4,400,343.76 |
| 2040 | — | 3,071,712.50 | — | 3,071,712.50 |
| 2041 | — | 3,307,845.00 | — | 3,307,845.00 |
| 2042 | — | 3,563,817.35 | 3,550,000.00 | 7,113,817.35 |
| 2043 | — | 3,836,488.45 | 3,625,000.00 | 7,463,488.45 |
| 2044 | — | 4,129,672.00 | 4,115,000.00 | 8,244,672.00 |
| 2045 | — | 4,447,799.60 | 4,431,970.40 | 8,879,770.00 |
| 2046 | — | 4,790,000.80 | 4,773,787.20 | 9,563,788.00 |
| 2047 | — | 5,158,798.10 | 2,020,000.00 | 7,179,798.10 |
| 2048 | — | 5,557,163.15 | — | 5,557,163.15 |
| 2049 | — | 5,984,817.75 | — | 5,984,817.75 |
| 2050 | — | 6,445,000.00 | — | 6,445,000.00 |
| TOTAL | \$12,073,237.50 | \$11,626,321.23 | \$31,850,757.60 | \$115,580,326.33 |

¹⁷ <http://emma.msrb.org>

Using these Official Statements to extract data required diligence. Firms that produce the statements do not use a specific standard format, so the aggregate debt service table appears in different places. Tables differ in title, format, or details of content. Older Official Statements are not optimized for word searches. A few tables do not total up the annual debt service, thus forcing the user to convert the table into a spreadsheet and calculate the total using a formula. A handful of Official Statements outright lacked aggregate debt service tables.

Tables may even contain erroneous data. After some confusion, researchers realized that an Official Statement for the Napa Valley Unified School District contained major errors.¹⁸ It indicated total debt service as \$77 million instead of the actual \$665 million and also indicated a November 5, 2002 bond measure as authorizing \$219 million instead of the actual \$95 million. This was an unfortunate district to have an erroneous Official Statement: a *California Watch* article published in the *San Francisco Chronicle*¹⁹ just three months before the Official Statement was posted identified the Napa Valley Unified School District as a district where taxpayers will eventually “pay dearly for bonds.” In 2009 it borrowed \$22 million through Capital Appreciation Bond sales that will cost \$154 million by the time the last bonds in the series mature forty years later, in 2049.

Researchers also had to be cautious about accurately identifying school districts with similar names. For example, Central, Oak Grove, and Columbia are words shared by more than one school district. And “College School District” in Santa Barbara County is not a community college district. Some of the inconsistencies found in cross-referencing various sources for bond measure data seem to be a result of misidentifying districts with similar-sounding names.

Even after these challenges were overcome, researchers recognized that the list of debt service for school and college districts needs to be considered with some caveats. (Table 6 is “Cautionary Considerations When Evaluating Current Debt Service Data for School and College Districts.”) Researchers are also aware of arguments that debt service — even when considered with other financial data — is not always a useful way to assess whether or not school or college districts have been irresponsible in their choices for debt finance of facilities construction. A few of those arguments are listed below in Table 7.

Despite these potential limitations, aggregate debt service amounts available through Official Statements posted on EMMA provide new insight into the long term debt obligations owed by California local educational districts for facilities construction. This data set represents a major advance in informing Californians about the tremendous debt accumulated by educational districts that borrow money for school construction by selling bonds.

¹⁸ “Napa Valley Unified School District,” Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA), May 9, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://emma.msrb.org/EA524107-EA408291-EA805228.pdf>

¹⁹ “School Districts Pay Dearly for Bonds,” *San Francisco Chronicle*, January 31, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, www.sfgate.com/education/article/School-districts-pay-dearly-for-bonds-4237868.php

Table 6: Cautionary Considerations When Evaluating Current Debt Service Data for School and College Districts

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | For some school or college districts, debt service may be relatively low compared to the total amount authorized to borrow because those districts haven't issued all of the bonds (or any of the bonds) yet. When those districts sell all of the bonds in the amount authorized by voters, debt service will be higher. |
| 2 | An educational district in a wealthy area can have high debt service but also have high and stable total assessed property value. That high debt service may be inappropriate, but it is not as risky as the same debt service in a less affluent district with unstable property values and an uncertain economic future. |
| 3 | Some California educational districts do not have debt service listed in the appendices because they recently sold bonds through “private placement.” These transactions do not require Official Statements to be posted on EMMA. Without an Official Statement, long term debt obligation from bonds is more difficult to obtain. And when obtained through annual financial reports, that number may be outdated compared to information available in an Official Statement. |
| 4 | <p>The appendices indicate all aggregate debt service for 642 districts in which voters approved bond sales since Proposition 39 was enacted in 2000. This means there may be some distortions when comparing data, for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aggregate debt service listed for districts may originate from bond measures approved by two-thirds of voters as far back as 1987 and up through November 7, 2000. This means that debt service for some districts may appear disproportionately high relative to the amount authorized by voters to borrow from 2001 through 2014. 2. There are a handful of districts that have current debt service resulting from bond measures approved in 2000 or earlier but have not asked voters to authorize additional borrowing since the November 7, 2000 election. That debt service is not included in the grand total reported here. 3. Likewise, California voters approved several ballot propositions before Proposition 39 was enacted in 2000, including a \$9.2 billion bond measure passed in 1998 that included \$6.7 billion for K-12 school districts and \$2.5 billion collectively for community college districts and the California State University and the University of California campuses. |
| 5 | Several K-12 school districts have merged in the past 15 years. Some Official Statements segregate debt service for the districts before they merged, and some combine the debt service. |

Table 6: Cautionary Considerations When Evaluating Current Debt Service Data for School and College Districts

| | |
|---|---|
| 6 | Several community college district and K-12 school districts have created “School Facilities Improvement Districts” carved out from the complete jurisdiction of the districts. Some Official Statements segregate debt service for these sub-districts, and some combine the debt service for the sub-districts with the debt service for the complete district. |
| 7 | Debt service tables in Official Statements do not account for Bond Anticipation Notes, Certificates of Participation, lease revenue bonds, and other ways that educational districts borrow money. |
| 8 | Community Facilities Districts funded by Mello-Roos bonds are not included in Official Statements. |

Table 7: Why Some Analysts Downplay Debt Service Data

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | Many educational districts are currently redeeming or “calling in” existing bonds and issuing “refunding” bonds at a lower interest rate. Even after accounting for issuance fees, this procedure reduces debt service and saves money for taxpayers. The process is similar to “refinancing” a mortgage. As long as interest rates remain low, school districts can regularly continue to issue refunding bonds, and therefore the amount that taxpayers will end up paying is somewhat less than what is listed for the current debt service. |
| 2 | Some school districts have decades (up to 40 years) to pay off all the debt from bond sales. During that time there will likely be overall trends of steady monetary inflation and substantial increase in total assessed property value, thus mitigating the effect of debt on property owners and educational districts. |
| 3 | Borrowing a lot of money now in response to currently low interest rates is wise financial management, and therefore debt service is relatively unimportant to consider at this time. This is the best time to borrow and build, and the best time to pay back the bonds will come later. |

4. How Educational Districts Acquire and Manage Debt

It's likely that most California voters have limited familiarity with the organization and governance of their local school and community college districts. When voters authorize their local educational districts to borrow money for construction by selling bonds, presumably they trust that the local school or college district will exercise prudence in managing the process.

Sometimes their trust is betrayed.

To discourage abuse of the school construction finance system, voters need to be aware of how their local government is organized and managed. They also need to realize that state law does not explicitly give Independent Citizens' Bond Oversight Committees broad authority to review construction programs funded by bond measures.

How can voters become informed about bonds and the process of borrowing money for educational construction through bond sales? Is there a way to explain in clear plain language what actually happens after voters approve a bond measure and authorize a school or college district to borrow money via bond sales?

Bonds Help Local Governments Borrow Money to Better Serve the People

When people talk about municipal securities or municipal bonds, they're talking about state governments or local governments borrowing money from investors with the promise to pay it back to them later, with interest. Municipal (derived from the Latin word *municipium*, meaning a free city) simply means a local government, such as a county, city, water district, sanitation district, irrigation district, utility district, transportation district, cemetery district, mosquito vector district, and many other kinds of special districts formed by the people to serve the people. And it includes school districts and community college districts.

Despite a lack of public attention to bonds, this method of debt finance is important, especially for governments such as California's school districts and community college districts that want to initiate or continue major construction programs. U.S. Securities and Exchange Commissioner Luis A. Aguilar recently described the importance of municipal bonds:

It is difficult to overstate the importance of the municipal securities market. There is perhaps no other market that so profoundly influences the quality of our daily lives. Municipal securities provide financing to build and maintain schools, hospitals, and utilities, as well as the roads and other basic infrastructure that enable our economy to flourish. Municipal bonds' tax-free status also makes them an important investment vehicle for individual investors, particularly retirees. Ensuring the existence of a vibrant and efficient municipal

bond market is essential, particularly at a time when state and local government budgets remain stretched.²⁰

Such comments are appreciated by state and local governments as murmuring continues in Washington, D.C. that income from municipal bonds should lose tax-exempt status.²¹

Basic Information About California K-12 School Districts

In the case of a local elementary school district (kindergarten through eighth grade), high school district (ninth through twelfth grade), or unified school district (kindergarten through twelfth grades), voters elect a board of trustees (often called a “school board” or a “board of education”) to oversee operations of the school district and make major decisions as representatives of the people. The board appoints a District Superintendent and other professional administrators to handle day-to-day management of the district.

In addition, each county has an elected County Board of Education and an elected County Superintendent of Schools with specific responsibilities. There is also a State Board of Education appointed by state elected officials to oversee education policies that are common for all school districts in the state. There is also a State Superintendent of Schools elected by the people of California.

As of June 15, 2015 the California State Department of Education is apparently tracking enrollment for 945 elementary school districts, high school districts, and unified school districts in California. Table A-1 (“California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment”) lists these districts.

Basic Information About California Community College Districts

In the case of a local community college district, voters elect a Board of Trustees (often called a “college board” or a “governing board”) to make decisions for the college district as representatives of the people. There is also a Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges appointed by state elected officials to oversee education policies that are common for all college districts in the state. The Board of Governors appoints a Chancellor of the California Community Colleges and other professional administrators to handle day-to-day management of the state college system.

²⁰ “Statement on Making the Municipal Securities Market More Transparent, Liquid, and Fair” U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, February 13, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, www.sec.gov/news/statement/making-municipal-securities-market-more-transparent-liquid-fair.html

²¹ “Letters to Congress/Administration,” National Association of Bond Lawyers, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://registration.nabl.org/about/Governmental-Affairs/Tax-Reform-Resources/Letters-to-Congress-Administration.html>

Boards for the University of California and California State University systems are appointed by state elected officials and not directly chosen by the people.

As of June 15, 2015 there are 72 community college districts in California with 112 colleges. (Some districts contain multiple colleges.) Table A-2 (“California Community College District Enrollment Fall 2014 - Ranked by Number of Students”) lists these districts.

What Are the Independent Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committees?

To strengthen the arguments for Proposition 39 in 2000, the California legislature passed Assembly Bill 1908, the “Strict Accountability in Local School Construction Bonds Act of 2000,” with these stated intentions:

1. Vigorous efforts will be undertaken to ensure that school and college districts spend the proceeds of bond measures, including those passed under criteria of Proposition 39, in strict conformity to law.
2. Taxpayers will directly participate in the oversight of bond expenditures.
3. Members of the oversight committees appointed for these purposes will promptly alert the public to any waste or improper spending of money borrowed through bond sales.
4. Unauthorized expenditures of school construction bond revenues will be vigorously investigated, prosecuted, and restrained by the courts.

A school or college district board must appoint an independent citizens' bond oversight committee with 60 days after the board enters the election results in its minutes. The committee must include at least seven members to serve for a term of two years and for no more than two consecutive terms. District employees, officials, vendors, contractors, or consultants are prohibited from serving on the committee, and it must include at least one “active” representative of the following groups:

1. a business organization, located within the district, representing the business community
2. a senior citizens' organization
3. a bona fide taxpayers’ organization
4. for a school district: parents or guardians of children enrolled in the district
5. for a school district: parents or guardians of children enrolled in the district who are also active in a parent-teacher organization, such as the Parent Teacher Association or school site council
6. for a community college district: students who are currently enrolled in the district and also active in a community college group, such as student government
7. for a community college district: organizational support groups of the district, such as advisory councils or foundations

These committees have several responsibilities listed in state law meant to ensure the district spends bond proceeds only on projects listed in the ballot statement and avoids spending bond

proceeds on ineligible projects, programs, or “teacher or administrative salaries or other school operating expenses.” State law also assigns these committees to review “efforts by the school district or community college district to maximize bond revenues by implementing cost-saving measures.”

The committee does NOT have an explicit oversight role for how the district pays for these construction projects, and a narrow interpretation of the law could claim that oversight committees do not have legal authority to review bond sales. However, the California League of Bond Oversight Committees (CalBOC) believes these committees have the authority to review and comment on the structure of bond issues under the provisions for reviewing “cost-savings” measures. Districts often defer to legal counsel for interpretations of the responsibilities and limitations of oversight committees.

A Private Organization Has Taken Responsibility for Independent Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committees

Currently a private organization is providing services and advice to oversight committees. The California League of Bond Oversight Committees (CalBOC),²² founded in 2006, is a non-profit public service organization that filled a need for training, education, and legislative advocacy for the state’s bond oversight committees.²³

This arrangement has shortcomings. A private organization is dependent on voluntary financial contributions and a committed volunteer leadership, and it lacks power to take action against educational districts that fail to comply with state laws. Membership and involvement is dependent on the motivations and self-initiative of individual bond oversight committee members. CalBOC does not have any professional staff to monitor districts, collect data, and provide it to the public.

In addition, school districts can discourage oversight committee members from participating in the California League of Bond Oversight Committees, and some school district administrators openly disparage it. Some district administrators and legal counsel don’t want oversight committees interpreting their purpose broadly and consuming district staff time and district funds on investigations outside of a narrowly-defined purview.

Translating School Finance Decisions For Ordinary People to Understand

For many Americans, the phrase “stocks and bonds” evokes the image of an established and wealthy investor. It’s likely that few Americans could explain that someone who buys a stock

²² www.calboc.org

²³ The author of this report has been and continues to be a member of the Advisory Committee for the California League of Bond Oversight Committees (CalBOC).

becomes an owner of a corporation, while someone who buys a bond becomes a creditor who is owed money by a corporation or a government.

The lack of public awareness or knowledge about bonds may be attributable to the complex provisions of certain bonds and the fact that bonds typically do not offer the very large potential returns offered by equity in growing firms.

Bonds rarely get news media attention outside of a few financial wire services such as Bloomberg, Reuters (which had a “MuniLand” blogger),²⁴ and specialty publications such as *The Bond Buyer*.²⁵ And in popular culture, depictions of bond brokers have been mainly limited to two books by Tom Wolfe: *The Bonfire of the Vanities* (subsequently made into a movie) and *I am Charlotte Simmons*.

What Is a Bond?

Some technical definitions of a bond are listed in Table 10. But rather than focusing on the definition of a bond, Californians need to focus on what a bond does in practice.

For a school or community college district, issuing (“selling”) bonds means the district borrows money for a specific length of time from investors with the obligation to return all of that money to them when that time period ends. The amount borrowed is called the principal.

During that length of time the district pays a fee to the investors, either on a regular basis (for Current Interest Bonds) or accumulated with compounded interest at the end of the time period (for Capital Appreciation Bonds). The amount paid is called interest.

The term of maturity between borrowing the money and paying back the money with interest can be one to three years (short-term bonds) or decades (long-term bonds). Under California law, a school district or community college district cannot issue a current interest bond with a maturity over 40 years. As a result of Assembly Bill 182 enacted in 2013, California local governments are now prohibited from issuing Capital Appreciation Bonds with a maturity over 30 years.

For purposes of using familiar examples to explain the concept from the perspective of the borrower, selling a bond can be loosely compared to taking out a car loan, borrowing money from a bank for college, or getting a mortgage on a house or condominium. This borrowed money is the principal, which must be paid back to the lender over a period of time with interest.

What Are “General Obligation Bonds” Referenced in Ballot Language for Bond Measures?

²⁴ blogs.reuters.com/muniland/

²⁵ www.bondbuyer.com

Corporations and state and local governments issue bonds to raise money. Bonds sold by local governments are called municipal bonds. An appealing aspect of many municipal bonds for investors is their tax-exempt status.

Municipal bonds such as those sold by California school districts and community college districts for construction are called general obligation bonds, meaning they are backed by the “full faith and credit” of the districts. These districts theoretically have legislative power to collect enough money through property taxes, other borrowing, selling assets, or other sources of revenue to fulfill their obligation to make payments on the bonds when due. Those taxes are collected from property owners in the district. (Revenue bonds are another kind of municipal bond, paid off through tolls, lease payments, user fees, or other service payments.)

Comparing Current Interest Bonds to Capital Appreciation Bonds

When voters are asked at an election to approve a bond measure to pay for construction at a school district or community college district, they generally have been told that a “Yes” vote will authorize the sale of general obligation bonds to fund that construction.

California educational districts are issuing two kinds of general obligation bonds: Current Interest Bonds and Capital Appreciation Bonds. Usually the district does not tell voters what kind of general obligation bonds it will sell, unless it specifically passes a resolution before the election stating it will not sell Capital Appreciation Bonds and includes that condition in the ballot statement.

1. Current Interest Bonds (also called Fixed Rate Bonds)

These are the “traditional” kind of municipal bonds. A buyer of Current Interest Bonds gets a periodic interest payment (usually semi-annually). When the bond matures, the buyer gets the principal back.

2. Capital Appreciation Bonds (also called Zero Coupon Bonds)

A buyer of Capital Appreciation Bonds does not receive semiannual or other periodic interest payments. Instead, the buyer receives all of the interest - compounded over the length of maturity for the bond - together with the principal when the bond matures. There is no regular payment of interest, but the accumulated (“accreted”) interest is compounded over many years, making the wait a worthwhile investment. Capital Appreciation Bonds are purchased at a deeply discounted amount from their face value.

Capital Appreciation Bonds are discussed in more detail in Section 5.

Two Costs to Educational Districts of Borrowing Money Via

Bonds

From the perspective of the school district, the additional financial cost of borrowing money by selling bonds as opposed to spending money from the district general fund results from (1) interest and (2) transaction fees.

Interest

If someone borrows \$1000 for five years from a lender at an annual interest rate of 5 percent, the borrower and the lender agree that the borrower will pay back the \$1000 over five years and also pay 5% of that \$1000 (\$50) multiplied by five years for a total of \$1250. The borrower gets the \$1000 immediately to use, and the lender earns annual interest income of \$50 over five years for a total of \$250. Both parties consider themselves to get a benefit from the transaction.

Likewise, if a school district issues a traditional \$1000 Current Interest Bond at an annual interest rate of 5 percent with a five-year term of maturity and an investor buys the bond at its face value of \$1000, the school district gets the \$1000 immediately to use for construction, and the investor earns annual interest income of \$50 over five years for a total of \$250. When the five years are over, the investor gets the \$1000 back. Both parties get a benefit from the transaction. In addition, the investor does not have to pay taxes on the interest.

School districts usually sell series of bonds as a package with different maturities and interest rates.

Transaction Fees (Issuance Fees)

Bond buyers are not the only party to make money from bonds issued by California school districts and community college districts. Similar to taking out a mortgage, a variety of parties in the financial services industry are involved in the preparation and sale of bonds, and each party gets a fee for participating in the transaction. These fees are classified as “costs of issuance.”

To prevent these fees from cutting into the amount of money authorized by voters for construction, educational districts routinely inflate the interest rates on bonds they sell so that the price is higher than the face value of the bond. After the bonds are sold, that extra money, or “premium,” is used to pay the costs of issuance. This practice may be illegal.

**How are Municipal Bonds Bought and Sold?
Who Buys Them?**

Table 8: Types of Issuance Fees

| |
|-------------------------------------|
| underwriter’s discount |
| bond counsel fees |
| disclosure counsel fees |
| paying agent fees |
| escrow agent fees |
| rating agency fees |
| bond insurance fees |
| verification agent fees |
| financial advisor fees |
| printing fees |
| other miscellaneous expenses |

Municipal bonds are not traded on an exchange like stocks. Instead, investors buy and sell bonds “over the counter” through dealers and brokers registered with the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB),²⁶ a self-regulatory organization overseen by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. These dealers and brokers act as underwriters or intermediaries between issuers and investors. They charge fees, or “mark-ups” for the transactions.

Once a school district sells a bond, the bond can be traded in the municipal bond market. The price will fluctuate and investors will be concerned about yield — the amount of income earned as prices rise and fall.

According to Federal Reserve statistics, individual investors hold a little more than two-thirds of municipal bonds, about 42 percent directly and about 28 percent through mutual funds and other investment vehicles.²⁷ Major institutional investors include asset management firms, insurance companies, and commercial banks.

One of the arguments to cap or eliminate the federal tax exemption for income from municipal bonds is that the exemption mainly benefits wealthy individuals who buy bonds as a tax-exempt investment. Buyers of municipal bonds do not generally “keep the money in the community” because they aren’t in the community. And they generally do not buy bonds issued by educational districts to “help the children” or “provide vocational training to veterans.” They buy them to make money.

Table 9: Some Advantages for Investors in Municipal Bonds

| |
|--|
| Interest earned on municipal bonds is usually exempt from federal and state income tax. |
| In the case of general obligation bonds, principal and interest are secured by the full faith and credit of the issuer and usually supported by either the issuer’s taxing power. Despite negative nationwide publicity about a relatively small number of bankrupt local governments (such as the California cities of Vallejo, Stockton, and San Bernardino), a government defaulting on municipal bonds is “extremely infrequent,” according to Moody’s. They are thus a relatively safe investment. |
| In the case of Current Interest Bonds, investors get a regular interest payment, usually semi-annually. There is a regular, dependable income stream. |
| In the case of Capital Appreciation Bonds, investors can earn a substantial amount of interest over a long period of time through compounding while still enjoying the relatively safe investment of general obligation bonds. |

²⁶ www.msrb.org

²⁷ Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System - Data Releases, June 11, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, www.federalreserve.gov/releases/z1/current/z1r-4.pdf

Ironically, the same Progressive activists who call for higher taxes on the rich also tend to support educational bond measures that help the rich to earn investment income that is tax-free. Forcing the rich to pay taxes on income earned through municipal bonds could collapse the demand for these bonds and make borrowing money for construction a much more expensive proposition for school and college districts.

How Do Educational Districts Pay Back Borrowed Principal Plus Interest on Bond Sales?

People pay back the principal and interest on car loans, school loans, and mortgages using their income. Educational districts pay back the principal and interest on bonds using their “income,” that is, taxes collected from property owners in the district.

After a school district or community college district borrows money by selling bonds for construction, it informs the county auditor and county treasurer/tax collector. Based on the assessments of property value determined by the county assessor, the county treasurer calculates the appropriate tax rate and generates individual tax bills for owners of property such as houses, farms, apartment buildings, commercial buildings, manufacturing facilities, business infrastructure, and undeveloped land. A specific rate and tax for each bond measure is listed on the tax bill.

These taxes are called *ad valorem* taxes. *Ad valorem* is Latin for “according to worth” and indicates that taxes are levied (imposed) on property owners in proportion to the assessed value of their property.

Does Renting or Leasing Mean That You Don't Pay for Educational Construction or the Cost of Borrowing Money for It?

Households that rent property or businesses that lease property do not pay property taxes directly. However, it is not true to claim or think that renters or lessees don't have to pay for educational construction and the costs of borrowing money to pay for that educational construction. Property owners can and do incorporate the cost of their property taxes into their rents or leases. Bond sales by a school or college district may result in higher rent.

Table 10: Technical Definitions of Bonds

| Source | Definition |
|--|--|
| California Education Code Section 15140.5 (added to law by Assembly Bill 182 in 2013) | Evidence of indebtedness payable, both principal and interest, from the proceeds of <i>ad valorem</i> property taxes that may be levied without limitation as to rate or amount upon property subject to taxation by the governing board of the school district or community college district. |
| Glossary on the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB) website | The written evidence of debt, which upon presentation entitles the bondholder or owner to a fixed sum of money plus interest. The debt bears a stated rate(s) of interest or states a formula for determining that rate and matures on a date certain. |
| U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission website definition of municipal bonds | Debt securities issued by states, cities, counties and other governmental entities to finance capital projects, such as building schools, highways or sewer systems, and to fund day-to-day obligations. Investors who buy municipal bonds are in effect lending money to the bond issuer in exchange for a promise of regular interest payments, usually semi-annually, and the return of the original investment, or “principal.” The date when the issuer repays the principal, the bond’s maturity date, may be years in the future. Short-term bonds mature in one to three years, while long-term bonds generally will not mature for more than a decade. |
| Internal Revenue Service Tax-Exempt Governmental Bonds Compliance Guide description of municipal bonds | Tax-exempt bonds are valid debt obligations of state and local governments, commonly referred to as “issuers” - the interest on which is tax-exempt. This means that the interest paid to bondholders is not includable in their gross income for federal income tax purposes. This tax-exempt status remains throughout the life of the bonds provided that all applicable federal tax laws are satisfied...Governmental bonds are tax-exempt bonds issued by a state or local government, the proceeds of which are generally used to finance activities or facilities owned, operated, or used by that or another government for its own purposes. This can include financing the building, maintenance, or repair of various types of public infrastructure such as highways, schools, fire stations, libraries, or other types of municipal facilities. |

5. Capital Appreciation Bonds: Disturbing Repayment Terms

In 1993, California law was changed so that school and college districts could use an innovative form of debt finance called zero-coupon bonds, also known as Capital Appreciation Bonds. These bonds allow school and college districts to borrow now for construction and pay it back — with compounded interest — many years later. The borrowing strategy has been a tempting and dangerous lure for elected school and college boards.

Some people think Capital Appreciation Bonds are a “ticking time bomb” or the “creation of a toxic waste dump.” Others regard critics as uninformed and contend that these debt finance instruments are beneficial for school and college districts. Since the people who will be paying off many of these Capital Appreciation Bonds are now children or not even born yet, there isn’t much incentive to stop the flow of borrowed money that doesn’t need to be paid back for a generation or two.

Capital Appreciation Bonds Get Attention: Some Welcomed It, Some Didn’t

There was a brief time in the last half of 2012 when California news media and even national news media alerted the public to a neglected but long-festering problem involving municipal bonds sold by many California school districts and community college districts. These educational districts chose to borrow money for construction using an unconventional debt finance instrument called a Capital Appreciation Bond.

Capital Appreciation Bonds allow school and college districts to circumvent state laws that limit taxes and debt relative to the total value of property in the districts. But they also subject future generations of Californians to potentially burdensome taxes and debt.

Explaining and Contrasting Current Interest Bonds and Capital Appreciation Bonds

The traditional Current Interest Bonds (also called Fixed Rate Bonds) are relatively easy to understand. If someone buys a Current Interest Bond and holds it until it matures (reaches the end of its time period for borrowing), that buyer receives interest on a regular basis (usually semi-annually). The buyer gets the original principal paid back when the bond reaches the end of its term of maturity.

Here's an example of how a Current Interest Bond works:

- An entity buys a \$1000 Current Interest Bond issued by a school district at face value (also known as par value) with a 25-year term to maturity at a 2.5 percent interest rate.
- Each year, for 25 years, the buyer gets \$25 in interest from the school district, because 2.5% of \$1000 is \$25.
- When the bond matures, the buyer gets the principal of \$1000 back from the school district.

- The total interest earned over 25 years is \$625, because \$25 times 25 is \$625.
- Although the \$625 is income, the buyer will never have to pay tax on that interest if the bond is tax-exempt, as is typical with municipal bonds.

Obviously the school district must levy taxes on property owners each year throughout the 25-year term to maturity so that it has enough money to pay interest each year (and ultimately pay back the principal at the maturity date).

Capital Appreciation Bonds (also called Zero Coupon Bonds) are more difficult to understand. Someone who buys a Capital Appreciation Bond pays for it at a price deeply discounted from the face value (par value) of the bond. The buyer does not receive interest payments until the bond reaches maturity, at which point the buyer is paid the face value of the bond, which is the deeply-discounted price (the principal) plus all of the interest earned during the term to maturity.

During the term to maturity period of the Capital Appreciation Bond, interest accumulates over time. The interest is compounded, meaning interest for a time period is earned on the original amount of money and also earned on any of the interest that has already been accumulated up to that time period.

Compound interest that accumulates as a Capital Appreciation Bond grows in value is called “accreted interest.” “Accreted” (a word derived from the Latin *accrescere*, to increase) means accumulated over time.

Here's an example of how a Capital Appreciation Bond works:

- An entity buys a \$5000 Capital Appreciation Bond with a 25-year term to maturity at an interest rate of 5 percent.
- The discounted price of the bond is \$1477.
- When the bond matures, the buyer gets \$5000 back from the school district.
- The total earned over 25 years is \$3,523.
- Although the \$3,523 is income, the buyer will never have to pay tax on that interest if the bond is tax-exempt, as is typical with municipal bonds.

The school district benefits because for many years it does not need to levy taxes on property owners in order to make interest payments. It can borrow much more money through bond sales without being restricted by tax and debt limits established in state law. The community can enjoy the benefits of the bond sales without having to pay for them — at least for a while.

And although the buyer does not get a regular interest payment, the accumulated (“accreted”) interest is compounded over many years, making the wait a worthwhile investment. The cliché about “the power of compound interest” for an investor is accurate. See how much you can earn for various amounts at various rates using the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission

Compound Interest Calculator at <http://www.investor.gov/tools/calculators/compound-interest-calculator>

Why Did Capital Appreciation Bonds Become Popular?

When news media alerted the public in 2012 about the 2011 Poway Unified School District debt financing arrangement, most reports insinuated that limits on taxes and debt established by the legislature in 2000 in conjunction with Proposition 39 had forced schools and community colleges to borrow money by selling Capital Appreciation Bonds. Allegedly these limits were constraining school and college districts from implementing necessary construction programs at a time of plummeting property values. Educational districts saw Capital Appreciation Bonds as the only debt financing option available to alleviate school overcrowding and ensure children's safety.

But in reality, Capital Appreciation Bonds have been a component of bond issues by California educational districts for over twenty years. Signed into law in 1993, Senate Bill 872²⁸ authorized school and college districts to sell them. Voters in the Windsor Unified School District approved a bond measure on April 12, 1994, and the district proceeded to sell \$5,054,761 in Capital Appreciation Bonds in its first series of bond sales. The Old Adobe Unified School District and the Oakland Unified School District soon followed.

Capital Appreciation Bond Origins

The first sentence in a 1982 article in the *New York Times*²⁹ declared, "Give Wall Street a headache like double-digit interest rates, and someone will invent an aspirin like the zero-coupon bond." According to this article, in 1981 J.C. Penney became the first corporation to issue Capital Appreciation Bonds. In 1982, E.F. Hutton became the first bond broker to underwrite Capital Appreciation Bonds for municipal governments.

A survey of news coverage on Capital Appreciation Bonds during the 1980s reveals that the focus of journalistic concern for this new form of municipal debt finance was the risk to investors. Needless to say, Capital Appreciation Bonds endured past the era of high interest rates, and the aspirin for investors became a headache for taxpayers.

Who Buys Capital Appreciation Bonds?

²⁸ "Text - SB 872 Local Agencies: General Obligation Bonds," California Legislative Information, October 6, 1993, accessed June 28, 2015, www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/93-94/bill/sen/sb_0851-0900/sb_872_bill_931006_chaptered

²⁹ "Market Place; Zero-Coupon Municipals," *New York Times*, March 21, 1982, accessed June 28, 2015, www.nytimes.com/1982/03/31/business/market-place-zero-coupon-municipals.html

Capital Appreciation Bonds are not necessarily a wise decision for an investor, so who sees an investment advantage in buying them? James Estes, Professor of Finance at California State University, San Bernardino tried to answer this question and reported the results of his investigation in a 2013 paper.³⁰ After observing that Charles Schwab & Co, Inc. does not offer or sell Capital Appreciation Bonds, he contacted twelve companies that offer municipal bond funds. All twelve claimed they don't market funds featuring Capital Appreciation Bonds. Company representatives told Estes that Capital Appreciation Bonds were undesirable to their investors because of their lack of current interest payments, their poor yield, and their high risk.

Estes also investigated rumors on the web that CalPERS might be holding many municipal Capital Appreciation Bonds. CalPERS spokesperson Danny Brown denied that CalPERS holds them and cited their risk. Finally, Estes mentions the claim of a finance reporter that international banks hold Capital Appreciation Bonds in a trust administered by Bank of America.

In response to a Twitter inquiry from the author of this report,³¹ a former reporter for *Voice of San Diego* tweeted that he never learned who held the district's Capital Appreciation Bonds during his 2½ years reporting on Poway Unified School District's Capital Appreciation Bond fiasco: "The word was that the debt had likely been sold and resold and resold. Also no repository for that info...I always wanted to know."

In 2014, a municipal bond advisor named Dale Scott of Dale Scott & Company³² presented a plan to Poway Unified School District³³ for the district to buy back some of its Capital Appreciation Bonds using funds from a property tax increase. One challenge for this district is identifying who owns the bonds so offers can be made to buy them back. Scott pointed out that he had managed to find owners of Capital Appreciation Bonds issued by the Stockton Unified School District and buy back about 30 percent of them. According to an August 20, 2014 article in the *San Diego Union-Tribune*,³⁴ "Scott said there is a myth that capital appreciation bonds are impossible to acquire once they are sold, but the reality is the bond holder may have many reasons for selling bonds that may take decades to mature."

³⁰ "Capital Appreciation Bonds: The Creation of a Toxic Waste Dump in Our Schools," Alpha Wealth Management, April 11, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, www.alpha-wealth.com/resources/publications/CAB-Paper.pdf

³¹ Kevin Dayton @DaytonPubPolicy, May 9, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015 <https://twitter.com/daytonpubpolicy/status/596934260381978624>

³² www.dalescott.com

³³ "Questions & Answers from Capital Appreciation Bond (CAB) Public Forums," Poway Unified School District, August 20, 2014, accessed June 28, 2015, https://www.powayusd.com/doc_library/2014-15/CommunityForumFAQs.pdf

³⁴ "Plan Pitched to Lower Poway Bond Debt," *San Diego Union-Tribune*, August 20, 2014, accessed June 28, 2015, www.utsandiego.com/news/2014/aug/20/poway-plan-bond-debt/

Table 11: Tax and Debt Limits for Bond Measures Qualifying Under Proposition 39

| Type of Educational District | Tax Limit Under Prop 39 | Debt Limit Under Prop 39 |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Unified School District | 0.06% of taxable property value (\$60 per \$100,000) | 2.5% of taxable property value |
| Elementary School District | 0.03% of taxable property value (\$30 per \$100,000) | 1.25% of taxable property value |
| High School District | 0.03% of taxable property value (\$30 per \$100,000) | 1.25% of taxable property value |
| Community College District | 0.025% of taxable property value (\$25 per \$100,000) | 2.5% of taxable property value |

Tax and Debt Limits Meant to Assure Property Owners that a 55% Approval Threshold for School Bond Measures Wouldn't Crush Them

From a school district's perspective, Capital Appreciation Bonds are attractive because they enable the district to borrow more within its tax and debt limits. California Education Code Sections 15268-15270 sets the current limits. The state has not changed the limits since the enactment of Assembly Bill 1908 in conjunction with Proposition 39 in 2000, although Governor Brown proposed increasing them in his 2015-16 budget. (See Section 2 for background.) Opponents of Proposition 39 in 2000 pointed out in their ballot arguments that these tax and debt limits were not part of the constitutional amendment enacted through Proposition 39 and therefore could be amended or repealed by the state legislature at any time. This is true. Districts can set a lower tax or debt limit in the ballot statement for a bond measure. And K-12 school districts can get waivers from State Board of Education to impose higher tax or debt limits. (See Section 6 for background on waivers.)

Tax and Debt Limits Make Funding of Construction Programs Highly Dependent on Assessed Property Valuation

Because tax and debt limits are based on annual assessed property valuation in a district, the limits change yearly as a reflection of the real estate market. If property values increase compared to the previous year, the amount of money that can be borrowed increases relative to the previous year. If property values decline compared to the previous year, the amount of money that can be borrowed that year decreases. Educational districts hope (and usually project) property value to increase at a respectable rate for many years to come.

If the substantial increase in home prices during the mid-2000s gave school and college districts several years to borrow a lot more than perhaps originally anticipated, the dramatic drop in the following years hindered school and college districts, especially those with ongoing construction programs. From 2007 to 2011, assessed property valuation in some regions of California declined by as much as 50%, especially in exurban areas of California that grew rapidly in population during the 2000s as young families sought home ownership at prices they could afford.

Not surprisingly, these same regions needed new school construction to accommodate the children in these young families. Because of tax and debt limits, educational districts could not raise tax rates or borrow more money using traditional Current Interest Bonds to compensate for the loss in revenue resulting from the decline in property values.

Capital Appreciation Bonds are a clever way to circumvent the debt limits. A school or college district can take on a long-term debt obligation of \$5000 by selling a bond but declare the debt to be \$1300 because the bond was sold at the deeply discounted “principal” of \$1300. And by deferring payment to bond investors until the bonds mature, the district can borrow money without exceeding the tax limit.

Hoping for the Best with Capital Appreciation Bonds

Of course, school districts will eventually have to collect a lot of money through levying taxes on property owners to pay principal and accreted interest to the buyers of Capital Appreciation Bonds. Essentially, Capital Appreciation Bonds represent a district’s gamble that assessed values will climb rapidly enough to produce sufficient tax revenue to allow issuers to pay off the bonds when they become due. If the anticipated increase in assessed property valuation fails to occur during the term of maturity, the district cannot pay principal and interest owed in future years.

There is little political disincentive for elected board members to borrow money today for school construction and impose a commitment on future generations to pay it off in 25, 30, or even 40 years. Only the elected board members of the Poway Unified School District have suffered political consequences from approving this kind of debt finance. But future school and college board members (who are children today) may be unjustly subjected to voter ire when the bill on Capital Appreciation Bonds is finally due.

2013: An Incomplete Fix for the Excesses of Capital Appreciation Bonds

Assembly Bill 182³⁵ was an attempt to restrain the worst excesses of Capital Appreciation Bonds while still allowing school and college districts to use them as a debt finance tool. It developed

³⁵ “Text - AB 182 Bonds: School Districts and Community College Districts,” California Legislative Information, October 2, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201320140AB182&search_keywords=

out of a proposal from San Diego County Treasurer-Tax Collector Dan McAllister³⁶ as a response to high-profile Capital Appreciation Bond sales by school districts in his county.

Supporters of this bill were prominent critics of unrestrained Capital Appreciation Bond sales: California State Treasurer Bill Lockyer, the aforementioned San Diego County Treasurer-Tax Collector Dan McAllister, the California Association of County Treasurers and Tax Collectors, the California League of Bond Oversight Committees, the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association, and the California Taxpayers Association. Several rural county boards of supervisors supported the bill, as well as the board of supervisors for Contra Costa County, where the West Contra Costa Unified School District, the Mt. Diablo Unified School District, and the Acalanes Union High School District received local attention for risky bond finance schemes, including Capital Appreciation Bonds.

California State Treasurer Bill Lockyer wrote the following in support of Assembly Bill 182:

...many districts face a critical need to build or modernize facilities for their children, and I recognize that falling property tax assessments, revenue losses, and statutory debt service limits have all combined to reduce districts' debt financing options, at least at the present time. However, we cannot continue to use debt financing tools, such as CABs, that force tax payers to pay, at times, more than 10 times the principal to retire these bonds. In too many cases, these transactions have been structured with 40-year terms that delay interest and principal payments for decades, resulting in huge balloon payments. Moreover, school board members and the public have not always been fully informed about the total costs and risks associated with issuing capital appreciation bonds. As a result of such CAB deals and lack of transparency, our future generations in many California school districts will be burdened with heavy taxes for years and years to come.³⁷

But there was also significant opposition to the bill from groups heavily involved in promoting bond measures for school construction, including California's Coalition for Adequate School Housing (C.A.S.H.), the Association of California School Administrators, the California Association of School Business Officials, and the Small School Districts' Association. The first legislative analysis written for a committee about AB 182 described the basis for the opposition:

All of the opposition letters submitted to the Committee have an "oppose unless amended" position. Generally, the opposition supports more transparency, but is concerned that the bill will inhibit school districts' ability to secure funding to house students and provide for

³⁶ "Treasury: Capital Appreciation Bonds," San Diego County Treasurer-Tax Collector, accessed June 28, 2015, www.sdtreastax.com/capital-appreciation-bonds.html

³⁷ "AB 182 Bill Analysis - Concurrence in Senate Amendments," Official California Legislative Information, September 5, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/13-14/bill/asm/ab_0151-0200/ab_182_cfa_20130905_163723_asm_floor.html

Table 12: Provisions of Assembly Bill 182 (AB 182)

The ratio of total debt service to principal for any series of bonds sold shall not exceed four to one (debt service four times greater than principal).

If the sale of bonds includes bonds that allow for the compounding of interest, including, but not limited to, Capital Appreciation Bonds, the agenda of the governing board meeting at which the sale will be approved shall include a proposed resolution to approve the sale of Capital Appreciation Bonds. Public notice for the resolution must be on at least two consecutive meeting agendas, first as an information item and second as an action item.

The governing board must be presented with the following information:

- 1. Disclosure of the financing term and time of maturity, repayment ratio, and the estimated change in the assessed value of taxable property within the school district or community college district over the term of the bonds.**
- 2. An analysis containing the total overall cost of the Capital Appreciation Bonds.**
- 3. A comparison to the overall cost of Current Interest Bonds.**
- 4. The reason bonds that allow for the compounding of interest are being recommended.**
- 5. A copy of the disclosure made by the underwriter as required by Rule G-17 of the federal Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board.**

A Capital Appreciation Bond maturing more than 10 years after being sold must be able to be redeemed before its fixed maturity date, with or without a premium, at any time, or from time to time, at the option of the issuer, beginning no later than the 10th anniversary of the date it was sold.

renovations as promised to voters through their bond initiatives. While some of the opponents do recognize the need to establish some parameters to prevent extreme CABs, they argue that CABs, if done appropriately and in a limited way, are effective. The requested amendments vary from organization to organization. They include expanding the term of CABs to 30 years, restoring the term of 40 years for CIBs, increasing the total debt service to principal ratio to 6 to 1 and applying the ratio to bond authorization, grandfathering in bonds that are already approved but not issued, and allowing districts to seek a waiver from the SBE to increase the tax rates.³⁸

³⁸ “AB 182 Bill Analysis - Assembly Committee on Education,” Official California Legislative Information, March 20, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/13-14/bill/asm/ab_0151-0200/ab_182_cfa_20130318_154906_asm_comm.html

In the end, the bill passed the State Senate 36-0 and passed the State Assembly 78-0. Soon after the bill was signed into law, governing boards of school and college districts were already initiating the sale of more Capital Appreciation Bonds under the new guidelines.³⁹ This method of debt finance for school and college construction is not going away.

Case Study: Poway Unified School District's Egregious Debt Finance

Poway Unified School District created a special School Facilities Improvement District in 2007 and asked voters in February 2008 to authorize \$179 million in bonds to finance capital improvements. The bond measure passed with 63.9% support, as it qualified under Proposition 39 for a 55% voter approval threshold.

As a campaign strategy, the district promised voters that the bond measure would not require a tax increase, supposedly because assessed property values would rise enough over time to bring in more tax revenue and pay off the debt service. To keep this promise, the school board subsequently adopted some excessive debt financing schemes.

From 2008 through 2011, the district borrowed the \$179 million by issuing four series of bonds, including Lease-Revenue Bonds, Bond Anticipation Notes, Current Interest Bonds, and non-callable Capital Appreciation Bonds, with some bonds issued to refund earlier bond issues. It even sold some 40-year bonds at the maximum legally allowed interest rate of 8 percent. It used numerous controversial debt finance practices, such as selling bonds at a 20 percent premium over face value, and ended up incurring issuance fees totaling more than \$6.7 million.

Perhaps people in the Poway Unified School District did not comprehend the dangers at the time, but the school board realized the district was doing something questionable. In 2010 it filed a validation lawsuit to subvert future lawsuits against their next bond finance deal. This provoked a warning letter from the California Attorney General, but in the end no party chose to be a defendant, thus giving the district legal cover to proceed.⁴⁰

Property owners in the School Facilities Improvement District now have the burden of paying \$1.27 billion in debt service through 2051 for the privilege of borrowing \$179 million. Poway Unified School District was described in the news media as having “shot to fame” as a “poster child for an era of reckless and risky school bond borrowing” through bond sales that have

³⁹ “Citrus College OKs Capital Appreciation Bond Issuance,” *San Gabriel Valley Tribune*, May 6, 2014, accessed June 28, 2015, www.sgvtribune.com/social-affairs/20140506/citrus-college-oks-capital-appreciation-bond-issuance

⁴⁰ “Re: *Poway Unified School District v. All Persons Interested* - Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Case No. 37-2010-00106255-CU- MC-CTLAG,” California Attorney General letter to Poway Unified School District, Orange County Government, March 1, 2011, accessed June 28, 2015, http://cams.ocgov.com/Web_Publisher/Agenda11_05_2013_files/images/ATTORNEY%20GENERAL%20OPINION%20-%20POWAY%20BOND%20PREMIUM_9843497.PDF

“reached legendary status.” And it became a rare example of voters making school board members accountable for its decisions on bond finance.

Three of the five board members who voted for the Capital Appreciation Bond deal in 2011 have lost their reelection campaigns, and a fourth chose not to run for re-election. The only remaining board member from 2011 may still be in office because only three candidates (two incumbents and a challenger) ran to fill two seats in the 2012 election. Seeing the popular demand for change, eight candidates ran for three seats in 2014.

Michigan Banned Capital Appreciation Bonds When California Legalized Them

On April 27, 2012, a former reporter for the *Detroit Free Press* newspaper named Joel Thurtell published a post on his blog entitled “Muni Bomb Ticks in California.”⁴¹ Thurtell wrote about the popularity of Capital Appreciation Bond sales by California educational districts. Thurtell revealed that the practice was not new; in fact it was common at Michigan school districts in the late 1980s and early 1990s:

There’s a school bond scandal brewing as California schools load taxpayers with horrendous debt for the next generation of taxpayers. The blight is called CABs — short for Capital Appreciation Bonds. It hit Michigan in 1988. Within four years of the first CAB issue, Michigan public school debt had doubled to reach more than \$4 billion. That was just principal. The interest on the CABs amounted to 200 percent — 300 percent — even 575 percent of principal, depending on the terms of the individual bond issue. Nineteen years ago, I delved into this fascinating but arcane world with its private argot strewn with obscure words like “zeroes” and “basis points” describing fairly simple things in language you need a special dictionary to comprehend. It’s an industry with specialized documents that seem encrypted so that people like you and I will have trouble understanding them.

Thurtell had spent many days of difficult, tedious research at the Michigan State Treasurer's office scrutinizing paper copies of “Official Statements” produced for Michigan school districts. He produced a “Big Chart” that quantified the prevalence of Capital Appreciation Bond sales and accumulated debt service. On April 5, 1993 the *Free Press* published the first of a series of Thurtell's articles about how Michigan school districts were borrowing their money for school construction. The articles changed public policy in Michigan:

Because of my *Free Press* stories...the state Legislature banned future issues of Capital Appreciation Bonds and ordered that future bond issues be competitively bid rather than rigged through a process the underwriters euphemistically termed “negotiation.” It was huge that CABs were banned, because as you will read in these stories, schools were piling up enormous debt to be paid by future taxpayers. Imagine the predicament schools would have

⁴¹ “Muni Bomb Ticks in California,” Joel On the Road, April 27, 2012, accessed June 28, 2015, www.joelontheroad.com/muni-bomb-ticks-in-california/

found themselves in had such debt been allowed to continue accumulating into today's depressed economy. Debt payback was predicated on rosy assumptions called "present value" that predicted large increases in real estate valuation *ad infinitum*.


At the May 2012 annual conference of the California League of Bond Oversight Committees, the Los Angeles County Treasurer-Tax Collector Mark Saladino and Alicia Minyen, a school bond expert and certified fraud examiner, made presentations that included discussion of Capital Appreciation Bonds. Saladino had written a May 16, 2011 white paper about risky municipal debt finance⁴² that referenced school districts issuing Capital Appreciation Bonds. Minyen was a prominent critic of a few school districts in Contra Costa County that had issued Capital Appreciation Bonds in irresponsible ways.

Minyen referenced Joel Thurtell's articles and blog posts. The author of this report then wrote articles for his personal blog about the Capital Appreciation Bond presentations, and local taxpayer activists throughout the state began contacting him with questions and concerns about Capital Appreciation Bond sales going on in their local school and college districts. Californians who paid close attention to tax and government finance issues from a critical perspective were confused — and suspicious.

Graphic Depictions of Poway Unified School District Bond Debt Inspire Limited Reforms

Finally a breakthrough in bringing public awareness to the issue occurred in August 2012, when a journalistic web publication called *Voice of San Diego* published a series of investigative pieces written by reporter Will Carless about the 2011 Poway Unified School District bond sales. The first article hit on August 6, 2012: "Where Borrowing \$105 Million Will Cost \$1 Billion: Poway Schools."⁴³

It's possible that *Voice of San Diego* was successful in bringing sudden and dramatic attention to the practice because



⁴² "County of Los Angeles: School District General Obligation Bonds - White Paper," Los Angeles County Treasurer and Tax Collector, May 16, 2011, accessed June 28, 2015, <https://tfc.lacounty.gov/Proptax/docs/White%20Paper%20-%20School%20GO%20Bonds.pdf>

⁴³ "Where Borrowing \$105 Million Will Cost \$1 Billion: Poway Schools," *Voice of San Diego*, August 6, 2012, accessed June 28, 2015, www.voiceofsandiego.org/investigations/where-borrowing-105-million-will-cost-1-billion-poway-schools/

of the simple and colorful graphics produced by Keegan Kyle and included with the articles. These graphics portrayed the deals in a way much easier to understand than the analytical writing of policy experts.



These articles and the associated graphics were the catalyst for intense statewide public criticism. *Voice of San Diego* created a spreadsheet,⁴⁴ the *Los Angeles Times* created a database,⁴⁵ and other news media outlets compiled information revealing that a couple hundred community college and K-12 school districts in California had issued Capital Appreciation Bonds, with many starting long before property values began to decline in 2007.

California and national news media, state and local taxpayer organizations, and many state and local politicians spent the next year criticizing California educational districts for poor decisions about borrowing money via bond sales for school construction. About a dozen educational districts received a disproportionate amount of negative attention for their Capital Appreciation Bond sales. Criticism ebbed but did not disappear after Governor Brown signed Assembly Bill 182 in October 2013 to put limits and new oversight on Capital Appreciation Bonds.

Backers of Capital Appreciation Bonds Stubbornly Defend Them

Throughout the state and even at the Poway Unified School District, elected district officials and administrators defended their decisions to sell Capital Appreciation Bonds. Their response to criticism was common and consistent:

1. Voters wanted school construction done as soon as possible.
2. Capital Appreciation Bonds were the only way available to get the money.
3. We didn't do anything wrong.
4. Look at the complete program instead of focusing on individual bond issues.

⁴⁴ "Find High-Interest School Bonds in Your District: A Five-Step Guide," *Voice of San Diego*, August 8, 2012, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://www.voiceofsandiego.org/investigations/find-high-interest-school-bonds-in-your-district-a-five-step-guide/>

⁴⁵ "Spreadsheet: Capital Appreciation Bonds," *Los Angeles Times*, November 28, 2012, accessed June 28, 2015, spreadsheets.latimes.com/capital-appreciation-bonds/

These claims generally echoed the arguments of parties involved in the preparation and sale of those bonds. These bond experts knew the obscure and complicated business of municipal bonds, but they also had a financial interest in seeing these bond sales continue.

- | |
|---|
| <p>6. <u>Reason for recommending CABs.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- CABs are being recommended as a flexibility measure. Because of tax rate limitations, utilizing CABs in the current issuance may balance the use of CABs in the bond program overall |
|---|

Tables A-5 and A-6 are comprehensive lists of arguments for and against Capital Appreciation Bonds, with rebuttals.

6. Tricks of the Trade: Questionable Behaviors with Bonds

Californians who want more spending on educational construction often express their resentment of a 2000 law limiting taxes and debt resulting from bond sales. It was passed in order to strengthen campaign arguments to voters in support of Proposition 39, which lowered the approval threshold for local bond measures from two-thirds to 55%. (See Table 11 in Section 5 for the limits.)

School districts have adopted several strategies to get around these limits in state law. One of them is very obscure but 100% successful: obtaining waivers from the State Board of Education.

Meanwhile, some districts are stretching legal definitions to use proceeds from bond sales to pay for items that resemble instructional material more than construction. One example is personal portable electronics such as iPads. Some of the state's largest districts are purchasing this kind of technology while giving little assurance to the public that long term bonds aren't the source of the money. This equipment may be obsolete well before the bonds mature, meaning that future generations will pay for these devices long after they are outdated and discarded.

Debt and Tax Limits Waived When School Districts Want to Borrow More Money

Research by the California Policy Center now allows the People of California to see — for the first time — a chart listing all California K-12 school district requests to the state for waivers to sell bonds for school construction. These waivers allow school districts to circumvent state laws enacted in conjunction with Proposition 39 and meant to set limits on taxes and debt burdens imposed on property owners.

State law (California Education Code Sections 3050-33053) allows the California Board of Education to grant waivers from numerous sections of the California Education Code, including bond indebtedness limitations. This power is obscure but significant, and until now a compilation of the history of bond indebtedness waivers has not been available to the public.

Out of the 51 waiver requests from 2000 through 2014, only one received notable public attention. In 2013, the fourth waiver request since 2002 from the West Contra Costa Unified School District became controversial when some local taxpayer activists and a columnist for the *Contra Costa Times* criticized the district⁴⁶ for repeatedly seeking waivers to borrow yet more money for construction through bond sales.

To develop a bond indebtedness waiver chart and provide the public with comprehensive information about the waivers, the California Policy Center obtained a document from the

⁴⁶ “Daniel Borenstein: Time to Slow West Contra Costa's Deceptive School Construction Program,” *Contra Costa Times*, March 1, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, www.contracostatimes.com/ci_22699375/daniel-borenstein-time-slow-west-contra-costas-deceptive

California Department of Education listing the bond indebtedness waivers granted by the California Board of Education since 2000. Staff indicated that this listing was an “internal working file and has not been reviewed or validated for accuracy.”⁴⁷

California Policy Center researchers checked the data, corrected inaccuracies, and expanded on the data using meeting agendas, staff reports, and meeting minutes. Now the public has a useful resource for considering public policy related to bond indebtedness waivers.

Table A3 provides complete history of school district requests to the California Board of Education for waivers from tax and debt limits to borrow more money for school construction by selling bonds to investors. Preliminary activity in the first three months of 2015 is also included.

Request a Waiver, Get a Waiver

From 2000 through 2014, California K-12 school districts requested 51 waivers from sections of the California Education Code that do one or both of the following:

1. Prohibit the total amount of bonds issued (the total amount of principal) from exceeding 1.25 percent or 2.50 percent of the most recent assessed aggregate value of taxable property in the district. (Elementary and high school districts have a 1.25% limit; unified school districts a 2.5% limit.)
2. Prohibit the total amount of bonds issued as authorized by one bond measure from requiring a property tax that exceeded \$30 or \$60 per year per one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) of taxable property. (Elementary and high school districts have a \$30 limit; unified school districts a \$60 limit.)

Out of these 51 waiver requests, school districts ended up withdrawing three of them. The State Board of Education approved all 48 other waiver requests, without one dissenting board vote.

The 100% approval rate for waiver requests is not surprising. In 2013, the State Board of Education took action on 518 waiver requests for all sections of the California Education Code and approved 97% of them. Under state law, the California Board of Education is generally obligated to grant such waivers as long as the request is submitted correctly and the waiver doesn't violate seven criteria specifically listed in state law:

1. The educational needs of the pupils are not adequately addressed.
2. The waiver affects a program that requires the existence of a schoolsite council and the schoolsite council did not approve the request.

⁴⁷ Stel Cordano, personal communication, March 5, 2015.

3. The appropriate councils or advisory committees, including bilingual advisory committees, did not have an adequate opportunity to review the request and the request did not include a written summary of any objections to the request by the councils or advisory committees.
4. Pupil or school personnel protections are jeopardized.
5. Guarantees of parental involvement are jeopardized.
6. The request would substantially increase state costs.
7. The exclusive representative of employees, if any...was not a participant in the development of the waiver.

None of those seven criteria relate to local fiscal policies, meaning there is no obvious justification in state law for the Board of Education to deny a waiver from state laws related to bond indebtedness. Nonetheless, the Board of Education has chosen to impose conditions on bond indebtedness waivers and sometimes incorporated changes from the original requests at the recommendation of California Department of Education personnel. But the Board of Education has also rejected recommendations from the Department of Education, most notably in 2013 when the board repeatedly rejected a staff recommendation that school districts applying for waivers should not be permitted to sell Capital Appreciation Bonds.

Case Study: West Contra Costa Unified School District

This school district based in Richmond is perhaps the most egregious example in California of unrestrained bond finance for a construction program. One individual was disproportionately responsible for leading the district to almost \$2 billion in debt service. It remains to be seen if his legacy will be as a hero for disadvantaged students in dilapidated schools or as a politician who undermined the district's always-tenuous fiscal stability.⁴⁸

During his time on the West Contra Costa Unified School District board of trustees from 1993 to 2014, Charles Ramsey claimed to work for the interests of students in this district. Ramsey played a leading role in the political deals and fundraising (through a Political Action Committee named "For the Children of West County")⁴⁹ needed to win voter approval of six out of eight bond measures proposed from 1998 through 2014. Those six successful bond measures authorized the district to borrow a total of \$1.63 billion via bond sales.

His emailed reaction after the school district received its fourth tax and debt waiver from the State Board of Education was typical:

⁴⁸ "Charles Ramsey's Legacy in the West Contra Costa School District," *Contra Costa Times*, December 12, 2014, accessed June 28, 2015, www.contracostatimes.com/richmond/ci_27126352/charles-ramseys-legacy-west-contra-costa-school-district

⁴⁹ <https://www.facebook.com/ForTheChildrenOfWestCounty>

...I did all I could to change the attitudes that constantly plague our community and tried to make the world a better place. No longer can people sit back and point fingers about us and now I can proudly say that WE DID IT!!!! Once again and now we can add Measure E to the list of successes with the debt limit waiver now applied to this phenomenal bond program. And once again, a loud and boisterous THANK YOU. For all of us and in the end it is all about the West Contra Costa Unified School District kids!!!⁵⁰

The district has debt service of \$1.83 billion and continues in 2015 to issue bonds that don't mature for 40 years. It has issued Capital Appreciation Bonds. It has requested four waivers from the State Board of Education to exceed tax and debt limits, even earning attention from local news media for abuse of this obscure process. In the context of reporting on the district's fourth waiver request, *Contra Costa Times* columnist Dan Borenstein asserted the following:

The West Contra Costa school district should slow its deceptive school construction program because it's pushing too much debt onto property owners and will soon exceed tax limits it promised voters...In their quest to rebuild or replace every school, district officials are moving too fast, behaving irresponsibly and overtaxing poor and working-class residents... District officials need to start thinking about property owners who foot the bill. New schools are nice, but they must also be affordable.

Borenstein also suggested that the campaigns for the bond measures were deceptive, both in general and in specifics: "Each time they went to the ballot, district officials presented that particular bond measure to voters as if it were the only one. They never mentioned the outstanding debt taxpayers already owed from prior measures." He also criticized a "buried" reference in a three-page long paragraph in a fourteen-page ballot statement stating that the district would have authority to seek a waiver from tax and debt limits cited elsewhere in the ballot material.

The US Securities and Exchange Commission has investigated financing of the district's bond program, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation has also made inquiries. A grand jury questioned Charles Ramsey about his relationship to corrupt officials involved with the bond-funded construction program at the Sweetwater Union High School District in Chula Vista.⁵¹


There have been continual questions about the district's management of its construction program over its history. Frequent change orders, cost overruns, and unusually high construction costs per square foot have attracted many critics over the years. In addition, enrollment at the West Contra

⁵⁰ "Last Impediment Removed for WCCUSD Bond Program to be Completed," City of Richmond Councilmember Tom Butt, May 10, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, www.tombutt.com/forum/2013/1305010.htm

⁵¹ "Grand Jury Proceedings in *State of California v. Alioto et al.*, December 6, 2012," *San Diego Union-Tribune*, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://media.utsandiego.com/news/documents/2013/06/07/Volume18.pdf>

West Contra Costa Unified School District Bond Measures

| Amount Proposed to Borrow | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Amount Issued | Authorized But Not Issued | Principal Outstanding 2/1/2015 | Interest if No Refunding | Debt Service | Date of Election | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | No | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------|
| \$40,000,000 | \$40,000,000 | \$40,000,000 | \$0 | \$20,360,000 | \$8,429,029 | \$28,789,029 | 1998-06-02 | E | 66.7 | 32,895 | 10,416 | 43,311 | 76.0% | Pass |
| \$150,000,000 | \$150,000,000 | \$150,000,000 | \$0 | \$92,340,000 | \$38,606,913 | \$130,946,913 | 2000-11-07 | M | 66.7 | 53,918 | 15,697 | 69,615 | 77.5% | Pass |
| \$300,000,000 | \$300,000,000 | \$299,997,483 | \$2,517 | \$235,502,597 | \$286,933,614 | \$522,436,211 | 2002-03-05 | D | 55 | 24,391 | 9,567 | 33,958 | 71.8% | Pass |
| \$42,000,000 | | | | | | | 2003-09-16 | C | 66.7 | 11,444 | 7,923 | 19,367 | 59.1% | Fail |
| \$400,000,000 | \$400,000,000 | \$322,409,708 | \$77,590,292 | \$312,854,708 | \$410,606,221 | \$723,460,929 | 2005-11-08 | J | 55 | 30,013 | 22,776 | 52,789 | 56.9% | Pass |
| \$380,000,000 | \$380,000,000 | \$105,000,000 | \$275,000,000 | \$124,345,000 | \$136,375,388 | \$260,720,388 | 2010-06-08 | D | 55 | 23,014 | 13,740 | 36,754 | 62.6% | Pass |
| \$360,000,000 | \$360,000,000 | \$120,000,000 | \$240,000,000 | \$77,250,000 | \$86,882,813 | \$164,132,813 | 2012-11-06 | E | 55 | 50,266 | 27,794 | 78,060 | 64.4% | Pass |
| \$270,000,000 | | | | | | | 2014-06-03 | H | 55 | 13,529 | 15,686 | 29,215 | 46.3% | Fail |
| \$1,942,000,000 | \$1,630,000,000 | \$1,037,407,191 | \$592,592,809 | \$862,652,305 | \$967,833,976 | \$1,830,486,281 | | | | 239,470 | 123,599 | 363,069 | 66.0% | |



Costa Unified School District is expected to decline.

Finally, one corporation — Chevron — owns 11.62% of the assessed valuation of property in the district. Chevron’s refinery operation in Richmond is perpetually targeted by environmental and social justice groups, some of which hope to close it down. Although the district is vulnerable to a future drop in assessed valuations, the closing of the Chevron refinery would be a financial disaster for the West Contra Costa Unified School District.

Borrowing Money for Technology Using Bonds

California community college districts and school districts continually claim funding shortfalls for operating expenses. Yet it’s easier for an educational district to get voter authorization to borrow money for construction than it is to get voter approval to impose a parcel tax to obtain supplemental revenue.

This tempts some districts to stretch credulity and declare that voters authorized bond proceeds to be spent on items tenuously related to building a school and providing it with furniture and equipment. And despite the many protections in Proposition 39, sometimes the application of bond funds to operating expenses cannot be prevented.

The Los Angeles Unified School District and the San Diego Unified School District have borrowed money through bond sales and used the proceeds to buy portable electronic tablets for

students. These districts rationalize the practice by claiming that voters consented to it when they approved Proposition 39 in 2000 and then subsequently approved local district bond measures.

Recently several districts have asked voters to approve Ed-Tech Bonds[®],⁵² a trademarked arrangement of bond sales marketed by Dale Scott & Company that permit a district to issue an ongoing series of new short-term bond issues to pay for technology that becomes outdated after a few years. Voters seem to support the idea of using money borrowed from short-term bonds for technology, as long as the district explains the concept openly and establishes reasonable limits.

But the Los Angeles Unified School District and the San Diego Unified School District have used construction bond proceeds to buy iPads for students to use in the classroom and even take home. Because the useful life of these devices is limited, these school boards may be making a fiscally irresponsible policy decision when financing their purchases with borrowed money from bond sales.

Why Any Educational District Can Borrow Money Via Bond Sales and Use It to Buy iPads

No group or individual has put forward a strong legal argument for the idea that school districts cannot use Proposition 39 bond proceeds to buy personal portable electronic devices. In contrast, the arguments are strong for the idea that voters gave educational districts the authority to do this, as long as the districts don't stray too far from the purposes of Proposition 39.

Perhaps the most notorious example of questionable use of bond funds is the ill-fated Common Core Technology Project Plan at the Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD). The former superintendent proposed that funds from Measure R and Measure Y would be used for the first phase of a program to buy electronic tablets (specifically, iPads produced by Apple, Inc.) for students to take home. The iPads would come with software developed by another company.

On September 7, 2012, a law firm provided the superintendent with a memorandum entitled "Use of Los Angeles Unified School District Measures R, Y and Q General Obligation Bond Proceeds for Certain Costs Related to the District's Common Core Technology Project Plan."⁵³ It asserted that borrowed money from bond sales authorized by measures that comply with Proposition 39 could be used for (1) costs of acquiring electronic tablets, (2) costs of acquiring hardware and installing software, and even (3) costs of hiring "technology specialists who will train the District's internal technology support teams to operate and maintain the Common Core Technology project, and technology adoption trainers who will train other school site trainers."

⁵² "Ed-Tech Bonds," Dale Scott & Company, accessed June 28, 2015, www.dalescott.com/what-we-do-2/ed-tech-bonds/

⁵³ "Use of Los Angeles Unified School District Measures R, Y and Q General Obligation Bond Proceeds for Certain Costs Related to the District's Common Core Technology Project Plan," Los Angeles Unified School District, September 7, 2012, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://bit.ly/1KplzTM>

The memo also exposed a oversight in the Proposition 39 language:

There is no statutory definition of many of the terms used in Proposition 39, such as what constitutes the construction, replacement or furnishing and equipping of school facilities for Proposition 39 purposes. Thus, there is no controlling legal authority expressly stating whether costs related to the Common Core Technology Project would constitute the construction, replacement or furnishing and equipping of school facilities for purposes of Proposition 39...the District should remain aware that there is no controlling legal authority expressly stating what constitutes the “equipping of school facilities” for purposes of Proposition 39. The provisions of Proposition 39 relating to the expenditure of general obligation bond proceeds for equipping of school facilities have not been interpreted by any court or other legal authority and, to our knowledge, are not pending before any court.

In practice, educational districts and their bond financial advisors were setting the standards:

Broad agreement, however exists among issuers of general obligation bonds and their advisors that costs directly connected to the construction, acquisition, equipping and furnishing of school facilities may properly be paid from proceeds from the sale of Proposition 39 Bonds, if such costs (i) are “capitalizable” under generally accepted accounting principles applied in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California School Accounting Manual, and (ii) constitute construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, replacement, furnishing or equipping costs of a project listed on one or more bond measures passed pursuant to Proposition 39.

In effect, the parties getting the borrowed money and getting the transaction fees for the bond sales have determined how that money should be properly spent.

Los Angeles Unified School District Defies Criticism, Pushes Forward

Despite this memo, the LAUSD superintendent’s plan to use borrowed money to buy iPads with installed software generated controversy across the political spectrum. For example, both the United Teachers of Los Angeles (the teachers’ union) and the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association objected to it. Opponents claimed that voters never had an expectation that the bond measures would allow LAUSD to borrow money to buy personal student iPads with software. In addition, a rumor spread that LAUSD would use the proceeds of long-term bonds to buy technology only useful for a few years at most.

While LAUSD officials denied that they were going to sell long-term bonds for iPads, this argument gained attention from news media and inspired ridicule among critics. The same criticism also gained a following against the San Diego Unified School District. And the critics had a point: there was nothing in state law to prevent a school district from selling a 40-year Capital Appreciation Bond to buy an iPad with a lifespan of three years.

A major force in slowing down the rush to adopt this Common Core Technology Project at LAUSD was the district's Citizens Bond Oversight Committee, established at LAUSD even before Proposition 39 was enacted. After significant deliberation and disagreement, this committee expressed numerous concerns but concluded that the district could legally buy portable electronic devices using funds that voters approved the district to borrow via bond sales authorized in a ballot measure that complied with Proposition 39.

This conclusion was stated in a November 18, 2013 letter from the Bond Oversight Committee Information Technology Task Force to the LAUSD School Construction Bond Citizens' Oversight Committee:

On November 15, 2013, after months of discussions with the Office of the General Counsel ("OGC") and many requests for a legal opinion supporting the District's use of bond funds to pay for the majority of costs associated with the CCTP, the OGC issued a written reasoned memorandum opinion stating, among other things, that after performing its due diligence, including, but not limited to, conducting several telephone meetings with and reviewing past communications from the District's bond counsel, in the OGC's opinion there is legal support for the use of bond funds to purchase devices such as tablets for the purpose of equipping schools with those devices, to purchase the software packages to be used on the devices, and to allow students, teachers and staff to take the devices home. We find this opinion acceptable.⁵⁴

This memo is once again referenced in a letter from the head of the Bond Oversight Committee to a retired superintendent of the Los Angeles Unified School District:

Our own review of the CCTP has led us to conclude that the District's actions to date are legal and not imprudent, and we have been assured by the District that the repayment period for the bonds used to finance this project will be reasonably related to the expected life of the assets purchased...we had requested, received, and closely reviewed a letter from the Office of the General Counsel to the District ("OGC") setting out the reasoned basis for its opinion that bond funds may be used to purchase devices such as tablets for the purpose of equipping schools with those devices, to purchase the software packages to be used on the devices, and to allow students, teachers and staff to take the devices home.⁵⁵

The letter from the head of the Bond Oversight Committee to the retired LAUSD superintendent also anticipated arguments soon to be advanced by the California Legislative Counsel:

⁵⁴ "The District's Common Core Technology Project ("CCTP") Phase 2 Proposal," Los Angeles Unified School District, November 18, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://bit.ly/1BQ3v1U>

⁵⁵ Letter from the Bond Oversight Committee Chair to William J. Johnston, Los Angeles Unified School District, February 14, 2014, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://bit.ly/1LxtRZ5>

1. Measure Q did not mention iPads as something that bond proceeds would buy because the ballot measure was passed in November 2008 and iPads were not available to the public until April 2010. It is not possible for a bond measure to anticipate specific technological devices before they are available.
2. The Measure Q bond project list states that bond funds may be used for computer and communications projects, including, but not limited to “hardware and software for information-technology applications” undertaken at some or all of the District’s schools and associated facilities.
3. LAUSD has been using bond funds for technology, including computers in the classroom, since after voters approved Proposition BB in 1997. In addition, voters were aware from the language in the ballot statements that bond proceeds would be used for technology.

On April 19, 2015, the *Los Angeles Times* reported that the Securities and Exchange Commission has opened an informal inquiry into LAUSD practices because the district did not mention in its Official Statements that bond proceeds would be used to purchase iPads.⁵⁶

The California Legislature Turns Its Attention to the Practice

In 2014, Assemblyman Curt Hagman introduced Assembly Bill 1754⁵⁷ in response to public criticism about using bond proceeds for personal portable technology. Under his bill, educational districts would have been prohibited from borrowing money from the sale of bonds authorized by measures passed under the criteria of Proposition 39 to purchase portable electronic devices, including laptops and tablets, unless the technology was closely connected to instruction within the classroom, was not assigned to individual students, and was not permitted to leave the school site for more than one school day.

AB 1754 also would have prohibited educational districts from using bond proceeds to buy basic or supplemental instructional materials. To supplement his bill, Hagman asked the Joint Legislative Audit Committee to hold an oversight hearing to review the LAUSD iPad program. In his letter to the committee asking for an audit, Hagman wrote that “there have been

⁵⁶ “SEC Launches Informal Inquiry into LAUSD’s Use of Bonds for iPads,” *Los Angeles Times*, April 19, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, www.latimes.com/local/education/la-me-laUSD-ipads-inquiry-20150417-story.html

⁵⁷ “Text - AB 1754 School Bonds: Portable Electronic Devices and Instructional Materials,” California Legislative Information, April 24, 2014, accessed June 28, 2015, http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201320140AB1754&search_keywords=

discussions on the legality of using 25-year construction bond money to purchase the iPads (which have a lifespan of only 3-5 years).”⁵⁸

The audit committee hearing did not happen. And the bill failed to pass out of committee despite no opposition votes. Three committee members voted for it and four others did not vote.

While this bill was under consideration, the California Legislative Counsel produced a letter defending the use of Proposition 39 bond money to buy laptops and tablets. It asserted that Proposition 39 generally allows an educational district to buy portable electronic devices using funds that voters approved the district to borrow via bond sales authorized in a ballot measure that complied with Proposition 39.⁵⁹

The letter provided three major reasons why educational districts can do this:

1. Language in Proposition 39 states that bond proceeds may be used to provide access to information technology and specifically cites computers and the Internet. In addition, the argument in favor of Proposition 39 contained in the ballot pamphlet shows that voters were aware that bond proceeds would give schools access to information technology.
2. “Furnishing and equipping” cited in Proposition 39 includes the purchase of goods that satisfy the broad purposes of the law. It is incorrect to narrowly interpret Proposition 39 as authorizing the sale of bonds only for construction activities that support information technology access.
3. Courts reasonably regard laws as somewhat flexible to reflect changing conditions over time. Under this principle, a court would likely construe Proposition 39 to authorize the use of bond proceeds to purchase technological devices not in existence or wide use at the time of its passage, such as laptops and electronic tablets, so long as the intended use of those devices is similar to the use of desktop computers and is otherwise consistent with the purposes of the proposition. It is incorrect to narrowly interpret Proposition 39 rigidly limiting purchases of technology to desktop computers because that’s what voters recognized as technology when they approved the ballot measure in 2000.

Even Defenders of the Practice Admit a Need for Limits

⁵⁸ Letter of Assemblyman Curt Hagman to California Joint Legislative Audit Committee, October 17, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, laborissuessolutions.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/2013-10-17-Hagman-Letter-to-Joint-Legislative-Audit-Cmte-LAUDS-iPad-investigation.pdf

⁵⁹ Letter of California Legislative Counsel to Assemblywoman Joan Buchanan, April 1, 2014, accessed June 28, 2015, media.utsandiego.com/news/documents/2014/05/09/LegCounseliPads.pdf

Are laptops and tablets analogous to desktop computers that were the prevalent technology in schools when voters approved Proposition 39 in 2000? Some would argue that laptops and tablets are more like textbooks than furniture or equipment.

Even more questionable is the software installed in the laptops or tablets. Can software be defined as “equipment” if it is bought together as a package with the hardware?

Another question: Is it proper to use bond proceeds to pay for personnel who train others to use the laptops or tablets and the software that comes installed in them?

Finally, does federal law allow a local government to issue long-term tax-exempt bonds to buy or replace items with an approximate three-year lifespan?

In 2015, Assemblyman Scott Wilk introduced Assembly Bill 882,⁶⁰ which was originally a similar effort to Assemblyman Hagman’s Assembly Bill 1754 (in 2014) to stamp out the use of bond proceeds for portable electronic devices. But unlike Hagman’s bill, Wilk’s bill passed out of its first committee and moved through the Assembly. The bill was approved after Assemblyman Wilk agreed to an amendment requested by California’s Coalition for Adequate School Housing (C.A.S.H.), a primary backer of educational construction bonds.

As of July 14, 2015, Assembly Bill 882 declares that the term of a bond used for the purposes of furnishing and equipping classrooms, including purchasing electronic equipment, shall not exceed 120 percent of the average reasonably expected economic life of the furnishings and equipment. CASH says this provision conforms the practice to federal tax rules.

Assembly Bill 882 also clarifies that portable electronic devices, including laptops and tablets, may be purchased with Proposition 39 bond funds only for the equipping of school facilities and be used for instruction-related purposes in school facilities. Those devices cannot be assigned to individual pupils or removed from the school site on a daily basis.

Even if Assembly Bill 882 is enacted into law, it would only apply to bonds approved by voters after January 1, 2016. LAUSD and the San Diego Unified School District would still be able to fund their programs with bond proceeds, as long as voters allowed them to continue it.

Clarifying the Definition of “Furnishing and Equipment” in Proposition 39

Technology is not the only ambiguous aspect of “furnishings and equipment.” Board meeting agendas at the West Contra Costa Unified School District routinely include consent items to spend money to move equipment and furniture with proceeds of bond sales. Is this a prudent way

⁶⁰ “Text - AB 882 School Bonds: Term of Bonds: Furnishing and Equipping Classrooms,” California Legislative Information, June 25, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160AB882&search_keywords=

to spend borrowed money that must be paid back over years, with interest? It's another example of a school or college district taking advantage of a vague provision in state law.

***CI C.20 Furniture, Fixtures & Equipment Agreement between WCCUSD and Contrax Furnishings for Classroom Furniture & Related Services (Sylvester Greenwood Academy / LPS Campus Replacement Project)**

Comment:
 The construction of the new Sylvester Greenwood Academy / LPS Campus is nearing completion and will be ready for August 2015 occupancy. The District needs classroom furniture, case goods, seating, office accessories and related services and solutions ("Furniture and Products") in order to furnish the school. The District intends to purchase the Furniture and Products through the piggybackable Master Contract ("TCPN Contract") between Contrax Furnishings ("Contrax") and the Cooperative Purchasing Network ("TCPN"). The TCPN Contract was awarded after a competitive solicitation and selection process and contains a "piggyback" provision pursuant to section 20118 of the California Public Contract Code. Pursuant to this piggyback provision, the District may purchase the Furniture and Products on the same terms, conditions and pricing as TCPN.

The total value for all Furniture and Products purchased pursuant to the Agreement shall be \$1,341,788.87. The price includes all furniture, material, delivery, incidental installation and work necessary in order to provide access to power and/or data through the furniture. The District shall pay for the Furniture and Products individually after each item is delivered to and installed in the Sylvester Greenwood Academy / LPS New Campus.

Recommendation:
 Approve the FF&E Agreement between the WCCUSD and Contrax Furnishings for classroom furniture and related incidental services at Sylvester Greenwood Academy / LPS New Campus.

Fiscal Impact:
 \$1,341,788.87 Funded from Bond Fund.

***CI C.22 Ratification of Staff Awarded Contract: Coronado Elementary School Moving Services**

Comment:
 The construction of the new Coronado Elementary School is nearing completion and will be ready for August 2015 occupancy. The next step for the District is to procure moving services from the interim campus to the new campus. The District has prepared plans and specifications for the project. Scope of work includes: Moving Administration and staff office records, files and miscellaneous items, storage items, library books and other printed material, art and science classroom equipment, classroom educational material and books, sporting equipment, musical instruments, and all other labeled miscellaneous items from the existing interim campus portables at the Coronado ES Interim Campus site to designated rooms in the new campus at the Coronado Elementary School Site.

The District conducted a public bid process for the project. Proposals were opened on April 30, 2015.

Contract completion is anticipated in July 2015.

Recommendation:
 Ratify the award to the lowest responsive, responsible firm at the end of the bid protest period.

Fiscal Impact:
 \$TBD. Funded from Bond Fund.

7. The System Is Skewed to Pass Bond Measures

Considering the advantages that supporters have in preparing and campaigning for a bond measure, perhaps it's noteworthy that voters reject about 20% of local bond measures for educational construction. At every stage of the process, interests that will benefit from bond sales can take advantage of a system that favors passage of a bond measure. Some issues of concern include use of public funds to develop campaigns to pass bond measures, significant political contributions to campaigns from interests likely to benefit from construction, involvement of college foundations as intermediaries for campaign contributions, and conflicts of interest and alleged pay-to-play contracts.

It's Not "Tough" Anymore to Pass Local Bond Measures for School and College Districts

Voters in 2000 who read the ballot argument in favor of Proposition 39 would have seen supporters claim that it would "require bonds to be passed by a tough 55% super-majority vote." Perhaps a 55% threshold could be described as "tough" compared to approval by a simple majority of 50% plus one, but it certainly hasn't meant passage is difficult to achieve in practice. Four out of five bond measures proposed under the criteria of Proposition 39 win voter approval. (See Section 3 for more information.)

Supporters might argue that the 80% voter approval rate for construction bond measures qualified under Proposition 39 simply reflects the view of a substantial percentage of Californians that school and community college districts need new and modernized facilities. But these views don't develop in a vacuum.

An industry of campaign consultants helps educational districts to convince voters to approve bond measures. They have developed a formula that generally results in victory. Here are some of the most obvious tactics used to achieve that success rate of 80 percent.

Using Public Funds to Hire a Consultant for Voter Research That Is Subsequently Useful in the Election Campaign to Pass the Bond Measure

Many Californians would be astonished to learn that school and community college districts can use funds from their operating budget to develop a strategy to pass a bond measure. Yet this practice is common — and legal.

California law prohibits community college districts and K-12 school districts from using public funds or resources to campaign in support or opposition to bond measures. Education Code Section 7054 states "No school district or community college district funds, services, supplies, or equipment shall be used for the purpose of urging the support or defeat of any ballot measure..."

However, these same public resources CAN be used to provide information to the public about the possible effects of any bond issue or other ballot measure, as long as that information constitutes a fair and impartial presentation of relevant facts to aid the electorate in reaching an informed judgment regarding the bond issue or ballot measure.

A 2005 opinion from California Attorney General Bill Lockyer⁶¹ confirmed that it is legal for a college district (and a school district) to use district funds to hire a consultant to conduct surveys and establish focus groups to assess the following important conditions for a campaign:

1. The potential support and opposition to a bond measure, by gathering information and evaluating the potential for the adoption of a bond measure by the electorate.
2. The public's awareness of the district's financial needs.
3. The overall feasibility of developing a bond measure that could win voter approval.

According to the Attorney General, this is not “partisan campaigning.”

Of course, this professional research and analysis — paid for by taxpayers — puts a school or college district at a significant advantage for a bond measure campaign. Consultants determine which words and arguments are most effective in motivating various demographic groups in the district to vote for a bond measure. Consultants also determine which arguments would be most effective for opponents of a bond measure and how the school district can neutralize those arguments.

Further research is needed to reveal how often a “feasibility study” concludes that a bond measure is *not* “feasible.” Considering that the firm evaluating the feasibility of a bond measure may often be seeking future contracts with the district or the campaign committee, there may be a conscious or subconscious inclination to manipulate the survey questions or the results to obtain a deceptively positive recommendation. In his book *Win Win: An Insider's Guide to School Bonds*,⁶² Dale Scott of Dale Scott & Company cites a case in which he suspects a consulting firm had self-interested motivations when it recommended that a school board place a bond measure on a June primary ballot rather than a November presidential ballot with an apparent better chance of passage. Voters rejected the bond measure.

Considering that voters approve about 80% of educational bond measures at the 55% voter approval threshold, cynics would argue the real purpose of surveys isn't to determine "feasibility"

⁶¹ “Opinion No. 04-211,” Legal Opinions of the Attorney General, April 5, 2011, accessed June 28, 2015, oag.ca.gov/system/files/opinions/pdfs/04-211.pdf

⁶² *Win Win: An Insider's Guide to School Bonds*, Dale Scott & Company, accessed June 28, 2015, www.dalescott.com/dscpublishing

Table 14: Preparation for a Bond Measure That CAN Be Funded and Coordinated with Public Resources

| Stage | Actions |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Planning | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Begin planning 6 to 18 months in advance • Identify all potential project(s), costs • Identify unique local problems and opportunities • Review news clips, background information • Identify those factors that make your needs urgent • Develop a specific, strategic plan - seek demonstrable citizen input on it • Allocate funding to assess/educate your public • Retain professional expertise/advisors: communications strategists, outside financial advisors (if needed), survey research/polling firms, outside legal counsel where needed |
| Survey Research | <p>Conduct Public Opinion research to identify: popular project(s), issues, messages; problematic project(s), issues, messages; areas where the electorate needs more education/information, persuasive arguments, feasibility/election timing, “base” and “swing” audiences for future communication efforts</p> |
| Feasibility | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluate Baseline Results • Recommendations are made on message refinement, strategy, public education, election timing |
| Non-Partisan Public Information | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop/Implement Non-partisan Communications • Organizing and Public Information Plan to build public awareness, support of needs • Develop and market specifics of Expenditure Plan (if applicable to funding mechanism) • Implement Communications: NON-advocacy, Information ONLY • Implement direct mail program to key audiences • Conduct Speakers Bureau to internal and external audiences • Develop strategies for specific advocacy groups, opinion leaders, and earned media • Engage your public through a a Citizens’ Advisory Committee • Conduct “tracking poll” to assess changes in support, prior to action to place measure on the ballot • If feasible, act to place measure on the ballot |

Table 15: Preparation for a Bond Measure That CANNOT Be Funded and Coordinated with Public Resources

| Stage | Actions |
|-------------------|--|
| Partisan Campaign | <p>(A Public Agency cannot, at any time, engage in a partisan campaign. After placing the measure on the ballot, the agency must step away and transfer authority to a volunteer campaign committee, who can carry out the remainder of the tasks listed below. These bullets are included in this list for informational purposes only.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Initiate Committee prior to action to place measure on ballot • Write/submit Ballot Argument • Develop Partisan Campaign Strategy, Timeline & Budget • Designate Fundraising Goals/Raise resources to be successful • Identify/Secure Key Endorsements • Produce Direct Mail • Develop Campaign materials such as Fact Sheets • Conduct Early outreach to Permanent Absentee Voters • Identify number of supporters • Compel supporters to Vote by Mail • Implement rapid response to applied absentees • Conduct Persuasion of undecideds • Implement aggressive “Field Operations” • Run nightly phone banks for 8 – 10 weeks • Door to door walking on weekends • Use Earned (nonpaid) Media • “Get-Out-the-Vote” • WIN! |

but to use public funds to develop election campaign strategy. Based on promotional material of firms that specialize in feasibility studies for bond measures, the argument is valid.

Here's an excerpt from a consulting firm's website about how information from taxpayer-funded surveys can be used to improve the chance of election victory:

...an initial baseline survey can determine the overall feasibility and voter acceptance of a bond or parcel tax measure at different funding levels. It can test how voters respond to different versions of the ballot title and summary, and — through analysis of respondent demographics and past voting patterns — it can help determine which election calendar promises the greatest likelihood of success. The same survey can also determine the effectiveness of the rationales and arguments that might be offered for and against a bond or parcel tax measure, thus helping shape the communications themes that will explain how the

measure addresses voters' concerns... [Name of firm] works with its clients to perfect ballot language and voter pamphlet arguments, using our empirical data to guide our advice.⁶³

A second example:

Public opinion research is critical to packaging a revenue measure for success. School districts can maximize the dollars that they raise through general obligation bonds, Proposition 39 bonds, and parcel taxes by collecting pertinent voter opinion data and using this information to solicit support. [Name of firm] can help maximize your measure's potential by providing accurate and reliable results... We provide both qualitative and quantitative research services in the following areas:

- Assessing baseline support for revenue measures
- Identifying the highest achievable tax threshold and total bond amounts
- Determining the arguments and features of the measure that will increase support
- Evaluating the need and content for a public information campaign
- Determining the best election in which to place the measure on the ballot
- Packaging a measure for success⁶⁴

A third example:

[Name of firm] understands that the research can be the first step not only in determining the feasibility of a potential revenue measure, but also in bringing together the various stakeholders and constituencies that will need to be involved and supportive in order for any ballot measure to be successful. We know that the issues facing the District do not exist in a vacuum and must be put into the context of the current political and cultural environment in the District. The voter opinion survey presents the District with an opportunity to hear from the administration, teachers, staff, Board, and other community stakeholders about their priorities. Involving key stakeholders in the research design leads to confidence in the research findings and helps ensure that the parties who are integral to a ballot measure's success are on board and on the same page.⁶⁵

Even items scheduled on board meeting agendas to hire the consultant and then to review the survey results create a positive news opportunity for bond measure proponents. At this early

⁶³ "School District Services," Fairbank, Maslin, Maullin, Metz & Associates (FM3), accessed June 28, 2015, www.fm3research.com/services/School_District_Services

⁶⁴ "Public Opinion Research for Today's School Districts," Godbe Research, accessed June 28, 2015, www.godberesearch.com/level2/pdf/School_BR_2006.pdf

⁶⁵ "Approve TBWB Strategies/EMC Research Consulting Proposal to Conduct a Parcel Tax Feasibility Study (Phase 1 Only)," Soquel Union Elementary School District November 7, 2012, accessed June 28, 2015, www.soqueldo.santacruz.k12.ca.us/board_agendas/board_packet110712.pdf

stage in the process, potential opponents usually have not emerged to present a different perspective. And a finding of measurable strong support portrays a bond measure as something already broadly supported by community, thus convincing undecided individuals and organizations that the bond measure is worthy of support and discouraging individuals and organizations that might be inclined to oppose it.

Public Resources Used to Win a Bond Measure

A consulting firm for school bond measures has developed a “Finance Measure Checklist for Success”⁶⁶ (see Tables 14 and 15) that outlines five steps for victory. A school district can fund and coordinate four of the five steps with public resources. Only the fifth and final step requires the district to “step away” from explicit political campaigning and pass primary responsibility to a separate political entity, such as a Political Action Committee.

By the time the “partisan campaign” begins, the community college district or K-12 school district has spent a year or longer obtaining polling data, alerting voters directly and through the news media to the need for school construction, and refining campaign themes and messages. A taxpayer-funded effort to pass it has been well underway, without a cent of money raised or spent by a campaign committee. Already the proponents have an advantage over any opposition to the bond measure.

Comparing the Election Campaigns of Supporters and Opponents

There is an existing network of professional political consultants who are experienced in establishing a campaign committee, collecting corporate campaign contributions, and communicating with voters using an effective message developed from the results of the district's feasibility study. Political campaigning is a business, and fierce competition forces consulting firms to build and maintain a reputation for winning. Meanwhile, professional campaign vendors are ready to design, print, and mail campaign material. Endorsements can be quickly obtained from political, business, and community leaders. Participants in phone banks and precinct walkers can be recruited and even paid if a financial incentive is necessary.

In addition, potential district contractors are able to promote school bond measures through California's Coalition for Adequate School Housing (C.A.S.H.),⁶⁷ whose membership “contains over 1,500 school districts, county offices and private sector businesses, including architects, attorneys, consultants, construction managers, financial institutions, modular building manufacturers, contractors, developers, and others that are in the school facilities

⁶⁶ “Finance Measure Checklist for Success,” Lew Edwards Group, accessed June 28, 2015, www.lewedwardsgroup.com/services/finance-checklist.html

⁶⁷ <https://www.cashnet.org>

industry...C.A.S.H. has sponsored or supported over \$52 billion in statewide school bonds to build and/or modernize thousands of schools.”

Contrast this to the typical opposition to a bond measure. Often there aren't any formal opponents. Sometimes the opposition consists of a few individuals known in the community as gadflies or anti-tax or libertarian activists. Opposition can gain more credibility if there is an existing local community or taxpayer organization that provides a formal forum for fiscal critics to meet and strategize. That organization is almost always more effective if it employs full-time professional staff responsible to a board of directors.

In rare cases there is a well-funded opposition campaign backed by local business leaders and interest groups and run by professional political consultants. One example of this was opposition to Measure Z for the San Diego Unified School District⁶⁸ in the November 2012 election.

Potential opponents must regularly monitor local news sources and the meeting agendas of local educational districts to know when an elected governing board is considering a bond measure and passes a resolution putting a bond measure on the ballot. Sometimes the board does this immediately before the legal deadline, thus providing very little time for opponents to respond before the election.

Concerned parties must meet to consider the bond measure and determine an appropriate position. Someone needs to know or obtain the various laws concerning the submission of an opposing argument in the ballot pamphlet, and someone needs to write the opposing argument and go through the process of getting group approval of the text. It needs to be submitted on time and in compliance with often-technical legal requirements. A few people in the organization must volunteer to write commentaries or letters to the editor of the local newspaper, and then follow through with the promise. Some people may chip in some money from their small businesses or personal savings to order some lawn signs, which have to be designed, approved, printed, and distributed.



⁶⁸ “Tax Group to Oppose San Diego School Bonds,” *San Diego Union-Tribune*, September 5, 2012, accessed June 28, 2015, www.utsandiego.com/news/2012/sep/05/tax-group-to-oppose-prop-z/

Nonetheless, bond measures do fail almost 20% of the time despite the organizational and financial advantages of supporters. A 2003 report from the Public Policy Institute of California noted that big urban school districts in the San Francisco Bay Area and the Los Angeles area with high numbers of registered Democrat voters tended to propose more bond measures and win voter approval of those bond measures more often than smaller districts in rural areas, such as the Central Valley.⁶⁹ This pattern appears to continue through 2014.

In some large urban school districts in California, especially in the San Francisco Bay Area, bond measures always win easily and opposition seems futile. As long as these districts don't propose bonds too frequently, they rarely have to worry about opposition.

Top Donors Are Current or Potential Contractors for Finance and Construction

Generally, the public has poor access to records concerning the contributions to and expenditures of campaigns to pass bond measures. In some counties the campaign forms must be obtained in person and are provided as photocopies. Other counties have electronic databases that simply link to scanned documents. Trying to compile or analyze campaign finance patterns would be a tedious undertaking.

Nevertheless, compilations of contributors to four campaigns to pass five bond measures in November 2012⁷⁰ suggest that what is commonly assumed is accurate: these campaigns are mostly funded by companies likely to earn money from the proceeds of those bond sales.

Community College Foundations Entangled in Controversy

Table 16: Categories of Major Donors to Campaigns to Pass Bond Measures

| |
|---|
| Construction management firms |
| Law firms involved with bond sales |
| Architectural firms |
| Engineering firms |
| Construction contractors |
| Construction trade unions |
| Union-affiliated labor-management committees |
| Bond underwriters |
| Community college foundations (for community college bond measures) |
| Charter school advocacy groups |

⁶⁹ “Fiscal Effects of Voter Approval Requirements on Local Governments,” Public Policy Institute of California, January 27, 2003, accessed June 28, 2015, www.ppic.org/content/pubs/report/R_103KRR.pdf

⁷⁰ The districts were Sacramento City Unified School District (Measures Q and R), Solano Community College District (Measure Q), West Contra Costa Unified School District (Measure E), and San Diego Unified School District (Measure Z), with tables posted and accessed on June 28, 2015 at www.LaborIssuesSolutions.com

A 2005 opinion of the California Attorney General⁷¹ (also referenced above in relation to bond underwriters and campaigns) determined that a community college district's auxiliary organizations (such as foundations and student body associations) are legally able to contribute their own privately raised funds to a political action committee established specifically to advocate voter approval of a bond measure. It is routine to see community college foundations contributing to bond campaigns. Like any 501(c)3 non-profit, college foundations are permitted to spend up to 20% of expenditures for influencing legislation, and that includes bond measures.

Controversy arose about this practice in 2004 after the Sierra College Foundation contributed about \$100,000 to three bond measure campaigns for the Sierra Community College District. Neither the Political Action Committees nor the Sierra College Foundation reported the contributions to the California Fair Political Practices Commission.⁷²

At least two board members alleged that the college president, who estimated making 40 presentations to groups of prospective donors, had tried to hide the identities of contributors to the bond measure campaigns (including architects and engineering firms) using the Foundation as an intermediary. These board members also believed that people interested in contributing to the bond campaign were advised to make their contributions to the Foundation instead of the bond measure campaign committee in order to benefit from a tax deduction. The Placer County Civil Grand Jury ended up concluding there wasn't any reliable evidence to support these accusations against the college president, but the incident exposed some of the potential problems with college foundations acting as a intermediary to fund campaigns to pass bond measures.

Alleged “Pay-to-Play” by Some Bond Underwriters Gets Attention

In the spring of 2012, there was a flurry of news media attention about some bond underwriters making contributions to campaigns for bond measures and subsequently making money through issuance fees as the underwriter for the bond sales. The news article that broke the story reported the following:

Leading financial firms over the past five years donated \$1.8 million to successful school bond measures in California, and in almost every instance, school district officials hired those same underwriters to sell the bonds for a profit, a *California Watch* review has found. The

⁷¹ “Opinion No. 04-211,” Legal Opinions of the Attorney General, April 5, 2005, accessed June 28, 2015, oag.ca.gov/system/files/opinions/pdfs/04-211.pdf

⁷² “Final Report: Refutation of Trustee’s Charges Against Former Sierra College President,” Placer County Civil Grand Jury, March 21, 2006, accessed June 28, 2015, www.placer.courts.ca.gov/grandjury/2005-2006/2005-2006-gjfinalreport.pdf

practice is especially pronounced in California, where underwriters gave 155 political contributions since 2007 to successful bond campaigns for school construction and repairs.⁷³

Under an amendment to Rule G-37, adopted in 2010, the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB) requires each broker, dealer or municipal securities dealer to send a form quarterly to the MSRB reporting their contributions to bond ballot campaigns if those contributions exceeded \$250. These contributions do not prohibit brokers from doing business with the entity proposing the bond measure, but the reporting requirements allow the public to identify these contributions as part of any effort to cross-reference them with contracts. Other rules prohibit brokers from doing business with entities if they have made campaign contributions to entity officials who make decisions related to selecting brokers for bond issues.

In 2009, the MSRB considered toughening Rule G-37 to prohibit brokers from doing business with government entities if those brokers contributed to campaigns to pass bond measures proposed by those government entities. California was cited as a particularly notorious location for the appearance of “pay-to-play” relationships. In the end, the MSRB declined to change the rule, citing constitutional First Amendment concerns.

The Bond Buyer reviewed broker contributions⁷⁵ to 2010 campaigns to pass bond measures in California and identified “a nearly perfect correlation between broker-dealer contributions to California school bond efforts in 2010 and their underwriting subsequent bond sales.” A spokesperson for California State Treasurer Bill Lockyer responded to the review: “...it is probably time to end the days when underwriters, bond counsels or financial advisors fund, manage or provide other key support for local bond campaigns, then get paid to do work on the bond sales.” In 2013, the Los Angeles County Treasurer and Tax Collector Mark Saladino adopted “a complete ban on cash and in-kind contributions from all firms in our underwriter pool starting no later than when we renew our pool for another year in January 2014.”⁷⁶

⁷³ “With Campaign Donations, Bond Underwriters Also Secure Contracts,” *California Watch*, May 12, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, californiawatch.org/money-and-politics/campaign-donations-bond-underwriters-also-secure-contracts-16032

⁷⁴ “Rule G-37: Political Contributions and Prohibitions on Municipal Securities Business,” Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, accessed June 28, 2015, www.msrb.org/Rules-and-Interpretations/MSRB-Rules/General/Rule-G-37.aspx

⁷⁵ “Brokers' Gifts That Keep Giving,” *The Bond Buyer*, January 13, 2012, accessed June 28, 2015, www.bondbuyer.com/issues/121_10/california-broker-dealer-contributions-school-bond-issue-1035266-1.html

⁷⁶ “Proposed Underwriter Pool Changes” Los Angeles County Treasurer and Tax Collector, August 8, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://ttc.lacounty.gov/proptax/docs/Underwriter%20Pool%20Memo.pdf>

8. More Troubling Issues with Educational Construction Bond Finance

While compiling the comprehensive information provided in this study, California Policy Center researchers identified numerous other troubling aspects of bond finance. School and college districts are evading compliance with the law and making irresponsible decisions. Ordinary voters lack enough data to make an informed vote. Community activists who seek deeper understanding find themselves stymied.

Bad Government Behavior

1. Some School and College Districts Don't Comply with Proposition 39

Two examples of investigative reports on educational district compliance with Proposition 39 are the San Diego County Taxpayers Association 2015 School Bond Transparency Scorecard⁷⁷ and a 2010 San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury report entitled “School Bond Citizens’ Oversight Committees, Prop 39.”⁷⁸ These reports show some districts are close to full compliance while others don’t seem to be complying at all. It appears that two types of districts are broadly failing to comply: (1) small school districts, which may have limited capability to comply, and (2) large school districts routinely accused of fiscal irresponsibility and mismanagement.

2. Spend It Or Lose It? Districts Can Sell Bonds Decades After Voter Approval

Some school and college districts ask voters to approve new authority to borrow additional money for facilities construction even though much of the authority from previous bond measures to borrow money has not been used. This is a strategy to circumvent tax and debt limits imposed by state law on individual bond measures, and it leaves millions (and sometimes billions) of dollars in borrowing authority dangling for future school boards to exercise long after voters have forgotten the election.

3. Districts Sell Bonds at a Premium and Use the Extra Money to Pay Issuance Fees

The California Attorney General’s office is preparing a legal opinion (14-202) on whether school and college districts can use a premium to pay bond issuance fees. The question asked is “May the ‘premium’ generated from a school district bond sale be used to pay for expenses of issuance and other transaction costs?” (See Table 8 for a list of such fees.)

⁷⁷ “2015 School Bond Transparency Scorecard,” San Diego County Taxpayers Association, www.sdcta.org/policy/policy-detail.html?id=1727

⁷⁸ “School Bond Citizens’ Oversight Committees, Prop 39,” https://www.sanmateocourt.org/documents/grand_jury/2009/prop39.pdf

In 2011, the California Attorney General warned the Poway Unified School District that “artificially inflating the interest rate to generate premium” to pay for costs of issuance would be illegal.⁷⁹

The California State Treasurer or a state agency needs to compile a list of bond issues for which buyers paid a premium that the district then used to pay bond issuance fees. How rampant is the practice and how much has it cost California taxpayers?

4. Firms Get Contracts to Prepare a Bond Measure Before the Election and Then Get Contracts to Implement the Bond Measure After the Election

The California Attorney General’s office is preparing a legal opinion (13-304) on whether a party that gets a contract with a school or college district for surveying voters and preparing a bond measure can then get a contract as the bond underwriter (bond broker) for issuances approved by that same bond measure. The question asked is “In connection with a school or community college bond measure, does a district violate state law by contracting with a bond underwriter for both pre-election campaign services and post-election underwriting services?”

5. Is There Exaggeration, Deception, or Outright Fraud When Districts Assess Needs for Another Bond Measure?

Some school and college districts seek to borrow more money for school construction even when their enrollment has been substantially declining for years and is projected to continue declining. Overcrowding would not seem to be a problem in such districts. Is the need legitimate? A state agency should conduct random audits for several school or college districts to determine the credibility of their facilities plan based on their evaluations of safety, class size reduction and information technology needs. Numerous bond measures include the words “safety” and “security” in the ballot question and statement, insinuating to voters that students and teachers may be physically harmed unless the district can borrow money via bond sales for construction projects. Are there truly legitimate threats to safety and security in schools throughout the state?

6. A Handful of Voters in Future Development Areas Have Given School Districts Massive Authority to Sell Bonds and Put the Bills on Future Residents

When researchers for the California Policy Center developed preliminary charts now in the appendix to this report and began circulating them publicly early in 2015, two bond measures received unexpected attention on the list of 1,147 considered since enactment of Proposition 39.

⁷⁹ “Re: *Poway Unified School District v. All Persons Interested* - Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Case No. 37-2010-00106255-CU- MC-CTLAG,” California Attorney General letter to Poway Unified School District, Orange County Government, March 1, 2011, accessed June 28, 2015, http://cams.ocgov.com/Web_Publisher/Agenda11_05_2013_files/images/ATTORNEY%20GENERAL%20OPINION%20-%20POWAY%20BOND%20PREMIUM_9843497.PDF

In both of these cases, a school district created the boundaries of a School Facilities Improvement District — carved out of the entire district — in a sparsely-populated where future development will occur and future schools will be built.

Apparently the Folsom-Cordova Unified School District compared this option⁸⁰ to the establishment of a Community Facilities District funded by Mello-Roos fees and chose this financing option. Its Improvement District had a population in 2006 of about 330 persons.

Table 17: Bond Measures Approved by a Handful of Voters for Huge Amounts

| Educational District | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 3 | Roseville Joint Union High School District SFID No. 1 |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Amount Authorized to Borrow | \$750,000,000 | \$115,000,000 |
| Date of Election | 2007-03-27 | 2007-04-24 |
| Counties | Sacramento | Placer |
| Measure | M | A |
| Needed to Pass | 66.7% | 66.7% |
| Yes | 60 | 11 |
| No | 14 | 1 |
| Total | 74 | 12 |
| Passage | 81.1% | 91.7% |
| Amount Per Vote | \$12,500,000 | \$10,454,545 |

Shortcomings That Hinder Voters

The California legislature recognizes that some ballot statements for bond measures do not contain enough relevant information for voters. In 2014, Governor Brown signed into law Assembly Bill 2551, introduced by Assemblyman Scott Wilk, which requires each bond issue proposed by a local government to include estimates from official sources of tax rates for certain years, the maximum annual tax rate, and total debt service (the principal and interest that would

⁸⁰ “Resource Center,” California’s Coalition for Adequate School Housing, accessed June 28, 2015, <https://www.cashnet.org/resource-center/resourcefiles/651.pdf>

be required to be repaid if all the bonds are issued and sold).⁸¹ The bill never received a vote in opposition. In 2015, Assemblyman Jay Obernolte introduced Assembly Bill 809, which requires the ballot statement for local tax measures to include information on the amount of money to be raised annually and the rate and duration of the tax to be levied.⁸² As of July 13, 2015, the bill was moving through Senate committees after passing the Assembly 57-8 (with 15 not voting).

1. Ballot Questions and Statements Aren't Useful to the Ordinary Voter

A 2009 Little Hoover Commission report on bond measures⁸³ noticed the lack of “fundamental criteria for ballot measures” and recommended a “simple, easy-to-understand report card in the voter guide for all bond measures placed on the ballot.” The problem continues unabated today.

Bond measures tend to be presented to voters in a vacuum, with minimal context about the past history of the district’s bond measures and construction programs. Voters can misinterpret proposed bond measures as a desperate response to a long-standing unaddressed crisis of unsafe, decrepit, and overcrowded classrooms, laboratories, and athletic facilities.

Voters need a chance to consider whether they should approve millions or even billions in new bond authority, even if millions or even billions of money has already been borrowed and millions or billions in existing authority still remains to be spent. This would reveal any history of foolish bond issues or debt acquisition.

2. Information Provided to Voters Needs More Pictures, Charts, and Tables

As mentioned in Section 5 of this report, a possible reason why the public finally discovered the extreme Capital Appreciation Bond financing arrangements of the Poway Unified School District was the simple and colorful graphics in the *Voice of San Diego* articles about it. More than ever, American society depends on imagery, charts, and tables for information instead of prose.

3. Voters Need to See Assessed Property Valuation and District Enrollment Projections

Projections of the rate of change for assessed property valuation in the district should be among the most important elements in decisions concerning bond issues. Voters need to consider a

⁸¹ Text - AB 2551 “Local ballot measures: bond issues,” California Legislative Information, accessed June 28, 2015, http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201320140AB2551

⁸² Text - AB 809 “Local initiative measures: ballot printing specifications,” California Legislative Information, accessed June 28, 2015, http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160AB809

⁸³ “Bond Spending: Expanding and Enhancing Oversight,” California Little Hoover Commission, June 24, 2009, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://www.lhc.ca.gov/studies/197/report197.pdf>

history of wild swings in assessed property valuation in the district and decide whether projections are realistic or exaggerated.

A report on Capital Appreciation Bonds from the 2013-2014 Orange County Grand Jury⁸⁴ recognized “there has been virtually no publicity concerning the implications of debt service repayment for CABs, specifically the magnitude of potentially higher taxes. There is potential for some school districts, through the County, to increase property taxes well beyond what was presented when the bonds were issued in order to repay the CABs.” Results of the Grand Jury’s investigation were depicted in tables. At least three school districts in Orange County predicted assessed property valuation to grow at unrealistically high rates when they asked voters to approve bond measures. As a result, these districts will have to levy tax rates far beyond what was portrayed to voters in order to pay off the Capital Appreciation Bonds. In addition, voters need to be aware if the school or college district asking to borrow money for construction is experiencing a long-term trend up or down in student enrollment. There are arguments for borrowing a lot of money for facilities construction during a time of dropping enrollment (Wiseburn Unified School District is an example of this deliberate strategy),⁸⁵ but the message to voters needs to reflect actual circumstances.

4. Ballot Questions for Bond Measures Deceive and Manipulate Voters

Several ballot questions for proposed community college bond measures have specifically singled out veterans as beneficiaries. As noted in Section 2, polling shows that voters respond positively to the idea that a bond measure will help veterans. As a result, the possibility that veterans will be using facilities funded by bond proceeds gets prominent mention in ballot language.

On June 29, 2015, the Solano County Grand Jury issued a report highly critical of the ballot title and ballot statement for Measure Q, a November 2012 ballot measure that authorized the Solano Community College District to borrow \$348 million for construction by selling bonds to investors.⁸⁶ The Grand Jury asserted that voters were duped into thinking that proceeds from selling bonds would directly provide classroom instruction and job training for veterans and other students. It suggested that future bond measures conform narrowly to Proposition 39 language and focus on construction of educational facilities:

⁸⁴ “School Bonds: The Untold Story of Assessed Values,” Orange County Grand Jury 2013-14, accessed June 28, 2015, http://www.ocgrandjury.org/pdfs/2013_2014_GJreport/BondsReport.pdf

⁸⁵ “Former Wiseburn Schools Chief Don Brann Will Take Reins of Troubled Inglewood Unified,” *Daily Breeze*, June 28, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, www.dailybreeze.com/general-news/20130628/former-wiseburn-schools-chief-don-brann-will-take-reins-of-troubled-inglewood-unified

⁸⁶ “We Have Your Money, Now What?” Solano County Grand Jury 2014-15, accessed June 30, 2015, <http://solano.courts.ca.gov/materials/Measure%20Q.pdf>

Finding 1

The language of Measure Q was misleading. While Proposition 39 generally authorizes funding of buildings and land purchases even the name of the measure, “The Solano Community College District Student/Veterans’ Affordable Education Job Training, Classroom Repair Measure,” suggests otherwise.

Recommendation 1

Language used in future school bond proposals be limited to that which is stated in the authorizing statute.

References to veterans is an example of how campaign consultants have developed ballot titles, questions, and summaries that manipulate the emotions of uninformed voters who are looking at a ballot and deciding how to vote. Another example is the claim that “all funds stay local” or “all funds benefit neighborhood schools.” This statement ignores how taxpayers will pay the financial services industry for issuance fees and may end up providing more funds for interest payments to wealthy bond investors than for principal spent on design and construction of neighborhood schools.

These clever campaign tactics would probably withstand legal challenges based on California Elections Code Section 9509, which establishes a standard for a legitimate challenge to a title, question, or statement of a school or college district ballot measure. A complaint must have “clear and convincing proof that the material in question is false, misleading, or inconsistent” with state law.⁸⁷

Grassroots Activism on Bond Measures Is Difficult***1. Municipal Finance Is Confusing, Even for People Motivated to Understand It***

As stated in a 2013-14 Orange County Civil Grand Jury report on Capital Appreciation Bonds, “This topic required extensive research. Numerous newspaper articles were reviewed...An extensive Internet search was conducted to learn about the mechanics of bond financing and the related mathematics.”⁸⁸ An ordinary person may have difficulty understanding concepts and jargon of municipal finance. It’s also a challenge for anyone without education or experience in accounting to identify and extract relevant information from financial audits and official statements.

⁸⁷ California Elections Code Sections 9500-9509, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=elec&group=09001-10000&file=9500-9509>

⁸⁸ “School Bonds: The Untold Story of Assessed Values,” Orange County Grand Jury 2013-14, accessed June 28, 2015, http://www.ocgrandjury.org/pdfs/2013_2014_GJreport/BondsReport.pdf

In particular, Capital Appreciation Bonds are difficult to comprehend. To complicate matters, accreted interest for this type of debt instrument is portrayed differently depending on whether accounting is done on a “cash basis” or on an “accrual basis.” In the generally accepted accounting principles developed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, each year’s interest payment is included as an expenditure for the year. This is accounting done on a cash basis. But in the generally accepted accounting standards for state and local governments developed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, accreted interest on Capital Appreciation Bonds is not recorded as a current expenditure until the bond matures. This is accounting done on an accrual basis.

Translating these concepts into something easy to understand is critical for the public to evaluate the wisdom of proposed bond issues.

2. Centralized Data Isn’t Available to Compare Debt Finance Conditions of Districts

Where does the public go to find out how a school or college district funds facility construction and how it compares to other educational districts in the county or state?

In most cases, state law has not assigned any state or local agency with the responsibility to collect such information and provide it to the public in an accessible format. Even for information that state law requires to be collected and published — such as waivers from tax and debt limits — agencies are not providing the information in a way that alerts the public to existing or potential problems.

The California State Treasurer’s office has a “California Debt Issuance Database”⁸⁹ administered by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission that allows the public to search for certain information about individual bond issues. School boards are required to submit certain information and reports regarding the sale or planned sale of bonds to the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission. This database is better than nothing, but realistically it is not a useful tool for the ordinary citizen.

3. Basic Financial Information Is Inaccessible, Especially at Smaller School Districts

Many school districts are not posting their state-mandated financial reports on their websites for public access. Useful documents that the public should be able to readily access include PDF versions of annual financial audits and bond program audits.

For cases in which financial reports are not available on the web, adequate response to public records requests is often elusive. E-mailed requests to educational districts to get these reports do

⁸⁹ www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdiac/debtdatadatabase_text.asp

not always result in a prompt response. In particular, officials in small rural school districts do not seem responsive to an outside individual or organization requesting the district's financial information. Researchers for this project struggled to obtain financial audits that would reveal details of Capital Appreciation Bond sales with ratios of debt service to principal that are much worse than the Poway Unified School District.

4. “Private Placements” Sometimes Eliminate Official Statements as a Source of Data

The Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB) Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA) database was created and is maintained for the benefit of potential buyers of municipal bonds. Nevertheless, the Official Statements posted on the database are a valuable source of information for members of the general public who are interested in the debt finance and financial status of a state or local government agency.

Some school districts use “private placement” to sell bonds rather than using a more traditional method of selling bonds in the primary market to many investors. This is supposed to allow for lower interest rates on the bonds and save money for taxpayers. Because the individual private investors are considered qualified to do their own research into the credit and financial status of a district, “private placements” for bond sales by educational districts are exempt from the federal requirement to post Official Statements.

Researchers were unable to determine current debt service for several small school districts for which Official Statements were not posted on EMMA. At least two of them (Exeter Union High School District and Columbia Union School District) used private placements for their most recent bond sales. It is likely that every school district missing an Official Statement on EMMA for its most recent bond issue used private placement.

5. Public Information About General Obligation Bonds Varies in Formats and Completeness

In the annual Financial Audits for educational districts, information about general obligation bonds are presented in different ways. Some reports give details about each series of bonds that are issued, while some do not.

The same problem applies to the Official Statements on the EMMA database. Charts that indicate outstanding debt service are presented in different formats. Some charts provide details about principal and interest for each bond measure and some do not. A few Official Statements for educational districts that have substantial bond debt did not even add up the columns. Official Statements are only produced when bonds are issued, so the most recent information available on the EMMA database can be more than a decade out of date. EMMA only became operational in the late 2000s, so information from the mid-1990s and earlier is often not available.

6. Refunding Bonds and Reauthorization Bonds Complicate Matters

When a school district refunds some of its bonds with a new bond issue, the record becomes fuzzy about how much principal is still owed for each bond measure and bond issue. Some districts have repeatedly issued refunding bonds, thus creating confusion about what bond measures are responsible for creating current debt. Taxpayers in some educational districts are still paying for bond measures approved in the late 1980s and early 1990s, but that fact is now hidden behind more recent refunded bond issues.

Since 2000, sixteen school districts have asked voters to reauthorize previously-approved bond authority, thus complicating the reporting of bond authority and bond debt. When voters reauthorize bond authority in a new election, they trigger new capacity for the district to levy taxes and accumulate debt. GO Reauthorization Bonds[®],⁹⁰ developed by the municipal debt financial advisory firm Dale Scott & Company, are marketed to districts that have reached their tax and debt limits, want to borrow more money for construction, but also want to avoid extensive sales of Capital Appreciation Bonds as the scheme to circumvent the tax and debt limits.

7. Critical Information Often Can Only Be Found in Old Board Meeting Packets Not Available for Easy Public Access

Perhaps the most important information to evaluate when considering bond issues are the projections of assessed valuation. If such projections are even recorded, they are often only found in presentations that financial advisors make to the board of trustees. Those presentations might or might not be included in old board meeting packets that might or might not be posted on a district website.

⁹⁰ “How to Kick-Start a Stalled G.O. Bond Program,” Association of Chief Business Officials, May 21, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.acbo.org/files/Conference/2013 Spring/GOReauthorizationBonds.pdf](http://www.acbo.org/files/Conference/2013%20Spring/GOReauthorizationBonds.pdf)

9. Improving Oversight, Accountability, and Fiscal Responsibility

To help fix the many deficiencies identified in this report concerning school construction finance, the California legislature and the executive branch are urged to adopt 23 specific recommendations organized into these five goals:

| | |
|----------|--|
| 1 | Provide Adequate and Effective Oversight and Accountability for Bond Measures |
| 2 | Enable Voters to Make a Reasonably Informed Decision on Bond Measures |
| 3 | Eliminate or Mitigate Conflicts of Interest in Contracting Related to Bond Measures |
| 4 | Reduce Inappropriate, Excessive, or Unnecessary Spending of Bond Proceeds |
| 5 | Improve Understanding of Bond Measures Through Public Education Campaigns |

Adoption of these 23 recommendations will help California voters to become more wary of borrowing billions more from wealthy investors for educational construction. Future generations will benefit when these five visions are advanced:

| | |
|----------|---|
| 1 | Californians will know basic critical information about bonds and the meaning of bond measures. They will know that money from bond measures is borrowed from investors and must be paid back to investors with interest over time through taxes. |
| 2 | Official election material provided to voters by the government will provide a more objective and balanced perspective of proposed bond measures, including information about the district showing the cumulative history of bond debt and showing changes in annual enrollment and assessed taxable property value. |
| 3 | Voters will rely less on emotional language and unproven claims engineered by political consultants and focus more on charts, tables, and graphics that give context. |
| 4 | School and college districts and their bond finance and campaign consultants will be compelled to adjust to a more informed voter pool with more caution, responsibility, and accountability in their proposals to accumulate more debt. |
| 5 | California voters will use their much clearer understanding about bond measures to reward school and college districts that practice openness, transparency, and fiscal responsibility, while rejecting additional borrowing authority for school and college districts that unwisely borrow and spend money. |

The introduction to a 2009 California Little Hoover Commission report entitled *Bond Spending: Expanding and Enhancing Oversight*⁹¹ claimed that government “must earn Californians’ confidence by demonstrating that it is providing oversight and accountability for the dollars put in their trust and delivering the promised value once a project is completed. Such confidence will be critical to the success of any future bond proposals.”

This warning was not heeded and the prediction was wrong. Oversight and accountability has not measurably improved, but Californians continue to vote for state and local bond measures.

The California Policy Center rejects the idea that additional oversight and accountability isn’t needed or desirable. Some legislative reforms and education programs (both public and private) can overcome voter cynicism, frustration, apathy, and ignorance. The following charts provide 23 recommendations for adoption by the California legislature, California executive branch agencies, and California local officials such as county treasurers.

⁹¹ “Bond Spending: Expanding and Enhancing Oversight,” California Little Hoover Commission, June 24, 2009, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://www.lhc.ca.gov/studies/197/report197.pdf>

| Specific Recommendations to Achieve Goal 1: Provide Adequate and Effective Oversight and Accountability for Bond Measures | |
|--|---|
| 1 | Expand the statutory responsibilities of the Citizens Bond Oversight Committee to include an annual review of the district’s arrangements for issuing and repaying bonds. |
| 2 | <p>Assign the California State Treasurer or a state agency to produce an annual report to the legislature and the public about the status of bond measures. The data shall consist of eight categories for every community college district and K-12 school district, presented in a format that allows the public to download some or all data into a common spreadsheet software for easy sorting by type of data.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The name of the school district or college district. 2. The enrollment or average daily attendance of the district. 3. The total assessed valuation of the district. 4. The amount of bond authority approved by voters since 1986. 5. The amount of bonds issued since 1986. 6. The amount of outstanding bond authority for the fiscal year immediately proceeding the current year. 7. The amount of outstanding principal for the fiscal year immediately proceeding the current year. 8. The total amount of debt service (principal and interest owed over the terms of all outstanding bonds if they are not redeemed early or refunded). <p>This information should also be printed on a dedicated page of the district’s annual financial report required under Proposition 39. This would make the report more accessible to oversight committee members and other members of the general public who aren’t familiar with balance sheets or accounting principles.</p> |
| 3 | Require districts to obtain reasonable and informed projections of assessed property valuation from an independent source (NOT from their bond advisors and consultants) before placing a bond measure on the ballot. |
| 4 | <p>Assign and provide funding to a state agency or agencies for the following activities:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure that every school or college district that administers a bond measure approved under Proposition 39 complies with legal requirements for a bond oversight committee, bond program performance audits, and bond program financial audits, including posting of required information on the district website. 2. Establish and maintain a centralized web-based database of California bond program performance audits and bond program financial audits for all districts. 3. Promote bond oversight committees to the public, educate and train bond oversight committee members and relevant district administrators, and provide resources and assistance to school and college districts to fill vacancies on the committees. |

| | |
|---|---|
| 5 | Give a state agency or county official specific authority to block educational districts from selling bonds when their Independent Citizens' Bond Oversight Committees are dormant or otherwise not compliant with state law. |
| 6 | Require a school or college district to issue 85% of the bonds authorized by voters within three years after voter approval of the bond measure, and require 100% of the bond proceeds to be spent or redeemed within seven years after voter approval. |

| Specific Recommendations to Achieve Goal 2: Enable Voters to Make a Reasonably Informed Decision on Bond Measures | |
|--|---|
| 1 | <p>Bond measure ballot titles should be more accurate and objective, perhaps using standard language similar to this that balances construction projects with debt finance plans:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>Shall [NAME OF DISTRICT] be authorized to borrow up to [\$xxxxx] in the next [x] years for construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, replacement, furnishing or equipping of specified school facilities by selling bonds and paying the buyers back, with interest, within [xx] years after the bonds are issued?</i></p> |
| 2 | <p>To provide proper historical context for the proposed bond measure, ballot statements should provide a table with a tally of each proposed bond measure approved by voters going back to 1987 with the following information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amount that voters authorized the district to borrow through bond sales. 2. Amount of the borrowing authority that still remains to be spent. 3. Amount of principal that the district still needs to pay back to investors. 4. Amount of debt service that the district will need to pay back to investors. |
| 3 | <p>Ballot statements should provide a chart with the history and projections of assessed property valuation for the district:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">History:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Each year annually for previous 10 years. • The last two previous five-year periods. • The last previous ten-year period. <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Projections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Each year annually for next 10 years. • The next two five-year periods. • The next ten-year period. |
| 4 | <p>Ballot statements should provide a chart with the history of enrollment (or average daily attendance) in the district for the previous five years, the most accurate assessment of current enrollment, and the projected enrollment for the next five years.</p> |

| Specific Recommendations to Achieve Goal 3: Eliminate or Mitigate Conflicts of Interest in Contracting Related to Bond Measures | |
|--|---|
| 1 | All campaign contribution reports for and against bond measures should be available to the public in easily-accessible electronic form on either the district website or the county elections office website. |
| 2 | Prohibit corporations and individuals that obtain a contract from a district for feasibility studies or consultation on developing a bond measure from also obtaining a contract for services related to bond issuance, including bond underwriting services. |
| 3 | California statewide officeholders and the California legislature should encourage the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB) to adopt a rule that provides more comprehensive reporting requirements and either restricts or bans the practice of hybrid bond campaign consultants/bond underwriters getting a contract for bond measure preparation and/or campaign services and then getting a contract (sometimes without competitive bidding) for bond underwriting. |

| Specific Recommendations to Achieve Goal 4: Reduce Inappropriate, Excessive, or Unnecessary Spending of Bond Proceeds | |
|--|---|
| 1 | Local education agencies should be explicitly prohibited from using proceeds from long-term bonds (bonds with maturities exceeding three years) to buy technological equipment such as portable personal electronics (iPads). |
| 2 | The California Attorney General should issue a legal opinion on some of the ambiguities of “Furnishing and Equipment,” including portable personal electronics, software that comes in a package with electronics, and hiring companies to move furniture from one building to another. |
| 3 | Bond premiums should not exceed 1% of the principal of the bond series or be used to offset transaction fees or costs of issuance. |
| 4 | Criteria relevant to construction bond finance should be developed for the California State Board of Education to evaluate when considering applications from school districts for tax and debt waivers. Two grounds for rejecting waiver applications should be excessive indebtedness and insufficient evidence that new facilities are needed. |
| 5 | A detailed history of tax and debt waiver requests and approvals from the California State Board of Education should be posted on its website. |
| 6 | Following the 1994 example of Michigan, California school and college districts should be prohibited from issuing Capital Appreciation Bonds. Assembly Bill 182 has not sufficiently discouraged this kind of debt finance. |

| Specific Recommendations to Achieve Goal 5: Improve Understanding of Bond Measures Through Public Education Campaigns | |
|--|--|
| 1 | The California State Treasurer or another state agency should commission a study to determine if the state’s voters understand a bond measure, including how the government obtains money via borrowing from investors and pays back the money, with interest, over time to those investors by collecting taxes. County treasurers/tax collectors can conduct a similar survey for their counties. |
| 2 | The California State Treasurer or another state agency should consider seeking funding for the development and implementation of a non-partisan public education campaign to increase voter knowledge about bond measures and public debt related to bonds. The funding could be appropriated in the state’s general fund or received as a contribution from foundations or other private sources. County treasurers/tax collectors can do the same on a county basis. |
| 3 | The California State Treasurer should use the position to warn the public to be thoughtful and cautious about how much debt is being imposed on future generations — our children and grandchildren — through excessive borrowing and extreme methods of debt finance such as Capital Appreciation Bonds. County treasurers/tax collectors can do the same on a county basis. |
| 4 | Information about bonds and bond measures should be added to the suggested “Financial Literacy and Mathematics Education” component of the California Department of Education Curriculum Frameworks. |

Tables A1 to A6

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 1 | Los Angeles Unified School District | 646,683 |
| 2 | San Diego Unified School District | 129,779 |
| 3 | Garden Grove Unified School District | 92,354 |
| 4 | Long Beach Unified School District | 79,709 |
| 5 | Fresno Unified School District | 73,543 |
| 6 | Elk Grove Unified School District | 62,888 |
| 7 | San Francisco Unified School District | 58,414 |
| 8 | Santa Ana Unified School District | 56,815 |
| 9 | Capistrano Unified School District | 54,036 |
| 10 | Corona-Norco Unified School District | 53,739 |
| 11 | San Bernardino City Unified School District | 53,365 |
| 12 | San Juan Unified School District | 49,114 |
| 13 | Oakland Unified School District | 48,077 |
| 14 | Sacramento City Unified School District | 46,868 |
| 15 | Riverside Unified School District | 42,339 |
| 16 | Clovis Unified School District | 41,169 |
| 17 | Sweetwater Union High School District | 41,018 |
| 18 | Stockton Unified School District | 40,057 |
| 19 | Fontana Unified School District | 39,470 |
| 20 | Kern High School District | 37,318 |
| 21 | Poway Unified School District | 35,629 |
| 22 | Fremont Unified School District | 34,208 |
| 23 | Moreno Valley Unified School District | 34,170 |
| 24 | San Jose Unified School District | 32,938 |
| 25 | San Ramon Valley Unified School District | 31,954 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 26 | Mt. Diablo Unified School District | 31,923 |
| 27 | Anaheim Union High School District | 31,659 |
| 28 | Irvine Unified School District | 31,392 |
| 29 | Twin Rivers Unified School District | 31,035 |
| 30 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | 30,596 |
| 31 | Lodi Unified School District | 30,349 |
| 32 | Bakersfield City School District | 30,076 |
| 33 | Temecula Valley Unified School District | 30,016 |
| 34 | Chino Valley Unified School District | 29,937 |
| 35 | Chula Vista Elementary School District | 29,806 |
| 36 | Orange Unified School District | 29,473 |
| 37 | Montebello Unified School District | 29,062 |
| 38 | Saddleback Valley Unified School District | 29,028 |
| 39 | Desert Sands Unified School District | 28,999 |
| 40 | Visalia Unified School District | 28,267 |
| 41 | William S. Hart Union High School District | 26,983 |
| 42 | East Side Union High School District | 26,760 |
| 43 | Rialto Unified School District | 26,225 |
| 44 | Glendale Unified School District | 26,168 |
| 45 | Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District | 25,595 |
| 46 | Vista Unified School District | 25,377 |
| 47 | Pomona Unified School District | 25,311 |
| 48 | Antelope Valley Union High School District | 24,619 |
| 49 | Chaffey Joint Union High School District | 24,598 |
| 50 | Tustin Unified School District | 24,059 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 51 | Torrance Unified School District | 23,947 |
| 52 | Hesperia Unified School District | 23,735 |
| 53 | Palm Springs Unified School District | 23,332 |
| 54 | Colton Joint Unified School District | 23,322 |
| 55 | Manteca Unified School District | 23,188 |
| 56 | Downey Unified School District | 22,698 |
| 57 | Murrieta Valley Unified School District | 22,698 |
| 58 | Hayward Unified School District | 22,555 |
| 59 | Ontario-Montclair School District | 22,521 |
| 60 | Lake Elsinore Unified School District | 22,258 |
| 61 | Grossmont Union High School District | 22,220 |
| 62 | Compton Unified School District | 22,106 |
| 63 | Palmdale Elementary School District | 21,956 |
| 64 | Newport-Mesa Unified School District | 21,905 |
| 65 | Hemet Unified School District | 21,414 |
| 66 | Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District | 21,366 |
| 67 | Redlands Unified School District | 21,326 |
| 68 | ABC Unified School District | 20,998 |
| 69 | Oceanside Unified School District | 20,980 |
| 70 | San Marcos Unified School District | 20,452 |
| 71 | Pajaro Valley Unified School District | 20,438 |
| 72 | Madera Unified School District | 20,415 |
| 73 | Val Verde Unified School District | 19,841 |
| 74 | Conejo Valley Unified School District | 19,727 |
| 75 | Hacienda la Puente Unified School District | 19,642 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 76 | Folsom-Cordova Unified School District | 19,527 |
| 77 | Alvord Unified School District | 19,390 |
| 78 | Jurupa Unified School District | 19,330 |
| 79 | Escondido Union School District | 19,204 |
| 80 | Anaheim City School District | 19,164 |
| 81 | Cupertino Union School District | 19,079 |
| 82 | Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District | 18,960 |
| 83 | Coachella Valley Unified School District | 18,878 |
| 84 | Napa Valley Unified School District | 18,610 |
| 85 | Pasadena Unified School District | 18,586 |
| 86 | Antioch Unified School District | 18,352 |
| 87 | Baldwin Park Unified School District | 18,316 |
| 88 | Simi Valley Unified School District | 17,821 |
| 89 | Alhambra Unified School District | 17,617 |
| 90 | Panama-Buena Vista Union School District | 17,469 |
| 91 | Ventura Unified School District | 17,366 |
| 92 | Oxnard Union High School District | 17,148 |
| 93 | Tracy Joint Unified School District | 16,935 |
| 94 | Oxnard School District | 16,916 |
| 95 | Cajon Valley Union School District | 16,601 |
| 96 | Huntington Beach Union High School District | 16,343 |
| 97 | Burbank Unified School District | 16,332 |
| 98 | Santa Maria-Bonita School District | 16,026 |
| 99 | Paramount Unified School District | 15,681 |
| 100 | Santa Barbara Unified School District | 15,593 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 101 | Central Unified School District | 15,584 |
| 102 | Santa Clara Unified School District | 15,298 |
| 103 | Modesto City Elementary School District | 15,259 |
| 104 | Lancaster Elementary School District | 15,149 |
| 105 | Rowland Unified School District | 15,055 |
| 106 | Vallejo City Unified School District | 14,996 |
| 107 | Modesto City High School District | 14,969 |
| 108 | Lynwood Unified School District | 14,776 |
| 109 | Pleasanton Unified School District | 14,768 |
| 110 | Walnut Valley Unified School District | 14,532 |
| 111 | Salinas Union High School District | 14,437 |
| 112 | Apple Valley Unified School District | 14,401 |
| 113 | Fullerton Joint Union High School District | 14,396 |
| 114 | West Covina Unified School District | 14,213 |
| 115 | Turlock Unified School District | 14,127 |
| 116 | Porterville Unified School District | 14,119 |
| 117 | Victor Valley Union High School District | 13,889 |
| 118 | Chico Unified School District | 13,739 |
| 119 | Ceres Unified School District | 13,694 |
| 120 | Fullerton Elementary School District | 13,678 |
| 121 | Livermore Valley Joint Unified School District | 13,653 |
| 122 | Etiwanda Elementary School District | 13,652 |
| 123 | Natomas Unified School District | 13,630 |
| 124 | Inglewood Unified School District | 13,469 |
| 125 | Yuba City Unified School District | 13,366 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 126 | Bellflower Unified School District | 13,149 |
| 127 | Whittier Union High School District | 12,983 |
| 128 | Evergreen Elementary School District | 12,857 |
| 129 | Vacaville Unified School District | 12,837 |
| 130 | Rocklin Unified School District | 12,738 |
| 131 | San Dieguito Union High School District | 12,645 |
| 132 | Palo Alto Unified School District | 12,527 |
| 133 | New Haven Unified School District | 12,459 |
| 134 | Alum Rock Union Elementary School District | 12,386 |
| 135 | Covina-Valley Unified School District | 12,274 |
| 136 | Victor Elementary School District | 12,181 |
| 137 | La Mesa-Spring Valley School District | 12,144 |
| 138 | San Lorenzo Unified School District | 12,070 |
| 139 | San Mateo-Foster City School District | 11,858 |
| 140 | Gilroy Unified School District | 11,840 |
| 141 | Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District | 11,632 |
| 142 | Upland Unified School District | 11,380 |
| 143 | Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District | 11,289 |
| 144 | Las Virgenes Unified School District | 11,259 |
| 145 | Santa Rosa High School District | 11,244 |
| 146 | Sanger Unified School District | 11,204 |
| 147 | Franklin-McKinley Elementary School District | 11,193 |
| 148 | Carlsbad Unified School District | 11,049 |
| 149 | Alameda Unified School District | 11,020 |
| 150 | Menifee Union Elementary School District | 11,011 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 151 | Pittsburg Unified School District | 10,969 |
| 152 | Oak Grove Elementary School District | 10,921 |
| 153 | Fremont Union High School District | 10,792 |
| 154 | Merced City Elementary School District | 10,788 |
| 155 | Lucia Mar Unified School District | 10,710 |
| 156 | San Jacinto Unified School District | 10,698 |
| 157 | Monterey Peninsula Unified School District | 10,653 |
| 158 | Perris Union High School District | 10,510 |
| 159 | Berkeley Unified School District | 10,442 |
| 160 | Adelanto Elementary School District | 10,378 |
| 161 | Milpitas Unified School District | 10,281 |
| 162 | Los Banos Unified School District | 10,260 |
| 163 | Roseville Joint Union High School District | 10,223 |
| 164 | Bonita Unified School District | 10,146 |
| 165 | Lompoc Unified School District | 10,076 |
| 166 | Woodland Joint Unified School District | 10,055 |
| 167 | Merced Union High School District | 10,039 |
| 168 | Los Alamitos Unified School District | 9,914 |
| 169 | Saugus Union School District | 9,911 |
| 170 | Roseville City Elementary School District | 9,820 |
| 171 | Yucaipa-Calimesa Joint Unified School District | 9,779 |
| 172 | Kings Canyon Joint Unified School District | 9,775 |
| 173 | Sequoia Union High School District | 9,693 |
| 174 | Marysville Joint Unified School District | 9,647 |
| 175 | Arcadia Unified School District | 9,582 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 176 | Westminster School District | 9,503 |
| 177 | Tulare City School District | 9,497 |
| 178 | Escondido Union High School District | 9,442 |
| 179 | Morongo Unified School District | 9,439 |
| 180 | El Monte Union High School District | 9,388 |
| 181 | Redondo Beach Unified School District | 9,364 |
| 182 | Castro Valley Unified School District | 9,361 |
| 183 | Greenfield Union School District | 9,345 |
| 184 | Azusa Unified School District | 9,277 |
| 185 | Lincoln Unified School District | 9,277 |
| 186 | Calexico Unified School District | 9,263 |
| 187 | Beaumont Unified School District | 9,256 |
| 188 | Alisal Union School District | 9,153 |
| 189 | Dublin Unified School District | 9,151 |
| 190 | El Rancho Unified School District | 9,129 |
| 191 | Salinas City Elementary School District | 9,125 |
| 192 | Western Placer Unified School District | 9,116 |
| 193 | South San Francisco Unified School District | 9,111 |
| 194 | East Whittier City Elementary School District | 9,064 |
| 195 | Redwood City Elementary School District | 9,042 |
| 196 | El Monte City School District | 9,031 |
| 197 | Ocean View School District | 9,010 |
| 198 | Morgan Hill Unified School District | 9,000 |
| 199 | Westside Union Elementary School District | 8,941 |
| 200 | Hawthorne School District | 8,809 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 201 | Davis Joint Unified School District | 8,626 |
| 202 | San Leandro Unified School District | 8,617 |
| 203 | Sylvan Union Elementary School District | 8,565 |
| 204 | Brentwood Union Elementary School District | 8,562 |
| 205 | Hueneme Elementary School District | 8,396 |
| 206 | San Mateo Union High School District | 8,321 |
| 207 | Liberty Union High School District | 8,087 |
| 208 | Novato Unified School District | 8,029 |
| 209 | Washington Unified School District | 7,978 |
| 210 | Centinela Valley Union High School District | 7,878 |
| 211 | Snowline Joint Unified School District | 7,826 |
| 212 | Santa Maria Joint Union High School District | 7,782 |
| 213 | Berryessa Union Elementary School District | 7,758 |
| 214 | Glendora Unified School District | 7,733 |
| 215 | South Bay Union School District | 7,646 |
| 216 | Campbell Union School District | 7,642 |
| 217 | San Luis Coastal Unified School District | 7,636 |
| 218 | Delano Union Elementary School District | 7,600 |
| 219 | Campbell Union High School District | 7,453 |
| 220 | Pleasant Valley School District | 7,401 |
| 221 | Mountain View Elementary School District | 7,345 |
| 222 | Jefferson Elementary School District | 7,111 |
| 223 | Claremont Unified School District | 7,046 |
| 224 | Lennox School District | 7,022 |
| 225 | Manhattan Beach Unified School District | 6,890 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 226 | Huntington Beach City Elementary School District | 6,864 |
| 227 | El Dorado Union High School District | 6,810 |
| 228 | Sunnyvale School District | 6,787 |
| 229 | Culver City Unified School District | 6,757 |
| 230 | Newhall School District | 6,739 |
| 231 | Dry Creek Joint Elementary School District | 6,715 |
| 232 | Moorpark Unified School District | 6,703 |
| 233 | Dinuba Unified School District | 6,580 |
| 234 | Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | 6,555 |
| 235 | Santee School District | 6,472 |
| 236 | Selma Unified School District | 6,447 |
| 237 | San Gabriel Unified School District | 6,410 |
| 238 | Magnolia Elementary School District | 6,403 |
| 239 | Ukiah Unified School District | 6,349 |
| 240 | Fountain Valley Elementary School District | 6,305 |
| 241 | Lawndale Elementary School District | 6,300 |
| 242 | Newark Unified School District | 6,196 |
| 243 | Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified School District | 6,145 |
| 244 | Lakeside Union Elementary School District | 6,135 |
| 245 | Whittier City Elementary School District | 6,124 |
| 246 | El Centro Elementary School District | 6,101 |
| 247 | Patterson Joint Unified School District | 6,024 |
| 248 | Brea-Olinda Unified School District | 5,977 |
| 249 | Temple City Unified School District | 5,953 |
| 250 | Hanford Elementary School District | 5,934 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 251 | Barstow Unified School District | 5,920 |
| 252 | Alta Loma Elementary School District | 5,917 |
| 253 | Monrovia Unified School District | 5,903 |
| 254 | National Elementary School District | 5,829 |
| 255 | Perris Elementary School District | 5,821 |
| 256 | Ramona City Unified School District | 5,697 |
| 257 | Hollister School District | 5,669 |
| 258 | Shasta Union High School District | 5,561 |
| 259 | Union Elementary School District | 5,533 |
| 260 | Santa Rosa Elementary School District | 5,466 |
| 261 | Santa Paula Unified School District | 5,459 |
| 262 | Encinitas Union Elementary School District | 5,445 |
| 263 | Sulphur Springs Union School District | 5,437 |
| 264 | Windsor Unified School District | 5,415 |
| 265 | Acalanes Union High School District | 5,402 |
| 266 | Travis Unified School District | 5,398 |
| 267 | Petaluma Joint Union High School District | 5,397 |
| 268 | Rosedale Union Elementary School District | 5,397 |
| 269 | Tulare Joint Union High School District | 5,325 |
| 270 | Oakdale Joint Unified School District | 5,292 |
| 271 | Orcutt Union Elementary School District | 5,269 |
| 272 | Charter Oak Unified School District | 5,158 |
| 273 | Buckeye Union Elementary School District | 5,157 |
| 274 | Fallbrook Union Elementary School District | 5,113 |
| 275 | Mountain View Whisman School District | 5,065 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 276 | Garvey Elementary School District | 5,051 |
| 277 | La Habra City Elementary School District | 5,022 |
| 278 | Kerman Unified School District | 4,997 |
| 279 | Buena Park Elementary School District | 4,985 |
| 280 | Oakley Union Elementary School District | 4,946 |
| 281 | Rio Elementary School District | 4,946 |
| 282 | Sierra Sands Unified School District | 4,944 |
| 283 | Benicia Unified School District | 4,924 |
| 284 | Soledad Unified School District | 4,915 |
| 285 | Jefferson Union High School District | 4,906 |
| 286 | Atwater Elementary School District | 4,855 |
| 287 | San Ysidro Elementary School District | 4,842 |
| 288 | Moreland School District | 4,825 |
| 289 | South Pasadena Unified School District | 4,767 |
| 290 | Santa Cruz City High School District | 4,731 |
| 291 | Atascadero Unified School District | 4,722 |
| 292 | Central Elementary School District | 4,701 |
| 293 | Oak Park Unified School District | 4,693 |
| 294 | Los Altos Elementary School District | 4,675 |
| 295 | San Rafael City Elementary School District | 4,635 |
| 296 | Sonoma Valley Unified School District | 4,635 |
| 297 | San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District | 4,613 |
| 298 | Banning Unified School District | 4,599 |
| 299 | New Jerusalem Elementary School District | 4,536 |
| 300 | Center Joint Unified School District | 4,533 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 301 | Little Lake City Elementary School District | 4,512 |
| 302 | North Monterey County Unified School District | 4,493 |
| 303 | Centralia Elementary School District | 4,491 |
| 304 | Del Mar Union Elementary School District | 4,399 |
| 305 | Coalinga-Huron Unified School District | 4,367 |
| 306 | Burton Elementary School District | 4,347 |
| 307 | Tehachapi Unified School District | 4,272 |
| 308 | Paradise Unified School District | 4,265 |
| 309 | Delano Joint Union High School District | 4,235 |
| 310 | Martinez Unified School District | 4,221 |
| 311 | Ravenswood City Elementary School District | 4,216 |
| 312 | Beverly Hills Unified School District | 4,212 |
| 313 | Tamalpais Union High School District | 4,165 |
| 314 | Lindsay Unified School District | 4,163 |
| 315 | Valley Center-Pauma Unified School District | 4,155 |
| 316 | Julian Union Elementary School District | 4,142 |
| 317 | Placer Union High School District | 4,137 |
| 318 | Central Union High School District | 4,106 |
| 319 | Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District | 4,083 |
| 320 | Wiseburn Unified School District | 4,065 |
| 321 | La Canada Unified School District | 4,058 |
| 322 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | 4,043 |
| 323 | Norris Elementary School District | 4,041 |
| 324 | Cypress Elementary School District | 3,990 |
| 325 | Tahoe-Truckee Unified School District | 3,978 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 326 | Bassett Unified School District | 3,959 |
| 327 | Waterford Unified School District | 3,954 |
| 328 | Lemon Grove School District | 3,922 |
| 329 | Belmont-Redwood Shores Elementary School District | 3,900 |
| 330 | Imperial Unified School District | 3,898 |
| 331 | Duarte Unified School District | 3,896 |
| 332 | Albany City Unified School District | 3,881 |
| 333 | Lake Tahoe Unified School District | 3,881 |
| 334 | Mountain View-Los Altos Union High School District | 3,881 |
| 335 | Brawley Elementary School District | 3,878 |
| 336 | Oro Grande Elementary School District | 3,857 |
| 337 | Gateway Unified School District | 3,853 |
| 338 | Hanford Joint Union High School District | 3,845 |
| 339 | Amador County Unified School District | 3,825 |
| 340 | Dixon Unified School District | 3,808 |
| 341 | Mountain Empire Unified School District | 3,804 |
| 342 | Fillmore Unified School District | 3,774 |
| 343 | Eureka City Schools | 3,722 |
| 344 | Goleta Union Elementary School District | 3,701 |
| 345 | Rescue Union Elementary School District | 3,700 |
| 346 | Rim of the World Unified School District | 3,695 |
| 347 | Galt Joint Union Elementary School District | 3,693 |
| 348 | Ripon Unified School District | 3,680 |
| 349 | Loomis Union Elementary School District | 3,636 |
| 350 | Rincon Valley Union Elementary School District | 3,632 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 351 | Enterprise Elementary School District | 3,622 |
| 352 | Walnut Creek Elementary School District | 3,608 |
| 353 | Wasco Union Elementary School District | 3,584 |
| 354 | Richland Union Elementary School District | 3,530 |
| 355 | Lafayette Elementary School District | 3,525 |
| 356 | Romoland Elementary School District | 3,505 |
| 357 | Del Norte County Unified School District | 3,502 |
| 358 | El Segundo Unified School District | 3,477 |
| 359 | McFarland Unified School District | 3,469 |
| 360 | San Carlos Elementary School District | 3,457 |
| 361 | Greenfield Union Elementary School District | 3,448 |
| 362 | Redding Elementary School District | 3,440 |
| 363 | Lammersville Joint Unified School District | 3,433 |
| 364 | Parlier Unified School District | 3,418 |
| 365 | Cambrian School District | 3,378 |
| 366 | Cabrillo Unified School District | 3,373 |
| 367 | Eastside Union Elementary School District | 3,353 |
| 368 | Eureka Union School District | 3,338 |
| 369 | Los Gatos Union Elementary School District | 3,320 |
| 370 | Burlingame Elementary School District | 3,304 |
| 371 | Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District | 3,302 |
| 372 | Corcoran Joint Unified School District | 3,293 |
| 373 | Santa Rita Union Elementary School District | 3,292 |
| 374 | Stanislaus Union Elementary School District | 3,292 |
| 375 | Fruitvale Elementary School District | 3,259 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 376 | Mill Valley Elementary School District | 3,242 |
| 377 | Lemoore Union Elementary School District | 3,228 |
| 378 | Lowell Joint School District | 3,209 |
| 379 | Spencer Valley Elementary School District | 3,205 |
| 380 | Palo Verde Unified School District | 3,177 |
| 381 | Coronado Unified School District | 3,169 |
| 382 | South Whittier Elementary School District | 3,153 |
| 383 | Pacifica School District | 3,150 |
| 384 | Mendota Unified School District | 3,146 |
| 385 | Solana Beach Elementary School District | 3,146 |
| 386 | San Marino Unified School District | 3,143 |
| 387 | Konocti Unified School District | 3,130 |
| 388 | Standard Elementary School District | 3,121 |
| 389 | Arvin Union School District | 3,101 |
| 390 | Calaveras Unified School District | 3,079 |
| 391 | Laguna Beach Unified School District | 3,074 |
| 392 | Southern Kern Unified School District | 3,043 |
| 393 | Empire Union Elementary School District | 3,034 |
| 394 | Nevada Joint Union High School District | 3,003 |
| 395 | San Benito High School District | 3,003 |
| 396 | Washington Unified School District | 2,993 |
| 397 | Exeter Unified School District | 2,979 |
| 398 | Lamont Elementary School District | 2,958 |
| 399 | Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District | 2,946 |
| 400 | Lucerne Valley Unified School District | 2,921 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 401 | Menlo Park City Elementary School District | 2,904 |
| 402 | Nuview Union School District | 2,894 |
| 403 | Escalon Unified School District | 2,849 |
| 404 | Riverbank Unified School District | 2,835 |
| 405 | Dehesa Elementary School District | 2,809 |
| 406 | San Bruno Park Elementary School District | 2,796 |
| 407 | Weaver Union School District | 2,796 |
| 408 | Roseland School District | 2,755 |
| 409 | Piedmont City Unified School District | 2,706 |
| 410 | Mojave Unified School District | 2,696 |
| 411 | Delhi Unified School District | 2,686 |
| 412 | Ocean View School District | 2,682 |
| 413 | Ojai Unified School District | 2,680 |
| 414 | Oroville City Elementary School District | 2,678 |
| 415 | Rosemead Elementary School District | 2,668 |
| 416 | Keppel Union Elementary School District | 2,641 |
| 417 | Farmersville Unified School District | 2,626 |
| 418 | King City Union School District | 2,623 |
| 419 | Mountain View Elementary School District | 2,611 |
| 420 | Reef-Sunset Unified School District | 2,606 |
| 421 | Livingston Union School District | 2,602 |
| 422 | Salida Union Elementary School District | 2,576 |
| 423 | Castaic Union School District | 2,568 |
| 424 | Orinda Union Elementary School District | 2,529 |
| 425 | Cucamonga Elementary School District | 2,517 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 426 | Mt. Pleasant Elementary School District | 2,502 |
| 427 | Carmel Unified School District | 2,492 |
| 428 | Templeton Unified School District | 2,487 |
| 429 | Scotts Valley Unified School District | 2,482 |
| 430 | Fowler Unified School District | 2,477 |
| 431 | Gonzales Unified School District | 2,477 |
| 432 | Millbrae Elementary School District | 2,469 |
| 433 | Bear Valley Unified School District | 2,453 |
| 434 | Fallbrook Union High School District | 2,439 |
| 435 | Maricopa Unified School District | 2,438 |
| 436 | Jefferson Elementary School District | 2,425 |
| 437 | Fairfax Elementary School District | 2,412 |
| 438 | River Delta Joint Unified School District | 2,404 |
| 439 | Savanna Elementary School District | 2,392 |
| 440 | Petaluma City Elementary School District | 2,379 |
| 441 | San Rafael City High School District | 2,365 |
| 442 | Santa Cruz City Elementary School District | 2,361 |
| 443 | Lemoore Union High School District | 2,340 |
| 444 | Kingsburg Elementary Charter School District | 2,334 |
| 445 | Ross Valley Elementary School District | 2,320 |
| 446 | Firebaugh-Las Deltas Unified School District | 2,296 |
| 447 | Woodlake Unified School District | 2,291 |
| 448 | Bonsall Unified School District | 2,287 |
| 449 | Marcum-Illinois Union Elementary School District | 2,283 |
| 450 | Linden Unified School District | 2,278 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 451 | Silver Valley Unified School District | 2,278 |
| 452 | Dos Palos Oro Loma Joint Unified School District | 2,277 |
| 453 | Oroville Union High School District | 2,272 |
| 454 | Galt Joint Union High School District | 2,263 |
| 455 | Orland Joint Unified School District | 2,254 |
| 456 | Hilmar Unified School District | 2,253 |
| 457 | Carpinteria Unified School District | 2,239 |
| 458 | Robla Elementary School District | 2,231 |
| 459 | Chowchilla Elementary School District | 2,190 |
| 460 | Red Bluff Union Elementary School District | 2,163 |
| 461 | Hughson Unified School District | 2,146 |
| 462 | Plumas Unified School District | 2,130 |
| 463 | Live Oak Elementary School District | 2,108 |
| 464 | Taft City School District | 2,079 |
| 465 | Saratoga Union Elementary School District | 2,069 |
| 466 | West Sonoma County Union High School District | 2,069 |
| 467 | Auburn Union Elementary School District | 2,060 |
| 468 | Soquel Union Elementary School District | 2,054 |
| 469 | Gridley Unified School District | 2,051 |
| 470 | Gorman Elementary School District | 2,050 |
| 471 | Corning Union Elementary School District | 2,043 |
| 472 | South Monterey County Joint Union High School District | 2,033 |
| 473 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | 2,012 |
| 474 | Dixie Elementary School District | 1,999 |
| 475 | Yosemite Unified School District | 1,982 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 476 | Byron Union Elementary School District | 1,963 |
| 477 | Helendale Elementary School District | 1,959 |
| 478 | Earlimart Elementary School District | 1,952 |
| 479 | Willits Unified School District | 1,942 |
| 480 | Bishop Unified School District | 1,939 |
| 481 | Muroc Joint Unified School District | 1,936 |
| 482 | Golden Valley Unified School District | 1,923 |
| 483 | Old Adobe Union School District | 1,886 |
| 484 | Anderson Union High School District | 1,885 |
| 485 | Winton School District | 1,885 |
| 486 | Brawley Union High School District | 1,878 |
| 487 | Fort Bragg Unified School District | 1,873 |
| 488 | Bellevue Union Elementary School District | 1,872 |
| 489 | Gustine Unified School District | 1,863 |
| 490 | Moraga Elementary School District | 1,852 |
| 491 | Alpine Union Elementary School District | 1,845 |
| 492 | Newcastle Elementary School District | 1,844 |
| 493 | Golden Plains Unified School District | 1,831 |
| 494 | Mariposa County Unified School District | 1,806 |
| 495 | Armona Union Elementary School District | 1,804 |
| 496 | Los Nietos School District | 1,767 |
| 497 | Live Oak Unified School District | 1,757 |
| 498 | Beardsley Elementary School District | 1,753 |
| 499 | Central Union Elementary School District | 1,748 |
| 500 | Wasco Union High School District | 1,747 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 501 | Northern Humboldt Union High School District | 1,739 |
| 502 | Grass Valley Elementary School District | 1,733 |
| 503 | John Swett Unified School District | 1,699 |
| 504 | Kelseyville Unified School District | 1,681 |
| 505 | Middletown Unified School District | 1,667 |
| 506 | Healdsburg Unified School District | 1,650 |
| 507 | Wright Elementary School District | 1,622 |
| 508 | Riverdale Joint Unified School District | 1,620 |
| 509 | Red Bluff Joint Union High School District | 1,601 |
| 510 | Holtville Unified School District | 1,597 |
| 511 | Pioneer Union Elementary School District | 1,577 |
| 512 | Lakeport Unified School District | 1,556 |
| 513 | Hillsborough City Elementary School District | 1,546 |
| 514 | Reed Union Elementary School District | 1,546 |
| 515 | Winters Joint Unified School District | 1,521 |
| 516 | Larkspur-Corte Madera School District | 1,504 |
| 517 | Hermosa Beach City Elementary School District | 1,479 |
| 518 | Colusa Unified School District | 1,456 |
| 519 | Pierce Joint Unified School District | 1,443 |
| 520 | Willows Unified School District | 1,443 |
| 521 | Mark West Union Elementary School District | 1,433 |
| 522 | Caruthers Unified School District | 1,428 |
| 523 | Piner-Olivet Union Elementary School District | 1,419 |
| 524 | Thermalito Union Elementary School District | 1,409 |
| 525 | Cloverdale Unified School District | 1,394 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 526 | Las Lomas Elementary School District | 1,386 |
| 527 | Mesa Union Elementary School District | 1,385 |
| 528 | Fortuna Elementary School District | 1,381 |
| 529 | Williams Unified School District | 1,377 |
| 530 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | 1,368 |
| 531 | Wheatland School District | 1,341 |
| 532 | Wilsona Elementary School District | 1,333 |
| 533 | Black Oak Mine Unified School District | 1,314 |
| 534 | Sierra Unified School District | 1,309 |
| 535 | Denair Unified School District | 1,293 |
| 536 | Twin Hills Union Elementary School District | 1,286 |
| 537 | Guadalupe Union Elementary School District | 1,282 |
| 538 | Palermo Union Elementary School District | 1,275 |
| 539 | Lakeside Union School District | 1,274 |
| 540 | Saint Helena Unified School District | 1,269 |
| 541 | Placerville Union Elementary School District | 1,249 |
| 542 | Heber Elementary School District | 1,233 |
| 543 | Pleasant Ridge Union Elementary School District | 1,229 |
| 544 | Kentfield Elementary School District | 1,223 |
| 545 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | 1,222 |
| 546 | Valle Lindo Elementary School District | 1,222 |
| 547 | Cascade Union Elementary School District | 1,202 |
| 548 | Calipatria Unified School District | 1,196 |
| 549 | Mammoth Unified School District | 1,193 |
| 550 | Plumas Lake Elementary School District | 1,189 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 551 | Fall River Joint Unified School District | 1,169 |
| 552 | Aromas/San Juan Unified School District | 1,164 |
| 553 | McKinleyville Union Elementary School District | 1,141 |
| 554 | Pixley Union Elementary School District | 1,122 |
| 555 | Hart-Ransom Union Elementary School District | 1,109 |
| 556 | Sonora Union High School District | 1,101 |
| 557 | Summerville Union High School District | 1,097 |
| 558 | Mother Lode Union Elementary School District | 1,088 |
| 559 | Keyes Union School District | 1,085 |
| 560 | Cottonwood Union Elementary School District | 1,083 |
| 561 | Chawanakee Unified School District | 1,068 |
| 562 | Fortuna Union High School District | 1,066 |
| 563 | Blochman Union Elementary School District | 1,063 |
| 564 | Evergreen Union School District | 1,063 |
| 565 | Arcata Elementary School District | 1,059 |
| 566 | Taft Union High School District | 1,059 |
| 567 | Edison Elementary School District | 1,056 |
| 568 | Bennett Valley Union Elementary School District | 1,048 |
| 569 | Rio Bravo-Greeley Union Elementary School District | 1,035 |
| 570 | Hope Elementary School District | 1,031 |
| 571 | Orange Center School District | 1,031 |
| 572 | Chowchilla Union High School District | 1,026 |
| 573 | Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District | 1,025 |
| 574 | Santa Ynez Valley Union High School District | 1,025 |
| 575 | Susanville Elementary School District | 1,012 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 576 | Yreka Union Elementary School District | 984 |
| 577 | Meridian Elementary School District | 978 |
| 578 | Esparto Unified School District | 976 |
| 579 | Oak Grove Union Elementary School District | 975 |
| 580 | Spreckels Union Elementary School District | 974 |
| 581 | Durham Unified School District | 960 |
| 582 | Corning Union High School District | 959 |
| 583 | Liberty Elementary School District | 958 |
| 584 | Terra Bella Union Elementary School District | 946 |
| 585 | Jamul-Dulzura Union Elementary School District | 945 |
| 586 | Wagh Elementary School District | 942 |
| 587 | Washington Union Elementary School District | 933 |
| 588 | Mupu Elementary School District | 917 |
| 589 | Sebastopol Union Elementary School District | 898 |
| 590 | Orchard Elementary School District | 890 |
| 591 | Raisin City Elementary School District | 883 |
| 592 | Nevada City Elementary School District | 879 |
| 593 | Lassen Union High School District | 873 |
| 594 | South Bay Union Elementary School District | 869 |
| 595 | McSwain Union Elementary School District | 865 |
| 596 | Borrego Springs Unified School District | 864 |
| 597 | Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District | 858 |
| 598 | Strathmore Union Elementary School District | 858 |
| 599 | Westside Elementary School District | 854 |
| 600 | San Miguel Joint Union School District | 849 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 601 | Kernville Union Elementary School District | 840 |
| 602 | Needles Unified School District | 835 |
| 603 | Calistoga Joint Unified School District | 832 |
| 604 | Modoc Joint Unified School District | 823 |
| 605 | Vineland Elementary School District | 823 |
| 606 | Columbia Elementary School District | 820 |
| 607 | Sundale Union Elementary School District | 820 |
| 608 | Mark Twain Union Elementary School District | 816 |
| 609 | Placer Hills Union Elementary School District | 801 |
| 610 | Banta Elementary School District | 795 |
| 611 | Mattole Unified School District | 780 |
| 612 | Kings River-Hardwick Union Elementary School District | 778 |
| 613 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | 776 |
| 614 | Planada Elementary School District | 766 |
| 615 | San Pasqual Valley Unified School District | 759 |
| 616 | El Tejon Unified School District | 744 |
| 617 | North County Joint Union Elementary School District | 742 |
| 618 | Wheatland Union High School District | 735 |
| 619 | Cardiff Elementary School District | 731 |
| 620 | Sutter Union High School District | 726 |
| 621 | Bret Harte Union High School District | 723 |
| 622 | Hamilton Unified School District | 719 |
| 623 | Penn Valley Union Elementary School District | 717 |
| 624 | Harmony Union Elementary School District | 714 |
| 625 | Antelope Elementary School District | 712 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 626 | Pollock Pines Elementary School District | 706 |
| 627 | Gravenstein Union Elementary School District | 704 |
| 628 | Laton Joint Unified School District | 704 |
| 629 | Coast Unified School District | 703 |
| 630 | Stone Corral Elementary School District | 702 |
| 631 | Emery Unified School District | 695 |
| 632 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | 691 |
| 633 | Yreka Union High School District | 670 |
| 634 | Ravendale-Termo Elementary School District | 665 |
| 635 | Sonora Elementary School District | 660 |
| 636 | Trinity Alps Unified School District | 660 |
| 637 | Scott Valley Unified School District | 658 |
| 638 | West Park Elementary School District | 657 |
| 639 | Grant Elementary School District | 655 |
| 640 | Richgrove Elementary School District | 651 |
| 641 | Gold Trail Union Elementary School District | 637 |
| 642 | Union Hill Elementary School District | 634 |
| 643 | Alpaugh Unified School District | 629 |
| 644 | Portola Valley Elementary School District | 629 |
| 645 | Buellton Union Elementary School District | 626 |
| 646 | Tipton Elementary School District | 612 |
| 647 | Chatom Union School District | 597 |
| 648 | Solvang Elementary School District | 591 |
| 649 | Pacific Union Elementary School District | 588 |
| 650 | Siskiyou Union High School District | 579 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 651 | Cutten Elementary School District | 577 |
| 652 | Vallecito Union School District | 577 |
| 653 | Pacheco Union Elementary School District | 575 |
| 654 | Lost Hills Union Elementary School District | 574 |
| 655 | Alta Vista Elementary School District | 573 |
| 656 | Los Molinos Unified School District | 567 |
| 657 | Briggs Elementary School District | 561 |
| 658 | Columbia Union School District | 556 |
| 659 | San Pasqual Union Elementary School District | 553 |
| 660 | Luther Burbank School District | 552 |
| 661 | Mendocino Unified School District | 551 |
| 662 | Biggs Unified School District | 542 |
| 663 | Anderson Valley Unified School District | 540 |
| 664 | Happy Valley Union Elementary School District | 537 |
| 665 | Knightsen Elementary School District | 532 |
| 666 | Camino Union Elementary School District | 529 |
| 667 | Palo Verde Union Elementary School District | 529 |
| 668 | Pleasant View Elementary School District | 522 |
| 669 | Sausalito Marin City School District | 521 |
| 670 | Upper Lake Union Elementary School District | 521 |
| 671 | Shoreline Unified School District | 519 |
| 672 | Oak Valley Union Elementary School District | 518 |
| 673 | Mt. Shasta Union Elementary School District | 517 |
| 674 | Le Grand Union High School District | 505 |
| 675 | Soulsbyville Elementary School District | 503 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 676 | Loma Prieta Joint Union Elementary School District | 496 |
| 677 | Ferndale Unified School District | 494 |
| 678 | Camptonville Elementary School District | 489 |
| 679 | Woodville Union Elementary School District | 481 |
| 680 | Franklin Elementary School District | 477 |
| 681 | Los Olivos Elementary School District | 471 |
| 682 | Gold Oak Union Elementary School District | 463 |
| 683 | Jamestown Elementary School District | 462 |
| 684 | Kings River Union Elementary School District | 462 |
| 685 | Monson-Sultana Joint Union Elementary School District | 461 |
| 686 | Tulelake Basin Joint Unified School District | 460 |
| 687 | Brittan Elementary School District | 457 |
| 688 | Brisbane Elementary School District | 456 |
| 689 | Curtis Creek Elementary School District | 449 |
| 690 | Meadows Union Elementary School District | 449 |
| 691 | Montecito Union Elementary School District | 448 |
| 692 | Woodside Elementary School District | 438 |
| 693 | Jacoby Creek Elementary School District | 427 |
| 694 | Washington Colony Elementary School District | 427 |
| 695 | Liberty Elementary School District | 414 |
| 696 | Kit Carson Union Elementary School District | 411 |
| 697 | Oak View Union Elementary School District | 411 |
| 698 | College Elementary School District | 408 |
| 699 | Rockford Elementary School District | 407 |
| 700 | Gerber Union Elementary School District | 404 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 701 | Laytonville Unified School District | 404 |
| 702 | Eastern Sierra Unified School District | 399 |
| 703 | Vallecitos Elementary School District | 396 |
| 704 | Round Valley Unified School District | 394 |
| 705 | Foresthill Union Elementary School District | 393 |
| 706 | Le Grand Union Elementary School District | 392 |
| 707 | Pacific Union Elementary School District | 385 |
| 708 | Summerville Elementary School District | 385 |
| 709 | Westwood Unified School District | 382 |
| 710 | Bayshore Elementary School District | 378 |
| 711 | Arcohe Union Elementary School District | 374 |
| 712 | Lone Pine Unified School District | 374 |
| 713 | Island Union Elementary School District | 373 |
| 714 | Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District | 372 |
| 715 | Ross Elementary School District | 367 |
| 716 | Westmorland Union Elementary School District | 363 |
| 717 | Bella Vista Elementary School District | 355 |
| 718 | Forestville Union Elementary School District | 354 |
| 719 | Alview-Dairyland Union Elementary School District | 352 |
| 720 | Sunnyside Union Elementary School District | 352 |
| 721 | Arena Union Elementary School District | 347 |
| 722 | Seeley Union Elementary School District | 345 |
| 723 | Ballico-Cressey Elementary School District | 344 |
| 724 | Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District | 343 |
| 725 | La Honda-Pescadero Unified School District | 340 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 726 | Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District | 339 |
| 727 | Chualar Union School District | 337 |
| 728 | Freshwater Elementary School District | 336 |
| 729 | Elverta Joint Elementary School District | 334 |
| 730 | Rio Dell Elementary School District | 331 |
| 731 | Janesville Union Elementary School District | 328 |
| 732 | Colfax Elementary School District | 320 |
| 733 | Lakeside Union Elementary School District | 318 |
| 734 | Lassen View Union Elementary School District | 314 |
| 735 | Fort Sage Unified School District | 313 |
| 736 | Maxwell Unified School District | 312 |
| 737 | Sequoia Union Elementary School District | 305 |
| 738 | Butte Valley Unified School District | 302 |
| 739 | Upper Lake Union High School District | 302 |
| 740 | East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District | 301 |
| 741 | Warner Unified School District | 297 |
| 742 | Mountain Valley Unified School District | 296 |
| 743 | Pioneer Union Elementary School District | 292 |
| 744 | Shandon Joint Unified School District | 292 |
| 745 | Lagunitas Elementary School District | 286 |
| 746 | Manzanita Elementary School District | 284 |
| 747 | Maple Elementary School District | 282 |
| 748 | Springville Union Elementary School District | 278 |
| 749 | Sunol Glen Unified School District | 278 |
| 750 | Twain Harte School District | 274 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 751 | Guerneville Elementary School District | 270 |
| 752 | Millville Elementary School District | 266 |
| 753 | Lucerne Elementary School District | 263 |
| 754 | Cinnabar Elementary School District | 257 |
| 755 | Waukena Joint Union Elementary School District | 257 |
| 756 | Geyserville Unified School District | 253 |
| 757 | Clay Joint Elementary School District | 250 |
| 758 | Trona Joint Unified School District | 250 |
| 759 | South Fork Union School District | 249 |
| 760 | Junction Elementary School District | 246 |
| 761 | Weed Union Elementary School District | 244 |
| 762 | Richfield Elementary School District | 243 |
| 763 | Southside Elementary School District | 243 |
| 764 | Somis Union School District | 237 |
| 765 | Hope Elementary School District | 236 |
| 766 | Wilmar Union Elementary School District | 234 |
| 767 | Cuyama Joint Unified School District | 233 |
| 768 | Potter Valley Community Unified School District | 230 |
| 769 | Semitropic Elementary School District | 230 |
| 770 | Johnstonville Elementary School District | 227 |
| 771 | Loleta Union Elementary School District | 227 |
| 772 | Richmond Elementary School District | 226 |
| 773 | Traver Joint Elementary School District | 226 |
| 774 | North Cow Creek Elementary School District | 225 |
| 775 | Hughes-Elizabeth Lakes Union Elementary School District | 223 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 776 | Scotia Union Elementary School District | 220 |
| 777 | New Hope Elementary School District | 216 |
| 778 | Shaffer Union Elementary School District | 209 |
| 779 | Columbine Elementary School District | 208 |
| 780 | Pond Union Elementary School District | 208 |
| 781 | Di Giorgio Elementary School District | 207 |
| 782 | Butteville Union Elementary School District | 205 |
| 783 | Black Butte Union Elementary School District | 204 |
| 784 | Elk Hills Elementary School District | 203 |
| 785 | Capay Joint Union Elementary School District | 201 |
| 786 | Dunham Elementary School District | 201 |
| 787 | Pleasant Grove Joint Union School District | 201 |
| 788 | Montague Elementary School District | 200 |
| 789 | Monroe Elementary School District | 197 |
| 790 | Winship-Robbins School District | 197 |
| 791 | Paradise Elementary School District | 196 |
| 792 | Cayucos Elementary School District | 193 |
| 793 | Ducor Union Elementary School District | 191 |
| 794 | Grenada Elementary School District | 190 |
| 795 | Big Pine Unified School District | 189 |
| 796 | Blue Lake Union Elementary School District | 188 |
| 797 | Buena Vista Elementary School District | 187 |
| 798 | Big Valley Joint Unified School District | 186 |
| 799 | Douglas City Elementary School District | 186 |
| 800 | Trinidad Union Elementary School District | 184 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 801 | Hydesville Elementary School District | 183 |
| 802 | Princeton Joint Unified School District | 177 |
| 803 | Golden Feather Union Elementary School District | 176 |
| 804 | Chicago Park Elementary School District | 173 |
| 805 | Lake Elementary School District | 173 |
| 806 | El Nido Elementary School District | 172 |
| 807 | Alvina Elementary School District | 171 |
| 808 | San Antonio Union Elementary School District | 170 |
| 809 | Mt. Baldy Joint Elementary School District | 167 |
| 810 | West Side Union Elementary School District | 166 |
| 811 | Shasta Union Elementary School District | 165 |
| 812 | Baker Valley Unified School District | 162 |
| 813 | Two Rock Union School District | 161 |
| 814 | Plaza Elementary School District | 160 |
| 815 | Cold Spring Elementary School District | 158 |
| 816 | Fieldbrook Elementary School District | 157 |
| 817 | Julian Union High School District | 157 |
| 818 | General Shafter Elementary School District | 153 |
| 819 | Point Arena Joint Union High School District | 153 |
| 820 | Browns Elementary School District | 150 |
| 821 | Kenwood School District | 150 |
| 822 | Merced River Union Elementary School District | 150 |
| 823 | Clear Creek Elementary School District | 149 |
| 824 | Bonny Doon Union Elementary School District | 146 |
| 825 | Nuestro Elementary School District | 145 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 826 | Valley Home Joint Elementary School District | 144 |
| 827 | Three Rivers Union Elementary School District | 143 |
| 828 | Shiloh Elementary School District | 141 |
| 829 | Tres Pinos Union Elementary School District | 141 |
| 830 | Big Springs Union Elementary School District | 137 |
| 831 | Gratton Elementary School District | 137 |
| 832 | Round Valley Joint Elementary School District | 136 |
| 833 | Happy Valley Elementary School District | 134 |
| 834 | Pleasant Valley Joint Union Elementary School District | 133 |
| 835 | Ballard Elementary School District | 132 |
| 836 | Magnolia Union Elementary School District | 130 |
| 837 | Mission Union Elementary School District | 129 |
| 838 | Plainsburg Union Elementary School District | 129 |
| 839 | Reeds Creek Elementary School District | 126 |
| 840 | Cuddeback Union Elementary School District | 123 |
| 841 | Latrobe School District | 123 |
| 842 | Burrel Union Elementary School District | 121 |
| 843 | Midway Elementary School District | 120 |
| 844 | Mountain Elementary School District | 120 |
| 845 | Alexander Valley Union Elementary School District | 119 |
| 846 | Bellevue Elementary School District | 118 |
| 847 | Vista del Mar Union School District | 118 |
| 848 | Bolinas-Stinson Union School District | 117 |
| 849 | Roberts Ferry Union Elementary School District | 117 |
| 850 | Happy Camp Union Elementary School District | 116 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 851 | Bangor Union Elementary School District | 114 |
| 852 | Surprise Valley Joint Unified School District | 114 |
| 853 | Pacific Elementary School District | 108 |
| 854 | Stony Creek Joint Unified School District | 106 |
| 855 | Alta-Dutch Flat Union Elementary School District | 103 |
| 856 | Howell Mountain Elementary School District | 101 |
| 857 | Southern Trinity Joint Unified School District | 101 |
| 858 | Lagunita Elementary School District | 100 |
| 859 | San Ardo Union Elementary School District | 100 |
| 860 | Outside Creek Elementary School District | 99 |
| 861 | Twin Ridges Elementary School District | 97 |
| 862 | Big Sur Unified School District | 96 |
| 863 | Burnt Ranch Elementary School District | 96 |
| 864 | Snelling-Merced Falls Union Elementary School District | 96 |
| 865 | Pine Ridge Elementary School District | 95 |
| 866 | Lakeside Joint School District | 93 |
| 867 | Leggett Valley Unified School District | 92 |
| 868 | Kirkwood Elementary School District | 91 |
| 869 | Bradley Union Elementary School District | 89 |
| 870 | Junction City Elementary School District | 89 |
| 871 | Monte Rio Union Elementary School District | 89 |
| 872 | Mulberry Elementary School District | 85 |
| 873 | Allensworth Elementary School District | 84 |
| 874 | Knights Ferry Elementary School District | 84 |
| 875 | Whitmore Union Elementary School District | 84 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 876 | Alpine County Unified School District | 83 |
| 877 | Raymond-Knowles Union Elementary School District | 83 |
| 878 | Saucelito Elementary School District | 82 |
| 879 | Owens Valley Unified School District | 81 |
| 880 | Dunsmuir Elementary School District | 79 |
| 881 | McKittrick Elementary School District | 78 |
| 882 | Pioneer Union Elementary School District | 74 |
| 883 | Canyon Elementary School District | 68 |
| 884 | Mountain Union Elementary School District | 68 |
| 885 | McCloud Union Elementary School District | 66 |
| 886 | Castle Rock Union Elementary School District | 61 |
| 887 | Horicon Elementary School District | 61 |
| 888 | Igo-Ono-Platina Union School District | 57 |
| 889 | Garfield Elementary School District | 58 |
| 890 | Santa Clara Elementary School District | 56 |
| 891 | Dunsmuir Joint Union High School District | 55 |
| 892 | Nicasio School District | 55 |
| 893 | Delphic Elementary School District | 54 |
| 894 | San Lucas Union Elementary School District | 52 |
| 895 | Big Creek Elementary School District | 51 |
| 896 | Lewiston Elementary School District | 51 |
| 897 | Feather Falls Union Elementary School District | 50 |
| 898 | Pope Valley Union Elementary School District | 50 |
| 899 | Caliente Union Elementary School District | 49 |
| 900 | Peninsula Union School District | 43 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 901 | Hornbrook Elementary School District | 42 |
| 902 | Manchester Union Elementary School District | 42 |
| 903 | Belridge Elementary School District | 40 |
| 904 | Big Lagoon Union Elementary School District | 40 |
| 905 | Linns Valley-Poso Flat Union School District | 40 |
| 906 | Willow Creek Elementary School District | 39 |
| 907 | Junction Elementary School District | 37 |
| 908 | Gazelle Union Elementary School District | 36 |
| 909 | Graves Elementary School District | 36 |
| 910 | Bridgeville Elementary School District | 35 |
| 911 | Death Valley Unified School District | 35 |
| 912 | Oak Run Elementary School District | 33 |
| 913 | Fort Ross Elementary School District | 32 |
| 914 | French Gulch-Whiskeytown Elementary School District | 32 |
| 915 | Flournoy Union Elementary School District | 30 |
| 916 | Citrus South Tule Elementary School District | 29 |
| 917 | Bitterwater-Tully Elementary School District | 27 |
| 918 | Kneeland Elementary School District | 27 |
| 919 | Seiad Elementary School District | 27 |
| 920 | Montgomery Elementary School District | 26 |
| 921 | Cienega Union Elementary School District | 25 |
| 922 | Desert Center Unified School District | 24 |
| 923 | Mountain House Elementary School District | 22 |
| 924 | Laguna Joint Elementary School District | 18 |
| 925 | Willow Grove Union Elementary School District | 18 |

Table A-1
California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment

| Rank | District | Total |
|--------------|--|------------------|
| 926 | Indian Diggings Elementary School District | 17 |
| 927 | Indian Springs Elementary School District | 16 |
| 928 | Kashia Elementary School District | 16 |
| 929 | Elkins Elementary School District | 15 |
| 930 | Hot Springs Elementary School District | 15 |
| 931 | Little Shasta Elementary School District | 14 |
| 932 | Orick Elementary School District | 13 |
| 933 | Coffee Creek Elementary School District | 12 |
| 934 | Forks of Salmon Elementary School District | 11 |
| 935 | Jefferson Elementary School District | 11 |
| 936 | Trinity Center Elementary School District | 11 |
| 937 | Maple Creek Elementary School District | 10 |
| 938 | Klamath River Union Elementary School District | 9 |
| 939 | Silver Fork Elementary School District | 9 |
| 940 | Union Joint Elementary School District | 9 |
| 941 | Green Point Elementary School District | 8 |
| 942 | Panoche Elementary School District | 7 |
| 943 | Bogus Elementary School District | 6 |
| 944 | Blake Elementary School District | 5 |
| 945 | Lincoln Elementary School District | 5 |
| TOTAL | | 6,180,666 |

Table A-2
California Community College District Enrollment Fall 2014
Ranked by Number of Students

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 1 | Los Angeles Community College District | 151,141 |
| 2 | Los Rios Community College District | 73,093 |
| 3 | San Diego Community College District | 71,704 |
| 4 | North Orange Community College District | 56,215 |
| 5 | Rancho Santiago Community College District | 55,022 |
| 6 | San Francisco Community College District | 46,734 |
| 7 | Coast Community College District | 43,150 |
| 8 | South Orange County Community College District | 38,727 |
| 9 | Foothill Community College District | 37,639 |
| 10 | State Center Community College District | 36,854 |
| 11 | Riverside Community College District | 35,889 |
| 12 | Contra Costa Community College District | 35,393 |
| 13 | Mt. San Antonio Community College District | 35,280 |
| 14 | Ventura Community College District | 33,350 |
| 15 | Santa Monica Community College District | 32,166 |
| 16 | Pasadena Community College District | 29,545 |
| 17 | Peralta Community College District | 28,605 |
| 18 | Sonoma Community College District | 26,288 |
| 19 | Kern Community College District | 26,190 |
| 20 | Grossmont Community College District | 26,085 |
| 21 | Palomar Community College District | 25,989 |
| 22 | San Mateo Community College District | 24,927 |
| 23 | Long Beach Community College District | 24,889 |

Table A-2
California Community College District Enrollment Fall 2014
Ranked by Number of Students

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 24 | El Camino Community College District | 24,263 |
| 25 | Cerritos Community College District | 24,053 |
| 26 | Chabot-Las Positas Community College District | 21,717 |
| 27 | Chaffey Community College District | 20,226 |
| 28 | Yosemite Community College District | 20,216 |
| 29 | Santa Barbara Community College District | 20,155 |
| 30 | Southwestern Community College District | 19,917 |
| 31 | Glendale Community College District | 19,502 |
| 32 | West Valley Community College District | 18,967 |
| 33 | Sierra Community College District | 18,635 |
| 34 | San Bernardino Community College District | 18,519 |
| 35 | San Joaquin Delta Community College District | 17,864 |
| 36 | San Jose Community College District | 17,859 |
| 37 | Santa Clarita Community College District | 17,344 |
| 38 | Rio Hondo Community College District | 17,059 |
| 39 | MiraCosta Community College District | 16,175 |
| 40 | Mt. San Jacinto Community College District | 15,925 |
| 41 | Antelope Community College District | 14,460 |
| 42 | Cabrillo Community College District | 13,444 |
| 43 | Allan Hancock Community College District | 13,211 |
| 44 | Citrus Community College District | 13,101 |
| 45 | Butte Community College District | 12,824 |
| 46 | Victor Valley Community College District | 11,432 |
| 47 | Merced Community College District | 11,072 |

Table A-2
California Community College District Enrollment Fall 2014
Ranked by Number of Students

| Rank | District | Total |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| 48 | Ohlone Community College District | 11,065 |
| 49 | Sequoias Community College District | 10,908 |
| 50 | Desert Community College District | 10,782 |
| 51 | Solano Community College District | 9,718 |
| 52 | Hartnell Community College District | 9,628 |
| 53 | San Luis Obispo Community College District | 9,533 |
| 54 | Yuba Community College District | 9,082 |
| 55 | Shasta Tehama Community College District | 8,475 |
| 56 | Monterey Community College District | 8,464 |
| 57 | Imperial Community College District | 8,067 |
| 58 | Compton Community College District | 7,716 |
| 59 | Napa Community College District | 6,583 |
| 60 | West Hills Community College District | 6,429 |
| 61 | Marin Community College District | 6,418 |
| 62 | Gavilan Community College District | 6,298 |
| 63 | West Kern Community College District | 5,051 |
| 64 | Redwoods Community College District | 4,797 |
| 65 | Mendocino Community College District | 3,830 |
| 66 | Palo Verde Community College District | 3,266 |
| 67 | Lake Tahoe Community College District | 2,521 |
| 68 | Siskiyou Community College District | 2,420 |
| 69 | Lassen Community College District | 2,194 |
| 70 | Barstow Community College District | 1,970 |
| 71 | Copper Mountain Community College District | 1,818 |

Table A-2
California Community College District Enrollment Fall 2014
Ranked by Number of Students

| Rank | District | Total |
|--------------|--|--------------|
| 72 | Feather River Community College District | 1,705 |
| TOTAL | | 1,571,553 |

Table A-3
Details of Bond Indebtedness Waiver Requests from California
School Districts to State Board of Education
2002 through March 2015

| | School District | Legal Limit | Request | Rate Recommended by Department of Education | Conditions Recommended by Department of Education | Final Action | Date Approved | Agenda Item with Links to Staff Reports | Waiver ID |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---|---|------------------------------|---------------|---|-----------|
| - | Stockton Unified School District | 2.50 % | | | Board approved unanimously March 24, 2015 for General Obligation Bonds. Submitted March 2015. | Pending | | N/A | |
| - | Stockton Unified School District | 2.50 % | | | Board approved unanimously March 24, 2015 for E-Tech Bonds. Submitted March 2015. | Pending | | N/A | |
| - | Robla School District | 1.25 % | | | Board hearing February 26, 2015. | Not yet submitted | | N/A | |
| - | Oak Grove School District | 1.25 % | | | Discussion item on February 12, 2015 board agenda: "State of California Bonding Capacity Waiver - Debt Waiver Process." | No action yet taken by board | | N/A | |
| - | Greenfield Union School District | 1.25 % | | | Submitted February 2015. | Pending | | N/A | |
| - | El Monte City School District | 1.25 % | | | Submitted January 2015. | Pending | | N/A | |
| - | Wiseburn Unified School District | 2.50 % | | | Submitted January 2015. | Withdrawn | | N/A | |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--------|--------|------------------|--|---------------------|------------|--|----------|
| 1 | Planada Elementary School District | 1.25 % | 2.25 % | 1.96% then 2.01% | That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure, (5) the citizens' oversight committee is established and supports the waiver and intended expenditures prior to the sale of the bonds, and (6) the district complies with the statutory requirements of Assembly Bill 182 related to school bonds which became effective January 1, 2014. | Approved by Consent | 2014-11-13 | Item W-14 and Item W-14 Addendum | 5-9-2014 |
|---|------------------------------------|--------|--------|------------------|--|---------------------|------------|--|----------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|---------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| 2 | Larkspur-Corte Madera School District | 1.25 % | 1.50 % | 1.50% | That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure, and (5) the district complies with the statutory requirements of Assembly Bill 182 related to school bonds which became effective January 1, 2014. | Approved by Consent | 2014-09-03 | Item W-09 | 25-6-2014 |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|---------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|---------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| 3 | Dehesa School District | 1.25 % | 1.58 % | 1.58% | That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure, and (5) the district complies with the statutory requirements of Assembly Bill 182 related to school bonds which became effective January 1, 2014. | Approved by Consent | 2014-05-07 | Item W-09 | 84-2-2014 |
|---|------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|---------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--|---------------------|------------|---------------------------|----------|
| 4 | Alvord Unified School District | 2.50 % | 3.67 % | 3.67% | That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate, and (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure noted on Attachment 1. | Approved by Consent | 2013-11-06 | Item W-08 | 2-8-2013 |
|---|--------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--|---------------------|------------|---------------------------|----------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|----------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| 5 | Weaver Union School District | 1.25 % | 2.26 % | 2.26% | That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure noted on Attachment 1, (5) the district obtain approval from the Citizens' Oversight Committee before issuing any bonds, and (6) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of the waiver if the debt ratio goes above the statutory tax rate limit. | Approved by 9-0 vote | 2013-09-04 | Item W-09 | 23-5-2013 |
|---|------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|----------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------|--------|-------|---|--|------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| 6 | Centinela Valley Union High School District | 1.25 % | 1.65 % | 1.55% | That the total bonded indebtedness limits be waived for each district with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period shown on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate limits, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure shown on Attachment 1, and (5) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of these waivers if the debt ratio goes above the statutory tax rate limit. | Approved by 9-0 vote after amendment to strike condition (5) of staff recommendation | 2013-05-08 | Item W-29 | 27-1-2013 |
|---|---|--------|--------|-------|---|--|------------|---------------------------|-----------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|--|---------------------------|------------|
| 7 | Jefferson Elementary School District | 1.25 % | 2.25 % | 1.92% | That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure noted on Attachment 1, and (5) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of this waiver if the debt ratio goes above 1.25 percent. | Approved by 9-0 vote after amendment to strike condition (5) of staff recommendation | 2013-05-08 (Held over from 2013-03-13) | Item W-30 | 56-10-2012 |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|--|---------------------------|------------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|--|------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| 8 | Lindsay Unified School District | 2.50 % | 3.50 % | 3.50% | That the total bonded indebtedness limits be waived for each district with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period shown on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate limits, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure shown on Attachment 1, and (5) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of these waivers if the debt ratio goes above the statutory tax rate limit. | Approved by 9-0 vote after amendment to strike condition (5) of staff recommendation | 2013-05-08 | Item W-29 | 38-2-2013 |
|---|---------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|--|------------|---------------------------|-----------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|--|------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| 9 | Oxnard School District | 1.25 % | 1.50 % | 1.50% | That the total bonded indebtedness limits be waived for each district with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period shown on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate limits, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure shown on Attachment 1, and (5) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of these waivers if the debt ratio goes above the statutory tax rate limit. | Approved by 9-0 vote after amendment to strike condition (5) of staff recommendation | 2013-05-08 | Item W-29 | 51-1-2013 |
|---|------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|--|------------|---------------------------|-----------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----|----------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|--|------------|---------------------------|--------|
| 10 | Stockton Unified School District | 2.50 % | 4.23 % | 4.23% | That the total bonded indebtedness limits be waived for each district with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period shown on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate limits, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure shown on Attachment 1, and (5) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of these waivers if the debt ratio goes above the statutory tax rate limit. | Approved by 9-0 vote after amendment to strike condition (5) of staff recommendation | 2013-05-08 | Item W-29 | 2-3-13 |
|----|----------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|--|------------|---------------------------|--------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|--------|--------|-------|---|--|------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| 1 1 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | 2.50 % | 5.00 % | 5.00% | That the total bonded indebtedness limits be waived for each district with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period shown on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate limits, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure shown on Attachment 1, and (5) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of these waivers if the debt ratio goes above the statutory tax rate limit. | Approved by 9-0 vote after amendment to strike condition (5) of staff recommendation | 2013-05-08 | Item W-29 | 57-1-2013 |
|--------|---|--------|--------|-------|---|--|------------|---------------------------|-----------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----------|-----------|-------|---|--|------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| 1 2 | Westside Union School District | 1.25 % | 1.33 % | 1.33% | The California Department of Education (CDE) recommends that the total bonded indebtedness limits be waived for each district with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period shown on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate limits, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure shown on Attachment 1, and (5) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of these waivers if the debt ratio goes above the statutory tax rate limit. | Approved by 9-0 vote after amendment to strike condition (5) of staff recommendation | 2013-05-08 | Item W-29 | 35-2-2 013 |
|--------|---|-----------|-----------|-------|---|--|------------|---------------------------|---------------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|-----------------------------------|--------|-------|---|--|------------|---------------------------|------------|
| 13 | Alum Rock Union Elementary School District | 1.25 % | 1.95 % | 1.75% | That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the following conditions: 1) the district's total bonded indebtedness, as a percent of assessed valuation, does not exceed 1.75 percent, 2) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2012 election, 3) the tax rate levied at the time of bond issuance does not exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds, 4) the waiver is limited to two years less one day, and 5) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of this waiver if the debt ratio goes above 1.25 percent. | Approved by 9-0 vote after amendment to strike condition (5) of staff recommendation | 2013-03-13 | Item W-07 | 63-10-2012 |
| 14 | Pittsburg Unified School District | 3.58 % (based on previous waiver) | 5.00 % | 5.00% | The bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the condition that each district's total bonded indebtedness as a percent of assessed valuation, does not exceed the percent shown on Attachment 1 and that the tax rate levied at the time of bond issuance does not exceed the amount shown on Attachment 1. | Approved by Consent | 2012-07-18 | Item W-14 | 168-2-2012 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|--------|---------|--------|---|---------------------|------------|---|------------|
| 15 | Savanna Elementary School District | 1.25 % | 2.50 % | 2.50% | That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the condition that each district's total bonded indebtedness as a percent of assessed valuation, does not exceed the percent shown on Attachment 1 and that the tax rate levied at the time of bond issuance does not exceed the amount shown on Attachment 1. | Approved by Consent | 2012-07-18 | Item W-14 | 132-2-2012 |
| - | Folsom-Cordova Unified School District (Measure M) | 2.50 % | 10.20 % | 10.20% | That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the condition that each district's total bonded indebtedness as a percent of assessed valuation, does not exceed the percent shown on Attachment 1 and that the tax rate levied at the time of bond issuance does not exceed the amount shown on Attachment 1. | Withdrawn | 2012-03-07 | Item W-14 and Item W-14 Attachment 1 and Item W-14 Attachment 3 | 79-12-2011 |
| 16 | Folsom-Cordova Unified School District (Measure N) | 2.50 % | 3.40 % | 3.40% | That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the condition that each district's total bonded indebtedness as a percent of assessed valuation, does not exceed the percent shown on Attachment 1 and that the tax rate levied at the time of bond issuance does not exceed the amount shown on Attachment 1. | Approved by Consent | 2012-03-07 | Item W-14 and Item W-14 Attachment 1 and Item W-14 Attachment 4 | 80-12-2011 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|---------------------|------------|---|------------|
| 17 | Hawthorne Elementary School District | 1.25 % | 1.55 % | 1.55% | The California Department of Education recommends that the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the condition that each district's total bonded indebtedness as a percent of assessed valuation, does not exceed the percent shown on Attachment 1 and that the tax rate levied at the time of bond issuance does not exceed the amount shown on Attachment 1. | Approved by Consent | 2012-03-07 | Item W-14 and Item W-14 Attachment 1 and Item W-14 Attachment 2 | 29-10-2011 |
| 18 | San Ysidro School District | 1.25 % | 3.00 % | 3.00% | That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the condition that each district's total bonded indebtedness as a percent of assessed valuation, does not exceed the percent shown on Attachment 1 and that the tax rate levied at the time of bond issuance does not exceed the amount shown on Attachment 1. | Approved by Consent | 2012-03-07 | Item W-14 and Item W-14 Attachment 1 and Item W-14 Attachment 5 | 62-12-2012 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|---------------------|------------|--|-----------|
| 19 | Twin Rivers Unified School District | 1.25 % | 2.50 % | 2.50% | That the board approve the district's request with the following conditions: The waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the June 2006 election and the bonded indebtedness will not exceed 2.5 percent of assessed valuation. In addition, at no time before issuance of any additional authorized bonds will the tax levy exceed \$30 per \$100,000 of taxable property. | Approved by Consent | 2011-11-09 | Item W-11 and Item W-11 Attachment 1 | 14-5-2011 |
| 20 | Moreland School District | 1.25 % | 1.57 % | 1.57% | That the board approve the district's request with the following conditions: The waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2010 election and the bonded indebtedness will not exceed 1.57 percent of assessed valuation. In addition, at no time before issuance of any additional authorized bonds will the tax levy exceed the \$30 per \$100,000 of taxable property authorized by the voters to secure the bonds. | Approved by Consent | 2011-07-13 | Item WC-8 General and Item WC-8 Attachment 1 | 5-4-2011 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|--------|--------|-------|--|---------------------|------------|--|-------------|
| 21 | El Monte Union High School District | 1.25 % | 2.00 % | 2.00% | That the bonded indebtedness limit of El Monte Union High School District (UHSD) be waived provided it does not exceed 2.0 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2008 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the \$30 per \$100,000 of taxable property estimated by the voters to secure the bonds. | Approved by Consent | 2011-03-09 | Item W-5 General and Item W-5 Attachment 1 | 174-12-2012 |
| 22 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | 2.50 % | 5.00 % | 5.00% | That the bonded indebtedness limit of West Contra Costa Unified School District be waived provided it does not exceed 5.0 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the June 2010 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the \$60 per \$100,000 of taxable property authorized by the voters to secure the bonds. | Approved by Consent | 2011-03-09 | Item W-6 General and Item W-6 Attachment 1 | 200-12-2010 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----------|-----------|-------|---|------------------------|------------|--|------------|
| 2 3 | Wiseburn Unified School District | 1.25 % | 2.20 % | 2.20% | That the bonded indebtedness limit of Wiseburn Elementary School District be waived provided it does not exceed 2.20 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2010 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds. | Approved by Consent | 2011-03-09 | Item WC-4 General I and Item WC-4 Attachment I | 46-12-2010 |
| 2 4 | Alvord Unified School District | 2.50 % | 2.60 % | 2.60% | That the bonded indebtedness limit of Alvord Unified School District be waived provided it does not exceed 2.6 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district, and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2007 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds. | Approved by Consent | 2011-01-12 | Item W-12 General I and Item W-12 Attachment I | 67-10-2010 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|---------------------|------------|--|------------|
| 25 | Pittsburg Unified School District | 2.50 % | 3.58 % | 3.58% | That the bonded indebtedness limit of Pittsburg Unified School District be waived provided it does not exceed 3.58 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district, and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2006 and November 2010 elections. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds. | Approved by Consent | 2011-01-12 | Item W-13 General and Item W-13 Attachment 1 | 48-10-2010 |
| 26 | Stockton Unified School District | 2.50 % | 3.28 % | 3.28% | That the bonded indebtedness limit of Stockton Unified School District be waived provided it does not exceed 3.28 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district, and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the February 2008 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds. | Approved by Consent | 2011-01-12 | Item W-14 General and Item W-14 Attachment 1 | 69-10-2010 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|--------|--------|-------|---|---------------------|------------|--|-----------|
| 27 | Piedmont Unified School District | 2.50 % | 2.80 % | 2.79% | That the bonded indebtedness limit of Piedmont Unified School District be waived provided it does not exceed 2.79 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the March 2006 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds. | Approved by Consent | 2010-05-05 | Item WC-17 and Item WC-17 Attachment 1 | 21-3-2010 |
| 28 | Delano Joint Union High School District | 1.25 % | 2.91 % | 2.25% | That the bonded indebtedness of Delano Joint Union High School District not exceed 2.25 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2005 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds. | Approved by Consent | 2009-09-16 | Item W-6 and W-6 Attachment 1 | 1-9-2009 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|---------------------|------------|--|-----------|
| 29 | Richland School District | 1.25 % | 1.90 % | 1.90% | That the bonded indebtedness of Richland Union Elementary School District (UESD) not exceed 1.90 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2008 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds. | Approved by Consent | 2009-07-08 | Item W-9 and Item W-9 Attachment 1 | 39-5-2009 |
| 30 | El Monte City School District | 1.25 % | 1.72 % | 1.73% | That the bonded indebtedness of El Monte City Elementary School District not exceed 1.73 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2008 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds. | Approved by Consent | 2009-05-06 | Item W-38 and Item W-38 Attachment 1 | 9-5-2009 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|--------|--------|-------|--|----------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|
| 3 1 | Ross School District | 1.25 % | 1.43 % | 1.43% | That the district's bonded indebtedness does not exceed 1.43 percent of the assessed value of the district's taxable property, the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the June 2008 election, and the tax levy does not exceed the amount authorized by the voters. | Approved by Consent | 2009-05-06 | Item WC-7 | 8-3-2009 |
| 3 2 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | 2.50 % | 3.50 % | 3.13% | That the district's bonded indebtedness does not exceed 3.13 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district, the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2005 election, and the tax levy does not exceed the amount authorized by the voters. | Approved by 8-0 vote | 2009-03-11 | Item W-15 | 77-2-2009 |
| 3 3 | Planada Elementary School District | 1.25 % | 1.55 % | 2.55% | That the bonded indebtedness of Planada Elementary School District not exceed 2.55 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2008 election. | Approved by Consent | 2008-11-05 | Item W-14 | 41-12-2008 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|-----------|-----------|-------|--|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| 3 4 | Alisal Union School District | 1.25 % | 1.68 % | 1.68% | That the bonded indebtedness of Alisal Union Elementary School District not exceed 1.68 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2006 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds. | Approv ed by 8-0 vote. | 2008- 11-05 | Item W-12 | 8-8-20 08 |
| 3 5 | Lindsay Unified School District | 2.50 % | 2.81 % | 2.81% | That the bonded indebtedness of Lindsay Unified School District not exceed 2.81 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the February 2008 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds. | Approv ed by 8-0 vote. | 2008- 09-10 | Item W-13 | 9-8-20 08 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|-----------|-----------|-------|---|----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| 3 6 | El Monte City School District | 1.25 % | 1.43 % | 1.43% | That the bonded indebtedness of El Monte City Elementary School District not exceed 1.43 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2004 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds. | Approv ed by Consent | 2008- 07-09 | Item W-18 | 17-6-2 008 |
| 3 7 | Edison School District | 1.25 % | 1.50 % | 1.50% | That the bonded indebtedness of Edison Elementary School District not exceed 1.50 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the May 2004 election. | Approv ed by Consent | 2008- 01-09 | Item W-2 | 35-4-2 008 |
| 3 8 | Garvey Elementary School District | 1.25 % | 1.46 % | 1.46% | That the bonded indebtedness of Garvey Elementary School District not exceed 1.46 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2004 election. | Approv ed by Consent | 2007- 07-11 | Item W-3 | 13-10- 2007 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--|---------------------|------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| 39 | Bassett Unified School District | 2.50 % | 2.84 % | 2.84% | That the bonded indebtedness of Bassett Unified School District not exceed 2.84 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the November 2006 election, the waiver is effective until July 2012. | Approved by Consent | 2007-03-07 | Item W-4 | 48-4-2007 |
| 40 | Los Gatos Union School District | 1.25 % | 1.26 % | 1.26% | Approve with the condition that the bonded indebtedness of Los Gatos School District not exceed 1.26 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the June 2001 election. | Approved by Consent | 2007-01-10 | Item W-2 | 17-1-2007 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|---------------------|------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| 4 1 | Golden Valley Unified School District | 2.50 % | 4.71 % | 4.71% | Approve with the conditions that the: (1) bonded indebtedness of Golden Valley Unified School District not exceed 4.71 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district; (2) waiver is limited to the sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the June 1999 and 2006 elections; (3) bond repayment from property taxes not exceed the tax rate promised to the voters for the June 1999 and 2006 elections (\$100 per \$100,000 of assessed value and \$60 per \$100,000 of assessed value, respectively); and (4) waiver is effective until August 1, 2014. | Approved by Consent | 2006-01-12 | Item W-2 | 4-9-2006 |
| 4 2 | Alisal Union School District | 1.25 % | 1.40 % | 1.40% | That the condition that the bonded indebtedness of Alisal Union School District not exceed 1.40 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the November 1999 election. | Approved by Consent | 2005-01-13 | Item W-1 | 1-11-2005 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----------|-----------|-------|--|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| 4 3 | Greenfield Union School District | 1.25 % | 1.31 % | 1.31% | On the condition that the bonded indebtedness of Greenfield Union School District not exceed 1.31 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the March 1999 election. | Approv ed by 10-0 vote | 2004- 09-09 | Item W-3 | 2-11-2 004 |
| 4 4 | Moreland School District | 1.25 % | 1.76 % | 1.76% | On the condition that the bonded indebtedness of Moreland Elementary School District not exceed 1.76% of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the March 2002 election. | Approv ed by 9-0 vote | 2004- 09-09 | Item W-2 | 12-7-2 004 |
| 4 5 | San Ysidro School District | 1.25 % | 2.15 % | 2.15% | On the condition that the bonded indebtedness of San Ysidro School District not exceed 2.15% of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the March 1997 election. | Approv ed by 10-0 vote | 2002- 11-13 | Item W-3 | 19-7-2 004 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|--------|--------|-------|--|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| 46 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | 2.50 % | 3.00 % | 3.00% | On the condition that the bonded indebtedness of West Contra Costa Unified School District not exceed 3.0% of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the June 1998, November 2000, and March 2002 elections. | Approved unanimously. | 2009-09-16 | Item W-3 | 7-0-2002 |
| 47 | Union Elementary School District | 1.25 % | 1.69 % | 1.69% | For sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the June 1999 election. | Approved | 2001-11-07 | Item W-1 | 9-9-2001 |
| 48 | San Ysidro School District | 1.25 % | 1.56 % | 1.56% | For sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the March 1997 election. | Approved | 2001-03-07 | Item W-10 | 19-1-2001 |
| - | Oxnard School District | 1.25 % | 1.59 % | N/A | N/A | Withdrawn | N/A | | |
| - | Reef-Sunset Unified School District | 2.50 % | 2.75 % | N/A | N/A | Withdrawn | N/A | | |

Table A-4
California School Construction & Finance History

| Year | Event |
|------|---|
| 1879 | The California Constitution allows school districts to issue general obligation bonds subject to the approval of two-thirds of local voters. |
| 1947 | The State Allocation Board (SAB) is created to provide loans for school facilities paid for by proceeds from state bond measures. |
| 1978 | Proposition 13 embeds tax limitation language and a two-thirds voter threshold for tax increases in Article XIII of the California Constitution. It states that “the maximum amount of any <i>ad valorem</i> tax on real property shall not exceed one percent (1%) of the full cash value of such property” unless approved “by a two-thirds vote of the qualified electors of such district.” (<i>Ad valorem</i> means “according to value” in Latin and indicates a tax is imposed on the value of property - in other words, a property tax.) It also prohibits local governments from issuing General Obligation bonds. The state helps to fund school construction through grants. |
| 1980 | California voters reject Proposition 4, which would have restored the authority of local governments to issue bonds if two-thirds of voters authorize it. |
| 1982 | E.F. Hutton begins underwriting municipal Capital Appreciation Bonds, a year after the first corporate Capital Appreciation Bonds were issued. |
| 1986 | In the first of two statewide ballot measures to whittle away at Proposition 13, Proposition 46 amends Proposition 13 and allows school and college districts (and other local governments) to issue general obligation bonds if approved by a two-thirds vote. Community colleges and K-12 school districts have to consider the challenging but not impossible hurdle of winning two-thirds voter approval to obtain authorization to borrow money for construction. |
| 1993 | Senate Bill 872 gives K-12 school and community college districts authority to sell bonds at a public sale at face value, above face value (at a premium), or below face value (at a discount). It authorizes local governments to adopt "modern" additional and alternative methods to issue (sell) and refund (refinance) general obligation bonds secured by a general levy of ad valorem taxes, in particular zero-coupon bonds (Capital Appreciation Bonds). Supporters of the bill contend it would “let cities and counties stabilize their debt and get the best interest rates” and “likely result in improved debt structuring with correspondingly reduced costs to property taxpayers.” It would also allow local agencies to “access a wider pool of investors who are interested in a broader array of financing instruments, terms of maturity, and tender options.” No individual or organization opposes SB 872 or expresses formal concerns about it. It passes the State Senate 38-0 and passed the State Assembly 76-0, with the Senate then agreeing to minor amendments on a 37-0 vote. In 1994, school districts begin selling Capital Appreciation Bonds. |

Table A-4
California School Construction & Finance History

| Year | Event |
|------|--|
| 1996 | The California legislature and Governor Pete Wilson establish a “Class Size Reduction Program” to reduce the number of students per certificated teacher in various grades. School districts claim they lacked sufficient facilities to implement the requirement, and they blame Proposition 13 for the deficiency. In addition, some school districts “perceived sort of ad-hoc discretionary nature of decisions by the Board” in which certain school districts used connections and relationships with board members to gain an advantage in the disbursement process. Various interest groups began exploring ways to get more money for school construction. |
| 1998 | The California legislature passed and Governor Pete Wilson signs Senate Bill 50 , the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998. It establishes the current funding structure for school construction in California by incorporating both state and local funding sources for school construction. The state pays 50 percent of the cost of new school construction and 60 percent of the cost for school modernization, generally on the condition that local school districts provide matching funds. The State Allocation Board oversees and directs the Office of Public School Construction - a successor agency to the Office of Local Assistance - in the California Department of General Services to manage the grant program. The post-1998 State Allocation Board has been described as a “quasi-legislative, sometimes quasi-judicial body” with state legislators comprising a majority of the board but acting as an agency of the executive branch, as permitted in a special provision in the California Constitution. |
| 1998 | Voters approve Proposition 1A , which authorizes the state to borrow \$9.2 billion to support matching grants for school and college construction projects. |
| 1999 | Senate Bill 1118 , sponsored by the Office of the California State Treasurer, is enacted to “streamline the complex procedures governing school bond elections and issuance.” It establishes the current system for how bond measures are brought before voters and how bond proceeds are managed after approval. It outlines the purposes for which a school or college district can use bond proceeds. It authorizes the creation of various accounts to hold money for various purposes related to bond finance and construction. The legislative record does not indicate that any individual or organization opposed SB 1118 or expressed concerns about it. It passes the State Senate 40-0 but was opposed by some Republicans in the State Assembly, where it passed 50-20 with 10 members of the Assembly (including some Democrats) not voting. Bill analyses for committees about SB 1118 provided almost no context and incompletely listed the many new provisions that the bill would add to state law. |
| 2000 | In March, 51% of California voters reject Proposition 26 , which would have reduced the voter threshold for approval of General Obligation bond measures from two-thirds to a simple majority. |

Table A-4
California School Construction & Finance History

| Year | Event |
|------|--|
| 2000 | <p>In November, 53% of California voters approve Proposition 39, which creates an exception to Proposition 13 by allowing school and college districts an option of proposing General Obligation bond measures that win approval with a 55% voter threshold instead of two-thirds.</p> <p>In addition, the passage of Proposition 39 triggers enactment of Assembly Bill 1908, which imposes requirements on school and college districts that win approval to issue bonds under the criteria of Proposition 39:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Debt obligations resulting from the bond measure cannot exceed 2.5% of assessed value for unified districts and 1.25% for elementary and high school districts. • Tax levies resulting from the bond measure cannot exceed \$60 per \$100,000 of assessed value for unified districts and \$30 per \$100,000 of assessed value for elementary and high school districts. • An independent citizens bond oversight committee with appointed representatives from specific constituencies must be established to ensure proceeds of bond sales authorized by the bond measure are only spent on projects identified in the ballot statement provided to voters. |
| 2002 | Voters approve Proposition 47, which authorizes the state to borrow \$13.05 billion to support matching grants for school and college construction projects. |
| 2004 | Voters approve Proposition 55, which authorizes the state to borrow \$12.3 billion to support matching grants for school and college construction projects. |
| 2006 | Voters approve Proposition 1D, which authorizes the state to borrow \$10.4 billion to support matching grants for school and college construction projects. |

Table A-4
California School Construction & Finance History

| Year | Event |
|------|--|
| 2006 | <p>Assembly Bill 1482 brings a bit more transparency to the process of bond sales by requiring public notice of the method of sale and other pertinent information when a district intends to issue bonds. It was introduced by Assemblyman Joe Canciamilla, and signed into law by Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger in 2006. It passed the State Senate 28-4 with eight members not voting and passed the State Assembly 68-5 with six members not voting. The revised version of the bill was supported by the California Association of County Treasurers and Tax Collectors.</p> <p>As introduced, this bill required that all sales of bonds by school districts occur through a competitive bid process, with specific exceptions granted in limited circumstances that would allow for a negotiated sale, if approved by the county treasurer or the State Treasurer. Opposition was strong from groups heavily involved in promoting bond measures for school construction, including California's Coalition for Adequate School Housing (C.A.S.H.), the California Public Securities Association, the Association of California School Administrators, the California Association of School Business Officials, and the Small School Districts' Association. The two largest school districts in the state - Los Angeles Unified School District and San Diego Unified School District - also opposed it.</p> <p>The Assembly Education Committee heard the bill but did not take action. Assemblyman Canciamilla then submitted a request to the Joint Legislative Audit Committee asking for an audit to determine to what extent true cost of issuance differed between comparable school district general obligation bond issues sold through a competitive bid process versus negotiated sale. The Audit Committee did not approve the request. Ultimately, the bill directed the state to collect additional information to get better insight on whether competitive bidding (as opposed to negotiated sales) provides lower costs to the bond issuer.</p> |
| 2007 | <p>Housing prices in some areas of the state begin a dramatic four-year drop, in some regions declining 50% from their zenith, thus reducing assessed property valuation and the tax and debt limits based on it.</p> |

Table A-4
California School Construction & Finance History

| Year | Event |
|------|---|
| 2009 | <p>Assembly Bill 1388 gives local governments such as counties and cities the same authority as school districts and college districts to sell bonds at a negotiated sale for a price at, above, or below par value, under criteria already in place for educational districts. It was amended in the Senate to repeal a provision from SB 872 (1993) that prohibited a bond issue from being structured so that the maximum annual debt service payment of principal and interest to amortize the bonds never exceeds the minimum annual debt service payment by more than 10 percent.</p> <p>No elaboration or explanation was provided in bill analyses regarding the amendment to AB 1388. The bill text itself simply said "Section 53508.5 of the Government Code is repealed." Obviously someone knew that this restriction was hindering bond sales – especially those involving Capital Appreciation Bonds.</p> <p>School and college districts were selling Capital Appreciation Bonds shortly after the passage of SB 872 in 1993, but AB 1388 apparently encouraged their use at a time when educational districts found themselves unable to sell bonds for construction projects. The bill was sponsored by the California Public Securities Association and supported by the California State Association of Counties and League of California Cities. The legislative record does not indicate that any individual or organization opposed the bill or expressed concerns about it. It passed the State Senate 39-0 and passed the State Assembly 77-0.</p> |
| 2010 | <p>The California Association of County Treasurers and Tax Collectors supports Senate Bill 623, which would have prohibited a local agency from using a bond underwriter that also provides campaign services to pass a bond measure, and Senate Bill 1461, which would have prohibited a local agency from using a bond underwriter or a financial advisor or a legal advisor that also provides campaign services to pass a bond measure or conducts feasibility studies and polling for a potential campaign. Both of these bills failed to pass the California legislature after resistance from school and college districts and parties involved in bond finance and in campaigns to pass bond measures.</p> |
| 2010 | <p>Momentum starts in the California legislature and grows in the next six years to place another statewide bond measure on the ballot to fund school and community college construction.</p> |
| 2012 | <p>California political leaders find out that the Poway Unified School District sold about \$100 million in non-redeemable Capital Appreciation Bonds in 2011 that will impose almost \$1 billion in debt service over 40 years. Other educational districts that sold Capital Appreciation Bonds with unusually high ratios of debt service to principal are subsequently exposed.</p> |

**Table A-4
California School Construction & Finance History**

| Year | Event |
|------|--|
| 2013 | <p>Assembly Bill 182 attempts to reign in the worst excesses of Capital Appreciation Bonds while still allowing school and college districts to use them as a debt finance tool. Assemblywoman Joan Buchanan - chairwoman of the Assembly Education Committee - introduces the bill, and it was signed into law in whittled-down form by Governor Jerry Brown in 2013.</p> |

**Table A-5
Arguments for Capital Appreciation Bonds**

| Argument | Rebuttal |
|---|--|
| URGENCY | |
| <p>School facilities are desperately needed now: schools are overcrowded, deteriorating, outdated, and unsafe.</p> | <p>These claims are rarely quantified. There needs to be an objective way to determine that need overwhelms the risk of massive tax and debt burdens for future generations.</p> |
| | <p>Despite 14 years of Proposition 39, educational districts continue to increase the number of bond measures on the ballot and the total amount authorized to borrow. It seems that spending between \$100-\$200 billion on construction since 2000 has only increased the need for more.</p> |
| | <p>It's possible that educational districts are preparing for a population boom that may never occur. Average Daily Attendance for California K-12 school districts has dropped from 5,927,951 in 2003-04 to 5,631,709 in 2008-09 to 5,501,603 in 2013-14. Actual California population growth is lagging behind projections made in the 1990s.</p> |
| <p>When the bond measure was before voters for consideration, the educational district made promises to residents about what was going to</p> | <p>Anecdotaly, it appears that voters aren't necessarily keen on immediately proceeding with construction projects listed in bond measure ballot statements if it requires borrowing money under outlandish terms via sales of Capital Appreciation Bonds or other unconventional methods of debt finance. Taxpayers would rather give their money to their local educational district than to bond investors.</p> |

**Table A-5
Arguments for Capital Appreciation Bonds**

| Argument | Rebuttal |
|---|--|
| <p>promises to residents about what was going to be built and what the tax rate would be. Those promises must be fulfilled. Voters want the projects now.</p> | <p>Who actually applies the most pressure on the educational district to proceed with borrowing money? Are educational districts selling Capital Appreciation Bonds or other unconventional methods of debt finance because parents and teachers are demanding it? Or is the political pressure coming from the various interests that contributed to the bond measure campaign and now want to reap the rewards of contracts for this construction program?</p> |
| <p>Interest rates are low. This is a good time to borrow money, perhaps with a mix of Current Interest Bonds and Capital Appreciation Bonds. Rates may not be so favorable when assessed valuation of property in the district goes up.</p> | <p>Interest rates are low and provide an advantage for educational districts issuing Current Interest Bonds, but the outrageous nature of Capital Appreciation Bond negates the benefit of lower rates. The ratio of debt service to principal should not exceed 3 or 4 (at the most) for an individual bond issue.</p> |
| <p>Educational districts will jeopardize the quality of education for students if they don't get funding for construction now.</p> | <p>Is it true that new and modernized facilities significantly improve academic performance and life preparation for students? Is the impact of bond measures on test scores proportionate to the amount of tax revenue spent on debt service for those bond measures? Or are bond measures simply an easy method to get more money flowing into the district?</p> |
| <p>Ongoing construction programs would have to stop if funding isn't obtained now, causing inconvenience, stopping momentum, and risking a higher cost of construction in the future.</p> | <p>A realistic projection for assessed valuation of property would allow for better planning of construction-related contracts. Future generations should not have to pay for the risky borrowing practices of this generation's leaders.</p> |
| <p>STINGY STATE LAWS COMPEL USE</p> | |

**Table A-5
Arguments for Capital Appreciation Bonds**

| Argument | Rebuttal |
|---|--|
| <p>Educational districts have to sell Capital Appreciation Bonds or other unconventional methods of debt finance because of unreasonably low tax and debt limits established in state law.</p> | <p>The California legislature established these limits in state law in 2000 as part of a strategy to boost voter support for Proposition 39, a statewide measure on the November 2000 ballot to modify Proposition 46 enacted in 1986 - an initiative that modified the high-profile Proposition 13 enacted in 1978. Without limits and other additional taxpayer protections, Proposition 39 might have failed, as Proposition 26 failed in March 2000.</p> |
| <p>Educational districts have to sell Capital Appreciation Bonds or other unconventional methods of debt finance because assessed valuation of property in the districts unexpectedly declined, thus forcing districts to confront tax and debt limits.</p> | <p>It's important to obtain an independent projection of assessed property valuation that does not extend a current exceptional rate of growth for 40 years.</p> |
| <p>THESE BOND FINANCE DEALS ARE MISUNDERSTOOD</p> | |
| <p>It's wrong to consider Capital Appreciation Bonds in isolation. They are usually just a piece of a package of bond issues. When considered in conjunction with other bond issues, the debt to principal ratio is usually reasonable.</p> | <p>This doesn't eliminate the reality that bonds are issued that will need to be paid back decades later with compounded interest. Why include them at all?</p> |

Table A-5
Arguments for Capital Appreciation Bonds

| Argument | Rebuttal |
|---|---|
| <p>Focusing on long-term debt service is misleading. Just because there is a high number for aggregate accreted interest in 40 years doesn't necessary mean that amount will ever be paid. Many Capital Appreciation Bonds are "callable" and can be redeemed (and are being redeemed) with a new issue of refunding bonds that have lower rates and can be issued as traditional Current Interest Bonds. Because of the consistent increasing value of property in California over several generations, an amount that seems high to taxpayers now will not be so daunting decades from now. Routine inflation will reduce the "real" cost of paying back Capital Appreciation Bonds decades from now.</p> | <p>This is public money. The decision to borrow money via Capital Appreciation Bonds assumes that assessed valuation of property and the rate of inflation will increase substantially over decades. And some districts (such as Poway Unified School District) have sold Capital Appreciation Bonds that are not callable.</p> |
| <p>Contrary to claims made after the fact, plenty of information is provided to educational district administrators and elected board members about bond sales. There isn't an excuse for not understanding the proposal.</p> | <p>Information is not presented in a standardized way that is easy to understand. Most school board members do not have a background in accounting, finance, or bonds. In addition, school board members may be hesitant to publicly acknowledge their lack of understanding, especially if everyone else in the room is nodding heads during the bond consultant presentation.</p> |

Table A-5
Arguments for Capital Appreciation Bonds

| Argument | Rebuttal |
|--|---|
| <p>Critics have self-interested motivations to criticize. Traditional and consistent ideological detractors of government schools want to take advantage of yet another opportunity to undermine the system. Cynical politicians want to exploit bad news in order to build a reputation. News media wants to improve reader and viewer ratings through sensational and misleading coverage.</p> | <p>Most people would acknowledge that criticism of at least a few bond issues by California educational districts has merit. In addition, there are self-interested motivations for people denying that Capital Appreciation Bonds and other unconventional bond financing are unusual or unwise. Community college and K-12 school district elected officials wanted to stay in office. District administrators wanted to keep their jobs. And of course professionals in the financial industry wanted to continue making a living from the transaction fees generated by bond sales.</p> |
| <p>Assembly Bill 182 (2013) wasn't really needed, but it is now law and there are no valid arguments to impose more restrictions on this valuable tool for educational districts.</p> | <p>Educational districts are still selling Capital Appreciation Bonds (and also Bond Anticipation Notes) under the assumption that assessed valuation will continue to rise for decades. The bond financing industry will continue to use these schemes to bloat borrowing and collect more transaction fees.</p> |

**Table A-6
Arguments Against Capital Appreciation Bonds**

| Argument | Rebuttal |
|--|---|
| THE BOND FINANCE INDUSTRY IS NOT TRUSTWORTHY | |
| <p>Promoters of bond deals are motivated by transaction fees and tend to advance funding proposals in their own interest but harmful to the public interest.</p> | <p>Borrowing money for long-term investment is a well-accepted practice in the United States and a fundamental part of our economic system.</p> |
| | <p>Most people involved with bond finance are ethical and enjoy being in a professional financial vocation that helps students and society.</p> |
| | <p>The few bond finance professionals who are alleged to advise decisions not in the interest of their clients earn a bad reputation and can't stay in the business.</p> |
| | <p>Companies and individuals who work in the business of assisting with capital transfer and earn fees on those transactions are an easy target to malign, but they are essential to a prosperous economy.</p> |
| <p>Proving their lack of responsibility to the public, the California Public Securities Association in 2009 sponsored Assembly Bill 1388, a self-interested bill that repealed a law requiring that the maximum annual payment of principal and interest on a bond issue cannot exceed the minimum annual payment of principal and interest by more than 10 percent.</p> | <p>Actually, this bill helped educational districts by allowing them greater opportunity to borrow money despite reaching state tax and debt limits or despite reaching tax and debt limits indicated in the bond measure.</p> |
| <p>Excessive competition in the market to win contracts from educational districts for bond finance services has compelled some companies to overstate benefits and understate</p> | <p>Increased competition in municipal bond finance gives educational districts the opportunity to compare numerous potential contractors and chose the one that best suits its needs. Districts concerned about debt accumulated through Capital Appreciation Bonds can award contracts to professional service firms that adopt a conservative approach to bond finance.</p> |

Table A-6
Arguments Against Capital Appreciation Bonds

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>risks of unconventional bond finance.</p> | <p>Increased competition in municipal bond finance has encouraged the development and promotion of more creative and effective options to help educational districts in bond finance, such as Reauthorization Bonds and Ed-Tech Bonds.</p> |
| <p>Corruption is rampant in the municipal bond finance business, as proven by apparent “pay to play” practices between educational districts and bond underwriters.</p> | <p>Many parties in the bond financial industry resent how their reputation is tainted by a few companies that make substantial contributions to bond measure campaigns and/or consult for those campaigns and then obtain no-bid contracts and/or higher transaction fees. They have asked the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB) to restrict parties in the financial services industry from contributing to bond campaigns. They have also collectively adopted a voluntary internal moratorium on the practice.</p> |
| | <p>Some county treasurers, for example in Los Angeles County, have ended business with securities brokers that contribute to campaigns for bond measures. The problem is being addressed.</p> |
| | <p>The Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board already has a regulation requiring brokers, dealers, and municipal securities deals to disclose their campaign contributions to allow public scrutiny of such political activity.</p> |
| | <p>Political campaigns are expensive. Parents and students are unlikely to be major sources of contributions to a campaign to pass a bond measure. There is nothing wrong with companies contributing to a campaign and expressing their First Amendment constitutional right to free speech.</p> |
| | <p>No one has ever proven this practice actually happens.</p> |

**Table A-6
Arguments Against Capital Appreciation Bonds**

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>Claims about this practice come from firms that want to stifle competition from other firms that work harder for educational districts.</p> |
| <p>Educational districts are no different than victims of loan sharks, payday lenders, mortgage scammers, and other unsavory usurers.</p> | <p>Comparisons of professional, certified financial service providers to criminals is unjust. Boards elected by the people consider and vote on proposals for bond issues at public meetings regulated by open meetings laws. The process is highly regulated by the US Securities and Exchange Commission and the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board. The news media has the opportunity to follow and report on the issue to the public.</p> |
| <p>LACK OF PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE COMPROMISES ACCOUNTABILITY AND ALLOWS TAXPAYERS TO BE EXPLOITED</p> | |
| <p>Few Californians have ever heard of Capital Appreciation Bonds. An even tinier percentage of Californians could adequately explain them. As a result, the public is currently incapable of evaluating this method of bond finance and petitioning their school or</p> | <p>Government does many things that the general public does not know about or understand. Accountability is inherent in the regular elections for governing boards. Candidates run for and get elected to public office based on their individual expertise and experience. Voters can subsequently choose to end the public service of those individuals based on their performance.</p> |
| | <p>Educational districts have professional in-house superintendents and often have other administrators overseeing bond deals, including business officers assigned to work on bond finance.</p> |
| | <p>Educational districts hire outside experts to maximize the effectiveness of their bond measures and best serve the public. Contracts for these experts include terms and conditions that provide protection for the district and accountability to the consultant.</p> |

Table A-6
Arguments Against Capital Appreciation Bonds

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>college board members about it.</p> | <p>State and county elected and appointed officials and their agencies serve as checks and balances for educational district decisions. In particular, county treasurers can and do play a role in evaluating questionable bond financing.</p> |
| | <p>In the few cases in which excessive or inappropriate bond deals may have occurred, (for example, the 2011 bond issue at the Poway USD), elected county treasurers and the news media did identify the failure and publicized it. Assembly Bill 182 (now in law) is the product of research and reporting by elected government officials and the news media. The system of checks and balances worked.</p> |
| <p>Voters are not informed in election ballot material that some of the money they authorize to borrow via “general obligation bonds” ends up borrowed via Capital Appreciation Bonds and other unconventional borrowing practices.</p> | <p>Actually, some ballot statements are now indicating that “no capital appreciation bonds shall be issued.” Inclusion of language specifying the type of General Obligation bonds to be sold should be a decision of the district board and not mandated by the state.</p> |
| | <p>It’s unfair for educational districts to be forced to speculate to voters on how it might borrow money. Financing decisions are made by elected board members based on economic conditions that cannot be known at the time the bond measure is considered.</p> |
| | <p>State law already imposes numerous burdensome and costly requirements on educational districts to ensure voters have a reasonable degree of information for consideration of a bond measure.</p> |
| | <p>Ballot statements already are so long that few people would see any authorizations for the district to Capital Appreciation Bonds and other unconventional borrowing practices if they were included.</p> |

Table A-6
Arguments Against Capital Appreciation Bonds

| COST, TAXES, AND DEBT ARE FOOLHARDY | |
|---|--|
| <p>It’s foolish to borrow money and then wait for decades to start paying off the principal and accreted interest.</p> | <p>What’s foolish are the tax and debt limitations established by state voters as Proposition 13 in 1978 and state laws (Assembly Bill 1908) enacted in conjunction with putting Proposition 39 on the statewide ballot in 2000. If those limits were set at a higher threshold or eliminated altogether, Capital Appreciation Bonds and other unconventional financing schemes would become rare.</p> |
| <p>Property taxes may increase substantially many years in the future when the district begins paying off the debt.</p> | <p>It’s unlikely the taxes will end up being particularly noteworthy or burdensome after decades of increased property value and inflation.</p> |
| <p>The amount to be paid back under Capital Appreciation Bonds is too high.</p> | <p>Just because there is a high number for aggregate accreted interest in 40 years doesn’t necessary mean that amount will ever be paid. Many Capital Appreciation Bonds are “callable” and can be redeemed (and are being redeemed) with a new issue of refunding bonds that have lower rates and can be issued as traditional Current Interest Bonds.</p> |
| | <p>Because of the consistent increasing value of property in California over several generations, an amount that seems high to taxpayers now will not be so daunting decades from now.</p> |
| | <p>Routine inflation will reduce the “real” cost of paying back Capital Appreciation Bonds decades from now.</p> |
| | <p>Focusing on the amount of debt service generated by Capital Appreciation Bonds ignores the intangible benefits of high-quality schools with environments conducive to teaching and learning</p> |

**Table A-6
Arguments Against Capital Appreciation Bonds**

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Capital Appreciation Bonds are used too often.</p> | <p>For most educational districts, Capital Appreciation Bonds comprise a small percentage of the total amount of bonds issued. Capital Appreciation Bonds are a legitimate and beneficial option for educational districts that want to obtain a bit more of the money that voters authorized to borrow for needed school construction.</p> |
| | <p>Capital Appreciation Bonds allow educational districts to fund contracts with local contractors and vendors, thus encouraging economic growth and job creation in the community. Capital Appreciation Bonds pay for themselves by generating increased economic activity.</p> |
| | <p>There are no legal or commonly accepted definitions of “too often.” The authority to issue Capital Appreciation Bonds is granted to the educational district’s board of trustees, who are elected by the people. Each educational district has its own comfort for Capital Appreciation Bonds, and this comfort usually reflected in the decision of the board. Trust our representative democracy.</p> |
| <p>Capital Appreciation Bonds assumes an ability to pay based on projections of increased value of taxable property that may extend as many as 40 years into the future.</p> | <p>Granted, no one can perfectly predict the future. But California remains a desirable place to live because of its climate, natural beauty, economic prosperity, and culture. It’s reasonable to assume that people with ability and ambition will always come to California, a beacon for the world, and thus increase demand for housing.</p> |
| | <p>The best way to ensure increased property values in the future is to build a foundation of high-quality schools with environments conducive to teaching and learning. Funding for new construction - sometimes obtained through Capital Appreciation Bonds - allow these schools to be provided and fulfills the expectation for increased property values.</p> |

Table A-6
Arguments Against Capital Appreciation Bonds

| | |
|---|--|
| | <p>Schools built using Capital Appreciation Bonds are for the benefit of our children and grandchildren. Shouldn't they contribute to paying for the system that helped to make them successful?</p> |
| <p>Without any sort of representation, future generations of taxpayers (children and grandchildren) are bound to repaying debts accumulated by unconventional borrowing practices of current generations.</p> | <p>This is an unfortunate distortion of the concept of "taxation without representation" that applies to people who are deprived of their right for full participation in their current governance. Many of the important and transformational social programs in the United States and in California were adopted before the people now benefiting and paying for them were even born. Generations work together cooperatively to advance progress.</p> |

Appendices A to L

Appendix A

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1 | Roseville Joint Union High School District SFID No. 1 | \$115,000,000 | 2007-04-24 | Placer | A | 66.7 | 11 | 12 | 91.7% | Pass |
| 2 | Inglewood Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | GG | 55 | 31,907 | 37,059 | 86.1% | Pass |
| 3 | Los Gatos Union School District | \$91,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Santa Clara | B | 66.7 | 4,615 | 5,443 | 84.8% | Pass |
| 4 | Oakland Unified School District | \$475,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Alameda | J | 55 | 122,695 | 145,382 | 84.4% | Pass |
| 5 | Reef-Sunset Unified School District | \$10,830,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kings | M | 55 | 376 | 451 | 83.4% | Pass |
| 6 | Wisburn Unified School District | \$32,600,000 | 2007-06-12 | Los Angeles | A | 66.7 | 1,477 | 1,778 | 83.1% | Pass |
| 7 | Compton Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 14,150 | 17,036 | 83.1% | Pass |
| 8 | Vineland School District | \$4,000,000 | 2007-05-22 | Kern | C | 66.7 | 188 | 227 | 82.8% | Pass |
| 9 | Franklin-McKinley School District | \$18,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Santa Clara | A | 66.7 | 1,640 | 1,996 | 82.2% | Pass |
| 10 | Bayshore Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 325 | 396 | 82.1% | Pass |
| 11 | Mission Union School District | \$315,000 | 2004-11-02 | Monterey | E | 55 | 103 | 126 | 81.7% | Pass |
| 12 | Trinidad Union School District | \$2,200,000 | 2012-06-05 | Humboldt | W | 55 | 568 | 697 | 81.5% | Pass |
| 13 | Denair Unified School District | \$8,200,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | P | 66.7 | 959 | 1,181 | 81.2% | Pass |
| 14 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 3 | \$750,000,000 | 2007-03-27 | Sacramento | M | 66.7 | 60 | 74 | 81.1% | Pass |
| 15 | Bassett Unified School District | \$23,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 4,852 | 5,995 | 80.9% | Pass |
| 16 | Desert Sands Unified School District | \$450,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Riverside | K | 66.7 | 12,110 | 15,006 | 80.7% | Pass |
| 17 | Taft City School District | \$20,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Kern | E | 66.7 | 1,488 | 1,844 | 80.7% | Pass |
| 18 | Albany Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Alameda | A | 55 | 5,879 | 7,304 | 80.5% | Pass |
| 19 | Mendota Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno | M | 55 | 628 | 785 | 80.0% | Pass |
| 20 | Alum Rock Union Elementary School District | \$125,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 18,442 | 23,188 | 79.5% | Pass |
| 21 | Baldwin Park Unified School District | \$46,600,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 6,321 | 7,952 | 79.5% | Pass |
| 22 | Arvin Union School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | E | 55 | 1,114 | 1,402 | 79.5% | Pass |
| 23 | Roseville Joint Union High School District | \$79,500,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento | D | 55 | 2,114 | 2,664 | 79.4% | Pass |
| 24 | Earlilmart School District | \$3,600,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | H | 55 | 672 | 847 | 79.3% | Pass |
| 25 | Kelseyville Unified School District | \$2,930,000 | 2001-11-06 | Lake | X | 55 | 1,361 | 1,717 | 79.3% | Pass |
| 26 | Willows Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Glenn | T | 55 | 140 | 177 | 79.1% | Pass |
| 27 | Alum Rock Union Elementary School District | \$179,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 6,901 | 8,725 | 79.1% | Pass |
| 28 | Fowler Unified School District | \$21,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | L | 55 | 1,757 | 2,224 | 79.0% | Pass |
| 29 | Hawthorne Elementary School District | \$24,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | H | 55 | 11,314 | 14,331 | 78.9% | Pass |
| 30 | Ross School District | \$15,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Marin | B | 66.7 | 976 | 1,237 | 78.9% | Pass |
| 31 | Moreno Valley Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside | G | 55 | 140 | 178 | 78.7% | Pass |
| 32 | National School District | \$26,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | N | 55 | 4,621 | 5,880 | 78.6% | Pass |
| 33 | Richland School District | \$23,000,000 | 2002-06-04 | Kern | F | 66.7 | 1,006 | 1,283 | 78.4% | Pass |
| 34 | Compton Community College District | \$100,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 20,209 | 25,779 | 78.4% | Pass |
| 35 | Ocean View School District | <i>Reauthorize \$4,200,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | P | 55 | 2,530 | 3,230 | 78.3% | Pass |
| 36 | Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District | \$6,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern | I | 55 | 223 | 285 | 78.2% | Pass |
| 37 | Beverly Hills Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 4,424 | 5,659 | 78.2% | Pass |
| 38 | Pacific Elementary School District | \$830,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Cruz | M | 55 | 292 | 374 | 78.1% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| 39 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$40,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 11,311 | 14,499 | 78.0% | Pass |
| 40 | South Pasadena Unified School District | \$29,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 5,754 | 7,377 | 78.0% | Pass |
| 41 | Oakland Unified School District | \$435,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Alameda | B | 55 | 62,559 | 80,231 | 78.0% | Pass |
| 42 | McFarland Unified School District | \$8,300,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | B | 55 | 649 | 833 | 77.9% | Pass |
| 43 | Sebastopol Union School District | \$3,200,000 | 2001-06-05 | Sonoma | C | 66.7 | 2,642 | 3,398 | 77.8% | Pass |
| 44 | Mountain View-Los Altos Union School District | \$41,300,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Clara | A | 55 | 19,480 | 25,067 | 77.7% | Pass |
| 45 | Palo Alto Unified School District | \$378,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Clara | A | 55 | 14,074 | 18,140 | 77.6% | Pass |
| 46 | South San Francisco Unified School District | \$162,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 13,758 | 17,743 | 77.5% | Pass |
| 47 | South Whittier Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 4,577 | 5,910 | 77.4% | Pass |
| 48 | Lennox Elementary School District | \$10,700,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | L | 55 | 682 | 881 | 77.4% | Pass |
| 49 | Hayward Unified School District | \$229,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Alameda | L | 55 | 17,976 | 23,239 | 77.4% | Pass |
| 50 | Arvin Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | C | 55 | 854 | 1,105 | 77.3% | Pass |
| 51 | Berkeley Unified School District | \$210,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | I | 55 | 31,723 | 41,113 | 77.2% | Pass |
| 52 | Los Nietos School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 1,300 | 1,686 | 77.1% | Pass |
| 53 | Los Nietos School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | N | 55 | 1,285 | 1,668 | 77.0% | Pass |
| 54 | Holtville Unified School District | \$8,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Imperial | E | 55 | 1,282 | 1,666 | 77.0% | Pass |
| 55 | Jefferson Elementary School District | \$67,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | M | 55 | 16,261 | 21,138 | 76.9% | Pass |
| 56 | Lakeside Union Elementary School District | \$22,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kern | I | 55 | 2,179 | 2,835 | 76.9% | Pass |
| 57 | Pixley Union School District | \$7,800,000 | 2014-06-03 | Tulare | Z | 55 | 229 | 298 | 76.8% | Pass |
| 58 | Little Lake City School District | \$18,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | EE | 55 | 8,041 | 10,471 | 76.8% | Pass |
| 59 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 4,448 | 5,799 | 76.7% | Pass |
| 60 | South Bay Union School District | \$59,400,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | X | 55 | 15,219 | 19,909 | 76.4% | Pass |
| 61 | Hueneme Elementary School District | \$19,600,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | T | 55 | 8,408 | 11,011 | 76.4% | Pass |
| 62 | Mountain View School District | \$17,000,000 | 2001-05-08 | San Bernardino | V | 66.7 | 284 | 372 | 76.3% | Pass |
| 63 | Compton Community College District | \$100,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 23,005 | 30,141 | 76.3% | Pass |
| 64 | Culver City Unified School District | \$106,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 4,706 | 6,169 | 76.3% | Pass |
| 65 | El Monte Union High School District | \$90,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | Y | 66.7 | 13,584 | 17,815 | 76.3% | Pass |
| 66 | River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$9,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Yolo/Sacramento/Solano | V | 55 | 1,165 | 1,529 | 76.2% | Pass |
| 67 | Graves Union School District | \$180,000 | 2004-11-02 | Monterey | D | 55 | 16 | 21 | 76.2% | Pass |
| 68 | El Monte City School District | \$50,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 11,855 | 15,576 | 76.1% | Pass |
| 69 | South Bay Union School District | <i>Reauthorize \$26,000,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | Y | 55 | 14,123 | 18,600 | 75.9% | Pass |
| 70 | Wiseburn Unified School District | \$87,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 3,285 | 4,338 | 75.7% | Pass |
| 71 | Fresno Unified School District | \$280,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Fresno | Q | 55 | 51,125 | 67,522 | 75.7% | Pass |
| 72 | Peralta Community College District | \$390,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Alameda | A | 55 | 97,685 | 129,106 | 75.7% | Pass |
| 73 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$165,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Mateo | L | 55 | 28,285 | 37,391 | 75.6% | Pass |
| 74 | Ross School District | \$6,750,000 | 2008-06-03 | Marin | A | 55 | 894 | 1,183 | 75.6% | Pass |
| 75 | Mount Pleasant School District | \$25,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | L | 55 | 4,482 | 5,938 | 75.5% | Pass |
| 76 | Arcata Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Humboldt | F | 55 | 4,667 | 6,195 | 75.3% | Pass |
| 77 | Menlo Park City School District | \$23,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | San Mateo | W | 55 | 4,222 | 5,605 | 75.3% | Pass |
| 78 | Calexico Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Imperial | J | 55 | 2,680 | 3,562 | 75.2% | Pass |
| 79 | Coachella Valley Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2005-06-07 | Riverside/Imperial | D | 66.7 | 1,747 | 2,322 | 75.2% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 80 | El Segundo Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 2,369 | 3,149 | 75.2% | Pass |
| 81 | West Sonoma County Union High School District | \$23,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | I | 55 | 15,375 | 20,443 | 75.2% | Pass |
| 82 | Elk Hills School District | \$7,905,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | D | 55 | 54 | 72 | 75.0% | Pass |
| 83 | Colton Joint Unified School District | \$102,000,000 | 2001-09-25 | San Bernardino | B | 66.7 | 3,884 | 5,181 | 75.0% | Pass |
| 84 | Oak Grove School District | \$125,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Santa Clara | S | 55 | 25,589 | 34,139 | 75.0% | Pass |
| 85 | Alhambra Unified School District ESID | \$50,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | MM | 55 | 23,276 | 31,080 | 74.9% | Pass |
| 86 | Wasco Union High School District | \$6,600,000 | 2001-06-05 | Kern | F | 66.7 | 1,229 | 1,642 | 74.8% | Pass |
| 87 | Cupertino Union School District | \$80,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Santa Clara | C | 66.7 | 11,117 | 14,860 | 74.8% | Pass |
| 88 | Pomona Unified School District | \$235,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | PS | 55 | 30,058 | 40,221 | 74.7% | Pass |
| 89 | Reed Union School District | \$38,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Marin | C | 66.7 | 2,886 | 3,864 | 74.7% | Pass |
| 90 | Azusa Unified School District | \$75,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 4,200 | 5,624 | 74.7% | Pass |
| 91 | Ceres Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | J | 55 | 3,214 | 4,310 | 74.6% | Pass |
| 92 | Dixie School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Marin | C | 55 | 6,083 | 8,158 | 74.6% | Pass |
| 93 | Pasadena Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | TT | 55 | 64,090 | 85,998 | 74.5% | Pass |
| 94 | Soledad Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Monterey | C | 66.7 | 2,332 | 3,131 | 74.5% | Pass |
| 95 | San Lorenzo Unified School District | \$49,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Alameda | E | 55 | 15,797 | 21,217 | 74.5% | Pass |
| 96 | San Lorenzo Unified School District | \$83,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Alameda | O | 55 | 17,356 | 23,311 | 74.5% | Pass |
| 97 | Stockton Unified School District | <i>Reauthorize \$156,000,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | E | 55 | 31,228 | 41,954 | 74.4% | Pass |
| 98 | McFarland Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | M | 55 | 1,238 | 1,664 | 74.4% | Pass |
| 99 | Torrance Unified School District | \$265,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 44,075 | 59,267 | 74.4% | Pass |
| 100 | Jefferson Union High School District | <i>Reauthorize \$41,900,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | E | 55 | 30,757 | 41,401 | 74.3% | Pass |
| 101 | Strathmore Union High School District | \$3,500,000 | 2002-02-05 | Tulare | A | 66.7 | 463 | 624 | 74.2% | Pass |
| 102 | El Monte Union High School District | \$148,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | D | 55 | 24,851 | 33,510 | 74.2% | Pass |
| 103 | Paramount Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 6,845 | 9,233 | 74.1% | Pass |
| 104 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Contra Costa | J | 55 | 7,288 | 9,833 | 74.1% | Pass |
| 105 | Fairfax Elementary School District | \$24,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,265 | 1,708 | 74.1% | Pass |
| 106 | Palmdale Elementary School District | \$220,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | DD | 55 | 22,328 | 30,162 | 74.0% | Pass |
| 107 | El Rancho Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | EE | 55 | 9,549 | 12,903 | 74.0% | Pass |
| 108 | Hueneme Elementary School District | \$17,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Ventura | I | 55 | 8,681 | 11,732 | 74.0% | Pass |
| 109 | Campbell Union School District | \$150,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 12,753 | 17,237 | 74.0% | Pass |
| 110 | Las Lomitas School District | \$60,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | San Mateo | S | 55 | 2,305 | 3,117 | 73.9% | Pass |
| 111 | Mendota Unified School District | \$19,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno | M | 55 | 856 | 1,158 | 73.9% | Pass |
| 112 | Emery Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | J | 55 | 1,982 | 2,683 | 73.9% | Pass |
| 113 | Fortuna Elementary School District | \$3,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | Humboldt | X | 55 | 1,720 | 2,329 | 73.9% | Pass |
| 114 | San Francisco Unified School District | \$450,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 171,236 | 231,876 | 73.8% | Pass |
| 115 | Long Beach Community College District | \$440,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 79,204 | 107,261 | 73.8% | Pass |
| 116 | Saugus Union School District | \$48,000,000 | 2002-06-04 | Los Angeles | E | 66.7 | 4,462 | 6,043 | 73.8% | Pass |
| 117 | John Swett Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 3,950 | 5,350 | 73.8% | Pass |
| 118 | Cambrian School District | \$20,975,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 5,352 | 7,254 | 73.8% | Pass |
| 119 | Keyes Union High School District | \$5,000,000 | 2005-03-08 | Stanislaus | L | 66.7 | 392 | 532 | 73.7% | Pass |
| 120 | Arena Union Elementary School District | \$3,700,000 | 2003-11-04 | Mendocino | E | 55 | 554 | 752 | 73.7% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| 121 | Carmel Unified School District | \$21,500,000 | 2005-11-08 | Monterey | A | 55 | 7,744 | 10,512 | 73.7% | Pass |
| 122 | Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District | \$165,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 14,949 | 20,313 | 73.6% | Pass |
| 123 | Washington Union School District | \$13,000,000 | 2001-03-20 | Monterey | A | 66.7 | 1,253 | 1,704 | 73.5% | Pass |
| 124 | Sausalito Marin City School District | \$15,900,000 | 2004-11-02 | Marin | I | 55 | 4,346 | 5,911 | 73.5% | Pass |
| 125 | Colton Joint Unified School District | \$225,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino/Riverside | G | 55 | 19,641 | 26,735 | 73.5% | Pass |
| 126 | Portola Valley School District | \$6,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 1,823 | 2,483 | 73.4% | Pass |
| 127 | Rosemead School District | \$30,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | O | 55 | 4,325 | 5,893 | 73.4% | Pass |
| 128 | Bassett Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 2,844 | 3,876 | 73.4% | Pass |
| 129 | Dublin Unified School District | \$184,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Alameda | C | 55 | 9,062 | 12,380 | 73.2% | Pass |
| 130 | San Mateo Union High School District | <i>Repurpose \$137,500,000</i> | 2002-11-05 | San Mateo | C | 66.7 | 40,674 | 55,573 | 73.2% | Pass |
| 131 | Garvey Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 6,602 | 9,026 | 73.1% | Pass |
| 132 | Covina Valley Unified School District | \$129,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 17,640 | 24,131 | 73.1% | Pass |
| 133 | Sunnyvale School District | \$120,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | P | 55 | 16,283 | 22,313 | 73.0% | Pass |
| 134 | Delano Joint Union High School District | \$55,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern/Tulare | C | 66.7 | 3,321 | 4,552 | 73.0% | Pass |
| 135 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$49,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento | B | 55 | 5,685 | 7,793 | 73.0% | Pass |
| 136 | Traver Joint Elementary School District | \$700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tulare/Kings | S | 55 | 124 | 170 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 137 | Hilmar Unified School District | \$2,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced | E | 55 | 1,483 | 2,034 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 138 | Live Oak School District | \$14,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Cruz | E | 55 | 4,446 | 6,100 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 139 | Round Valley Unified School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Mendocino | L | 55 | 290 | 398 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 140 | Montebello Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 25,476 | 34,968 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 141 | Lemon Grove School District | \$28,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | W | 55 | 8,635 | 11,853 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 142 | Evergreen School District | \$150,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 15,494 | 21,278 | 72.8% | Pass |
| 143 | Washington Unified School District | \$22,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Yolo | W | 55 | 2,757 | 3,787 | 72.8% | Pass |
| 144 | Bellflower Unified School District | \$79,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | BB | 55 | 16,469 | 22,624 | 72.8% | Pass |
| 145 | Palm Springs Unified School District | \$122,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Riverside | T | 55 | 32,476 | 44,662 | 72.7% | Pass |
| 146 | Franklin-McKinley School District | \$30,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | Q | 55 | 11,366 | 15,632 | 72.7% | Pass |
| 147 | Robla School District | \$29,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sacramento | K | 55 | 1,694 | 2,331 | 72.7% | Pass |
| 148 | Ceres Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus | U | 55 | 9,625 | 13,258 | 72.6% | Pass |
| 149 | Rancho Santiago Community College District | \$198,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | Q | 55 | 45,981 | 63,351 | 72.6% | Pass |
| 150 | El Monte City School District | \$75,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | KC | 55 | 12,515 | 17,253 | 72.5% | Pass |
| 151 | Savanna Elementary School District | \$24,935,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | N | 55 | 5,728 | 7,900 | 72.5% | Pass |
| 152 | Moreland School District | \$35,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | J | 66.7 | 5,597 | 7,722 | 72.5% | Pass |
| 153 | Cambrian School District | \$39,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 3,840 | 5,299 | 72.5% | Pass |
| 154 | Covina Valley Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Los Angeles | K | 66.7 | 4,746 | 6,551 | 72.4% | Pass |
| 155 | Natomas Unified School District | \$45,880,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | M | 55 | 5,669 | 7,832 | 72.4% | Pass |
| 156 | Whittier City Unified School District | \$55,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | Z | 55 | 14,205 | 19,629 | 72.4% | Pass |
| 157 | Liberty Union High School District | \$40,000,000 | 2001-03-06 | Contra Costa | A | 66.7 | 5,100 | 7,060 | 72.2% | Pass |
| 158 | Scotts Valley Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Cruz | A | 55 | 3,978 | 5,512 | 72.2% | Pass |
| 159 | Albany Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Alameda | E | 55 | 4,667 | 6,467 | 72.2% | Pass |
| 160 | Hayward Unified School District | \$205,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Alameda | I | 55 | 11,546 | 16,000 | 72.2% | Pass |
| 161 | City College of San Francisco | \$195,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Francisco | A | 66.7 | 91,643 | 127,035 | 72.1% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 162 | Long Beach Unified School District | \$1,200,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 123,253 | 170,892 | 72.1% | Pass |
| 163 | Natomas Unified School District | \$129,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sacramento | J | 55 | 9,091 | 12,606 | 72.1% | Pass |
| 164 | Whittier Union High School District | \$75,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | W | 55 | 51,521 | 71,490 | 72.1% | Pass |
| 165 | Ocean View School District | \$13,200,000 | 2006-11-07 | Ventura | J | 55 | 1,571 | 2,180 | 72.1% | Pass |
| 166 | Baldwin Park Unified School District | \$75,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 6,727 | 9,335 | 72.1% | Pass |
| 167 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$315,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 27,343 | 37,953 | 72.0% | Pass |
| 168 | Alameda Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Alameda | C | 55 | 13,809 | 19,205 | 71.9% | Pass |
| 169 | Parlier Unified School District | \$4,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | P | 55 | 1,152 | 1,603 | 71.9% | Pass |
| 170 | Lemon Grove School District | Reauthorize \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | R | 55 | 4,323 | 6,016 | 71.9% | Pass |
| 171 | College of the Sequoias | \$60,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kings/Tulare | J | 55 | 16,395 | 22,818 | 71.9% | Pass |
| 172 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$300,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 24,391 | 33,958 | 71.8% | Pass |
| 173 | Linns Valley-Poso Flat Elementary School District | \$340,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tulare/Kern | J | 55 | 191 | 266 | 71.8% | Pass |
| 174 | San Luis Coastal Unified School District | \$177,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo | D | 55 | 18,400 | 25,636 | 71.8% | Pass |
| 175 | Los Nietos School District | \$31,140,000 | 2008-06-03 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 738 | 1,029 | 71.7% | Pass |
| 176 | Patterson Joint Unified School District | \$19,500,000 | 2001-05-22 | Stanislaus | G | 66.7 | 1,341 | 1,871 | 71.7% | Pass |
| 177 | Copper Mountain Community College District | \$19,720,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Bernardino | T | 55 | 12,455 | 17,379 | 71.7% | Pass |
| 178 | Konocti Unified School District | \$18,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Lake | G | 55 | 5,173 | 7,219 | 71.7% | Pass |
| 179 | Soquel Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Cruz | A | 55 | 5,118 | 7,145 | 71.6% | Pass |
| 180 | Santa Barbara Elementary School District | \$75,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Barbara | R | 55 | 18,701 | 26,108 | 71.6% | Pass |
| 181 | Rosemead School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | RS | 55 | 1,635 | 2,283 | 71.6% | Pass |
| 182 | East Side Union High School District | \$120,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | M | 55 | 102,531 | 143,231 | 71.6% | Pass |
| 183 | Monterey Peninsula Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Monterey | P | 55 | 15,558 | 21,745 | 71.5% | Pass |
| 184 | Coast Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$12,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Luis Obispo | B | 55 | 2,260 | 3,159 | 71.5% | Pass |
| 185 | Los Gatos Union School District | \$30,900,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 6,412 | 8,966 | 71.5% | Pass |
| 186 | Torrance Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | Z | 55 | 41,832 | 58,516 | 71.5% | Pass |
| 187 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 4 | \$68,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | P | 55 | 11,677 | 16,337 | 71.5% | Pass |
| 188 | San Bernardino City Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Bernardino | N | 55 | 34,902 | 48,873 | 71.4% | Pass |
| 189 | Southwestern Community College District | \$389,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | R | 55 | 95,460 | 133,681 | 71.4% | Pass |
| 190 | East Side Union High School District | \$349,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 68,929 | 96,559 | 71.4% | Pass |
| 191 | San Jose Unified School District | \$290,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 63,447 | 88,944 | 71.3% | Pass |
| 192 | Winters Joint Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Solano/Yolo | R | 55 | 1,097 | 1,538 | 71.3% | Pass |
| 193 | Delhi Unified School District | \$8,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Merced | E | 55 | 1,435 | 2,013 | 71.3% | Pass |
| 194 | Lincoln Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Joaquin | P | 55 | 12,065 | 16,925 | 71.3% | Pass |
| 195 | Oceanside Unified School District | \$195,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | San Diego | H | 55 | 10,952 | 15,366 | 71.3% | Pass |
| 196 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$16,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Kern | C | 66.7 | 1,365 | 1,917 | 71.2% | Pass |
| 197 | Jefferson Union High School District | \$133,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 17,090 | 24,018 | 71.2% | Pass |
| 198 | Central School District | \$31,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | A | 55 | 9,072 | 12,753 | 71.1% | Pass |
| 199 | Forestville Union School District | \$5,100,000 | 2001-11-06 | Sonoma | E | 66.7 | 1,041 | 1,464 | 71.1% | Pass |
| 200 | San Francisco Unified School District | \$531,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 134,695 | 189,445 | 71.1% | Pass |
| 201 | Kerman Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | K | 55 | 2,407 | 3,387 | 71.1% | Pass |
| 202 | Ross Valley Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Marin | A | 66.7 | 8,565 | 12,055 | 71.0% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 203 | Galt Joint Union High School District | \$29,200,000 | 2005-11-08 | Sacramento/San Joaquin | B | 66.7 | 6,569 | 9,251 | 71.0% | Pass |
| 204 | Rio School District | \$38,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | G | 55 | 3,753 | 5,291 | 70.9% | Pass |
| 205 | Mendocino Unified School District | \$15,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Mendocino | AA | 55 | 2,327 | 3,281 | 70.9% | Pass |
| 206 | Kentfield School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Marin | D | 55 | 3,314 | 4,673 | 70.9% | Pass |
| 207 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$34,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | E | 55 | 2,041 | 2,878 | 70.9% | Pass |
| 208 | Larkspur-Corte Madera School District | \$26,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | Marin | A | 55 | 3,243 | 4,574 | 70.9% | Pass |
| 209 | Centinela Valley Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | CV | 66.7 | 27,255 | 38,508 | 70.8% | Pass |
| 210 | Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 15,496 | 21,894 | 70.8% | Pass |
| 211 | William S. Hart Union High School District | \$158,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 18,100 | 25,584 | 70.7% | Pass |
| 212 | Orchard School District | \$40,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 391 | 553 | 70.7% | Pass |
| 213 | Alhambra Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 26,048 | 36,862 | 70.7% | Pass |
| 214 | Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3 | \$43,100,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Joaquin/Alameda | S | 55 | 14,856 | 21,036 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 215 | Stanislaus Union School District | \$39,800,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus | T | 55 | 7,213 | 10,214 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 216 | Howell Mountain School District | \$2,900,000 | 2005-11-08 | Napa | C | 55 | 663 | 939 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 217 | San Francisco Unified School District | \$295,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 139,814 | 198,068 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 218 | Menlo Park City School District | \$91,100,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Mateo | U | 55 | 4,936 | 6,993 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 219 | Galt Joint Union High School District | \$9,240,000 | 2001-10-23 | Sacramento/San Joaquin | W | 66.7 | 2,136 | 3,027 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 220 | Standard School District | <i>Reauthorize \$11,200,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | Kern | Q | 55 | 4,179 | 5,923 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 221 | Murieta Valley Unified School District | \$40,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | K | 55 | 11,119 | 15,781 | 70.5% | Pass |
| 222 | Santa Barbara Community College District | \$77,242,012 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Barbara | V | 55 | 28,397 | 40,308 | 70.5% | Pass |
| 223 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$20,700,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | B | 55 | 1,285 | 1,825 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 224 | Rowland Unified School District | \$118,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles/Orange | R | 55 | 4,790 | 6,804 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 225 | Santa Rosa Elementary School District | \$19,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 9,204 | 13,074 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 226 | Santa Paula Union High School District | \$39,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | Q | 55 | 5,871 | 8,340 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 227 | Kentfield School District | \$11,900,000 | 2004-03-02 | Marin | E | 55 | 3,259 | 4,630 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 228 | Sanger Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno | S | 55 | 9,986 | 14,190 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 229 | Cerritos Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 83,524 | 118,758 | 70.3% | Pass |
| 230 | Lancaster Elementary School District | \$63,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | L | 55 | 21,804 | 31,042 | 70.2% | Pass |
| 231 | Jefferson Union High School District | \$136,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 22,025 | 31,358 | 70.2% | Pass |
| 232 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$14,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kern | G | 55 | 804 | 1,145 | 70.2% | Pass |
| 233 | Dinuba Unified School District | \$14,915,000 | 2002-11-05 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 2,266 | 3,228 | 70.2% | Pass |
| 234 | Reed Union School District | \$13,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Marin | B | 55 | 3,907 | 5,566 | 70.2% | Pass |
| 235 | Redlands Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | R | 55 | 17,850 | 25,448 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 236 | La Honda-Pescadero Unified | \$15,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 918 | 1,309 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 237 | Los Angeles Community College District | \$3,500,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 1,057,738 | 1,508,687 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 238 | Sacramento City Unified School District | \$346,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | Q | 55 | 74,681 | 106,528 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 239 | San Gabriel Unified School District | \$65,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 5,240 | 7,475 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 240 | Selma Unified School District | \$23,800,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno | A | 55 | 3,091 | 4,410 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 241 | San Gabriel Unified School District | \$47,150,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 3,411 | 4,867 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 242 | Sebastopol Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | H | 55 | 2,869 | 4,094 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 243 | Larkspur-Corte Madera School District | \$19,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Marin | D | 55 | 2,725 | 3,889 | 70.1% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 244 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$160,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | U | 55 | 13,540 | 19,347 | 70.0% | Pass |
| 245 | Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tulare/Kings/Fresno | R | 55 | 2,221 | 3,175 | 70.0% | Pass |
| 246 | Mt. San Antonio Community College District | \$353,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles/Orange | RR/CC | 55 | 164,177 | 234,717 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 247 | Glendale Unified School District | \$270,000,000 | 2011-04-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 13,637 | 19,501 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 248 | East Side Union High School District | \$298,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 33,345 | 47,687 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 249 | Modesto City Schools (Modesto City Elementary School District) | \$17,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | S | 55 | 9,167 | 13,110 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 250 | Franklin-McKinley School District | \$50,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 10,860 | 15,534 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 251 | Greenfield Union School District | \$40,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Kern | H | 55 | 3,241 | 4,636 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 252 | Pasadena City College | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | P | 55 | 39,129 | 56,024 | 69.8% | Pass |
| 253 | Castro Valley Unified School District | \$16,800,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | C | 55 | 6,861 | 9,836 | 69.8% | Pass |
| 254 | Rio Bravo-Greeley Union School District | \$10,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Kern | B | 55 | 957 | 1,372 | 69.8% | Pass |
| 255 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$7,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Tulare | C | 55 | 1,099 | 1,576 | 69.7% | Pass |
| 256 | Antelope Valley Community College | \$139,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles/Kern | R | 55 | 74,966 | 107,579 | 69.7% | Pass |
| 257 | Lompoc Unified School District | \$38,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Barbara | N | 66.7 | 9,049 | 12,993 | 69.6% | Pass |
| 258 | Washington Colony Elementary School District | \$400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | B | 55 | 350 | 503 | 69.6% | Pass |
| 259 | Bishop Union Elementary School District | \$3,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Inyo | A | 55 | 3,740 | 5,375 | 69.6% | Pass |
| 260 | Santa Barbara High School District | \$75,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Barbara | Q | 55 | 41,893 | 60,237 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 261 | La Mesa Spring Valley School District | \$44,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | M | 55 | 16,326 | 23,478 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 262 | Cayucos Elementary School District | \$4,950,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Luis Obispo | P | 55 | 1,316 | 1,893 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 263 | Rialto Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Bernardino | Y | 55 | 12,608 | 18,137 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 264 | Desert Sands Unified School District | \$225,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | KK | 55 | 27,027 | 38,889 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 265 | Millbrae School District | \$30,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Mateo | X | 55 | 6,646 | 9,566 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 266 | Lakeport Unified School District | \$7,300,000 | 2001-11-06 | Lake | W | 55 | 1,246 | 1,794 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 267 | Stockton Unified School District | \$120,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Joaquin | C | 55 | 18,858 | 27,167 | 69.4% | Pass |
| 268 | River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$14,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sacramento/Solano/Yolo | U | 55 | 3,017 | 4,347 | 69.4% | Pass |
| 269 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$419,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 18,958 | 27,320 | 69.4% | Pass |
| 270 | Imperial Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Imperial | I | 55 | 1,265 | 1,823 | 69.4% | Pass |
| 271 | Berryessa Union School District | \$77,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | L | 55 | 10,622 | 15,320 | 69.3% | Pass |
| 272 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$70,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 63,187 | 91,162 | 69.3% | Pass |
| 273 | San Jose Unified School District | \$429,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | F | 55 | 23,248 | 33,549 | 69.3% | Pass |
| 274 | North Sacramento School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$17,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento | C | 55 | 2,523 | 3,641 | 69.3% | Pass |
| 275 | Moorpark Unified School District | \$33,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Ventura | R | 55 | 4,153 | 5,996 | 69.3% | Pass |
| 276 | Cypress School District | \$53,600,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | M | 55 | 12,493 | 18,045 | 69.2% | Pass |
| 277 | Tulare Joint Union High School District | \$45,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tulare/Kings | Q | 66.7 | 6,308 | 9,112 | 69.2% | Pass |
| 278 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5 | \$125,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 8,199 | 11,845 | 69.2% | Pass |
| 279 | Traver Joint Elementary School District | \$700,000 | 2004-11-02 | Tulare/Kings | A | 55 | 146 | 211 | 69.2% | Pass |
| 280 | Fort Bragg Unified School District | \$16,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Mendocino | C | 55 | 3,329 | 4,813 | 69.2% | Pass |
| 281 | Moreland School District | \$55,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | K | 55 | 9,035 | 13,066 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 282 | Planada Elementary School District | \$2,900,000 | 2008-11-04 | Merced | O | 55 | 625 | 904 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 283 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$7,000,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 885,467 | 1,281,319 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 284 | Santa Rosa Elementary School District | \$54,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 11,000 | 15,919 | 69.1% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 285 | Carlsbad Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | P | 55 | 16,250 | 23,522 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 286 | Val Verde Unified School District | \$43,440,000 | 2008-06-03 | Riverside | J | 55 | 2,323 | 3,363 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 287 | Hope Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Barbara | L | 55 | 3,278 | 4,746 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 288 | Oakley Union Elementary School District | \$16,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Contra Costa | H | 55 | 5,988 | 8,670 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 289 | Exeter Union High School District | \$4,350,000 | 2001-03-06 | Tulare | S | 55 | 1,268 | 1,836 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 290 | Roseland School District | \$7,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | N | 55 | 1,612 | 2,335 | 69.0% | Pass |
| 291 | Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District | \$5,950,000 | 2008-11-04 | Humboldt/Trinity | S | 55 | 1,287 | 1,865 | 69.0% | Pass |
| 292 | Covina Valley Unified School District | \$66,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 5,432 | 7,872 | 69.0% | Pass |
| 293 | Lagunitas Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Marin | A | 55 | 754 | 1,093 | 69.0% | Pass |
| 294 | Geyserville Unified School District | \$3,250,000 | 2008-02-05 | Sonoma | A | 55 | 498 | 722 | 69.0% | Pass |
| 295 | Stockton Unified School District | \$464,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Joaquin | Q | 55 | 20,331 | 29,495 | 68.9% | Pass |
| 296 | Pajaro Valley Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Cruz/Monterey | L | 55 | 23,645 | 34,304 | 68.9% | Pass |
| 297 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5 | \$195,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sacramento | G | 55 | 13,332 | 19,350 | 68.9% | Pass |
| 298 | Turlock Unified School District (Turlock Elementary School District) | \$10,950,000 | 2002-11-05 | Stanislaus/Merced | D | 55 | 8,989 | 13,048 | 68.9% | Pass |
| 299 | Union School District | \$125,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 5,958 | 8,656 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 300 | Hemet Unified School District | <i>Reauthorize \$49,000,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | U | 55 | 25,661 | 37,287 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 301 | Santa Ana Unified School District | \$200,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Orange | G | 66.7 | 8,426 | 12,244 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 302 | Chula Vista Elementary School District SFID No. 1 | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | E | 55 | 36,265 | 52,699 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 303 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$35,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Placer/Nevada/ | H | 55 | 8,856 | 12,872 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 304 | Washington Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Yolo | Q | 55 | 5,213 | 7,578 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 305 | Desert Community College District | \$346,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside/Imperial | B | 66.7 | 36,698 | 53,375 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 306 | El Rancho Unified School District | \$49,500,000 | 2003-11-04 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 1,788 | 2,601 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 307 | San Diego Unified School District | \$2,100,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | S | 55 | 261,672 | 380,808 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 308 | New Haven Unified School District | \$125,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Alameda | M | 55 | 8,962 | 13,044 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 309 | Laytonville Unified School District | \$5,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Mendocino | Q | 55 | 610 | 888 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 310 | Bellevue Union School District | \$19,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 3,953 | 5,755 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 311 | Perris Elementary School District | \$25,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | S | 55 | 2,823 | 4,111 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 312 | San Leandro Unified School District | \$109,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Alameda | B | 55 | 11,398 | 16,600 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 313 | Spreckels Union School District | \$5,335,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | D | 55 | 1,594 | 2,322 | 68.6% | Pass |
| 314 | San Diego Community College District | \$685,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | S | 55 | 140,577 | 204,797 | 68.6% | Pass |
| 315 | Soulsbyville Elementary School District | \$1,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tuolumne | B | 55 | 1,057 | 1,541 | 68.6% | Pass |
| 316 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$53,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento | C | 55 | 8,657 | 12,623 | 68.6% | Pass |
| 317 | Porterville Unified School District FID | \$23,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tulare | A | 55 | 3,681 | 5,368 | 68.6% | Pass |
| 318 | San Rafael Elementary School District (San Rafael City Schools) | \$49,300,000 | 2002-11-05 | Marin | B | 55 | 9,365 | 13,665 | 68.5% | Pass |
| 319 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Contra Costa | L | 55 | 7,391 | 10,787 | 68.5% | Pass |
| 320 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Contra Costa | N | 55 | 5,483 | 8,007 | 68.5% | Pass |
| 321 | Woodside Elementary School District | \$12,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | D | 55 | 1,042 | 1,522 | 68.5% | Pass |
| 322 | Sunnyvale School District | \$96,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 6,995 | 10,220 | 68.4% | Pass |
| 323 | Jefferson Elementary School District | \$52,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | H | 66.7 | 3,829 | 5,597 | 68.4% | Pass |
| 324 | Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District | \$9,300,000 | 2005-11-08 | Tuolumne | M | 55 | 1,563 | 2,285 | 68.4% | Pass |
| 325 | North Monterey County Unified School District | \$20,750,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | E | 55 | 4,188 | 6,123 | 68.4% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 326 | New Haven Unified School District | \$120,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | Alameda | A | 66.7 | 3,817 | 5,581 | 68.4% | Pass |
| 327 | Big Pine Unified School District | \$2,200,000 | 2005-03-08 | Inyo | B | 66.7 | 410 | 600 | 68.3% | Pass |
| 328 | Riverside Unified School District | \$175,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Riverside | B | 66.7 | 16,466 | 24,104 | 68.3% | Pass |
| 329 | Petaluma Joint Union High School District | \$68,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | E | 55 | 4,652 | 6,813 | 68.3% | Pass |
| 330 | El Camino Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 129,939 | 190,356 | 68.3% | Pass |
| 331 | Ojai Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | J | 55 | 5,223 | 7,655 | 68.2% | Pass |
| 332 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$88,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | G | 55 | 23,927 | 35,083 | 68.2% | Pass |
| 333 | Exeter Union Elementary School District | \$6,400,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | D | 55 | 2,066 | 3,031 | 68.2% | Pass |
| 334 | Tamalpais Union High School District | \$121,000,000 | 2001-03-06 | Marin | A | 66.7 | 14,527 | 21,319 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 335 | Helendale School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | X | 55 | 1,707 | 2,507 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 336 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$3,350,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 488,849 | 718,030 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 337 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$24,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kern | H | 55 | 4,089 | 6,007 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 338 | Santa Clarita Community College District | \$82,110,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 16,370 | 24,050 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 339 | Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District | \$385,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | ES | 55 | 29,874 | 43,892 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 340 | Escalon Unified School District | \$7,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | T | 55 | 2,402 | 3,531 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 341 | Mark West Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | I | 55 | 2,957 | 4,348 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 342 | San Carlos Unified School District | \$72,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 9,534 | 14,023 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 343 | Redwood Shores SFID | \$25,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 2,312 | 3,401 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 344 | Fillmore Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Ventura | E | 55 | 3,650 | 5,370 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 345 | Ukiah Unified School District | \$43,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Mendocino | U | 66.7 | 6,675 | 9,822 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 346 | Loleta Union School District | \$456,000 | 2004-11-02 | Humboldt | K | 55 | 422 | 621 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 347 | Tracy Unified School District | \$51,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Joaquin/Alameda | E | 55 | 7,230 | 10,644 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 348 | Banta Elementary School District | \$800,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | X | 55 | 216 | 318 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 349 | East Side Union High School District | \$113,200,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 59,092 | 87,014 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 350 | Victor Valley Union High School District | \$500,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | V | 55 | 24,037 | 35,400 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 351 | Big Creek Elementary School District | \$1,400,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | M | 55 | 167 | 246 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 352 | Midway School District | \$7,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | F | 55 | 93 | 137 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 353 | Kernville Union School District | \$5,600,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | E | 55 | 2,559 | 3,770 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 354 | Salinas Union High School District | \$37,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | M | 55 | 14,651 | 21,589 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 355 | Sacramento City Unified School District | \$68,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | R | 55 | 71,820 | 105,834 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 356 | Modesto City Schools (Modesto High School District) | \$65,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | T | 55 | 20,783 | 30,639 | 67.8% | Pass |
| 357 | Sonoma County Community College District | \$251,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino | E | 66.7 | 77,248 | 113,889 | 67.8% | Pass |
| 358 | Briggs Elementary School District | \$4,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | K | 55 | 398 | 587 | 67.8% | Pass |
| 359 | Banning Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | L | 55 | 2,620 | 3,865 | 67.8% | Pass |
| 360 | Brentwood Union Elementary School District | \$35,000,000 | 2003-03-04 | Contra Costa | B | 66.7 | 2,968 | 4,385 | 67.7% | Pass |
| 361 | Dixon Unified School District | \$29,200,000 | 2002-11-05 | Solano | J | 55 | 3,313 | 4,896 | 67.7% | Pass |
| 362 | Merced Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$11,930,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced/Madera | J | 55 | 4,644 | 6,863 | 67.7% | Pass |
| 363 | Rocklin Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Placer | B | 66.7 | 7,101 | 10,500 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 364 | Sonoma Valley Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | H | 55 | 10,581 | 15,649 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 365 | San Rafael High School District (San Rafael City Schools) | \$49,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Marin | A | 55 | 15,011 | 22,208 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 366 | Mountain View Whisman Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 7,341 | 10,863 | 67.6% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| 367 | Gilroy Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Santa Clara | P | 55 | 11,989 | 17,741 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 368 | Visalia Unified School District | \$60,100,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | E | 55 | 26,898 | 39,806 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 369 | Vacaville Unified School District | \$101,300,000 | 2001-11-06 | Solano | V | 66.7 | 8,606 | 12,737 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 370 | East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sutter/Placer | W | 55 | 583 | 863 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 371 | Evergreen School District | \$100,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | M | 55 | 14,002 | 20,740 | 67.5% | Pass |
| 372 | Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District | \$268,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | BB | 55 | 22,927 | 33,960 | 67.5% | Pass |
| 373 | Burlingame School District | \$56,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | D | 55 | 8,609 | 12,754 | 67.5% | Pass |
| 374 | Healdsburg Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$18,820,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | H | 55 | 3,519 | 5,214 | 67.5% | Pass |
| 375 | Rowland Unified School District | \$158,800,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles/Orange | R | 55 | 17,181 | 25,465 | 67.5% | Pass |
| 376 | Sweetwater Union High School District | \$644,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | O | 55 | 48,916 | 72,502 | 67.5% | Pass |
| 377 | Stockton Unified School District | \$114,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Joaquin | E | 55 | 14,751 | 21,871 | 67.4% | Pass |
| 378 | Somis Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | S | 55 | 955 | 1,416 | 67.4% | Pass |
| 379 | Washington Unified School District | \$49,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Yolo | V | 55 | 6,118 | 9,072 | 67.4% | Pass |
| 380 | Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified School District | \$4,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Fresno/Merced | G | 55 | 1,130 | 1,676 | 67.4% | Pass |
| 381 | Livingston Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Merced | B | 55 | 1,002 | 1,487 | 67.4% | Pass |
| 382 | Terra Bella Union Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | 2008-11-04 | Tulare | K | 55 | 763 | 1,133 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 383 | Acalanes Union High School District | \$44,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 30,163 | 44,797 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 384 | Victor Elementary School District | \$150,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | E | 55 | 15,167 | 22,529 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 385 | Ontario-Montclair School District | \$59,655,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | T | 55 | 11,472 | 17,042 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 386 | Plumas Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Plumas | A | 66.7 | 5,206 | 7,735 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 387 | El Segundo Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 5,602 | 8,326 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 388 | Jefferson School District | \$35,400,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Joaquin | J | 55 | 2,354 | 3,500 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 389 | Hughson Unified School District | \$14,300,000 | 2004-11-02 | Stanislaus | G | 55 | 2,788 | 4,146 | 67.2% | Pass |
| 390 | Sacramento City Unified School District | \$225,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | I | 55 | 49,115 | 73,041 | 67.2% | Pass |
| 391 | Fresno Unified School District | \$199,000,000 | 2001-03-06 | Fresno | K | 66.7 | 19,864 | 29,542 | 67.2% | Pass |
| 392 | Vista Unified School District | \$140,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | O | 55 | 17,506 | 26,037 | 67.2% | Pass |
| 393 | Tustin Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | G | 55 | 12,792 | 19,054 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 394 | Monrovia Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 4,301 | 6,409 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 395 | Central School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Bernardino | N | 55 | 4,141 | 6,171 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 396 | El Monte City School District | \$78,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 4,614 | 6,877 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 397 | Fremont Union High School District | \$198,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Clara | B | 55 | 22,100 | 32,949 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 398 | Centralia School District | \$17,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | H | 55 | 5,760 | 8,589 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 399 | Downey Unified School District | \$65,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | D | 55 | 13,257 | 19,771 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 400 | Chowchilla Union High School District | \$8,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Madera | N | 55 | 2,258 | 3,368 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 401 | Dinuba Unified School District | \$37,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Tulare | T | 55 | 2,205 | 3,290 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 402 | San Bernardino Community College District | \$500,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino/Riverside | M | 55 | 87,405 | 130,437 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 403 | San Jacinto Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | V | 55 | 4,153 | 6,198 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 404 | Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 14,678 | 21,911 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 405 | Loma Prieta Schools | \$4,965,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | K | 55 | 982 | 1,466 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 406 | Hanford Joint Union High School District | \$33,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 12,549 | 18,737 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 407 | Caruthers Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno | C | 55 | 1,264 | 1,888 | 66.9% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 408 | Brawley Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | Imperial | S | 55 | 4,081 | 6,096 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 409 | Arcadia Unified School District | \$218,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 10,104 | 15,093 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 410 | Mill Valley School District | \$59,800,000 | 2009-11-03 | Marin | C | 55 | 5,530 | 8,261 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 411 | Oak View Union School District | \$3,700,000 | 2008-06-03 | San Joaquin | R | 55 | 380 | 568 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 412 | Cascade Union Elementary School District | \$12,400,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | C | 55 | 3,211 | 4,800 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 413 | Buena Park Elementary School District | \$71,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Orange | B | 55 | 2,229 | 3,333 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 414 | Tamalpais Union High School District | \$79,920,000 | 2006-06-06 | Marin | A | 55 | 23,420 | 35,020 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 415 | Placerville Union School District | \$5,300,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 3,274 | 4,896 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 416 | Middletown Unified School District | \$15,300,000 | 2006-11-07 | Lake | X | 55 | 2,020 | 3,021 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 417 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$11,800,000 | 2008-06-03 | Fresno/Madera | Q | 55 | 782 | 1,171 | 66.8% | Pass |
| 418 | Rincon Valley Union School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | F | 55 | 6,816 | 10,209 | 66.8% | Pass |
| 419 | Torrance Unified School District | \$144,300,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | T | 55 | 21,424 | 32,113 | 66.7% | Pass |
| 420 | Newhall School District | \$60,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 3,706 | 5,556 | 66.7% | Pass |
| 421 | Kern High School District | \$219,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Kern | N | 55 | 97,540 | 146,279 | 66.7% | Pass |
| 422 | Campbell Union School District | \$74,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 8,772 | 13,156 | 66.7% | Pass |
| 423 | Palo Verde Community College District | \$6,000,000 | 2005-06-07 | San Bernardino | K | 66.7 | 608 | 912 | 66.7% | Pass |
| 424 | Pajaro Valley Unified School District | \$58,250,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Cruz/Monterey | J or L | 55 | 14,352 | 21,530 | 66.7% | Pass |
| 425 | Chualar Union Elementary School District | \$1,900,000 | 2001-11-06 | Monterey | K | 55 | 157 | 236 | 66.5% | Pass |
| 426 | John Swett Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 1,778 | 2,673 | 66.5% | Pass |
| 427 | San Mateo Union High School District | \$298,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Mateo | M | 55 | 42,296 | 63,640 | 66.5% | Pass |
| 428 | Susanville Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Lassen | S | 55 | 3,025 | 4,552 | 66.5% | Pass |
| 429 | Hillsborough City School District | \$66,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Mateo | B | 55 | 2,838 | 4,271 | 66.4% | Pass |
| 430 | Redlands Unified School District | \$65,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino | J | 55 | 21,545 | 32,446 | 66.4% | Pass |
| 431 | Oxnard School District | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | R | 55 | 19,968 | 30,078 | 66.4% | Pass |
| 432 | Perris Elementary School District | \$40,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Riverside | C | 55 | 1,153 | 1,738 | 66.3% | Pass |
| 433 | Carpinteria Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara | U | 55 | 3,901 | 5,881 | 66.3% | Pass |
| 434 | Cupertino Union School District | \$220,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 17,510 | 26,432 | 66.2% | Pass |
| 435 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$388,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 102,629 | 154,995 | 66.2% | Pass |
| 436 | Cottonwood Union Elementary School District | \$2,200,000 | 2003-11-04 | Shasta | A | 55 | 1,111 | 1,678 | 66.2% | Pass |
| 437 | Patterson Joint Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus/Santa Clara | V | 55 | 3,982 | 6,015 | 66.2% | Pass |
| 438 | Richland School District | \$19,800,000 | 2007-06-05 | Kern | D | 66.7 | 643 | 972 | 66.2% | Fail |
| 439 | Columbia Union Elementary School District | \$3,600,000 | 2003-03-04 | Tuolumne | G | 55 | 937 | 1,417 | 66.1% | Pass |
| 440 | Forestville Union School District | \$5,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | K | 55 | 2,104 | 3,183 | 66.1% | Pass |
| 441 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$3,985,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 443,905 | 671,636 | 66.1% | Pass |
| 442 | College of the Sequoias | \$28,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Tulare | I | 55 | 35,713 | 54,070 | 66.0% | Pass |
| 443 | Farmersville Unified School District | \$4,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Tulare | A | 55 | 575 | 871 | 66.0% | Pass |
| 444 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | \$7,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | K | 66.7 | 2,828 | 4,284 | 66.0% | Fail |
| 445 | Gravenstein Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,971 | 2,986 | 66.0% | Pass |
| 446 | Placencia-Yorba Linda Unified School District | \$102,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | Y | 55 | 18,300 | 27,735 | 66.0% | Pass |
| 447 | Sutter Union High School District | \$12,600,000 | 2008-06-03 | Sutter | T | 55 | 1,194 | 1,810 | 66.0% | Pass |
| 448 | Parlier Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Fresno | P | 55 | 554 | 840 | 66.0% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 449 | Conejo Valley Unified School District | \$197,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | I | 55 | 27,468 | 41,652 | 65.9% | Pass |
| 450 | Redondo Beach Unified School District | \$145,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 13,516 | 20,505 | 65.9% | Pass |
| 451 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District | \$25,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 9,509 | 14,431 | 65.9% | Pass |
| 452 | San Mateo-Foster City School District | \$175,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 48,116 | 73,047 | 65.9% | Pass |
| 453 | Gustine Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Merced | P | 55 | 962 | 1,461 | 65.8% | Pass |
| 454 | Butte-Glenn Community College District | \$84,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Butte/Glenn | A | 55 | 36,012 | 54,724 | 65.8% | Pass |
| 455 | Fort Bragg Unified School District | \$22,200,000 | 2003-11-04 | Mendocino | D | 55 | 1,946 | 2,958 | 65.8% | Pass |
| 456 | Hydesville Elementary School District | \$1,100,000 | 2014-06-03 | Humboldt | M | 55 | 244 | 371 | 65.8% | Pass |
| 457 | Hartnell Community College District | \$131,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey/San Benito | H | 55 | 29,768 | 45,263 | 65.8% | Pass |
| 458 | San Juan Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | J | 55 | 63,010 | 95,831 | 65.8% | Pass |
| 459 | Scotts Valley Unified School District | \$44,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Cruz | B | 66.7 | 3,716 | 5,652 | 65.7% | Fail |
| 460 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$11,600,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito | C | 55 | 2,656 | 4,040 | 65.7% | Pass |
| 461 | Morgan Hill Unified School District | \$198,250,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 15,243 | 23,187 | 65.7% | Pass |
| 462 | Foothill-De Anza Community College District | \$490,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Clara | C | 55 | 44,924 | 68,392 | 65.7% | Pass |
| 463 | Magnolia School District | \$16,300,000 | 2010-11-02 | Orange | I | 55 | 6,366 | 9,693 | 65.7% | Pass |
| 464 | Kings River Union Elementary School District | \$850,000 | 2005-11-08 | Tulare | E | 55 | 300 | 457 | 65.6% | Pass |
| 465 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$265,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 22,995 | 35,047 | 65.6% | Pass |
| 466 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$207,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 58,530 | 89,208 | 65.6% | Pass |
| 467 | Bonsall Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Diego | C | 55 | 2,767 | 4,218 | 65.6% | Pass |
| 468 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Monterey | D | 55 | 3,411 | 5,200 | 65.6% | Pass |
| 469 | Ventura County Community College District | \$356,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Ventura | S | 55 | 77,854 | 118,715 | 65.6% | Pass |
| 470 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$88,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | San Mateo | A | 66.7 | 16,903 | 25,781 | 65.6% | Fail |
| 471 | Oak Grove School District | \$89,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | P | 55 | 13,056 | 19,918 | 65.5% | Pass |
| 472 | Mt. Diablo Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 31,530 | 48,112 | 65.5% | Pass |
| 473 | Martinez Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Contra Costa | K | 55 | 6,863 | 10,474 | 65.5% | Pass |
| 474 | Greenfield Union School District | \$5,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Kern | A | 55 | 2,242 | 3,423 | 65.5% | Pass |
| 475 | Fontana Unified School District | \$275,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Orange | C | 55 | 5,598 | 8,547 | 65.5% | Pass |
| 476 | Santa Rosa High School District | \$77,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 29,276 | 44,707 | 65.5% | Pass |
| 477 | Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$15,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 9,946 | 15,195 | 65.5% | Pass |
| 478 | San Jose-Evergreen Community College District | \$185,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 141,070 | 215,731 | 65.4% | Pass |
| 479 | Moorpark Unified School District | \$39,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | S | 55 | 9,560 | 14,620 | 65.4% | Pass |
| 480 | Los Alamitos Unified School District | \$126,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | K | 55 | 13,740 | 21,015 | 65.4% | Pass |
| 481 | Los Banos Unified School District | \$44,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Merced | K | 66.7 | 4,285 | 6,558 | 65.3% | Fail |
| 482 | Amador County Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Amador | F | 55 | 8,332 | 12,752 | 65.3% | Pass |
| 483 | Atascadero Unified School District | \$117,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Luis Obispo | I | 55 | 9,165 | 14,029 | 65.3% | Pass |
| 484 | Wheatland School District | \$5,700,000 | 2008-11-04 | Yuba | R | 55 | 1,465 | 2,243 | 65.3% | Pass |
| 485 | Riverbank Unified School District | \$15,200,000 | 2005-11-08 | Stanislaus | P | 55 | 1,584 | 2,426 | 65.3% | Pass |
| 486 | Mesa Union Elementary School District | \$3,900,000 | 2002-11-05 | Ventura | H | 55 | 786 | 1,204 | 65.3% | Pass |
| 487 | Wasco Union High School District | \$33,500,000 | 2008-06-03 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,070 | 1,640 | 65.2% | Pass |
| 488 | Pioneer Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kings | P | 55 | 1,524 | 2,336 | 65.2% | Pass |
| 489 | Calistoga Joint Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma/Napa | A | 55 | 1,572 | 2,411 | 65.2% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 490 | Clovis Unified School District | \$298,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Fresno | M | 55 | 23,752 | 36,429 | 65.2% | Pass |
| 491 | Coast Community College District | \$370,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | C | 55 | 90,198 | 138,348 | 65.2% | Pass |
| 492 | Brisbane Elementary School District | \$11,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 706 | 1,083 | 65.2% | Pass |
| 493 | Coachella Valley Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside/Imperial | X | 55 | 7,191 | 11,032 | 65.2% | Pass |
| 494 | Hermosa Beach City School District | \$13,600,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 3,874 | 5,944 | 65.2% | Pass |
| 495 | Healdsburg Unified School District | \$3,990,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 3,922 | 6,023 | 65.1% | Pass |
| 496 | Anderson Valley Unified School District | \$15,250,000 | 2010-06-08 | Mendocino | A | 55 | 551 | 847 | 65.1% | Pass |
| 497 | Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 4 | \$82,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Joaquin/Alameda | B | 55 | 4,570 | 7,028 | 65.0% | Pass |
| 498 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Humboldt/Mendocino | X | 55 | 1,632 | 2,510 | 65.0% | Pass |
| 499 | Panama-Buena Vista Union School District | \$147,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | P | 55 | 23,690 | 36,447 | 65.0% | Pass |
| 500 | Long Beach Community College District | \$176,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 34,580 | 53,225 | 65.0% | Pass |
| 501 | Chico Unified School District | \$78,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Butte | E | 55 | 27,112 | 41,734 | 65.0% | Pass |
| 502 | Redwood City Elementary School District | \$22,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Mateo | B | 55 | 8,946 | 13,774 | 64.9% | Pass |
| 503 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$18,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 4,257 | 6,556 | 64.9% | Pass |
| 504 | Walnut Creek School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 11,876 | 18,290 | 64.9% | Pass |
| 505 | Petaluma City School District | \$21,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 9,760 | 15,033 | 64.9% | Pass |
| 506 | Beverly Hills Unified School District | \$334,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 9,484 | 14,616 | 64.9% | Pass |
| 507 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$120,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 112,062 | 172,726 | 64.9% | Pass |
| 508 | Centinela Valley Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | CV | 55 | 16,057 | 24,754 | 64.9% | Pass |
| 509 | Twin Hills Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,975 | 3,046 | 64.8% | Pass |
| 510 | Fremont Union High School District | \$295,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | K | 55 | 31,982 | 49,326 | 64.8% | Pass |
| 511 | Alisal Union School District | \$90,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Monterey | A | 55 | 3,414 | 5,266 | 64.8% | Pass |
| 512 | Standard School District | \$28,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | D | 55 | 2,166 | 3,342 | 64.8% | Pass |
| 513 | Stone Corral Elementary School District | \$715,000 | 2008-06-03 | Tulare | F | 55 | 46 | 71 | 64.8% | Pass |
| 514 | Guerneville School District | \$6,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | F | 55 | 993 | 1,533 | 64.8% | Pass |
| 515 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District | \$48,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 7,017 | 10,837 | 64.8% | Pass |
| 516 | Imperial Valley College | \$80,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Imperial | J | 55 | 16,325 | 25,220 | 64.7% | Pass |
| 517 | Sanger Unified School District | \$30,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Fresno | S | 55 | 3,298 | 5,097 | 64.7% | Pass |
| 518 | Central Elementary School District | \$49,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Bernardino | L | 66.7 | 4,803 | 7,423 | 64.7% | Fail |
| 519 | Cayucos Elementary School District | \$2,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Luis Obispo | Z | 55 | 1,003 | 1,551 | 64.7% | Pass |
| 520 | Monterey Peninsula Community College District | \$145,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | I | 55 | 23,711 | 36,677 | 64.6% | Pass |
| 521 | Jurupa Unified School District | \$58,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Riverside | C | 66.7 | 2,818 | 4,359 | 64.6% | Fail |
| 522 | Castaic Union School District | \$51,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | QS | 55 | 5,682 | 8,793 | 64.6% | Pass |
| 523 | Nuvview Union School District | <i>Reauthorize \$4,000,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | V | 55 | 1,632 | 2,526 | 64.6% | Pass |
| 524 | Banta Elementary School District | \$1,420,000 | 2001-03-06 | San Joaquin | I | 66.7 | 135 | 209 | 64.6% | Fail |
| 525 | Lakeside Union School District | \$79,550,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | I | 55 | 10,695 | 16,561 | 64.6% | Pass |
| 526 | Rincon Valley Union School District | \$30,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | J | 66.7 | 9,187 | 14,228 | 64.6% | Fail |
| 527 | Oxnard School District | \$64,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Ventura | M | 55 | 12,655 | 19,631 | 64.5% | Pass |
| 528 | Liberty School District | \$1,920,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 618 | 959 | 64.4% | Pass |
| 529 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$81,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 21,839 | 33,890 | 64.4% | Pass |
| 530 | Clovis Unified School District | \$79,000,000 | 2001-03-06 | Fresno | A | 66.7 | 12,839 | 19,932 | 64.4% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 531 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$360,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 50,266 | 78,060 | 64.4% | Pass |
| 532 | Valley Home Joint School District | \$1,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Stanislaus/San Joaquin | S | 55 | 264 | 410 | 64.4% | Pass |
| 533 | Temple City Unified School District | \$128,800,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 6,454 | 10,029 | 64.4% | Pass |
| 534 | Woodside Elementary School District | \$13,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | D | 55 | 713 | 1,108 | 64.4% | Pass |
| 535 | Kerman Unified School District | \$24,900,000 | 2008-06-03 | Fresno | K | 55 | 931 | 1,447 | 64.3% | Pass |
| 536 | Blue Lake Union Elementary School District | \$2,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt | K | 55 | 528 | 821 | 64.3% | Pass |
| 537 | College of the Redwoods | \$40,320,000 | 2004-11-02 | Del Norte/Humboldt/ Mendocino | B/Q | 55 | 52,249 | 81,284 | 64.3% | Pass |
| 538 | Redondo Beach Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 18,786 | 29,232 | 64.3% | Pass |
| 539 | Acalanes Union High School District | \$93,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 39,556 | 61,553 | 64.3% | Pass |
| 540 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | \$25,200,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt/Mendocino | L | 55 | 1,528 | 2,379 | 64.2% | Pass |
| 541 | Eureka Unified School District | \$32,515,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt | T | 55 | 5,059 | 7,881 | 64.2% | Pass |
| 542 | Armona Union Elementary School District | \$2,785,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kings | S | 55 | 534 | 832 | 64.2% | Pass |
| 543 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$468,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 114,319 | 178,121 | 64.2% | Pass |
| 544 | Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus | R | 55 | 1,998 | 3,114 | 64.2% | Pass |
| 545 | Lakeport Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Lake | T | 55 | 1,543 | 2,405 | 64.2% | Pass |
| 546 | Mojave Unified School District | \$24,000,000 | 2007-09-18 | Kern | E | 66.7 | 1,093 | 1,704 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 547 | Strathmore Union High School District (now in Porterville Unified School District) | \$3,500,000 | 2001-09-25 | Tulare | A | 66.7 | 288 | 449 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 548 | Cajon Valley Union School District | \$156,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | D | 55 | 23,696 | 36,946 | 64.1% | Pass |
| 549 | Las Virgenes Unified School District | \$128,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles/Ventura | G | 55 | 6,865 | 10,705 | 64.1% | Pass |
| 550 | Rancho Santiago Community College District | \$337,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | E | 55 | 51,789 | 80,771 | 64.1% | Pass |
| 551 | Escalon Unified School District | \$19,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | B | 55 | 3,279 | 5,115 | 64.1% | Pass |
| 552 | Saratoga Union School District | \$19,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | L | 55 | 3,903 | 6,091 | 64.1% | Pass |
| 553 | Planada Elementary School District | \$1,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Merced | M | 66.7 | 462 | 721 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 554 | Moreno Valley Unified School District | \$398,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | M | 55 | 12,348 | 19,276 | 64.1% | Pass |
| 555 | Milpitas Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 5,412 | 8,449 | 64.1% | Pass |
| 556 | Maricopa Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Kern | O | 55 | 342 | 534 | 64.0% | Pass |
| 557 | Santa Rosa High School District | \$175,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | I | 55 | 34,086 | 53,235 | 64.0% | Pass |
| 558 | Wilmar Union School District | \$4,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | P | 55 | 1,075 | 1,679 | 64.0% | Pass |
| 559 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID | \$35,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 6,744 | 10,539 | 64.0% | Pass |
| 560 | Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District | \$99,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | E | 55 | 8,331 | 13,020 | 64.0% | Pass |
| 561 | Bear Valley Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | Q | 55 | 3,423 | 5,351 | 64.0% | Pass |
| 562 | Paradise Elementary School District | \$400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Stanislaus | E | 66.7 | 181 | 283 | 64.0% | Fail |
| 563 | Anaheim City Elementary School District | \$169,300,000 | 2010-11-02 | Orange | G | 55 | 19,550 | 30,580 | 63.9% | Pass |
| 564 | Poway Unified School District SFID 2007-1 | \$179,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | C | 55 | 28,323 | 44,318 | 63.9% | Pass |
| 565 | Salinas City Elementary School District | \$80,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Monterey | T | 55 | 5,513 | 8,627 | 63.9% | Pass |
| 566 | Burlingame School District | \$48,300,000 | 2007-11-06 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 3,650 | 5,712 | 63.9% | Pass |
| 567 | City College of San Francisco | \$246,300,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 134,999 | 211,319 | 63.9% | Pass |
| 568 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3 | \$31,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno/Kings | E | 55 | 7,512 | 11,763 | 63.9% | Pass |
| 569 | Bakersfield City Elementary School District | \$100,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Kern | G | 55 | 17,657 | 27,661 | 63.8% | Pass |
| 570 | Grossmont Union High School District | \$199,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | T | 66.7 | 64,773 | 101,487 | 63.8% | Fail |
| 571 | Garden Grove Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Orange | A | 55 | 21,149 | 33,168 | 63.8% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 572 | Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District | \$16,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito | E | 55 | 1,813 | 2,844 | 63.7% | Pass |
| 573 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$3,870,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 335,230 | 526,258 | 63.7% | Pass |
| 574 | Oakdale Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | C | 55 | 28 | 44 | 63.6% | Pass |
| 575 | Imperial Valley College | \$58,600,000 | 2004-11-02 | Imperial | L | 55 | 20,176 | 31,716 | 63.6% | Pass |
| 576 | Shoreline Unified School District | \$9,290,000 | 2009-11-03 | Marin/Sonoma | D | 55 | 1,033 | 1,624 | 63.6% | Pass |
| 577 | Chaffey Joint Union School District | \$848,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Bernardino | P | 55 | 70,026 | 110,096 | 63.6% | Pass |
| 578 | Gold Trail Union School District | \$1,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 1,639 | 2,577 | 63.6% | Pass |
| 579 | Oak Grove Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | K | 55 | 1,174 | 1,846 | 63.6% | Pass |
| 580 | Nuvview Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | T | 66.7 | 1,034 | 1,626 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 581 | Castro Valley Unified School District | \$44,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Alameda | B | 55 | 10,602 | 16,674 | 63.6% | Pass |
| 582 | Victor Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Bernardino | E | 55 | 3,155 | 4,964 | 63.6% | Pass |
| 583 | La Canada Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | B | 55 | 4,408 | 6,939 | 63.5% | Pass |
| 584 | Beardsley School District | \$8,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern | D | 66.7 | 1,771 | 2,788 | 63.5% | Fail |
| 585 | Fremont Unified School District | \$157,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | B | 55 | 15,101 | 23,780 | 63.5% | Pass |
| 586 | Mojave Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2001-03-06 | Kern | A | 66.7 | 882 | 1,389 | 63.5% | Fail |
| 587 | Benicia Unified School District | \$49,600,000 | 2014-06-03 | Solano | S | 55 | 3,903 | 6,147 | 63.5% | Pass |
| 588 | Madera Unified School District | \$46,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Madera | B | 55 | 6,812 | 10,729 | 63.5% | Pass |
| 589 | Solano Community College District | \$348,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Solano/Yolo | Q | 55 | 89,634 | 141,189 | 63.5% | Pass |
| 590 | Solano Community College District | \$124,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Solano/Yolo | G | 55 | 89,634 | 141,189 | 63.5% | Pass |
| 591 | Live Oak Unified School District | \$8,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Sutter | G | 55 | 961 | 1,515 | 63.4% | Pass |
| 592 | Hollister School District | \$28,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Benito | M | 55 | 5,729 | 9,035 | 63.4% | Pass |
| 593 | Central Unified School District | \$152,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno | E | 55 | 12,062 | 19,023 | 63.4% | Pass |
| 594 | San Marcos Unified School District | \$287,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | K | 55 | 20,082 | 31,682 | 63.4% | Pass |
| 595 | Temecula Valley Unified School District | \$165,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | Y | 55 | 27,808 | 43,879 | 63.4% | Pass |
| 596 | Lucia Mar Unified School District | \$21,350,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Luis Obispo | A | 55 | 13,848 | 21,869 | 63.3% | Pass |
| 597 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$4,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Diego | K | 55 | 1,417 | 2,238 | 63.3% | Pass |
| 598 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$8,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,551 | 2,450 | 63.3% | Pass |
| 599 | Rincon Valley Union School District | \$23,900,000 | 2004-03-02 | Sonoma | D | 55 | 10,302 | 16,288 | 63.2% | Pass |
| 600 | Merced City Elementary School District | \$2,200,000 | 2003-05-13 | Merced | K | 66.7 | 4,379 | 6,924 | 63.2% | Fail |
| 601 | Exeter Union High School District | \$5,100,000 | 2006-11-07 | Tulare | U | 55 | 2,486 | 3,931 | 63.2% | Pass |
| 602 | Anaheim City Elementary School District | \$111,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | BB | 55 | 11,610 | 18,366 | 63.2% | Pass |
| 603 | Burton Elementary School District | \$2,455,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tulare | B | 55 | 1,471 | 2,327 | 63.2% | Pass |
| 604 | Paradise Elementary School District | \$660,000 | 2002-03-05 | Stanislaus | X | 55 | 151 | 239 | 63.2% | Pass |
| 605 | Happy Valley Union Elementary School District | \$2,495,000 | 2014-06-03 | Shasta | C | 55 | 724 | 1,146 | 63.2% | Pass |
| 606 | Riverbank Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | Stanislaus | O | 66.7 | 729 | 1,155 | 63.1% | Fail |
| 607 | Sonoma County Community College District | \$410,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino | H | 55 | 87,739 | 139,046 | 63.1% | Pass |
| 608 | Glendora Unified School District | \$41,310,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 6,588 | 10,442 | 63.1% | Pass |
| 609 | San Leandro Unified School District | \$50,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | M | 55 | 10,638 | 16,864 | 63.1% | Pass |
| 610 | Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 5,382 | 8,532 | 63.1% | Pass |
| 611 | Colusa Unified School District | \$5,900,000 | 2014-11-04 | Colusa | A | 55 | 1,049 | 1,663 | 63.1% | Pass |
| 612 | College of Marin | \$249,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Marin | C | 55 | 77,102 | 122,301 | 63.0% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 613 | San Diego Community College District | \$870,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | N | 55 | 149,399 | 237,005 | 63.0% | Pass |
| 614 | Oroville City Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Butte | F | 55 | 4,742 | 7,525 | 63.0% | Pass |
| 615 | Ohlone Community College District | \$349,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | G | 55 | 37,042 | 58,814 | 63.0% | Pass |
| 616 | Westminster School District | \$130,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | O | 55 | 15,947 | 25,321 | 63.0% | Pass |
| 617 | Princeton Unified School District | \$2,750,000 | 2014-06-03 | Glenn/Colusa | S | 55 | 165 | 262 | 63.0% | Pass |
| 618 | San Carlos Unified School District | \$38,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | E | 55 | 6,240 | 9,913 | 62.9% | Pass |
| 619 | Denair Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Stanislaus | K | 55 | 812 | 1,290 | 62.9% | Pass |
| 620 | Rio Hondo Community College District | \$245,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 27,447 | 43,642 | 62.9% | Pass |
| 621 | Bennett Valley Union School District | \$10,600,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 2,976 | 4,732 | 62.9% | Pass |
| 622 | Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Madera | R | 55 | 2,213 | 3,520 | 62.9% | Pass |
| 623 | Westside Union School District | \$63,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | WS | 55 | 16,127 | 25,660 | 62.8% | Pass |
| 624 | Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$56,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 12,264 | 19,515 | 62.8% | Pass |
| 625 | San Bernardino Community College District | \$190,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino/Riverside | P | 55 | 60,487 | 96,344 | 62.8% | Pass |
| 626 | Hemet Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Riverside | E | 66.7 | 12,899 | 20,547 | 62.8% | Fail |
| 627 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern | H | 55 | 1,914 | 3,049 | 62.8% | Pass |
| 628 | Windsor Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 6,208 | 9,891 | 62.8% | Pass |
| 629 | Alameda Unified School District | \$179,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Alameda | I | 55 | 13,111 | 20,894 | 62.8% | Pass |
| 630 | Pomona Unified School District | \$68,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 7,280 | 11,602 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 631 | Gilroy Unified School District | \$69,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 5,865 | 9,349 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 632 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 6,806 | 10,851 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 633 | Charter Oak Unified School District | \$47,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Los Angeles | CO | 55 | 3,035 | 4,839 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 634 | Weaver Union School District | \$9,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Merced | B | 55 | 533 | 850 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 635 | Oxnard Union High School District | \$135,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Ventura | H | 55 | 52,851 | 84,324 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 636 | Alvord Unified School District | <i>Reauthorize \$79,000,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | W | 55 | 12,911 | 20,602 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 637 | Santa Clarita Community College District | \$160,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 38,292 | 61,119 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 638 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$380,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 23,014 | 36,754 | 62.6% | Pass |
| 639 | Merced Union High School District | \$149,450,000 | 2008-11-04 | Merced | M | 55 | 25,266 | 40,377 | 62.6% | Pass |
| 640 | San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta) | \$275,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo/ Monterey | L | 55 | 51,740 | 82,706 | 62.6% | Pass |
| 641 | Happy Valley Union Elementary School District | \$3,800,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | E | 55 | 1,743 | 2,787 | 62.5% | Pass |
| 642 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$11,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Monterey/San Benito | S | 55 | 1,243 | 1,988 | 62.5% | Pass |
| 643 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$24,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Kern | A | 55 | 1,746 | 2,793 | 62.5% | Pass |
| 644 | Spreckels Union School District | \$7,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Monterey | B | 55 | 2,091 | 3,346 | 62.5% | Pass |
| 645 | Westside Union School District | <i>Reauthorize \$18,510,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | WR | 55 | 16,153 | 25,849 | 62.5% | Pass |
| 646 | Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$61,600,000 | 2008-06-03 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 4,948 | 7,921 | 62.5% | Pass |
| 647 | Siskiyou Joint Community College District | \$31,400,000 | 2005-11-08 | Siskiyou/Shasta | A | 55 | 8,912 | 14,267 | 62.5% | Pass |
| 648 | Black Oak Mine Unified School District | \$6,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 3,768 | 6,034 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 649 | Clovis Unified School District | \$168,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | A | 55 | 24,836 | 39,778 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 650 | Buckeye Union School District | \$28,300,000 | 2006-11-07 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 7,910 | 12,670 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 651 | Franklin School District | \$2,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sutter | P | 55 | 452 | 724 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 652 | Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$230,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento/Placer | G | 55 | 10,477 | 16,782 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 653 | Bassett Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 1,616 | 2,589 | 62.4% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 654 | Mendocino-Lake Community College District | \$67,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Mendocino/Lake | W | 55 | 18,417 | 29,506 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 655 | Marysville Joint Unified School District | \$47,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Yuba/Butte | P | 55 | 10,081 | 16,160 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 656 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District | \$750,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Sacramento | M | 66.7 | 63 | 101 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 657 | Greenfield Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | C | 55 | 1,014 | 1,626 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 658 | Planada Elementary School District | \$1,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | O | 55 | 220 | 353 | 62.3% | Pass |
| 659 | Franklin School District | \$2,200,000 | 2004-03-02 | Sutter | E | 66.7 | 554 | 889 | 62.3% | Fail |
| 660 | Bellevue Union School District | \$12,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | D | 55 | 1,314 | 2,111 | 62.2% | Pass |
| 661 | Anaheim Union High School District | \$132,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | Z | 55 | 25,592 | 41,126 | 62.2% | Pass |
| 662 | Palm Springs Unified School District | \$516,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Riverside | E | 55 | 20,923 | 33,647 | 62.2% | Pass |
| 663 | Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$10,585,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt | S | 55 | 7,598 | 12,225 | 62.2% | Pass |
| 664 | Wright Elementary School District | \$14,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,913 | 3,078 | 62.2% | Pass |
| 665 | Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$38,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Sacramento | P | 55 | 11,752 | 18,915 | 62.1% | Pass |
| 666 | Santa Maria-Bonita School District | \$45,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara/SLO | T | 55 | 8,085 | 13,021 | 62.1% | Pass |
| 667 | Torrance Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | U | 55 | 19,877 | 32,015 | 62.1% | Pass |
| 668 | Bonita Unified School District | \$56,360,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 8,841 | 14,243 | 62.1% | Pass |
| 669 | Sutter Union High School District | \$8,260,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sutter | L | 66.7 | 1,885 | 3,038 | 62.0% | Fail |
| 670 | Beaumont Unified School District | \$125,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Riverside/San Bernardino | Z | 55 | 9,029 | 14,552 | 62.0% | Pass |
| 671 | Dublin Unified School District | \$99,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Alameda | E | 55 | 4,205 | 6,780 | 62.0% | Pass |
| 672 | Alvord Unified School District | \$196,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Riverside | H | 55 | 3,437 | 5,542 | 62.0% | Pass |
| 673 | Grossmont Union High School District | \$274,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Diego | H | 55 | 61,406 | 99,029 | 62.0% | Pass |
| 674 | Natomas Unified School District | \$145,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento | D | 55 | 4,358 | 7,029 | 62.0% | Pass |
| 675 | College School District | \$9,370,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Barbara | Z | 55 | 2,028 | 3,271 | 62.0% | Pass |
| 676 | Big Pine Unified School District | \$4,100,000 | 2010-06-08 | Inyo | A | 55 | 360 | 581 | 62.0% | Pass |
| 677 | Vacaville Unified School District | \$194,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Solano | A | 55 | 12,095 | 19,524 | 61.9% | Pass |
| 678 | San Mateo Union High School District | \$186,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | O | 55 | 43,251 | 69,854 | 61.9% | Pass |
| 679 | Val Verde Unified School District | \$178,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Riverside | L | 55 | 2,758 | 4,455 | 61.9% | Pass |
| 680 | Mark Twain Union Elementary School District | \$9,600,000 | 2004-03-02 | Calaveras | K | 55 | 1,786 | 2,885 | 61.9% | Pass |
| 681 | Hawthorne Elementary School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Los Angeles | H | 55 | 2,481 | 4,009 | 61.9% | Pass |
| 682 | Downey Unified School District | \$248,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | O | 55 | 10,093 | 16,314 | 61.9% | Pass |
| 683 | Wheatland School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Yuba | U | 55 | 2,250 | 3,637 | 61.9% | Pass |
| 684 | Chino Valley Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Bernardino | M | 55 | 10,191 | 16,478 | 61.8% | Pass |
| 685 | Peninsula Union School District | \$600,000 | 2001-11-06 | Humboldt | R | 55 | 47 | 76 | 61.8% | Pass |
| 686 | Simi Valley Unified School District | \$145,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Ventura | C | 55 | 16,118 | 26,079 | 61.8% | Pass |
| 687 | Woodlake Union High School District | \$4,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | C | 55 | 1,592 | 2,576 | 61.8% | Pass |
| 688 | San Diego Unified School District | \$2,800,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | Z | 55 | 218,242 | 353,169 | 61.8% | Pass |
| 689 | Burbank Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 2013-03-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 4,663 | 7,547 | 61.8% | Pass |
| 690 | Gateway Unified School District | \$22,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Shasta | B | 55 | 3,623 | 5,864 | 61.8% | Pass |
| 691 | Pollock Pines Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 1,606 | 2,601 | 61.7% | Pass |
| 692 | Los Rios Community College District | \$265,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | El Dorado/Placer/ Sacramento/Yolo/Solano | A | 55 | 132,952 | 215,359 | 61.7% | Pass |
| 693 | Buellton Union School District | \$6,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Barbara | U | 55 | 1,176 | 1,905 | 61.7% | Pass |
| 694 | Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District | \$6,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno/Tulare | O | 55 | 687 | 1,113 | 61.7% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| 695 | Corona-Norco Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | U | 55 | 24,101 | 39,068 | 61.7% | Pass |
| 696 | Western Placer Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Placer | A | 55 | 10,742 | 17,418 | 61.7% | Pass |
| 697 | Atascadero Unified School District | <i>Reauthorize \$58,000,000</i> | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo | B | 55 | 7,102 | 11,517 | 61.7% | Pass |
| 698 | Solvang School District | \$11,650,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Barbara | J | 55 | 1,148 | 1,863 | 61.6% | Pass |
| 699 | Rim of the World Unified School District | \$23,340,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | W | 55 | 8,116 | 13,171 | 61.6% | Pass |
| 700 | Cabrillo Community College District | \$118,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Cruz/Monterey/San Benito | D | 55 | 44,542 | 72,295 | 61.6% | Pass |
| 701 | Encinitas Union School District | \$44,200,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | P | 55 | 17,989 | 29,200 | 61.6% | Pass |
| 702 | El Camino Community College District | \$394,516,464 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 68,095 | 110,541 | 61.6% | Pass |
| 703 | Novato Unified School District | \$107,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Marin | A | 55 | 8,419 | 13,668 | 61.6% | Pass |
| 704 | Riverbank Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Stanislaus | R | 66.7 | 1,328 | 2,156 | 61.6% | Fail |
| 705 | Manhattan Beach Unified School District | \$67,480,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | BB | 55 | 12,191 | 19,801 | 61.6% | Pass |
| 706 | Victor Valley Community College District | \$297,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino/Los Angeles | JJ | 55 | 63,581 | 103,301 | 61.5% | Pass |
| 707 | Fullerton School District | \$49,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | CC | 55 | 11,710 | 19,026 | 61.5% | Pass |
| 708 | San Ramon Valley Unified School District | \$260,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 37,380 | 60,774 | 61.5% | Pass |
| 709 | Vallejo City Unified School District | \$239,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Solano | E | 66.7 | 13,392 | 21,776 | 61.5% | Fail |
| 710 | Los Olivos School District | \$4,650,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Barbara | N | 55 | 469 | 763 | 61.5% | Pass |
| 711 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$295,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 30,232 | 49,185 | 61.5% | Pass |
| 712 | San Bernardino City Unified School District | \$140,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Bernardino | T | 55 | 14,282 | 23,247 | 61.4% | Pass |
| 713 | Wasco Union High School District | \$45,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Kern | G | 66.7 | 834 | 1,358 | 61.4% | Fail |
| 714 | Nevada Joint Union High School District | \$15,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Nevada | A | 55 | 16,397 | 26,704 | 61.4% | Pass |
| 715 | Center Joint Unified School District | \$500,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Sacramento/Placer | N | 55 | 5,082 | 8,278 | 61.4% | Pass |
| 716 | Healdsburg Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | E | 55 | 2,790 | 4,546 | 61.4% | Pass |
| 717 | Roseville City School District | \$29,117,071 | 2002-11-05 | Placer | H | 55 | 11,174 | 18,219 | 61.3% | Pass |
| 718 | Willits Unified School District | \$43,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Mendocino | B | 55 | 2,211 | 3,606 | 61.3% | Pass |
| 719 | Merced River School District | \$1,800,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | L | 55 | 95 | 155 | 61.3% | Pass |
| 720 | Chatom Union Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | U | 55 | 617 | 1,007 | 61.3% | Pass |
| 721 | Fortuna Union High School District | \$10,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Humboldt | D | 55 | 5,072 | 8,278 | 61.3% | Pass |
| 722 | La Habra City School District | \$31,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | O | 55 | 8,874 | 14,489 | 61.2% | Pass |
| 723 | Walnut Valley Unified School District | \$64,600,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 4,159 | 6,797 | 61.2% | Pass |
| 724 | Golden Plains Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno | G | 55 | 402 | 657 | 61.2% | Pass |
| 725 | Mesa Union Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Ventura | A | 55 | 506 | 827 | 61.2% | Pass |
| 726 | Fremont Unified School District | \$650,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Alameda | E | 55 | 15,971 | 26,106 | 61.2% | Pass |
| 727 | Cinnabar Elementary School District | \$2,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 494 | 808 | 61.1% | Pass |
| 728 | Napa Valley Community College District | \$133,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | Napa/Sonoma | N | 55 | 21,699 | 35,502 | 61.1% | Pass |
| 729 | Bradley Union School District | \$1,100,000 | 2011-11-08 | Monterey | T | 55 | 33 | 54 | 61.1% | Pass |
| 730 | Le Grand Union High School | \$1,950,000 | 2001-11-06 | Merced | A | 66.7 | 396 | 648 | 61.1% | Fail |
| 731 | Sierra Sands Unified School District | \$50,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern/San Bernardino | A | 55 | 4,710 | 7,710 | 61.1% | Pass |
| 732 | North Monterey County Unified School District | \$23,800,000 | 2013-11-05 | Monterey | H | 55 | 2,453 | 4,019 | 61.0% | Pass |
| 733 | Atwater Elementary School District | \$16,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced | I | 66.7 | 3,425 | 5,621 | 60.9% | Fail |
| 734 | Central Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | K | 55 | 5,174 | 8,494 | 60.9% | Pass |
| 735 | Mt. Diablo Unified School District | \$348,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 32,152 | 52,814 | 60.9% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 736 | Chualar Union Elementary School District | \$1,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Monterey | A | 55 | 182 | 299 | 60.9% | Pass |
| 737 | Yosemite Community College District | \$326,174,000 | 2004-11-02 | Stanislaus/San Joaquin/ Merced/Calaveras/ | E | 55 | 109,315 | 179,656 | 60.8% | Pass |
| 738 | Huntington Beach City Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | DD | 55 | 11,913 | 19,584 | 60.8% | Pass |
| 739 | Bonita Unified School District | \$83,560,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | AB | 55 | 17,218 | 28,308 | 60.8% | Pass |
| 740 | Greenfield Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | D | 55 | 987 | 1,623 | 60.8% | Pass |
| 741 | Jurupa Unified School District | \$144,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | EE | 55 | 5,824 | 9,578 | 60.8% | Pass |
| 742 | St. Helena Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Napa | B | 55 | 2,545 | 4,186 | 60.8% | Pass |
| 743 | Lodi Unified School District | \$114,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Joaquin | L | 55 | 9,225 | 15,176 | 60.8% | Pass |
| 744 | Perris Union High School District | \$153,420,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | T | 55 | 24,229 | 39,886 | 60.7% | Pass |
| 745 | Napa Valley Unified School District | \$183,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Napa | G | 55 | 20,831 | 34,315 | 60.7% | Pass |
| 746 | William S. Hart Union High School District | \$300,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | SA | 55 | 59,287 | 97,816 | 60.6% | Pass |
| 747 | Beardsley School District | \$10,300,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | B | 55 | 1,444 | 2,383 | 60.6% | Pass |
| 748 | Riverside Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside | C | 55 | 61,923 | 102,205 | 60.6% | Pass |
| 749 | Madera Unified School District | \$32,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Madera | U | 55 | 6,812 | 11,244 | 60.6% | Pass |
| 750 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$2,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Imperial | F | 66.7 | 348 | 575 | 60.5% | Fail |
| 751 | Salinas Union High School District | \$52,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Monterey | F | 55 | 12,746 | 21,111 | 60.4% | Pass |
| 752 | Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Luis Obispo/ Monterey | T | 55 | 8,753 | 14,498 | 60.4% | Pass |
| 753 | Julian Union High School District | \$2,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | L | 55 | 1,259 | 2,086 | 60.4% | Pass |
| 754 | Lost Hills Union School District | \$6,200,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern | G | 55 | 35 | 58 | 60.3% | Pass |
| 755 | Fullerton Joint Union High School District | \$67,940,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange/Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 21,974 | 36,437 | 60.3% | Pass |
| 756 | Salinas Union High School District | \$128,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | B | 55 | 14,730 | 24,428 | 60.3% | Pass |
| 757 | Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District | \$207,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | R | 55 | 60,622 | 100,551 | 60.3% | Pass |
| 758 | Upland Unified School District | \$103,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino | K | 55 | 11,785 | 19,554 | 60.3% | Pass |
| 759 | San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District | \$18,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Santa Cruz | O | 55 | 6,298 | 10,452 | 60.3% | Pass |
| 760 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$62,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | El Dorado/Placer | E | 55 | 2,074 | 3,442 | 60.3% | Pass |
| 761 | Manchester Union Elementary School District | \$1,180,000 | 2002-11-05 | Mendocino | W | 66.7 | 118 | 196 | 60.2% | Fail |
| 762 | San Juan Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 76,052 | 126,333 | 60.2% | Pass |
| 763 | Tustin Unified School District | \$135,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | S | 55 | 25,220 | 41,949 | 60.1% | Pass |
| 764 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$17,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Ventura | C | 55 | 2,030 | 3,378 | 60.1% | Pass |
| 765 | Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Solano | C | 55 | 11,288 | 18,784 | 60.1% | Pass |
| 766 | Fall River Joint Unified School District | \$4,400,000 | 2004-11-02 | Shasta/Lassen/Modoc | J | 66.7 | 2,274 | 3,785 | 60.1% | Fail |
| 767 | West Valley-Mission Community College District | \$235,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | H | 55 | 79,524 | 132,394 | 60.1% | Pass |
| 768 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$89,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Ventura | B | 66.7 | 2,032 | 3,389 | 60.0% | Fail |
| 769 | Duarte Unified School District | \$62,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 4,198 | 7,008 | 59.9% | Pass |
| 770 | Bret Harte Union High School District | \$18,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Calaveras | K | 55 | 6,167 | 10,295 | 59.9% | Pass |
| 771 | Roseville Joint Union High School District | \$79,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Placer/Sacramento | J | 55 | 35,814 | 59,793 | 59.9% | Pass |
| 772 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$32,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 5,029 | 8,400 | 59.9% | Pass |
| 773 | Calipatria Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Imperial | T | 55 | 422 | 705 | 59.9% | Pass |
| 774 | West Valley-Mission Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | C | 55 | 42,498 | 71,020 | 59.8% | Pass |
| 775 | Oroville Union High School District | \$10,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Butte | J | 55 | 6,809 | 11,379 | 59.8% | Pass |
| 776 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | \$18,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | A | 55 | 4,020 | 6,724 | 59.8% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 777 | Tipton Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | 2014-11-04 | Tulare | C | 55 | 211 | 353 | 59.8% | Pass |
| 778 | Cold Spring School District | \$2,440,000 | 2008-11-04 | Santa Barbara | C | 55 | 987 | 1,653 | 59.7% | Pass |
| 779 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$44,400,000 | 2004-11-02 | Nevada | G | 55 | 24,877 | 41,666 | 59.7% | Pass |
| 780 | Yuba City Unified School District | \$25,670,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sutter | K | 55 | 9,383 | 15,729 | 59.7% | Pass |
| 781 | Greenfield Union School District | \$8,300,000 | 2010-06-08 | Monterey | L | 55 | 761 | 1,276 | 59.6% | Pass |
| 782 | St. Helena Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Napa | C | 55 | 2,782 | 4,669 | 59.6% | Pass |
| 783 | Lincoln Unified School District | \$48,500,000 | 2012-06-05 | San Joaquin | M | 55 | 5,653 | 9,488 | 59.6% | Pass |
| 784 | Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$9,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus/Merced | Z | 55 | 8,570 | 14,405 | 59.5% | Pass |
| 785 | Northern Humboldt Union High School District | \$25,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Humboldt | Q | 55 | 10,386 | 17,465 | 59.5% | Pass |
| 786 | Elverta Elementary School District | \$10,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento/Placer | L | 55 | 512 | 861 | 59.5% | Pass |
| 787 | Lake Tahoe Unified School District | \$64,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 6,715 | 11,301 | 59.4% | Pass |
| 788 | Needles Unified School District | \$10,900,000 | 2008-06-03 | San Bernardino | N | 55 | 623 | 1,049 | 59.4% | Pass |
| 789 | Mark West Union School District | \$14,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 2,372 | 3,994 | 59.4% | Pass |
| 790 | Savanna Elementary School District | \$28,750,000 | 2012-06-05 | Orange | G | 55 | 1,715 | 2,888 | 59.4% | Pass |
| 791 | College of the Sequoias | \$22,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | C | 55 | 8,279 | 13,948 | 59.4% | Pass |
| 792 | Napa Valley Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Napa | M | 55 | 17,183 | 28,953 | 59.3% | Pass |
| 793 | Menifee Union School District | \$14,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | J | 55 | 6,853 | 11,568 | 59.2% | Pass |
| 794 | Manchester Union Elementary School District | \$1,310,000 | 2004-11-02 | Mendocino | O | 55 | 151 | 255 | 59.2% | Pass |
| 795 | El Centro Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | Imperial | G | 55 | 1,493 | 2,522 | 59.2% | Pass |
| 796 | Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District | \$34,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt/Lassen/Modoc/Shasta/Tehama/Trinity | A | 55 | 33,076 | 55,884 | 59.2% | Pass |
| 797 | Oroville Union High School District | \$12,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Butte | G | 55 | 10,978 | 18,552 | 59.2% | Pass |
| 798 | Saddleback Valley Unified School District | \$180,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Orange | B | 55 | 31,024 | 52,448 | 59.2% | Pass |
| 799 | Hart-Ransom Union School District | \$3,900,000 | 2007-11-06 | Stanislaus | G | 55 | 388 | 656 | 59.1% | Pass |
| 800 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 2003-09-16 | Contra Costa | C | 66.7 | 11,444 | 19,367 | 59.1% | Fail |
| 801 | Anaheim Union High School District | \$249,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange | H | 55 | 30,238 | 51,177 | 59.1% | Pass |
| S-1 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2002 | \$13,050,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | All of California | Prop 47 | 50 | 4,222,946 | 7,148,169 | 59.1% | Pass |
| 802 | Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District) | \$5,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt | M | 55 | 934 | 1,581 | 59.1% | Pass |
| 803 | Kern Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern/San Bernardino | G | 55 | 79,076 | 133,870 | 59.1% | Pass |
| 804 | Manteca Unified School District | \$159,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Joaquin | G | 55 | 11,535 | 19,535 | 59.0% | Pass |
| 805 | Escondido Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | T | 55 | 30,006 | 50,836 | 59.0% | Pass |
| 806 | Chabot-Las Positas Community College District | \$498,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Alameda/Contra Costa | B | 55 | 65,195 | 110,593 | 59.0% | Pass |
| 807 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2007-06-05 | Imperial | U | 66.7 | 347 | 589 | 58.9% | Fail |
| 808 | Springville Union Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Tulare | J | 55 | 724 | 1,229 | 58.9% | Pass |
| 809 | Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District | \$72,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Los Angeles | CK | 55 | 4,394 | 7,465 | 58.9% | Pass |
| 810 | Ross School District | \$19,000,000 | 2005-03-08 | Marin | C | 66.7 | 781 | 1,327 | 58.9% | Fail |
| 811 | Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District | \$34,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Humboldt/Lassen/Modoc/Shasta/Tehama/Trinity | A | 66.7 | 16,422 | 27,909 | 58.8% | Fail |
| 812 | Mt. San Jacinto Community College District | \$295,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | AA | 55 | 76,993 | 130,897 | 58.8% | Pass |
| 813 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3 | Reauthorize \$12,655,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | L | 55 | 5,946 | 10,109 | 58.8% | Pass |
| 814 | San Jose-Evergreen Community College District | \$268,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 111,032 | 188,791 | 58.8% | Pass |
| 815 | Santa Maria Joint Union High School District | \$79,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Barbara | C | 55 | 22,527 | 38,345 | 58.7% | Pass |
| 816 | Merced City Elementary School District | \$26,500,000 | 2003-11-04 | Merced | S | 55 | 4,710 | 8,021 | 58.7% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 817 | San Ramon Valley Unified School District | \$260,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 23,655 | 40,330 | 58.7% | Pass |
| 818 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$16,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | L | 55 | 1,468 | 2,503 | 58.6% | Pass |
| 819 | Fullerton Joint Union High School District | \$175,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange/Los Angeles | I | 55 | 25,415 | 43,353 | 58.6% | Pass |
| 820 | Apple Valley Unified School District | \$36,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Bernardino | S | 55 | 8,818 | 15,051 | 58.6% | Pass |
| 821 | Lakeside Union School District | Reauthorize \$31,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | L | 55 | 5,640 | 9,630 | 58.6% | Pass |
| 822 | Tustin Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | L | 55 | 18,304 | 31,266 | 58.5% | Pass |
| 823 | Santee Elementary School District | \$60,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | R | 55 | 9,742 | 16,649 | 58.5% | Pass |
| 824 | Mt. San Antonio Community College District | \$221,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 20,315 | 34,727 | 58.5% | Pass |
| 825 | Eastern Sierra Unified School District | \$11,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Mono | E | 55 | 1,067 | 1,824 | 58.5% | Pass |
| 826 | Millbrae School District | \$30,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 2,550 | 4,361 | 58.5% | Pass |
| 827 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$9,700,000 | 2010-11-02 | Monterey/San Benito | Z | 55 | 1,822 | 3,116 | 58.5% | Pass |
| 828 | Pierce Joint Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Colusa | A | 55 | 529 | 905 | 58.5% | Pass |
| 829 | Byron Union School District | \$19,700,000 | 2006-06-06 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 1,617 | 2,767 | 58.4% | Pass |
| 830 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$135,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 27,472 | 47,028 | 58.4% | Pass |
| 831 | Dehesa School District | \$5,500,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | M | 55 | 507 | 868 | 58.4% | Pass |
| 832 | Saugus Union School District | \$148,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | EE | 55 | 11,878 | 20,351 | 58.4% | Pass |
| 833 | Madera Unified School District | \$70,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Madera | G | 55 | 5,894 | 10,113 | 58.3% | Pass |
| 834 | Ripon Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Joaquin | J | 55 | 2,060 | 3,535 | 58.3% | Pass |
| 835 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | \$9,900,000 | 2006-06-06 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 1,688 | 2,897 | 58.3% | Pass |
| 836 | Cajon Valley Union School District | Reauthorize \$88,400,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | C | 55 | 29,048 | 49,882 | 58.2% | Pass |
| 837 | Hemet Unified School District | \$149,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | T | 55 | 17,757 | 30,493 | 58.2% | Pass |
| 838 | Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District | \$398,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | V | 55 | 95,802 | 164,554 | 58.2% | Pass |
| 839 | Campbell Union High School District | \$90,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 32,505 | 55,855 | 58.2% | Pass |
| 840 | Golden Valley Unified School District | \$70,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Madera | S | 55 | 1,438 | 2,472 | 58.2% | Pass |
| 841 | Escondido Union School District | \$46,300,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | K | 55 | 11,635 | 20,003 | 58.2% | Pass |
| 842 | Piedmont Unified School District | \$56,000,000 | 2006-03-07 | Alameda | E | 55 | 2,497 | 4,294 | 58.2% | Pass |
| 843 | Glendale Community College District | \$98,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 14,245 | 24,507 | 58.1% | Pass |
| 844 | West Kern Community College District | \$39,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | A | 55 | 2,039 | 3,509 | 58.1% | Pass |
| 845 | Kernville Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Kern | D | 55 | 1,778 | 3,060 | 58.1% | Pass |
| 846 | Leggett Valley Unified School District | \$1,750,000 | 2008-06-03 | Mendocino | D | 66.7 | 79 | 136 | 58.1% | Fail |
| 847 | Huntington Beach Union High School District | \$238,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Orange | C | 55 | 42,469 | 73,151 | 58.1% | Pass |
| 848 | Buellton Union School District | \$3,200,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Barbara | V | 55 | 278 | 479 | 58.0% | Pass |
| 849 | Chaffey Community College | \$230,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Bernardino | L | 55 | 33,737 | 58,195 | 58.0% | Pass |
| 850 | Banning Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | R | 55 | 2,635 | 4,546 | 58.0% | Pass |
| 851 | Banning Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | R | 55 | 2,635 | 4,546 | 58.0% | Pass |
| 852 | Murietta Valley Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | BB | 55 | 11,278 | 19,462 | 57.9% | Pass |
| 853 | Los Rios Community College District | \$475,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado/Placer/Sacramento/Solano/Yolo | M | 55 | 328,240 | 566,450 | 57.9% | Pass |
| 854 | Palomar Community College | \$694,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | M | 55 | 103,380 | 178,543 | 57.9% | Pass |
| 855 | Perris Union High School District | \$46,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Riverside | Z | 55 | 18,871 | 32,594 | 57.9% | Pass |
| 856 | Templeton Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Luis Obispo | H | 55 | 3,017 | 5,213 | 57.9% | Pass |
| 857 | Citrus Community College District | \$121,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 21,639 | 37,418 | 57.8% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 858 | Santa Rita Union Elementary School District | \$14,600,000 | 2006-11-07 | Monterey | C | 55 | 2,161 | 3,737 | 57.8% | Pass |
| 859 | West Hills Community College District | \$20,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | T | 55 | 6,682 | 11,557 | 57.8% | Pass |
| 860 | Lake Tahoe Community College District | \$55,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | El Dorado | F | 55 | 3,784 | 6,547 | 57.8% | Pass |
| 861 | Atwater Elementary School District | \$11,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Merced | A | 66.7 | 2,450 | 4,241 | 57.8% | Fail |
| 862 | Fallbrook Union Elementary School District | \$32,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | X | 55 | 6,199 | 10,731 | 57.8% | Pass |
| 863 | Cerritos Community College District | \$210,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 29,336 | 50,836 | 57.7% | Pass |
| 864 | Gateway Unified School District | \$19,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | G | 55 | 7,152 | 12,396 | 57.7% | Pass |
| 865 | Siskiyou Union High School District | \$12,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Siskiyou | Q | 55 | 4,418 | 7,659 | 57.7% | Pass |
| 866 | Ripon Unified School District | \$25,236,190 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | G | 55 | 4,264 | 7,395 | 57.7% | Pass |
| 867 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District | \$30,450,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado/Placer | J | 55 | 2,076 | 3,603 | 57.6% | Pass |
| 868 | Corning Union School District | \$2,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | Tehema | B | 55 | 1,358 | 2,357 | 57.6% | Pass |
| 869 | Del Norte County School District | \$24,987,000 | 2008-11-04 | Del Norte | A | 55 | 5,338 | 9,265 | 57.6% | Pass |
| 870 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$450,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 82,464 | 143,168 | 57.6% | Pass |
| 871 | Allan Hancock Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Barbara/Ventura | I | 55 | 22,996 | 39,989 | 57.5% | Pass |
| 872 | Shasta Union High School District | \$31,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Shasta | B | 55 | 8,590 | 14,949 | 57.5% | Pass |
| 873 | Poway Unified School District SFID 2002-1 | \$198,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | U | 55 | 21,958 | 38,252 | 57.4% | Pass |
| 874 | Los Altos School District | \$150,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | N | 55 | 8,671 | 15,106 | 57.4% | Pass |
| 875 | Eureka Unified School District | \$49,750,000 | 2014-11-04 | Humboldt | S | 55 | 8,083 | 14,084 | 57.4% | Pass |
| 876 | Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District | \$375,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 8,940 | 15,578 | 57.4% | Pass |
| 877 | Thermalito Union School District | \$2,050,000 | 2004-11-02 | Butte | M | 55 | 1,721 | 2,999 | 57.4% | Pass |
| 878 | Murietta Valley Unified School District | \$120,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | E | 55 | 6,327 | 11,026 | 57.4% | Pass |
| 879 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$93,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 7,719 | 13,452 | 57.4% | Pass |
| 880 | Marysville Joint Unified School District | \$37,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Yuba/Butte | H | 55 | 5,050 | 8,809 | 57.3% | Pass |
| 881 | Enterprise Elementary School District | \$34,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Shasta | A | 55 | 4,340 | 7,574 | 57.3% | Pass |
| 882 | Merced City Elementary School District | \$60,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | M | 55 | 5,277 | 9,217 | 57.3% | Pass |
| 883 | Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District | \$103,600,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 19,029 | 33,254 | 57.2% | Pass |
| 884 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$286,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 99,077 | 173,269 | 57.2% | Pass |
| 885 | Coast Community College District | \$698,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | M | 55 | 134,619 | 235,462 | 57.2% | Pass |
| 886 | Semitropic School District | \$5,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Kern | D | 66.7 | 12 | 21 | 57.1% | Fail |
| 887 | Anderson Union High School District | \$12,300,000 | 2012-11-06 | Shasta | C | 55 | 8,574 | 15,008 | 57.1% | Pass |
| 888 | Lodi Unified School District | \$109,300,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Joaquin | K | 55 | 15,881 | 27,827 | 57.1% | Pass |
| 889 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$28,400,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | D | 55 | 1,874 | 3,284 | 57.1% | Pass |
| 890 | Southern Trinity Unified School District | \$2,300,000 | 2012-06-05 | Humboldt/Trinity | V | 55 | 170 | 298 | 57.0% | Pass |
| 891 | Corona-Norco Unified School District | \$396,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | GG | 55 | 20,876 | 36,610 | 57.0% | Pass |
| 892 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$29,445,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | R | 55 | 3,966 | 6,957 | 57.0% | Pass |
| 893 | Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$74,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento/Placer | E | 55 | 10,435 | 18,312 | 57.0% | Pass |
| 894 | Chawanakee Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Madera | Q | 55 | 1,126 | 1,976 | 57.0% | Pass |
| 895 | Escondido Union School District | \$182,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | E | 55 | 18,615 | 32,687 | 56.9% | Pass |
| 896 | Morongo Unified School District | \$48,150,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Bernardino | O | 55 | 6,563 | 11,527 | 56.9% | Pass |
| 897 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Imperial | G | 55 | 780 | 1,370 | 56.9% | Pass |
| 898 | Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District | \$200,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Orange | A | 55 | 25,764 | 45,272 | 56.9% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| S-3 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2006 | \$10,416,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | All of California | Prop 1D | 50 | 4,754,868 | 8,356,923 | 56.9% | Pass |
| 899 | Sylvan Union School District | \$40,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | A | 55 | 9,402 | 16,526 | 56.9% | Pass |
| 900 | Walnut Valley Unified School District | \$15,200,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 3,859 | 6,784 | 56.9% | Pass |
| 901 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$400,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Contra Costa | J | 55 | 30,013 | 52,789 | 56.9% | Pass |
| 902 | Barstow Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Bernardino | C | 55 | 2,492 | 4,386 | 56.8% | Pass |
| 903 | Old Adobe Union School District | \$26,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 3,391 | 5,969 | 56.8% | Pass |
| 904 | Menifee Union School District | \$31,460,000 | 2008-02-05 | Riverside | B | 55 | 9,561 | 16,850 | 56.7% | Pass |
| 905 | Grossmont Union High School District | \$417,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | U | 55 | 97,337 | 171,811 | 56.7% | Pass |
| 906 | Columbia School District | \$8,600,000 | 2014-11-04 | Shasta | E | 55 | 1,984 | 3,502 | 56.7% | Pass |
| 907 | Yuba Community College District | \$190,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Yuba/Sutter | J | 55 | 37,608 | 66,429 | 56.6% | Pass |
| 908 | Dry Creek Elementary School District | \$67,300,000 | 2008-02-05 | Placer/Sacramento | E | 55 | 8,282 | 14,634 | 56.6% | Pass |
| 909 | Cabrillo Unified School District | \$81,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | San Mateo | S | 55 | 3,803 | 6,730 | 56.5% | Pass |
| 910 | Sonora Union High School District | \$23,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tuolumne | J | 55 | 9,171 | 16,234 | 56.5% | Pass |
| 911 | State Center Community College District | \$161,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare/Madera | E | 55 | 90,285 | 159,849 | 56.5% | Pass |
| 912 | Gavilan Community College District | \$108,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Clara/San Benito | E | 66.7 | 17,735 | 31,410 | 56.5% | Fail |
| 913 | Orland Joint Unified School District | \$21,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Glenn | K | 55 | 2,093 | 3,710 | 56.4% | Pass |
| 914 | Kings River Union Elementary School District | \$850,000 | 2006-06-06 | Tulare | N | 55 | 163 | 289 | 56.4% | Pass |
| 915 | Nuvview Union School District | \$39,600,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | D | 55 | 622 | 1,103 | 56.4% | Pass |
| 916 | Owens Valley Unified School District | \$2,600,000 | 2005-11-08 | Inyo | A | 55 | 196 | 348 | 56.3% | Pass |
| 917 | Ohlone Community College District | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | A | 55 | 16,111 | 28,617 | 56.3% | Pass |
| 918 | Fruitvale School District | \$29,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | C | 55 | 2,257 | 4,009 | 56.3% | Pass |
| 919 | San Benito High School District | \$42,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Benito/Santa Clara | G | 55 | 3,524 | 6,260 | 56.3% | Pass |
| 920 | Azusa Unified School District | \$92,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 3,983 | 7,082 | 56.2% | Pass |
| 921 | El Dorado Union High School District | \$66,300,000 | 2008-06-03 | El Dorado | Q | 55 | 19,536 | 34,740 | 56.2% | Pass |
| 922 | Columbia Union Elementary School District | \$3,700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tuolumne | H | 55 | 1,351 | 2,404 | 56.2% | Pass |
| 923 | Newport-Mesa Unified School District | \$282,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Orange | F | 55 | 26,123 | 46,524 | 56.1% | Pass |
| 924 | Manteca Unified School District | \$66,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Joaquin | M | 55 | 7,867 | 14,011 | 56.1% | Pass |
| 925 | Weaver Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Merced | G | 55 | 1,422 | 2,534 | 56.1% | Pass |
| 926 | El Tejon Unified School District | \$7,120,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern/Ventura | E | 55 | 1,257 | 2,240 | 56.1% | Pass |
| 927 | Gold Oak Union School District | \$8,950,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado | E | 55 | 2,326 | 4,149 | 56.1% | Pass |
| 928 | Le Grand Union High School District | \$4,200,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | N | 55 | 401 | 717 | 55.9% | Pass |
| 929 | Dehesa School District | Reauthorize \$3,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | D | 55 | 562 | 1,005 | 55.9% | Pass |
| 930 | Newark Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | Alameda | G | 55 | 3,286 | 5,878 | 55.9% | Pass |
| 931 | Taft City School District | \$23,600,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,132 | 2,027 | 55.8% | Pass |
| 932 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 1,588 | 2,844 | 55.8% | Pass |
| 933 | Calaveras Unified School District | \$13,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Calaveras | A | 55 | 5,241 | 9,396 | 55.8% | Pass |
| 934 | Linden Unified School District | \$11,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | S | 55 | 2,099 | 3,764 | 55.8% | Pass |
| 935 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | CF | 55 | 3,486 | 6,252 | 55.8% | Pass |
| 936 | Grant Elementary School District | \$1,700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Shasta | A | 55 | 671 | 1,204 | 55.7% | Pass |
| 937 | Merced Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$53,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced/Madera | H | 55 | 17,048 | 30,594 | 55.7% | Pass |
| 938 | Pacheco Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | B | 55 | 2,534 | 4,548 | 55.7% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| 939 | Nuview Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2003-03-04 | Riverside | A | 66.7 | 375 | 674 | 55.6% | Fail |
| 940 | Cloverdale Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 2,096 | 3,769 | 55.6% | Pass |
| 941 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$114,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Nevada/Placer | U | 55 | 3,018 | 5,433 | 55.5% | Pass |
| 942 | San Dieguito Union High School District | \$449,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | AA | 55 | 43,627 | 78,574 | 55.5% | Pass |
| 943 | McKinleyville Union School District | \$14,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Humboldt | C | 55 | 1,723 | 3,108 | 55.4% | Pass |
| 944 | San Joaquin-Delta Community College District | \$250,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Joaquin/Sacramento | L | 55 | 62,465 | 112,744 | 55.4% | Pass |
| 945 | Turlock Unified School District | \$7,725,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | Y | 55 | 8,942 | 16,143 | 55.4% | Pass |
| 946 | Piner-Olivet Union School District | \$20,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 3,006 | 5,429 | 55.4% | Pass |
| 947 | Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1 | \$2,500,000 | 2012-06-05 | Butte | D | 55 | 1,058 | 1,911 | 55.4% | Pass |
| 948 | Yreka Union High School District | \$8,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Siskiyou | H | 55 | 2,942 | 5,316 | 55.3% | Pass |
| 949 | Fallbrook Union Elementary School District | \$20,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | San Diego | A | 66.7 | 2,999 | 5,429 | 55.2% | Fail |
| 950 | Palmdale Elementary School District | \$25,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | W | 55 | 3,848 | 6,971 | 55.2% | Pass |
| 951 | North County Joint Union School District | \$3,350,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Benito/Santa Clara | M | 55 | 458 | 830 | 55.2% | Pass |
| 952 | Sonora Elementary School District | \$7,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Tuolumne | H | 55 | 1,867 | 3,385 | 55.2% | Pass |
| 953 | Norris School District | \$149,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kern | B | 55 | 3,017 | 5,475 | 55.1% | Pass |
| 954 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$71,100,000 | 2006-11-07 | Ventura | H | 66.7 | 2,786 | 5,058 | 55.1% | Fail |
| 955 | North Orange County Community College District | \$574,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange/Los Angeles | J | 55 | 84,780 | 154,118 | 55.0% | Pass |
| 956 | Summerville Union High School District | \$8,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tuolumne | H | 55 | 2,601 | 4,731 | 55.0% | Fail |
| 957 | Junction Elementary School District | \$3,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Shasta | C | 55 | 1,025 | 1,868 | 54.9% | Fail |
| 958 | MiraCosta Community College District | \$497,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | EE | 55 | 80,929 | 147,583 | 54.8% | Fail |
| 959 | Knightsen Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Contra Costa | N | 55 | 375 | 684 | 54.8% | Fail |
| 960 | Mojave Unified School District | \$8,100,000 | 2014-06-03 | Kern | A | 55 | 837 | 1,527 | 54.8% | Fail |
| 961 | Central Unified School District | \$152,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Fresno | E | 55 | 4,092 | 7,469 | 54.8% | Fail |
| 962 | Turlock Unified School District | \$7,725,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus/Merced | Y | 55 | 8,842 | 16,143 | 54.8% | Fail |
| 963 | Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Luis Obispo/Monterey | H | 55 | 5,620 | 10,272 | 54.7% | Fail |
| 964 | Linden Unified School District | \$11,500,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Joaquin | O | 55 | 1,574 | 2,877 | 54.7% | Fail |
| 965 | Susanville Elementary School District | \$3,700,000 | 2004-11-02 | Lassen | N | 55 | 2,461 | 4,505 | 54.6% | Fail |
| 966 | Mt. San Jacinto Community College District | \$720,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | G | 55 | 42,697 | 78,198 | 54.6% | Fail |
| 967 | Orange Unified School District | \$296,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange | K | 55 | 25,992 | 47,605 | 54.6% | Fail |
| 968 | Orange Unified School District | \$196,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Orange | A | 55 | 42,928 | 78,640 | 54.6% | Fail |
| 969 | Antioch Unified School District | \$59,500,000 | 2012-06-05 | Contra Costa | J | 55 | 4,722 | 8,659 | 54.5% | Fail |
| 970 | Jacoby Creek School District | \$2,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Humboldt | Y | 55 | 640 | 1,174 | 54.5% | Fail |
| 971 | Byron Union School District | \$14,299,707 | 2004-11-02 | Contra Costa | G | 55 | 2,756 | 5,058 | 54.5% | Fail |
| 972 | Hermosa Beach City School District | \$54,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 3,283 | 6,027 | 54.5% | Fail |
| 973 | Mountain Empire Unified School District | \$30,800,000 | 2012-06-05 | San Diego | G | 55 | 1,352 | 2,489 | 54.3% | Fail |
| 974 | Del Mar Union School District | \$76,800,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | CC | 55 | 9,699 | 17,869 | 54.3% | Fail |
| 975 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Humboldt/Mendocino | N | 55 | 959 | 1,768 | 54.2% | Fail |
| 976 | Columbia Union Elementary School District | \$9,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | D | 55 | 2,518 | 4,655 | 54.1% | Fail |
| 977 | Muroc Joint Unified School District | \$14,800,000 | 2007-11-06 | Kern/San Bernardino | H | 66.7 | 457 | 845 | 54.1% | Fail |
| 978 | Summerville Union High School District | \$8,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Tuolumne | G | 55 | 2,354 | 4,353 | 54.1% | Fail |
| 979 | Plumas Lake School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Yuba | Q | 66.7 | 858 | 1,589 | 54.0% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| 980 | West Hills Community College District | \$36,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | B | 55 | 5,763 | 10,673 | 54.0% | Fail |
| 981 | John Swett Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Contra Costa | M | 55 | 1,687 | 3,129 | 53.9% | Fail |
| 982 | Fallbrook Union Elementary School District | \$32,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | L | 55 | 4,299 | 7,975 | 53.9% | Fail |
| 983 | Ocean View School District | \$198,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | P | 55 | 18,700 | 34,697 | 53.9% | Fail |
| 984 | Napa Valley Community College District | \$198,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Napa/Sonoma | E | 55 | 19,884 | 36,932 | 53.8% | Fail |
| 985 | Willows Unified School District | \$14,700,000 | 2012-11-06 | Glenn | P | 55 | 1,743 | 3,238 | 53.8% | Fail |
| 986 | Roseville Joint Union High School District | \$65,300,000 | 2002-11-05 | Placer/Sacramento | K | 55 | 21,653 | 40,229 | 53.8% | Fail |
| 987 | Eastern Sierra Unified School District | \$7,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Mono | A | 55 | 680 | 1,264 | 53.8% | Fail |
| 988 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | L | 55 | 4,821 | 8,963 | 53.8% | Fail |
| 989 | Palo Verde Community College District | \$12,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside/San Bernardino | P | 55 | 1,847 | 3,434 | 53.8% | Fail |
| 990 | Snowline Joint Unified School District | \$108,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Bernardino/Los Angeles | I | 55 | 2,840 | 5,284 | 53.7% | Fail |
| 991 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$32,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Fresno/Tulare | C | 55 | 2,630 | 4,897 | 53.7% | Fail |
| 992 | Colfax School District | \$2,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Placer | Q | 55 | 1,320 | 2,463 | 53.6% | Fail |
| 993 | Torrance Unified School District | \$280,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles | T | 55 | 12,694 | 23,694 | 53.6% | Fail |
| 994 | Scotts Valley Unified School District | \$55,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Cruz | Q | 55 | 3,020 | 5,637 | 53.6% | Fail |
| 995 | Perris Union High School District | \$38,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside | D | 55 | 9,214 | 17,222 | 53.5% | Fail |
| 996 | Needles Unified School District | \$7,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Bernardino | J | 55 | 536 | 1,002 | 53.5% | Fail |
| 997 | Vallecitos School District | \$2,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | O | 55 | 299 | 559 | 53.5% | Fail |
| 998 | Mother Lode Union Elementary School District | \$11,400,000 | 2006-06-06 | El Dorado | A | 55 | 2,405 | 4,509 | 53.3% | Fail |
| 999 | Ramona Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | Q | 55 | 5,488 | 10,290 | 53.3% | Fail |
| 1000 | Merced Union High School District | \$104,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Merced | E | 55 | 14,317 | 26,867 | 53.3% | Fail |
| 1001 | Latrobe Unified School District | \$4,300,000 | 2005-11-08 | El Dorado | U | 55 | 586 | 1,100 | 53.3% | Fail |
| 1002 | Liberty Union High School District | \$85,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Contra Costa | K | 55 | 12,156 | 22,845 | 53.2% | Fail |
| 1003 | Rancho Santiago Community College District | \$393,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Orange | O | 55 | 48,520 | 91,286 | 53.2% | Fail |
| 1004 | Central Elementary School District | \$25,000,000 | 2003-03-04 | San Bernardino | I | 66.7 | 837 | 1,575 | 53.1% | Fail |
| 1005 | Hemet Unified School District | \$149,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | C | 55 | 10,463 | 19,697 | 53.1% | Fail |
| 1006 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$564,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 48,933 | 92,171 | 53.1% | Fail |
| 1007 | Loomis Union School District | \$19,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Placer | M | 55 | 4,511 | 8,505 | 53.0% | Fail |
| 1008 | Golden Plains Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Fresno | G | 55 | 192 | 362 | 53.0% | Fail |
| 1009 | Victor Valley Community College District | \$338,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Bernardino/Los Angeles | X | 55 | 32,781 | 61,809 | 53.0% | Fail |
| 1010 | Gilroy Unified School District | \$69,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | D | 55 | 3,720 | 7,028 | 52.9% | Fail |
| 1011 | Hamilton Unified School District | \$5,400,000 | 2010-11-02 | Glenn | O | 55 | 372 | 704 | 52.8% | Fail |
| 1012 | Rio Hondo Community College District | \$194,600,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 31,913 | 60,587 | 52.7% | Fail |
| 1013 | Gridley Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Butte | C | 55 | 1,147 | 2,178 | 52.7% | Fail |
| 1014 | Visalia Unified School District | \$25,600,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tulare | P | 55 | 12,602 | 23,973 | 52.6% | Fail |
| 1015 | Mt. San Jacinto Community College District | \$47,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Riverside | U | 55 | 10,754 | 20,458 | 52.6% | Fail |
| 1016 | Loomis Union School District | \$17,700,000 | 2008-02-05 | Placer | G | 55 | 4,148 | 7,892 | 52.6% | Fail |
| 1017 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Imperial | A | 66.7 | 520 | 990 | 52.5% | Fail |
| 1018 | Santa Ynez Valley Unified School District | \$19,840,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Barbara | L | 55 | 5,387 | 10,257 | 52.5% | Fail |
| 1019 | Westside Union School District | \$67,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 4,543 | 8,652 | 52.5% | Fail |
| 1020 | Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District | \$177,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles/Kern | E | 55 | 15,105 | 28,812 | 52.4% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 1021 | Lake Tahoe Unified School District | \$87,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | El Dorado | A | 55 | 2,777 | 5,312 | 52.3% | Fail |
| 1022 | Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | \$6,700,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Luis Obispo/ Monterey | N | 55 | 5,862 | 11,222 | 52.2% | Fail |
| 1023 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | \$27,800,000 | 2013-11-05 | Monterey | G | 55 | 2,828 | 5,421 | 52.2% | Fail |
| 1024 | Susanville Elementary School District | \$6,250,000 | 2006-11-07 | Lassen | P | 55 | 1,663 | 3,195 | 52.1% | Fail |
| 1025 | Jurupa Unified School District | \$125,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Riverside | M | 55 | 3,703 | 7,117 | 52.0% | Fail |
| 1026 | Napa Valley Community College District | \$178,400,000 | 2008-02-05 | Napa/Sonoma | L | 55 | 22,440 | 43,153 | 52.0% | Fail |
| 1027 | Lemoore Union Elementary School District | \$7,200,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kings | A | 66.7 | 2,198 | 4,229 | 52.0% | Fail |
| 1028 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District | \$93,530,000 | 2008-06-03 | Nevada/Placer | L | 55 | 2,202 | 4,245 | 51.9% | Fail |
| 1029 | College of the Sequoias | \$95,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | N | 55 | 28,341 | 54,637 | 51.9% | Fail |
| 1030 | Gateway Unified School District | \$14,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Shasta | B | 55 | 3,331 | 6,427 | 51.8% | Fail |
| 1031 | Galt Joint Union High School District | \$16,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Sacramento/San Joaquin | G | 55 | 3,940 | 7,619 | 51.7% | Fail |
| 1032 | Visalia Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Tulare | M | 55 | 8,821 | 17,093 | 51.6% | Fail |
| 1033 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$44,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Diego | H | 55 | 1,506 | 2,925 | 51.5% | Fail |
| 1034 | San Bruno Park School District | \$40,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | San Mateo | O | 55 | 2,368 | 4,607 | 51.4% | Fail |
| 1035 | Porterville Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | J | 55 | 9,749 | 19,012 | 51.3% | Fail |
| 1036 | Corcoran Unified School District | \$24,700,000 | 2010-06-08 | Kings/Tulare | Q | 55 | 618 | 1,206 | 51.2% | Fail |
| 1037 | West Hills Community College District | \$49,400,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | W | 55 | 6,041 | 11,789 | 51.2% | Fail |
| 1038 | Pine Ridge Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Fresno | P | 55 | 187 | 365 | 51.2% | Fail |
| 1039 | Fountain Valley Unified School District | \$23,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | N | 55 | 11,987 | 23,411 | 51.2% | Fail |
| 1040 | Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | \$5,900,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Luis Obispo/ Monterey | M | 55 | 5,164 | 10,091 | 51.2% | Fail |
| 1041 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$46,200,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 2,365 | 4,632 | 51.1% | Fail |
| 1042 | Sierra Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno | W | 55 | 2,836 | 5,560 | 51.0% | Fail |
| 1043 | Yucaipa-Calimesa Joint Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Bernardino/Riverside | O | 55 | 10,786 | 21,150 | 51.0% | Fail |
| 1044 | Sierra Unified School District | \$5,400,000 | 2012-06-05 | Fresno | O | 55 | 1,924 | 3,780 | 50.9% | Fail |
| 1045 | Cold Spring School District | \$14,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Barbara | K | 55 | 661 | 1,299 | 50.9% | Fail |
| 1046 | Tipton Elementary School District | \$3,100,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | E | 55 | 174 | 342 | 50.9% | Fail |
| 1047 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Imperial | B | 55 | 495 | 973 | 50.9% | Fail |
| S-2 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2004 | \$12,300,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | All of California | Prop 55 | 50 | 3,239,706 | 6,370,627 | 50.9% | Pass |
| 1048 | Santa Maria Joint Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Barbara | V | 55 | 12,894 | 25,394 | 50.8% | Fail |
| 1049 | Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District | \$240,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | W | 55 | 10,518 | 20,723 | 50.8% | Fail |
| 1050 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$13,100,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 1,935 | 3,813 | 50.7% | Fail |
| 1051 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$37,400,000 | 2010-06-08 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 1,312 | 2,588 | 50.7% | Fail |
| 1052 | Pine Ridge Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno | R | 55 | 219 | 432 | 50.7% | Fail |
| 1053 | Lassen Union High School District | \$5,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Lassen | G | 55 | 2,874 | 5,678 | 50.6% | Fail |
| 1054 | Ramona Unified School District | \$66,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | R | 55 | 7,737 | 15,288 | 50.6% | Fail |
| 1055 | West Hills Community College District | \$49,400,000 | 2006-06-06 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | B | 55 | 3,419 | 6,773 | 50.5% | Fail |
| 1056 | Washington Unified School District | \$59,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Yolo | S | 55 | 2,343 | 4,644 | 50.5% | Fail |
| 1057 | West Valley-Mission Community College District | \$268,653,300 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | E | 55 | 31,541 | 62,565 | 50.4% | Fail |
| 1058 | Lemoore Union High School District | \$7,100,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kings | K | 55 | 1,729 | 3,431 | 50.4% | Fail |
| 1059 | Hermosa Beach City School District | \$13,100,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 1,840 | 3,653 | 50.4% | Fail |
| 1060 | Santa Barbara Community College District | \$288,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara | S | 55 | 30,493 | 60,707 | 50.2% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1061 | Etna High School District | \$1,560,000 | 2002-11-05 | Siskiyou | G | 55 | 1,010 | 2,013 | 50.2% | Fail |
| 1062 | Cold Spring School District | \$8,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | Santa Barbara | R | 55 | 670 | 1,336 | 50.1% | Fail |
| 1063 | Tracy Unified School District | \$103,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin/Alameda | W | 55 | 7,497 | 14,986 | 50.0% | Fail |
| 1064 | El Segundo Unified School District | \$19,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 2,909 | 5,818 | 50.0% | Fail |
| 1065 | College School District | \$12,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara | Y | 55 | 1,244 | 2,490 | 50.0% | Fail |
| 1066 | Beaumont Unified School District | \$54,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Riverside/San Bernardino | AA | 55 | 6,935 | 13,918 | 49.8% | Fail |
| 1067 | Bolinas-Stinson Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Marin | B | 55 | 451 | 907 | 49.7% | Fail |
| 1068 | Eastern Sierra Unified School District | \$5,600,000 | 2002-11-05 | Mono | B | 55 | 598 | 1,203 | 49.7% | Fail |
| 1069 | Cutler-Orosi Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Fresno/Tulare | T | 66.7 | 869 | 1,752 | 49.6% | Fail |
| 1070 | Upland Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | S | 55 | 7,821 | 15,778 | 49.6% | Fail |
| 1071 | Gonzales Unified School District | \$16,900,000 | 2014-06-03 | Monterey | N | 55 | 408 | 824 | 49.5% | Fail |
| 1072 | Ramona Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | N | 55 | 3,459 | 6,990 | 49.5% | Fail |
| 1073 | Willows Unified School District | \$20,700,000 | 2008-11-04 | Glenn | N | 55 | 1,701 | 3,440 | 49.4% | Fail |
| 1074 | Sierra Joint Community College District | \$394,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Placer/Nevada/El Dorado | E | 55 | 66,165 | 133,912 | 49.4% | Fail |
| 1075 | Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District | \$11,500,000 | 2005-11-08 | Stanislaus | O | 55 | 869 | 1,760 | 49.4% | Fail |
| 1076 | Sierra Unified School District | \$9,600,000 | 2010-11-02 | Fresno | S | 55 | 2,087 | 4,227 | 49.4% | Fail |
| 1077 | Willows Unified School District | \$20,700,000 | 2008-06-03 | Glenn | L | 55 | 1,129 | 2,292 | 49.3% | Fail |
| 1078 | Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District | \$5,750,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tuolumne | A | 55 | 1,041 | 2,116 | 49.2% | Fail |
| 1079 | Cajon Valley Union School District | \$20,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | C | 55 | 13,382 | 27,266 | 49.1% | Fail |
| 1080 | Orange Unified School District | \$200,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Orange | A | 55 | 21,797 | 44,575 | 48.9% | Fail |
| 1081 | Biggs Unified School District | \$4,275,000 | 2008-02-05 | Butte | A | 55 | 530 | 1,085 | 48.8% | Fail |
| 1082 | Sierra Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno | V | 55 | 2,698 | 5,550 | 48.6% | Fail |
| 1083 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District | \$93,530,000 | 2008-11-04 | Nevada/Placer | U | 55 | 4,270 | 8,809 | 48.5% | Fail |
| 1084 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$23,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Monterey/San Benito | N | 55 | 971 | 2,004 | 48.5% | Fail |
| 1085 | Brea-Olinda Unified School District | \$54,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Orange | E | 55 | 3,254 | 6,721 | 48.4% | Fail |
| 1086 | Calipatria Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Imperial | I | 55 | 317 | 655 | 48.4% | Fail |
| 1087 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$13,100,000 | 2003-11-04 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 1,231 | 2,547 | 48.3% | Fail |
| 1088 | Mammoth School District | \$65,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Mono | K | 66.7 | 1,518 | 3,183 | 47.7% | Fail |
| 1089 | Tipton Elementary School District | \$2,800,000 | 2006-11-07 | Tulare | V | 55 | 174 | 365 | 47.7% | Fail |
| 1090 | Piedmont Unified School District | \$13,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | Alameda | H | 55 | 1,801 | 3,779 | 47.7% | Fail |
| 1091 | Elk Hills School District | \$7,130,000 | 2014-06-03 | Kern | B | 55 | 19 | 40 | 47.5% | Fail |
| 1092 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$18,800,000 | 2008-06-03 | Monterey/San Benito/ Santa Cruz | V | 55 | 952 | 2,011 | 47.3% | Fail |
| 1093 | Butteville Unified School District | \$3,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | Siskiyou | R | 55 | 424 | 897 | 47.3% | Fail |
| 1094 | Dixon Unified School District | \$32,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Solano | D | 55 | 2,830 | 6,026 | 47.0% | Fail |
| 1095 | Knightsen Elementary School District | \$3,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | H | 55 | 397 | 847 | 46.9% | Fail |
| 1096 | San Mateo-Foster City School District | \$130,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | San Mateo | P | 55 | 8,002 | 17,197 | 46.5% | Fail |
| 1097 | Caruthers Unified School District | \$11,300,000 | 2008-02-05 | Fresno | A | 55 | 683 | 1,474 | 46.3% | Fail |
| 1098 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$270,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Contra Costa | H | 55 | 13,529 | 29,215 | 46.3% | Fail |
| 1099 | Jacoby Creek School District | \$2,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Humboldt | Y | 55 | 678 | 1,465 | 46.3% | Fail |
| 1100 | North Orange County Community College District | \$239,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange/Los Angeles | X | 55 | 70,179 | 151,820 | 46.2% | Fail |
| 1101 | San Luis Obispo County Community College District | \$310,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Luis Obispo/ Monterey | G | 55 | 29,464 | 63,758 | 46.2% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| 1102 | Anderson Union High School District | \$25,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Shasta | A | 55 | 3,867 | 8,416 | 45.9% | Fail |
| 1103 | College School District | \$12,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Barbara | K | 55 | 1,429 | 3,118 | 45.8% | Fail |
| 1104 | Elk Hills School District | \$6,200,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | O | 55 | 65 | 142 | 45.8% | Fail |
| 1105 | Biggs Unified School District | \$6,900,000 | 2008-11-04 | Butte | E | 55 | 644 | 1,408 | 45.7% | Fail |
| 1106 | Snowline Joint Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Bernardino/Los Angeles | L | 55 | 3,399 | 7,439 | 45.7% | Fail |
| 1107 | Oakdale Joint Unified School District | \$37,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Joaquin/Stanislaus | R | 55 | 2,546 | 5,587 | 45.6% | Fail |
| 1108 | Oroville City Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Butte | A | 55 | 2,697 | 5,932 | 45.5% | Fail |
| 1109 | Alpine Union Elementary School District | \$12,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | V | 55 | 2,514 | 5,534 | 45.4% | Fail |
| 1110 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$21,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 1,621 | 3,574 | 45.4% | Fail |
| 1111 | Caruthers Unified School District | \$2,800,000 | 2008-02-05 | Fresno | B | 55 | 424 | 935 | 45.3% | Fail |
| 1112 | Mountain Empire Unified School District | \$30,800,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | G | 55 | 2,037 | 4,492 | 45.3% | Fail |
| 1113 | Oakdale Joint Unified School District | \$37,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Joaquin/Stanislaus | C | 55 | 3,856 | 8,547 | 45.1% | Fail |
| 1114 | Calaveras Unified School District | \$8,440,000 | 2004-03-02 | Calaveras | M | 55 | 3,563 | 7,945 | 44.8% | Fail |
| 1115 | Fortuna Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Humboldt | W | 55 | 1,602 | 3,590 | 44.6% | Fail |
| 1116 | Alpine Union Elementary School District | \$26,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | W | 55 | 2,468 | 5,532 | 44.6% | Fail |
| 1117 | Western Placer Unified School District | \$163,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Placer | J | 55 | 9,045 | 20,294 | 44.6% | Fail |
| 1118 | Biggs Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Butte | B | 55 | 360 | 810 | 44.4% | Fail |
| 1119 | Montecito Union School District | \$27,150,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara | Q | 55 | 1,257 | 2,831 | 44.4% | Fail |
| 1120 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$46,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | Y | 55 | 1,194 | 2,696 | 44.3% | Fail |
| 1121 | ABC Unified School District | \$195,200,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 7,591 | 17,270 | 44.0% | Fail |
| 1122 | Chawanakee Unified School District | \$7,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Madera | J | 55 | 1,210 | 2,761 | 43.8% | Fail |
| 1123 | Sierra Joint Community College District | \$78,200,000 | 2006-06-06 | Placer/Nevada/El Dorado | B | 55 | 28,307 | 64,887 | 43.6% | Fail |
| 1124 | Monterey Peninsula Unified School District | \$158,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Monterey | A | 55 | 5,924 | 13,665 | 43.4% | Fail |
| 1125 | Woodland Joint Unified School District | \$78,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Yolo/Sutter | S | 55 | 5,488 | 12,718 | 43.2% | Fail |
| 1126 | Springville Union Elementary School District | \$6,700,000 | 2009-05-19 | Tulare | L | 66.7 | 561 | 1,305 | 43.0% | Fail |
| 1127 | Alpine Union Elementary School District | \$11,995,000 | 2012-06-05 | San Diego | H | 55 | 1,933 | 4,525 | 42.7% | Fail |
| 1128 | Corcoran Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kings/Tulare | V | 55 | 521 | 1,223 | 42.6% | Fail |
| 1129 | Coronado Unified School District | \$29,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Diego | E | 55 | 2,275 | 5,416 | 42.0% | Fail |
| 1130 | Woodland Joint Unified School District | \$19,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Yolo/Sutter | T | 55 | 5,279 | 12,689 | 41.6% | Fail |
| 1131 | Penryn School District | \$3,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Placer | F | 66.7 | 399 | 962 | 41.5% | Fail |
| 1132 | Mendocino Unified School District | \$8,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Mendocino | Z | 55 | 1,120 | 2,724 | 41.1% | Fail |
| 1133 | Hughson Unified School District | \$21,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Stanislaus | H | 55 | 1,533 | 3,757 | 40.8% | Fail |
| 1134 | Claremont Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | CL | 55 | 6,198 | 15,354 | 40.4% | Fail |
| 1135 | Baker Valley Unified School District | \$3,200,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Bernardino | D | 55 | 41 | 102 | 40.2% | Fail |
| 1136 | Waterford Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Stanislaus | I | 55 | 849 | 2,189 | 38.8% | Fail |
| 1137 | Hesperia Unified School District | \$207,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Bernardino | L | 55 | 4,970 | 12,890 | 38.6% | Fail |
| 1138 | Porterville Unified School District | \$67,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Tulare | B | 55 | 4,930 | 12,794 | 38.5% | Fail |
| 1139 | Gridley Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Butte | G | 55 | 1,377 | 3,577 | 38.5% | Fail |
| 1140 | Sierra Plumas Joint Unified School District | \$5,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sierra/Plumas | C | 55 | 765 | 2,035 | 37.6% | Fail |
| 1141 | Springville Union Elementary School District | \$6,700,000 | 2009-11-03 | Tulare | O | 66.7 | 485 | 1,428 | 34.0% | Fail |
| 1142 | Lucerne Valley Unified School District | \$7,950,000 | 2010-06-08 | San Bernardino | S | 55 | 472 | 1,392 | 33.9% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome | |
|------|---|---------------------------|---|--------------|---------|------------------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| 1143 | Scott Valley Unified School District | \$5,900,000 | 2010-11-02 | Siskiyou | E | 55 | 817 | 2,443 | 33.4% | Fail | |
| 1144 | Chawanakee Unified School District | \$450,000,000 | 2003-09-23 | Madera | I | 66.7 | 625 | 1,890 | 33.1% | Fail | |
| 1145 | McSwain Union School District | \$6,500,000 | 2002-03-05 | Merced | C | 66.7 | 431 | 1,325 | 32.5% | Fail | |
| 1146 | Byron Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 1,158 | 3,812 | 30.4% | Fail | |
| 1147 | Arcohe Union Elementary School District | \$3,900,000 | 2010-06-08 | Sacramento | A | 55 | 377 | 1,310 | 28.8% | Fail | |
| | TOTAL (includes three state bond measures) | \$160,116,056,744 | (\$160,846,421,744 if 16 reauthorizations included) | | | | | 31,705,846 | 52,171,777 | 60.8% | |

Appendix B

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------------|
| S-1 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2002 | \$13,050,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | All of California | Prop 47 | 50 | 4,222,946 | 7,148,169 | 59.1% | \$3,090 |
| S-2 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2004 | \$12,300,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | All of California | Prop 55 | 50 | 3,239,706 | 6,370,627 | 50.9% | \$3,797 |
| S-3 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2006 | \$10,416,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | All of California | Prop 1D | 50 | 4,754,868 | 8,356,923 | 56.9% | \$2,191 |
| 1 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$7,000,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 885,467 | 1,281,319 | 69.1% | \$7,905 |
| 2 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$3,985,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 443,905 | 671,636 | 66.1% | \$8,977 |
| 3 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$3,870,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 335,230 | 526,258 | 63.7% | \$11,544 |
| 4 | Los Angeles Community College District | \$3,500,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 1,057,738 | 1,508,687 | 70.1% | \$3,309 |
| 5 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$3,350,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 488,849 | 718,030 | 68.1% | \$6,853 |
| 6 | San Diego Unified School District | \$2,800,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | Z | 55 | 218,242 | 353,169 | 61.8% | \$12,830 |
| 7 | San Diego Unified School District | \$2,100,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | S | 55 | 261,672 | 380,808 | 68.7% | \$8,025 |
| 8 | Long Beach Unified School District | \$1,200,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 123,253 | 170,892 | 72.1% | \$9,736 |
| 9 | San Diego Community College District | \$870,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | N | 55 | 149,399 | 237,005 | 63.0% | \$5,823 |
| 10 | Chaffey Joint Union School District | \$848,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Bernardino | P | 55 | 70,026 | 110,096 | 63.6% | \$12,110 |
| 11 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 3 | \$750,000,000 | 2007-03-27 | Sacramento | M | 66.7 | 60 | 74 | 81.1% | \$12,500,000 |
| 12 | Coast Community College District | \$698,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | M | 55 | 134,619 | 235,462 | 57.2% | \$5,185 |
| 13 | Palomar Community College | \$694,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | M | 55 | 103,380 | 178,543 | 57.9% | \$6,713 |
| 14 | San Diego Community College District | \$685,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | S | 55 | 140,577 | 204,797 | 68.6% | \$4,873 |
| 15 | Fremont Unified School District | \$650,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Alameda | E | 55 | 15,971 | 26,106 | 61.2% | \$40,699 |
| 16 | Sweetwater Union High School District | \$644,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | O | 55 | 48,916 | 72,502 | 67.5% | \$13,165 |
| 17 | North Orange County Community College District | \$574,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange/Los Angeles | J | 55 | 84,780 | 154,118 | 55.0% | \$6,770 |
| 18 | San Francisco Unified School District | \$531,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 134,695 | 189,445 | 71.1% | \$3,942 |
| 19 | Palm Springs Unified School District | \$516,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Riverside | E | 55 | 20,923 | 33,647 | 62.2% | \$24,662 |
| 20 | Victor Valley Union High School District | \$500,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | V | 55 | 24,037 | 35,400 | 67.9% | \$20,801 |
| 21 | San Bernardino Community College District | \$500,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino/Riverside | M | 55 | 87,405 | 130,437 | 67.0% | \$5,720 |
| 22 | Center Joint Unified School District | \$500,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Sacramento/Placer | N | 55 | 5,082 | 8,278 | 61.4% | \$98,386 |
| 23 | Chabot-Las Positas Community College District | \$498,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Alameda/Contra Costa | B | 55 | 65,195 | 110,593 | 59.0% | \$7,639 |
| 24 | Foothill-De Anza Community College District | \$490,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Clara | C | 55 | 44,924 | 68,392 | 65.7% | \$10,925 |
| 25 | Oakland Unified School District | \$475,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Alameda | J | 55 | 122,695 | 145,382 | 84.4% | \$3,871 |
| 26 | Los Rios Community College District | \$475,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado/Placer/Sacramento/Solano/Yolo | M | 55 | 328,240 | 566,450 | 57.9% | \$1,447 |
| 27 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$468,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 114,319 | 178,121 | 64.2% | \$4,094 |
| 28 | Stockton Unified School District | \$464,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Joaquin | Q | 55 | 20,331 | 29,495 | 68.9% | \$22,847 |
| 29 | Desert Sands Unified School District | \$450,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Riverside | K | 66.7 | 12,110 | 15,006 | 80.7% | \$37,159 |
| 30 | San Francisco Unified School District | \$450,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 171,236 | 231,876 | 73.8% | \$2,628 |
| 31 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$450,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 82,464 | 143,168 | 57.6% | \$5,457 |
| 32 | San Dieguito Union High School District | \$449,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | AA | 55 | 43,627 | 78,574 | 55.5% | \$10,292 |
| 33 | Long Beach Community College District | \$440,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 79,204 | 107,261 | 73.8% | \$5,555 |
| 34 | Oakland Unified School District | \$435,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Alameda | B | 55 | 62,559 | 80,231 | 78.0% | \$6,953 |
| 35 | San Jose Unified School District | \$429,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | F | 55 | 23,248 | 33,549 | 69.3% | \$18,453 |
| 36 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$419,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 18,958 | 27,320 | 69.4% | \$22,101 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| 37 | Grossmont Union High School District | \$417,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | U | 55 | 97,337 | 171,811 | 56.7% | \$4,284 |
| 38 | Sonoma County Community College District | \$410,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino | H | 55 | 87,739 | 139,046 | 63.1% | \$4,673 |
| 39 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$400,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Contra Costa | J | 55 | 30,013 | 52,789 | 56.9% | \$13,328 |
| 40 | Moreno Valley Unified School District | \$398,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | M | 55 | 12,348 | 19,276 | 64.1% | \$32,232 |
| 41 | Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District | \$398,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | V | 55 | 95,802 | 164,554 | 58.2% | \$4,154 |
| 42 | Corona-Norco Unified School District | \$396,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | GG | 55 | 20,876 | 36,610 | 57.0% | \$18,969 |
| 43 | El Camino Community College District | \$394,516,464 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 68,095 | 110,541 | 61.6% | \$5,794 |
| 44 | Peralta Community College District | \$390,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Alameda | A | 55 | 97,685 | 129,106 | 75.7% | \$3,992 |
| 45 | Southwestern Community College District | \$389,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | R | 55 | 95,460 | 133,681 | 71.4% | \$4,075 |
| 46 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$388,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 102,629 | 154,995 | 66.2% | \$3,781 |
| 47 | Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District | \$385,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | ES | 55 | 29,874 | 43,892 | 68.1% | \$12,887 |
| 48 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$380,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 23,014 | 36,754 | 62.6% | \$16,512 |
| 49 | Palo Alto Unified School District | \$378,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Clara | A | 55 | 14,074 | 18,140 | 77.6% | \$26,858 |
| 50 | Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District | \$375,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 8,940 | 15,578 | 57.4% | \$41,946 |
| 51 | Coast Community College District | \$370,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | C | 55 | 90,198 | 138,348 | 65.2% | \$4,102 |
| 52 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$360,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 50,266 | 78,060 | 64.4% | \$7,162 |
| 53 | Ventura County Community College District | \$356,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Ventura | S | 55 | 77,854 | 118,715 | 65.6% | \$4,573 |
| 54 | Mt. San Antonio Community College District | \$353,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles/Orange | RR/CC | 55 | 164,177 | 234,717 | 69.9% | \$2,150 |
| 55 | Pasadena Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | TT | 55 | 64,090 | 85,998 | 74.5% | \$5,461 |
| 56 | Cerritos Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 83,524 | 118,758 | 70.3% | \$4,190 |
| 57 | El Camino Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 129,939 | 190,356 | 68.3% | \$2,694 |
| 58 | San Juan Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | J | 55 | 63,010 | 95,831 | 65.8% | \$5,555 |
| 59 | Riverside Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside | C | 55 | 61,923 | 102,205 | 60.6% | \$5,652 |
| 60 | San Juan Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 76,052 | 126,333 | 60.2% | \$4,602 |
| 61 | West Valley-Mission Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | C | 55 | 42,498 | 71,020 | 59.8% | \$8,236 |
| 62 | East Side Union High School District | \$349,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 68,929 | 96,559 | 71.4% | \$5,063 |
| 63 | Ohlone Community College District | \$349,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | G | 55 | 37,042 | 58,814 | 63.0% | \$9,422 |
| 64 | Solano Community College District | \$348,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Solano/Yolo | Q | 55 | 89,634 | 141,189 | 63.5% | \$3,882 |
| 65 | Mt. Diablo Unified School District | \$348,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 32,152 | 52,814 | 60.9% | \$10,824 |
| 66 | Desert Community College District | \$346,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside/Imperial | B | 66.7 | 36,698 | 53,375 | 68.8% | \$9,442 |
| 67 | Sacramento City Unified School District | \$346,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | Q | 55 | 74,681 | 106,528 | 70.1% | \$4,633 |
| 68 | Rancho Santiago Community College District | \$337,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | E | 55 | 51,789 | 80,771 | 64.1% | \$6,507 |
| 69 | Beverly Hills Unified School District | \$334,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 9,484 | 14,616 | 64.9% | \$35,217 |
| 70 | Yosemite Community College District | \$326,174,000 | 2004-11-02 | Stanislaus/San Joaquin/ Merced/Calaveras/ | E | 55 | 109,315 | 179,656 | 60.8% | \$2,984 |
| 71 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$315,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 27,343 | 37,953 | 72.0% | \$11,520 |
| 72 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$300,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 24,391 | 33,958 | 71.8% | \$12,300 |
| 73 | William S. Hart Union High School District | \$300,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | SA | 55 | 59,287 | 97,816 | 60.6% | \$5,060 |
| 74 | East Side Union High School District | \$298,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 33,345 | 47,687 | 69.9% | \$8,937 |
| 75 | San Mateo Union High School District | \$298,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Mateo | M | 55 | 42,296 | 63,640 | 66.5% | \$7,046 |
| 76 | Clovis Unified School District | \$298,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Fresno | M | 55 | 23,752 | 36,429 | 65.2% | \$12,546 |
| 77 | Victor Valley Community College District | \$297,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino/Los Angeles | JJ | 55 | 63,581 | 103,301 | 61.5% | \$4,679 |
| 78 | San Francisco Unified School District | \$295,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 139,814 | 198,068 | 70.6% | \$2,110 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| 79 | Fremont Union High School District | \$295,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | K | 55 | 31,982 | 49,326 | 64.8% | \$9,224 |
| 80 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$295,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 30,232 | 49,185 | 61.5% | \$9,758 |
| 81 | Mt. San Jacinto Community College District | \$295,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | AA | 55 | 76,993 | 130,897 | 58.8% | \$3,832 |
| 82 | San Jose Unified School District | \$290,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 63,447 | 88,944 | 71.3% | \$4,571 |
| 83 | San Marcos Unified School District | \$287,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | K | 55 | 20,082 | 31,682 | 63.4% | \$14,291 |
| 84 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$286,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 99,077 | 173,269 | 57.2% | \$2,892 |
| 85 | Newport-Mesa Unified School District | \$282,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Orange | F | 55 | 26,123 | 46,524 | 56.1% | \$10,795 |
| 86 | Fresno Unified School District | \$280,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Fresno | Q | 55 | 51,125 | 67,522 | 75.7% | \$5,477 |
| 87 | Fontana Unified School District | \$275,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Orange | C | 55 | 5,598 | 8,547 | 65.5% | \$49,125 |
| 88 | San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta) | \$275,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo/ Monterey | L | 55 | 51,740 | 82,706 | 62.6% | \$5,315 |
| 89 | Grossmont Union High School District | \$274,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Diego | H | 55 | 61,406 | 99,029 | 62.0% | \$4,462 |
| 90 | Glendale Unified School District | \$270,000,000 | 2011-04-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 13,637 | 19,501 | 69.9% | \$19,799 |
| 91 | Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District | \$268,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | BB | 55 | 22,927 | 33,960 | 67.5% | \$11,689 |
| 92 | San Jose-Evergreen Community College District | \$268,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 111,032 | 188,791 | 58.8% | \$2,414 |
| 93 | Torrance Unified School District | \$265,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 44,075 | 59,267 | 74.4% | \$6,012 |
| 94 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$265,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 22,995 | 35,047 | 65.6% | \$11,524 |
| 95 | Los Rios Community College District | \$265,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | El Dorado/Placer/ Sacramento/Yolo/Solano | A | 55 | 132,952 | 215,359 | 61.7% | \$1,993 |
| 96 | San Ramon Valley Unified School District | \$260,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 37,380 | 60,774 | 61.5% | \$6,956 |
| 97 | San Ramon Valley Unified School District | \$260,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 23,655 | 40,330 | 58.7% | \$10,991 |
| 98 | Sonoma County Community College District | \$251,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino | E | 66.7 | 77,248 | 113,889 | 67.8% | \$3,258 |
| 99 | Coachella Valley Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2005-06-07 | Riverside/Imperial | D | 66.7 | 1,747 | 2,322 | 75.2% | \$143,102 |
| 100 | San Bernardino City Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Bernardino | N | 55 | 34,902 | 48,873 | 71.4% | \$7,163 |
| 101 | Mt. Diablo Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 31,530 | 48,112 | 65.5% | \$7,929 |
| 102 | Garden Grove Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Orange | A | 55 | 21,149 | 33,168 | 63.8% | \$11,821 |
| 103 | Corona-Norco Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | U | 55 | 24,101 | 39,068 | 61.7% | \$10,373 |
| 104 | San Joaquin-Delta Community College District | \$250,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Joaquin/Sacramento | L | 55 | 62,465 | 112,744 | 55.4% | \$4,002 |
| 105 | College of Marin | \$249,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Marin | C | 55 | 77,102 | 122,301 | 63.0% | \$3,236 |
| 106 | Anaheim Union High School District | \$249,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange | H | 55 | 30,238 | 51,177 | 59.1% | \$8,235 |
| 107 | Downey Unified School District | \$248,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | O | 55 | 10,093 | 16,314 | 61.9% | \$24,571 |
| 108 | City College of San Francisco | \$246,300,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 134,999 | 211,319 | 63.9% | \$1,824 |
| 109 | Rio Hondo Community College District | \$245,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 27,447 | 43,642 | 62.9% | \$8,926 |
| 110 | Huntington Beach Union High School District | \$238,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Orange | C | 55 | 42,469 | 73,151 | 58.1% | \$5,604 |
| 111 | Pomona Unified School District | \$235,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | PS | 55 | 30,058 | 40,221 | 74.7% | \$7,818 |
| 112 | West Valley-Mission Community College District | \$235,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | H | 55 | 79,524 | 132,394 | 60.1% | \$2,955 |
| 113 | Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$230,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento/Placer | G | 55 | 10,477 | 16,782 | 62.4% | \$21,953 |
| 114 | Chaffey Community College | \$230,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Bernardino | L | 55 | 33,737 | 58,195 | 58.0% | \$6,817 |
| 115 | Hayward Unified School District | \$229,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Alameda | L | 55 | 17,976 | 23,239 | 77.4% | \$12,739 |
| 116 | Colton Joint Unified School District | \$225,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino/Riverside | G | 55 | 19,641 | 26,735 | 73.5% | \$11,456 |
| 117 | Desert Sands Unified School District | \$225,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | KK | 55 | 27,027 | 38,889 | 69.5% | \$8,325 |
| 118 | Sacramento City Unified School District | \$225,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | I | 55 | 49,115 | 73,041 | 67.2% | \$4,581 |
| 119 | Mt. San Antonio Community College District | \$221,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 20,315 | 34,727 | 58.5% | \$10,879 |
| 120 | Palmdale Elementary School District | \$220,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | DD | 55 | 22,328 | 30,162 | 74.0% | \$9,853 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| 121 | Cupertino Union School District | \$220,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 17,510 | 26,432 | 66.2% | \$12,564 |
| 122 | Kern High School District | \$219,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Kern | N | 55 | 97,540 | 146,279 | 66.7% | \$2,245 |
| 123 | Arcadia Unified School District | \$218,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 10,104 | 15,093 | 66.9% | \$21,576 |
| 124 | Berkeley Unified School District | \$210,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | I | 55 | 31,723 | 41,113 | 77.2% | \$6,620 |
| 125 | Cerritos Community College District | \$210,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 29,336 | 50,836 | 57.7% | \$7,158 |
| 126 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$207,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 58,530 | 89,208 | 65.6% | \$3,537 |
| 127 | Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District | \$207,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | R | 55 | 60,622 | 100,551 | 60.3% | \$3,415 |
| 128 | Hayward Unified School District | \$205,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Alameda | I | 55 | 11,546 | 16,000 | 72.2% | \$17,755 |
| 129 | Santa Ana Unified School District | \$200,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Orange | G | 66.7 | 8,426 | 12,244 | 68.8% | \$23,736 |
| 130 | Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District | \$200,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Orange | A | 55 | 25,764 | 45,272 | 56.9% | \$7,763 |
| 131 | Fresno Unified School District | \$199,000,000 | 2001-03-06 | Fresno | K | 66.7 | 19,864 | 29,542 | 67.2% | \$10,018 |
| 132 | Morgan Hill Unified School District | \$198,250,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 15,243 | 23,187 | 65.7% | \$13,006 |
| 133 | Rancho Santiago Community College District | \$198,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | Q | 55 | 45,981 | 63,351 | 72.6% | \$4,306 |
| 134 | Carlsbad Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | P | 55 | 16,250 | 23,522 | 69.1% | \$12,185 |
| 135 | Mountain View Whisman Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 7,341 | 10,863 | 67.6% | \$26,972 |
| 136 | Fremont Union High School District | \$198,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Clara | B | 55 | 22,100 | 32,949 | 67.1% | \$8,959 |
| 137 | Poway Unified School District SFID 2002-1 | \$198,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | U | 55 | 21,958 | 38,252 | 57.4% | \$9,017 |
| 138 | Conejo Valley Unified School District | \$197,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | I | 55 | 27,468 | 41,652 | 65.9% | \$7,172 |
| 139 | Alvord Unified School District | \$196,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Riverside | H | 55 | 3,437 | 5,542 | 62.0% | \$57,026 |
| 140 | City College of San Francisco | \$195,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Francisco | A | 66.7 | 91,643 | 127,035 | 72.1% | \$2,128 |
| 141 | Oceanside Unified School District | \$195,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | San Diego | H | 55 | 10,952 | 15,366 | 71.3% | \$17,805 |
| 142 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5 | \$195,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sacramento | G | 55 | 13,332 | 19,350 | 68.9% | \$14,626 |
| 143 | Vacaville Unified School District | \$194,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Solano | A | 55 | 12,095 | 19,524 | 61.9% | \$16,040 |
| 144 | San Bernardino Community College District | \$190,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino/Riverside | P | 55 | 60,487 | 96,344 | 62.8% | \$3,141 |
| 145 | Yuba Community College District | \$190,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Yuba/Sutter | J | 55 | 37,608 | 66,429 | 56.6% | \$5,052 |
| 146 | San Mateo Union High School District | \$186,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | O | 55 | 43,251 | 69,854 | 61.9% | \$4,300 |
| 147 | San Jose-Evergreen Community College District | \$185,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 141,070 | 215,731 | 65.4% | \$1,311 |
| 148 | Dublin Unified School District | \$184,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Alameda | C | 55 | 9,062 | 12,380 | 73.2% | \$20,305 |
| 149 | Napa Valley Unified School District | \$183,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Napa | G | 55 | 20,831 | 34,315 | 60.7% | \$8,785 |
| 150 | Escondido Union School District | \$182,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | E | 55 | 18,615 | 32,687 | 56.9% | \$9,782 |
| 151 | Saddleback Valley Unified School District | \$180,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Orange | B | 55 | 31,024 | 52,448 | 59.2% | \$5,802 |
| 152 | Kern Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern/San Bernardino | G | 55 | 79,076 | 133,870 | 59.1% | \$2,276 |
| 153 | Allan Hancock Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Barbara/Ventura | I | 55 | 22,996 | 39,989 | 57.5% | \$7,827 |
| 154 | Alameda Unified School District | \$179,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Alameda | I | 55 | 13,111 | 20,894 | 62.8% | \$13,691 |
| 155 | Alum Rock Union Elementary School District | \$179,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 6,901 | 8,725 | 79.1% | \$25,938 |
| 156 | Poway Unified School District SFID 2007-1 | \$179,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | C | 55 | 28,323 | 44,318 | 63.9% | \$6,320 |
| 157 | Val Verde Unified School District | \$178,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Riverside | L | 55 | 2,758 | 4,455 | 61.9% | \$64,540 |
| 158 | San Luis Coastal Unified School District | \$177,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo | D | 55 | 18,400 | 25,636 | 71.8% | \$9,620 |
| 159 | Long Beach Community College District | \$176,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 34,580 | 53,225 | 65.0% | \$5,090 |
| 160 | Riverside Unified School District | \$175,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Riverside | B | 66.7 | 16,466 | 24,104 | 68.3% | \$10,628 |
| 161 | San Mateo-Foster City School District | \$175,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 48,116 | 73,047 | 65.9% | \$3,637 |
| 162 | Santa Rosa High School District | \$175,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | I | 55 | 34,086 | 53,235 | 64.0% | \$5,134 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| 163 | Fullerton Joint Union High School District | \$175,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange/Los Angeles | I | 55 | 25,415 | 43,353 | 58.6% | \$6,886 |
| 164 | Anaheim City Elementary School District | \$169,300,000 | 2010-11-02 | Orange | G | 55 | 19,550 | 30,580 | 63.9% | \$8,660 |
| 165 | Clovis Unified School District | \$168,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | A | 55 | 24,836 | 39,778 | 62.4% | \$6,764 |
| 166 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$165,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Mateo | L | 55 | 28,285 | 37,391 | 75.6% | \$5,833 |
| 167 | Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District | \$165,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 14,949 | 20,313 | 73.6% | \$11,038 |
| 168 | Temecula Valley Unified School District | \$165,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | Y | 55 | 27,808 | 43,879 | 63.4% | \$5,934 |
| 169 | South San Francisco Unified School District | \$162,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 13,758 | 17,743 | 77.5% | \$11,775 |
| 170 | State Center Community College District | \$161,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare/Madera | E | 55 | 90,285 | 159,849 | 56.5% | \$1,783 |
| 171 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$160,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | U | 55 | 13,540 | 19,347 | 70.0% | \$11,817 |
| 172 | Santa Clarita Community College District | \$160,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 38,292 | 61,119 | 62.7% | \$4,178 |
| 173 | Manteca Unified School District | \$159,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Joaquin | G | 55 | 11,535 | 19,535 | 59.0% | \$13,784 |
| 174 | Rowland Unified School District | \$158,800,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles/Orange | R | 55 | 17,181 | 25,465 | 67.5% | \$9,243 |
| 175 | William S. Hart Union High School District | \$158,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 18,100 | 25,584 | 70.7% | \$8,729 |
| 176 | Fremont Unified School District | \$157,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | B | 55 | 15,101 | 23,780 | 63.5% | \$10,410 |
| 177 | Cajon Valley Union School District | \$156,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | D | 55 | 23,696 | 36,946 | 64.1% | \$6,604 |
| 178 | Stockton Unified School District | Reauthorize \$156,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | E | 55 | 31,228 | 41,954 | 74.4% | \$4,996 |
| 179 | Perris Union High School District | \$153,420,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | T | 55 | 24,229 | 39,886 | 60.7% | \$6,332 |
| 180 | Central Unified School District | \$152,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno | E | 55 | 12,062 | 19,023 | 63.4% | \$12,602 |
| 181 | Campbell Union School District | \$150,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 12,753 | 17,237 | 74.0% | \$11,762 |
| 182 | Evergreen School District | \$150,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 15,494 | 21,278 | 72.8% | \$9,681 |
| 183 | Pasadena City College | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | P | 55 | 39,129 | 56,024 | 69.8% | \$3,833 |
| 184 | Pajaro Valley Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Cruz/Monterey | L | 55 | 23,645 | 34,304 | 68.9% | \$6,344 |
| 185 | Gilroy Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Santa Clara | P | 55 | 11,989 | 17,741 | 67.6% | \$12,511 |
| 186 | Victor Elementary School District | \$150,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | E | 55 | 15,167 | 22,529 | 67.3% | \$9,890 |
| 187 | San Jacinto Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | V | 55 | 4,153 | 6,198 | 67.0% | \$36,118 |
| 188 | Chino Valley Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Bernardino | M | 55 | 10,191 | 16,478 | 61.8% | \$14,719 |
| 189 | Los Altos School District | \$150,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | N | 55 | 8,671 | 15,106 | 57.4% | \$17,299 |
| 190 | Ohlone Community College District | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | A | 55 | 16,111 | 28,617 | 56.3% | \$9,310 |
| 191 | Merced Union High School District | \$149,450,000 | 2008-11-04 | Merced | M | 55 | 25,266 | 40,377 | 62.6% | \$5,915 |
| 192 | Hemet Unified School District | \$149,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | T | 55 | 17,757 | 30,493 | 58.2% | \$8,391 |
| 193 | Norris School District | \$149,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kern | B | 55 | 3,017 | 5,475 | 55.1% | \$49,387 |
| 194 | El Monte Union High School District | \$148,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | D | 55 | 24,851 | 33,510 | 74.2% | \$5,955 |
| 195 | Saugus Union School District | \$148,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | EE | 55 | 11,878 | 20,351 | 58.4% | \$12,460 |
| 196 | Panama-Buena Vista Union School District | \$147,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | P | 55 | 23,690 | 36,447 | 65.0% | \$6,205 |
| 197 | Natomas Unified School District | \$145,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento | D | 55 | 4,358 | 7,029 | 62.0% | \$33,387 |
| 198 | Redondo Beach Unified School District | \$145,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 13,516 | 20,505 | 65.9% | \$10,728 |
| 199 | Monterey Peninsula Community College District | \$145,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | I | 55 | 23,711 | 36,677 | 64.6% | \$6,115 |
| 200 | Simi Valley Unified School District | \$145,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Ventura | C | 55 | 16,118 | 26,079 | 61.8% | \$8,996 |
| 201 | Torrance Unified School District | \$144,300,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | T | 55 | 21,424 | 32,113 | 66.7% | \$6,735 |
| 202 | Jurupa Unified School District | \$144,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | EE | 55 | 5,824 | 9,578 | 60.8% | \$24,725 |
| 203 | Vista Unified School District | \$140,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | O | 55 | 17,506 | 26,037 | 67.2% | \$7,997 |
| 204 | San Bernardino City Unified School District | \$140,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Bernardino | T | 55 | 14,282 | 23,247 | 61.4% | \$9,803 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| 205 | Antelope Valley Community College | \$139,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles/Kern | R | 55 | 74,966 | 107,579 | 69.7% | \$1,854 |
| 206 | San Mateo Union High School District | Repurpose \$137,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Mateo | C | 66.7 | 40,674 | 55,573 | 73.2% | \$3,381 |
| 207 | Jefferson Union High School District | \$136,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 22,025 | 31,358 | 70.2% | \$6,216 |
| 208 | Oxnard Union High School District | \$135,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Ventura | H | 55 | 52,851 | 84,324 | 62.7% | \$2,554 |
| 209 | Tustin Unified School District | \$135,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | S | 55 | 25,220 | 41,949 | 60.1% | \$5,353 |
| 210 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$135,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 27,472 | 47,028 | 58.4% | \$4,914 |
| 211 | Napa Valley Community College District | \$133,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | Napa/Sonoma | N | 55 | 21,699 | 35,502 | 61.1% | \$6,166 |
| 212 | Jefferson Union High School District | \$133,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 17,090 | 24,018 | 71.2% | \$7,782 |
| 213 | Anaheim Union High School District | \$132,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | Z | 55 | 25,592 | 41,126 | 62.2% | \$5,158 |
| 214 | Hartnell Community College District | \$131,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey/San Benito | H | 55 | 29,768 | 45,263 | 65.8% | \$4,401 |
| 215 | Westminster School District | \$130,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | O | 55 | 15,947 | 25,321 | 63.0% | \$8,152 |
| 216 | Covina Valley Unified School District | \$129,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 17,640 | 24,131 | 73.1% | \$7,313 |
| 217 | Natomas Unified School District | \$129,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sacramento | J | 55 | 9,091 | 12,606 | 72.1% | \$14,190 |
| 218 | Temple City Unified School District | \$128,800,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 6,454 | 10,029 | 64.4% | \$19,957 |
| 219 | Las Virgenes Unified School District | \$128,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles/Ventura | G | 55 | 6,865 | 10,705 | 64.1% | \$18,645 |
| 220 | Salinas Union High School District | \$128,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | B | 55 | 14,730 | 24,428 | 60.3% | \$8,690 |
| 221 | Los Alamitos Unified School District | \$126,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | K | 55 | 13,740 | 21,015 | 65.4% | \$9,170 |
| 222 | Alum Rock Union Elementary School District | \$125,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 18,442 | 23,188 | 79.5% | \$6,778 |
| 223 | Oak Grove School District | \$125,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Santa Clara | S | 55 | 25,589 | 34,139 | 75.0% | \$4,885 |
| 224 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5 | \$125,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 8,199 | 11,845 | 69.2% | \$15,246 |
| 225 | Union School District | \$125,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 5,958 | 8,656 | 68.8% | \$20,980 |
| 226 | New Haven Unified School District | \$125,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Alameda | M | 55 | 8,962 | 13,044 | 68.7% | \$13,948 |
| 227 | Beaumont Unified School District | \$125,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Riverside/San Bernardino | Z | 55 | 9,029 | 14,552 | 62.0% | \$13,844 |
| 228 | Solano Community College District | \$124,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Solano/Yolo | G | 55 | 89,634 | 141,189 | 63.5% | \$1,389 |
| 229 | Palm Springs Unified School District | \$122,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Riverside | T | 55 | 32,476 | 44,662 | 72.7% | \$3,757 |
| 230 | Tamalpais Union High School District | \$121,000,000 | 2001-03-06 | Marin | A | 66.7 | 14,527 | 21,319 | 68.1% | \$8,329 |
| 231 | Citrus Community College District | \$121,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 21,639 | 37,418 | 57.8% | \$5,592 |
| 232 | Sunnyvale School District | \$120,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | P | 55 | 16,283 | 22,313 | 73.0% | \$7,370 |
| 233 | East Side Union High School District | \$120,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | M | 55 | 102,531 | 143,231 | 71.6% | \$1,170 |
| 234 | Stockton Unified School District | \$120,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Joaquin | C | 55 | 18,858 | 27,167 | 69.4% | \$6,363 |
| 235 | New Haven Unified School District | \$120,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | Alameda | A | 66.7 | 3,817 | 5,581 | 68.4% | \$31,438 |
| 236 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$120,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 112,062 | 172,726 | 64.9% | \$1,071 |
| 237 | Murieta Valley Unified School District | \$120,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | E | 55 | 6,327 | 11,026 | 57.4% | \$18,966 |
| 238 | Cabrillo Community College District | \$118,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Cruz/Monterey/San Benito | D | 55 | 44,542 | 72,295 | 61.6% | \$2,660 |
| 239 | Rowland Unified School District | \$118,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles/Orange | R | 55 | 4,790 | 6,804 | 70.4% | \$24,635 |
| 240 | Atascadero Unified School District | \$117,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Luis Obispo | I | 55 | 9,165 | 14,029 | 65.3% | \$12,766 |
| 241 | Roseville Joint Union High School District SFID No. 1 | \$115,000,000 | 2007-04-24 | Placer | A | 66.7 | 11 | 12 | 91.7% | \$10,454,545 |
| 242 | Stockton Unified School District | \$114,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Joaquin | E | 55 | 14,751 | 21,871 | 67.4% | \$7,728 |
| 243 | Lodi Unified School District | \$114,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Joaquin | L | 55 | 9,225 | 15,176 | 60.8% | \$12,358 |
| 244 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$114,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Nevada/Placer | U | 55 | 3,018 | 5,433 | 55.5% | \$37,773 |
| 245 | East Side Union High School District | \$113,200,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 59,092 | 87,014 | 67.9% | \$1,916 |
| 246 | Anaheim City Elementary School District | \$111,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | BB | 55 | 11,610 | 18,366 | 63.2% | \$9,561 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| 247 | Monterey Peninsula Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Monterey | P | 55 | 15,558 | 21,745 | 71.5% | \$7,070 |
| 248 | Burbank Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 2013-03-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 4,663 | 7,547 | 61.8% | \$23,590 |
| 249 | Lodi Unified School District | \$109,300,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Joaquin | K | 55 | 15,881 | 27,827 | 57.1% | \$6,882 |
| 250 | San Leandro Unified School District | \$109,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Alameda | B | 55 | 11,398 | 16,600 | 68.7% | \$9,563 |
| 251 | Novato Unified School District | \$107,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Marin | A | 55 | 8,419 | 13,668 | 61.6% | \$12,709 |
| 252 | Culver City Unified School District | \$106,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 4,706 | 6,169 | 76.3% | \$22,524 |
| 253 | Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District | \$103,600,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 19,029 | 33,254 | 57.2% | \$5,444 |
| 254 | Upland Unified School District | \$103,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino | K | 55 | 11,785 | 19,554 | 60.3% | \$8,740 |
| 255 | Colton Joint Unified School District | \$102,000,000 | 2001-09-25 | San Bernardino | B | 66.7 | 3,884 | 5,181 | 75.0% | \$26,262 |
| 256 | Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District | \$102,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | Y | 55 | 18,300 | 27,735 | 66.0% | \$5,574 |
| 257 | Vacaville Unified School District | \$101,300,000 | 2001-11-06 | Solano | V | 66.7 | 8,606 | 12,737 | 67.6% | \$11,771 |
| 258 | Compton Community College District | \$100,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 20,209 | 25,779 | 78.4% | \$4,948 |
| 259 | Compton Community College District | \$100,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 23,005 | 30,141 | 76.3% | \$4,347 |
| 260 | Paramount Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 6,845 | 9,233 | 74.1% | \$14,609 |
| 261 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Contra Costa | L | 55 | 7,391 | 10,787 | 68.5% | \$13,530 |
| 262 | Evergreen School District | \$100,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | M | 55 | 14,002 | 20,740 | 67.5% | \$7,142 |
| 263 | Bakersfield City Elementary School District | \$100,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Kern | G | 55 | 17,657 | 27,661 | 63.8% | \$5,663 |
| 264 | Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Solano | C | 55 | 11,288 | 18,784 | 60.1% | \$8,859 |
| 265 | Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District | \$99,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | E | 55 | 8,331 | 13,020 | 64.0% | \$11,883 |
| 266 | Dublin Unified School District | \$99,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Alameda | E | 55 | 4,205 | 6,780 | 62.0% | \$23,543 |
| 267 | Montebello Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 25,476 | 34,968 | 72.9% | \$3,847 |
| 268 | Centinela Valley Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | CV | 66.7 | 27,255 | 38,508 | 70.8% | \$3,596 |
| 269 | Rialto Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Bernardino | Y | 55 | 12,608 | 18,137 | 69.5% | \$7,773 |
| 270 | Centinela Valley Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | CV | 55 | 16,057 | 24,754 | 64.9% | \$6,103 |
| 271 | Escondido Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | T | 55 | 30,006 | 50,836 | 59.0% | \$3,266 |
| 272 | Glendale Community College District | \$98,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 14,245 | 24,507 | 58.1% | \$6,880 |
| 273 | Murieta Valley Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | BB | 55 | 11,278 | 19,462 | 57.9% | \$8,689 |
| 274 | Sunnyvale School District | \$96,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 6,995 | 10,220 | 68.4% | \$13,724 |
| 275 | Emery Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | J | 55 | 1,982 | 2,683 | 73.9% | \$47,931 |
| 276 | Milpitas Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 5,412 | 8,449 | 64.1% | \$17,554 |
| 277 | Napa Valley Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Napa | M | 55 | 17,183 | 28,953 | 59.3% | \$5,529 |
| 278 | Tustin Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | L | 55 | 18,304 | 31,266 | 58.5% | \$5,190 |
| 279 | Acalanes Union High School District | \$93,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 39,556 | 61,553 | 64.3% | \$2,351 |
| 280 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$93,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 7,719 | 13,452 | 57.4% | \$12,048 |
| 281 | Azusa Unified School District | \$92,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 3,983 | 7,082 | 56.2% | \$23,098 |
| 282 | Menlo Park City School District | \$91,100,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Mateo | U | 55 | 4,936 | 6,993 | 70.6% | \$18,456 |
| 283 | Los Gatos Union School District | \$91,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Santa Clara | B | 66.7 | 4,615 | 5,443 | 84.8% | \$19,718 |
| 284 | Inglewood Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | GG | 55 | 31,907 | 37,059 | 86.1% | \$2,821 |
| 285 | Beverly Hills Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 4,424 | 5,659 | 78.2% | \$20,344 |
| 286 | El Monte Union High School District | \$90,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | Y | 66.7 | 13,584 | 17,815 | 76.3% | \$6,625 |
| 287 | Torrance Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | Z | 55 | 41,832 | 58,516 | 71.5% | \$2,151 |
| 288 | Chula Vista Elementary School District SFID No. 1 | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | E | 55 | 36,265 | 52,699 | 68.8% | \$2,482 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| 289 | Oxnard School District | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | R | 55 | 19,968 | 30,078 | 66.4% | \$4,507 |
| 290 | Carpinteria Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara | U | 55 | 3,901 | 5,881 | 66.3% | \$23,071 |
| 291 | Alisal Union School District | \$90,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Monterey | A | 55 | 3,414 | 5,266 | 64.8% | \$26,362 |
| 292 | Campbell Union High School District | \$90,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 32,505 | 55,855 | 58.2% | \$2,769 |
| 293 | Oak Grove School District | \$89,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | P | 55 | 13,056 | 19,918 | 65.5% | \$6,878 |
| 294 | Cajon Valley Union School District | Reauthorize \$88,400,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | C | 55 | 29,048 | 49,882 | 58.2% | \$3,043 |
| 295 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$88,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | G | 55 | 23,927 | 35,083 | 68.2% | \$3,678 |
| 296 | Wisburn Unified School District | \$87,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 3,285 | 4,338 | 75.7% | \$26,484 |
| 297 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Contra Costa | J | 55 | 7,288 | 9,833 | 74.1% | \$11,663 |
| 298 | Alhambra Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 26,048 | 36,862 | 70.7% | \$3,263 |
| 299 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Contra Costa | N | 55 | 5,483 | 8,007 | 68.5% | \$15,502 |
| 300 | Butte-Glenn Community College District | \$84,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Butte/Glenn | A | 55 | 36,012 | 54,724 | 65.8% | \$2,358 |
| 301 | Bonita Unified School District | \$83,560,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | AB | 55 | 17,218 | 28,308 | 60.8% | \$4,853 |
| 302 | San Lorenzo Unified School District | \$83,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Alameda | O | 55 | 17,356 | 23,311 | 74.5% | \$4,782 |
| 303 | Santa Clarita Community College District | \$82,110,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 16,370 | 24,050 | 68.1% | \$5,016 |
| 304 | Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 4 | \$82,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Joaquin/Alameda | B | 55 | 4,570 | 7,028 | 65.0% | \$17,943 |
| 305 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$81,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 21,839 | 33,890 | 64.4% | \$3,714 |
| 306 | Cabrillo Unified School District | \$81,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | San Mateo | S | 55 | 3,803 | 6,730 | 56.5% | \$21,299 |
| 307 | Cupertino Union School District | \$80,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Santa Clara | C | 66.7 | 11,117 | 14,860 | 74.8% | \$7,196 |
| 308 | Compton Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 14,150 | 17,036 | 83.1% | \$5,654 |
| 309 | Tustin Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | G | 55 | 12,792 | 19,054 | 67.1% | \$6,254 |
| 310 | Imperial Valley College | \$80,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Imperial | J | 55 | 16,325 | 25,220 | 64.7% | \$4,900 |
| 311 | Salinas City Elementary School District | \$80,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Monterey | T | 55 | 5,513 | 8,627 | 63.9% | \$14,511 |
| 312 | Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 5,382 | 8,532 | 63.1% | \$14,864 |
| 313 | Tamalpais Union High School District | \$79,920,000 | 2006-06-06 | Marin | A | 55 | 23,420 | 35,020 | 66.9% | \$3,412 |
| 314 | Lakeside Union School District | \$79,550,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | I | 55 | 10,695 | 16,561 | 64.6% | \$7,438 |
| 315 | Roseville Joint Union High School District | \$79,500,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento | D | 55 | 2,114 | 2,664 | 79.4% | \$37,606 |
| 316 | Alvord Unified School District | Reauthorize \$79,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | W | 55 | 12,911 | 20,602 | 62.7% | \$6,119 |
| 317 | Bellflower Unified School District | \$79,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | BB | 55 | 16,469 | 22,624 | 72.8% | \$4,797 |
| 318 | Roseville Joint Union High School District | \$79,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Placer/Sacramento | J | 55 | 35,814 | 59,793 | 59.9% | \$2,206 |
| 319 | Santa Maria Joint Union High School District | \$79,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Barbara | C | 55 | 22,527 | 38,345 | 58.7% | \$3,507 |
| 320 | El Monte City School District | \$78,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 4,614 | 6,877 | 67.1% | \$16,905 |
| 321 | Chico Unified School District | \$78,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Butte | E | 55 | 27,112 | 41,734 | 65.0% | \$2,877 |
| 322 | Santa Barbara Community College District | \$77,242,012 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Barbara | V | 55 | 28,397 | 40,308 | 70.5% | \$2,720 |
| 323 | Berryessa Union School District | \$77,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | L | 55 | 10,622 | 15,320 | 69.3% | \$7,249 |
| 324 | Santa Rosa High School District | \$77,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 29,276 | 44,707 | 65.5% | \$2,630 |
| 325 | Baldwin Park Unified School District | \$75,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 6,727 | 9,335 | 72.1% | \$11,223 |
| 326 | Azusa Unified School District | \$75,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 4,200 | 5,624 | 74.7% | \$17,857 |
| 327 | El Monte City School District | \$75,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | KC | 55 | 12,515 | 17,253 | 72.5% | \$5,993 |
| 328 | Whittier Union High School District | \$75,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | W | 55 | 51,521 | 71,490 | 72.1% | \$1,456 |
| 329 | Santa Barbara Elementary School District | \$75,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Barbara | R | 55 | 18,701 | 26,108 | 71.6% | \$4,010 |
| 330 | Santa Barbara High School District | \$75,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Barbara | Q | 55 | 41,893 | 60,237 | 69.5% | \$1,790 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| 331 | Campbell Union School District | \$74,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 8,772 | 13,156 | 66.7% | \$8,539 |
| 332 | Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$74,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento/Placer | E | 55 | 10,435 | 18,312 | 57.0% | \$7,092 |
| 333 | San Carlos Unified School District | \$72,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 9,534 | 14,023 | 68.0% | \$7,552 |
| 334 | Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District | \$72,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Los Angeles | CK | 55 | 4,394 | 7,465 | 58.9% | \$16,386 |
| 335 | Buena Park Elementary School District | \$71,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Orange | B | 55 | 2,229 | 3,333 | 66.9% | \$31,853 |
| 336 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$70,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 63,187 | 91,162 | 69.3% | \$1,108 |
| 337 | Madera Unified School District | \$70,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Madera | G | 55 | 5,894 | 10,113 | 58.3% | \$11,876 |
| 338 | Golden Valley Unified School District | \$70,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Madera | S | 55 | 1,438 | 2,472 | 58.2% | \$48,679 |
| 339 | Gilroy Unified School District | \$69,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 5,865 | 9,349 | 62.7% | \$11,765 |
| 340 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 4 | \$68,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | P | 55 | 11,677 | 16,337 | 71.5% | \$5,823 |
| 341 | Petaluma Joint Union High School District | \$68,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | E | 55 | 4,652 | 6,813 | 68.3% | \$14,617 |
| 342 | Sacramento City Unified School District | \$68,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | R | 55 | 71,820 | 105,834 | 67.9% | \$947 |
| 343 | Pomona Unified School District | \$68,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 7,280 | 11,602 | 62.7% | \$9,341 |
| 344 | Fullerton Joint Union High School District | \$67,940,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange/Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 21,974 | 36,437 | 60.3% | \$3,092 |
| 345 | Jefferson Elementary School District | \$67,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | M | 55 | 16,261 | 21,138 | 76.9% | \$4,151 |
| 346 | Mendocino-Lake Community College District | \$67,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Mendocino/Lake | W | 55 | 18,417 | 29,506 | 62.4% | \$3,665 |
| 347 | Manhattan Beach Unified School District | \$67,480,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | BB | 55 | 12,191 | 19,801 | 61.6% | \$5,535 |
| 348 | Dry Creek Elementary School District | \$67,300,000 | 2008-02-05 | Placer/Sacramento | E | 55 | 8,282 | 14,634 | 56.6% | \$8,126 |
| 349 | Hillsborough City School District | \$66,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Mateo | B | 55 | 2,838 | 4,271 | 66.4% | \$23,538 |
| 350 | El Dorado Union High School District | \$66,300,000 | 2008-06-03 | El Dorado | Q | 55 | 19,536 | 34,740 | 56.2% | \$3,394 |
| 351 | Covina Valley Unified School District | \$66,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 5,432 | 7,872 | 69.0% | \$12,150 |
| 352 | Manteca Unified School District | \$66,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Joaquin | M | 55 | 7,867 | 14,011 | 56.1% | \$8,389 |
| 353 | Redlands Unified School District | \$65,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino | J | 55 | 21,545 | 32,446 | 66.4% | \$3,040 |
| 354 | Modesto City Schools (Modesto High School District) | \$65,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | T | 55 | 20,783 | 30,639 | 67.8% | \$3,128 |
| 355 | San Gabriel Unified School District | \$65,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 5,240 | 7,475 | 70.1% | \$12,405 |
| 356 | Downey Unified School District | \$65,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | D | 55 | 13,257 | 19,771 | 67.1% | \$4,903 |
| 357 | Walnut Valley Unified School District | \$64,600,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 4,159 | 6,797 | 61.2% | \$15,533 |
| 358 | Lake Tahoe Unified School District | \$64,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 6,715 | 11,301 | 59.4% | \$9,605 |
| 359 | Oxnard School District | \$64,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Ventura | M | 55 | 12,655 | 19,631 | 64.5% | \$5,057 |
| 360 | Westside Union School District | \$63,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | WS | 55 | 16,127 | 25,660 | 62.8% | \$3,937 |
| 361 | Alameda Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Alameda | C | 55 | 13,809 | 19,205 | 71.9% | \$4,562 |
| 362 | Lancaster Elementary School District | \$63,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | L | 55 | 21,804 | 31,042 | 70.2% | \$2,889 |
| 363 | Redondo Beach Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 18,786 | 29,232 | 64.3% | \$3,354 |
| 364 | Banning Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | R | 55 | 2,635 | 4,546 | 58.0% | \$23,909 |
| 365 | Banning Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | R | 55 | 2,635 | 4,546 | 58.0% | \$23,909 |
| 366 | Newark Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | Alameda | G | 55 | 3,286 | 5,878 | 55.9% | \$19,172 |
| 367 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$62,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | El Dorado/Placer | E | 55 | 2,074 | 3,442 | 60.3% | \$29,894 |
| 368 | Duarte Unified School District | \$62,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 4,198 | 7,008 | 59.9% | \$14,769 |
| 369 | Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$61,600,000 | 2008-06-03 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 4,948 | 7,921 | 62.5% | \$12,449 |
| 370 | Visalia Unified School District | \$60,100,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | E | 55 | 26,898 | 39,806 | 67.6% | \$2,234 |
| 371 | Las Lomas School District | \$60,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | San Mateo | S | 55 | 2,305 | 3,117 | 73.9% | \$26,030 |
| 372 | Ceres Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus | U | 55 | 9,625 | 13,258 | 72.6% | \$6,234 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| 373 | College of the Sequoias | \$60,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kings/Tulare | J | 55 | 16,395 | 22,818 | 71.9% | \$3,660 |
| 374 | Redlands Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | R | 55 | 17,850 | 25,448 | 70.1% | \$3,361 |
| 375 | Newhall School District | \$60,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 3,706 | 5,556 | 66.7% | \$16,190 |
| 376 | Western Placer Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Placer | A | 55 | 10,742 | 17,418 | 61.7% | \$5,586 |
| 377 | Santee Elementary School District | \$60,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | R | 55 | 9,742 | 16,649 | 58.5% | \$6,159 |
| 378 | Merced City Elementary School District | \$60,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | M | 55 | 5,277 | 9,217 | 57.3% | \$11,370 |
| 379 | Mill Valley School District | \$59,800,000 | 2009-11-03 | Marin | C | 55 | 5,530 | 8,261 | 66.9% | \$10,814 |
| 380 | Ontario-Montclair School District | \$59,655,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | T | 55 | 11,472 | 17,042 | 67.3% | \$5,200 |
| 381 | South Bay Union School District | \$59,400,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | X | 55 | 15,219 | 19,909 | 76.4% | \$3,903 |
| 382 | Imperial Valley College | \$58,600,000 | 2004-11-02 | Imperial | L | 55 | 20,176 | 31,716 | 63.6% | \$2,904 |
| 383 | Pajaro Valley Unified School District | \$58,250,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Cruz/Monterey | J or L | 55 | 14,352 | 21,530 | 66.7% | \$4,059 |
| 384 | Atascadero Unified School District | Reauthorize \$58,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo | B | 55 | 7,102 | 11,517 | 61.7% | \$8,167 |
| 385 | Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$56,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 12,264 | 19,515 | 62.8% | \$4,607 |
| 386 | Bonita Unified School District | \$56,360,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 8,841 | 14,243 | 62.1% | \$6,375 |
| 387 | Burlingame School District | \$56,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | D | 55 | 8,609 | 12,754 | 67.5% | \$6,505 |
| 388 | Piedmont Unified School District | \$56,000,000 | 2006-03-07 | Alameda | E | 55 | 2,497 | 4,294 | 58.2% | \$22,427 |
| 389 | Delano Joint Union High School District | \$55,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern/Tulare | C | 66.7 | 3,321 | 4,552 | 73.0% | \$16,561 |
| 390 | Whittier City Unified School District | \$55,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | Z | 55 | 14,205 | 19,629 | 72.4% | \$3,872 |
| 391 | Moreland School District | \$55,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | K | 55 | 9,035 | 13,066 | 69.1% | \$6,087 |
| 392 | Lake Tahoe Community College District | \$55,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | El Dorado | F | 55 | 3,784 | 6,547 | 57.8% | \$14,535 |
| 393 | Santa Rosa Elementary School District | \$54,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 11,000 | 15,919 | 69.1% | \$4,909 |
| 394 | Cypress School District | \$53,600,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | M | 55 | 12,493 | 18,045 | 69.2% | \$4,290 |
| 395 | Merced Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$53,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced/Madera | H | 55 | 17,048 | 30,594 | 55.7% | \$3,138 |
| 396 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$53,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento | C | 55 | 8,657 | 12,623 | 68.6% | \$6,122 |
| 397 | Jefferson Elementary School District | \$52,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | H | 66.7 | 3,829 | 5,597 | 68.4% | \$13,581 |
| 398 | El Rancho Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | EE | 55 | 9,549 | 12,903 | 74.0% | \$5,446 |
| 399 | Washington Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Yolo | Q | 55 | 5,213 | 7,578 | 68.8% | \$9,975 |
| 400 | Rocklin Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Placer | B | 66.7 | 7,101 | 10,500 | 67.6% | \$7,323 |
| 401 | Salinas Union High School District | \$52,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Monterey | F | 55 | 12,746 | 21,111 | 60.4% | \$4,080 |
| 402 | Tracy Unified School District | \$51,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Joaquin/Alameda | E | 55 | 7,230 | 10,644 | 67.9% | \$7,054 |
| 403 | Castaic Union School District | \$51,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | QS | 55 | 5,682 | 8,793 | 64.6% | \$8,976 |
| 404 | Sierra Sands Unified School District | \$50,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern/San Bernardino | A | 55 | 4,710 | 7,710 | 61.1% | \$10,722 |
| 405 | San Leandro Unified School District | \$50,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | M | 55 | 10,638 | 16,864 | 63.1% | \$4,710 |
| 406 | Covina Valley Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Los Angeles | K | 66.7 | 4,746 | 6,551 | 72.4% | \$10,535 |
| 407 | Moreno Valley Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside | G | 55 | 140 | 178 | 78.7% | \$357,143 |
| 408 | El Monte City School District | \$50,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 11,855 | 15,576 | 76.1% | \$4,218 |
| 409 | Alhambra Unified School District ESID | \$50,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | MM | 55 | 23,276 | 31,080 | 74.9% | \$2,148 |
| 410 | Lincoln Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Joaquin | P | 55 | 12,065 | 16,925 | 71.3% | \$4,144 |
| 411 | Sanger Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno | S | 55 | 9,986 | 14,190 | 70.4% | \$5,007 |
| 412 | Franklin-McKinley School District | \$50,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 10,860 | 15,534 | 69.9% | \$4,604 |
| 413 | Patterson Joint Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus/Santa Clara | V | 55 | 3,982 | 6,015 | 66.2% | \$12,557 |
| 414 | Windsor Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 6,208 | 9,891 | 62.8% | \$8,054 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| 415 | Torrance Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | U | 55 | 19,877 | 32,015 | 62.1% | \$2,515 |
| 416 | Washington Unified School District | \$49,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Yolo | V | 55 | 6,118 | 9,072 | 67.4% | \$8,140 |
| 417 | Eureka Unified School District | \$49,750,000 | 2014-11-04 | Humboldt | S | 55 | 8,083 | 14,084 | 57.4% | \$6,155 |
| 418 | Fullerton School District | \$49,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | CC | 55 | 11,710 | 19,026 | 61.5% | \$4,244 |
| 419 | Benicia Unified School District | \$49,600,000 | 2014-06-03 | Solano | S | 55 | 3,903 | 6,147 | 63.5% | \$12,708 |
| 420 | El Rancho Unified School District | \$49,500,000 | 2003-11-04 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 1,788 | 2,601 | 68.7% | \$27,685 |
| 421 | San Rafael High School District (San Rafael City Schools) | \$49,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Marin | A | 55 | 15,011 | 22,208 | 67.6% | \$3,298 |
| 422 | San Rafael Elementary School District (San Rafael City Schools) | \$49,300,000 | 2002-11-05 | Marin | B | 55 | 9,365 | 13,665 | 68.5% | \$5,264 |
| 423 | Hemet Unified School District | Reauthorize \$49,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | U | 55 | 25,661 | 37,287 | 68.8% | \$1,910 |
| 424 | San Lorenzo Unified School District | \$49,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Alameda | E | 55 | 15,797 | 21,217 | 74.5% | \$3,102 |
| 425 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$49,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento | B | 55 | 5,685 | 7,793 | 73.0% | \$8,619 |
| 426 | Lincoln Unified School District | \$48,500,000 | 2012-06-05 | San Joaquin | M | 55 | 5,653 | 9,488 | 59.6% | \$8,580 |
| 427 | Burlingame School District | \$48,300,000 | 2007-11-06 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 3,650 | 5,712 | 63.9% | \$13,233 |
| 428 | Morongo Unified School District | \$48,150,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Bernardino | O | 55 | 6,563 | 11,527 | 56.9% | \$7,337 |
| 429 | Saugus Union School District | \$48,000,000 | 2002-06-04 | Los Angeles | E | 66.7 | 4,462 | 6,043 | 73.8% | \$10,758 |
| 430 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District | \$48,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 7,017 | 10,837 | 64.8% | \$6,841 |
| 431 | San Gabriel Unified School District | \$47,150,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 3,411 | 4,867 | 70.1% | \$13,823 |
| 432 | Charter Oak Unified School District | \$47,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Los Angeles | CO | 55 | 3,035 | 4,839 | 62.7% | \$15,486 |
| 433 | Marysville Joint Unified School District | \$47,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Yuba/Butte | P | 55 | 10,081 | 16,160 | 62.4% | \$4,662 |
| 434 | Baldwin Park Unified School District | \$46,600,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 6,321 | 7,952 | 79.5% | \$7,372 |
| 435 | Escondido Union School District | \$46,300,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | K | 55 | 11,635 | 20,003 | 58.2% | \$3,979 |
| 436 | Madera Unified School District | \$46,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Madera | B | 55 | 6,812 | 10,729 | 63.5% | \$6,753 |
| 437 | Perris Union High School District | \$46,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Riverside | Z | 55 | 18,871 | 32,594 | 57.9% | \$2,438 |
| 438 | Natomas Unified School District | \$45,880,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | M | 55 | 5,669 | 7,832 | 72.4% | \$8,093 |
| 439 | Tulare Joint Union High School District | \$45,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tulare/Kings | Q | 66.7 | 6,308 | 9,112 | 69.2% | \$7,134 |
| 440 | Monrovia Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 4,301 | 6,409 | 67.1% | \$10,463 |
| 441 | Martinez Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Contra Costa | K | 55 | 6,863 | 10,474 | 65.5% | \$6,557 |
| 442 | Santa Maria-Bonita School District | \$45,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara/SLO | T | 55 | 8,085 | 13,021 | 62.1% | \$5,566 |
| 443 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$44,400,000 | 2004-11-02 | Nevada | G | 55 | 24,877 | 41,666 | 59.7% | \$1,785 |
| 444 | Encinitas Union School District | \$44,200,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | P | 55 | 17,989 | 29,200 | 61.6% | \$2,457 |
| 445 | La Mesa Spring Valley School District | \$44,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | M | 55 | 16,326 | 23,478 | 69.5% | \$2,695 |
| 446 | Acalanes Union High School District | \$44,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 30,163 | 44,797 | 67.3% | \$1,459 |
| 447 | Castro Valley Unified School District | \$44,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Alameda | B | 55 | 10,602 | 16,674 | 63.6% | \$4,150 |
| 448 | Val Verde Unified School District | \$43,440,000 | 2008-06-03 | Riverside | J | 55 | 2,323 | 3,363 | 69.1% | \$18,700 |
| 449 | Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3 | \$43,100,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Joaquin/Alameda | S | 55 | 14,856 | 21,036 | 70.6% | \$2,901 |
| 450 | Ukiah Unified School District | \$43,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Mendocino | U | 66.7 | 6,675 | 9,822 | 68.0% | \$6,442 |
| 451 | Willits Unified School District | \$43,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Mendocino | B | 55 | 2,211 | 3,606 | 61.3% | \$19,448 |
| 452 | San Benito High School District | \$42,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Benito/Santa Clara | G | 55 | 3,524 | 6,260 | 56.3% | \$12,060 |
| 453 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Monterey | D | 55 | 3,411 | 5,200 | 65.6% | \$12,313 |
| 454 | Calistoga Joint Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma/Napa | A | 55 | 1,572 | 2,411 | 65.2% | \$26,718 |
| 455 | Jefferson Union High School District | Reauthorize \$41,900,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | E | 55 | 30,757 | 41,401 | 74.3% | \$1,362 |
| 456 | Glendora Unified School District | \$41,310,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 6,588 | 10,442 | 63.1% | \$6,270 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| 457 | Mountain View-Los Altos Union School District | \$41,300,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Clara | A | 55 | 19,480 | 25,067 | 77.7% | \$2,120 |
| 458 | Ross Valley Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Marin | A | 66.7 | 8,565 | 12,055 | 71.0% | \$4,787 |
| 459 | Coachella Valley Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside/Imperial | X | 55 | 7,191 | 11,032 | 65.2% | \$5,702 |
| 460 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$40,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 11,311 | 14,499 | 78.0% | \$3,581 |
| 461 | Murieta Valley Unified School District | \$40,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | K | 55 | 11,119 | 15,781 | 70.5% | \$3,633 |
| 462 | College of the Redwoods | \$40,320,000 | 2004-11-02 | Del Norte/Humboldt/Mendocino | B/Q | 55 | 52,249 | 81,284 | 64.3% | \$772 |
| 463 | Liberty Union High School District | \$40,000,000 | 2001-03-06 | Contra Costa | A | 66.7 | 5,100 | 7,060 | 72.2% | \$7,843 |
| 464 | Orchard School District | \$40,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 391 | 553 | 70.7% | \$102,302 |
| 465 | Soledad Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Monterey | C | 66.7 | 2,332 | 3,131 | 74.5% | \$17,153 |
| 466 | Greenfield Union School District | \$40,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Kern | H | 55 | 3,241 | 4,636 | 69.9% | \$12,342 |
| 467 | Sonoma Valley Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | H | 55 | 10,581 | 15,649 | 67.6% | \$3,780 |
| 468 | Perris Elementary School District | \$40,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Riverside | C | 55 | 1,153 | 1,738 | 66.3% | \$34,692 |
| 469 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 6,806 | 10,851 | 62.7% | \$5,877 |
| 470 | Central Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | K | 55 | 5,174 | 8,494 | 60.9% | \$7,731 |
| 471 | Sylvan Union School District | \$40,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | A | 55 | 9,402 | 16,526 | 56.9% | \$4,254 |
| 472 | Stanislaus Union School District | \$39,800,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus | T | 55 | 7,213 | 10,214 | 70.6% | \$5,518 |
| 473 | West Kern Community College District | \$39,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | A | 55 | 2,039 | 3,509 | 58.1% | \$19,519 |
| 474 | Nuvew Union School District | \$39,600,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | D | 55 | 622 | 1,103 | 56.4% | \$63,666 |
| 475 | Moorpark Unified School District | \$39,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | S | 55 | 9,560 | 14,620 | 65.4% | \$4,132 |
| 476 | Cambrian School District | \$39,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 3,840 | 5,299 | 72.5% | \$10,156 |
| 477 | Santa Paula Union High School District | \$39,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | Q | 55 | 5,871 | 8,340 | 70.4% | \$6,643 |
| 478 | Rio School District | \$38,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | G | 55 | 3,753 | 5,291 | 70.9% | \$10,258 |
| 479 | Reed Union School District | \$38,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Marin | C | 66.7 | 2,886 | 3,864 | 74.7% | \$13,167 |
| 480 | Lompoc Unified School District | \$38,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Barbara | N | 66.7 | 9,049 | 12,993 | 69.6% | \$4,199 |
| 481 | San Carlos Unified School District | \$38,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | E | 55 | 6,240 | 9,913 | 62.9% | \$6,090 |
| 482 | Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$38,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Sacramento | P | 55 | 11,752 | 18,915 | 62.1% | \$3,233 |
| 483 | Salinas Union High School District | \$37,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | M | 55 | 14,651 | 21,589 | 67.9% | \$2,525 |
| 484 | Dinuba Unified School District | \$37,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Tulare | T | 55 | 2,205 | 3,290 | 67.0% | \$16,780 |
| 485 | Marysville Joint Unified School District | \$37,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Yuba/Butte | H | 55 | 5,050 | 8,809 | 57.3% | \$7,327 |
| 486 | Apple Valley Unified School District | \$36,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Bernardino | S | 55 | 8,818 | 15,051 | 58.6% | \$4,083 |
| 487 | Jefferson School District | \$35,400,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Joaquin | J | 55 | 2,354 | 3,500 | 67.3% | \$15,038 |
| 488 | Moreland School District | \$35,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | J | 66.7 | 5,597 | 7,722 | 72.5% | \$6,253 |
| 489 | Scotts Valley Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Cruz | A | 55 | 3,978 | 5,512 | 72.2% | \$8,798 |
| 490 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$35,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Placer/Nevada/ | H | 55 | 8,856 | 12,872 | 68.8% | \$3,952 |
| 491 | Ojai Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | J | 55 | 5,223 | 7,655 | 68.2% | \$6,701 |
| 492 | Brentwood Union Elementary School District | \$35,000,000 | 2003-03-04 | Contra Costa | B | 66.7 | 2,968 | 4,385 | 67.7% | \$11,792 |
| 493 | Central School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Bernardino | N | 55 | 4,141 | 6,171 | 67.1% | \$8,452 |
| 494 | Rincon Valley Union School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | F | 55 | 6,816 | 10,209 | 66.8% | \$5,135 |
| 495 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID | \$35,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 6,744 | 10,539 | 64.0% | \$5,190 |
| 496 | Healdsburg Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | E | 55 | 2,790 | 4,546 | 61.4% | \$12,545 |
| 497 | Templeton Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Luis Obispo | H | 55 | 3,017 | 5,213 | 57.9% | \$11,601 |
| 498 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$34,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | E | 55 | 2,041 | 2,878 | 70.9% | \$16,659 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| 499 | Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District | \$34,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt/Lassen/Modoc/Shasta/Tehama/Trinity | A | 55 | 33,076 | 55,884 | 59.2% | \$1,028 |
| 500 | Enterprise Elementary School District | \$34,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Shasta | A | 55 | 4,340 | 7,574 | 57.3% | \$7,834 |
| 501 | Hanford Joint Union High School District | \$33,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 12,549 | 18,737 | 67.0% | \$2,670 |
| 502 | Wasco Union High School District | \$33,500,000 | 2008-06-03 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,070 | 1,640 | 65.2% | \$31,308 |
| 503 | Moorpark Unified School District | \$33,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Ventura | R | 55 | 4,153 | 5,996 | 69.3% | \$7,946 |
| 504 | Wiseburn Unified School District | \$32,600,000 | 2007-06-12 | Los Angeles | A | 66.7 | 1,477 | 1,778 | 83.1% | \$22,072 |
| 505 | Eureka Unified School District | \$32,515,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt | T | 55 | 5,059 | 7,881 | 64.2% | \$6,427 |
| 506 | Madera Unified School District | \$32,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Madera | U | 55 | 6,812 | 11,244 | 60.6% | \$4,771 |
| 507 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$32,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 5,029 | 8,400 | 59.9% | \$6,363 |
| 508 | Fallbrook Union Elementary School District | \$32,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | X | 55 | 6,199 | 10,731 | 57.8% | \$5,162 |
| 509 | Menifee Union School District | \$31,460,000 | 2008-02-05 | Riverside | B | 55 | 9,561 | 16,850 | 56.7% | \$3,290 |
| 510 | Siskiyou Joint Community College District | \$31,400,000 | 2005-11-08 | Siskiyou/Shasta | A | 55 | 8,912 | 14,267 | 62.5% | \$3,523 |
| 511 | Los Nietos School District | \$31,140,000 | 2008-06-03 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 738 | 1,029 | 71.7% | \$42,195 |
| 512 | Lakeside Union School District | Reauthorize \$31,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | L | 55 | 5,640 | 9,630 | 58.6% | \$5,496 |
| 513 | Shasta Union High School District | \$31,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Shasta | B | 55 | 8,590 | 14,949 | 57.5% | \$3,609 |
| 514 | Central School District | \$31,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | A | 55 | 9,072 | 12,753 | 71.1% | \$3,417 |
| 515 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3 | \$31,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno/Kings | E | 55 | 7,512 | 11,763 | 63.9% | \$4,127 |
| 516 | La Habra City School District | \$31,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | O | 55 | 8,874 | 14,489 | 61.2% | \$3,493 |
| 517 | Los Gatos Union School District | \$30,900,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 6,412 | 8,966 | 71.5% | \$4,819 |
| 518 | Sanger Unified School District | \$30,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Fresno | S | 55 | 3,298 | 5,097 | 64.7% | \$9,339 |
| 519 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District | \$30,450,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado/Placer | J | 55 | 2,076 | 3,603 | 57.6% | \$14,668 |
| 520 | Victor Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Bernardino | E | 55 | 3,155 | 4,964 | 63.6% | \$9,509 |
| 521 | Calexico Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Imperial | J | 55 | 2,680 | 3,562 | 75.2% | \$11,194 |
| 522 | Dixie School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Marin | C | 55 | 6,083 | 8,158 | 74.6% | \$4,932 |
| 523 | Rosemead School District | \$30,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | O | 55 | 4,325 | 5,893 | 73.4% | \$6,936 |
| 524 | Garvey Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 6,602 | 9,026 | 73.1% | \$4,544 |
| 525 | Franklin-McKinley School District | \$30,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | Q | 55 | 11,366 | 15,632 | 72.7% | \$2,639 |
| 526 | Rosemead School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | RS | 55 | 1,635 | 2,283 | 71.6% | \$18,349 |
| 527 | Kentfield School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Marin | D | 55 | 3,314 | 4,673 | 70.9% | \$9,053 |
| 528 | Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 15,496 | 21,894 | 70.8% | \$1,936 |
| 529 | Millbrae School District | \$30,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Mateo | X | 55 | 6,646 | 9,566 | 69.5% | \$4,514 |
| 530 | Bassett Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 1,616 | 2,589 | 62.4% | \$18,564 |
| 531 | Huntington Beach City Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | DD | 55 | 11,913 | 19,584 | 60.8% | \$2,518 |
| 532 | St. Helena Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Napa | B | 55 | 2,545 | 4,186 | 60.8% | \$11,788 |
| 533 | St. Helena Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Napa | C | 55 | 2,782 | 4,669 | 59.6% | \$10,784 |
| 534 | Millbrae School District | \$30,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 2,550 | 4,361 | 58.5% | \$11,765 |
| 535 | Robla School District | \$29,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sacramento | K | 55 | 1,694 | 2,331 | 72.7% | \$17,591 |
| 536 | Fruitvale School District | \$29,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | C | 55 | 2,257 | 4,009 | 56.3% | \$13,203 |
| 537 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$29,445,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | R | 55 | 3,966 | 6,957 | 57.0% | \$7,424 |
| 538 | Galt Joint Union High School District | \$29,200,000 | 2005-11-08 | Sacramento/San Joaquin | B | 66.7 | 6,569 | 9,251 | 71.0% | \$4,445 |
| 539 | Dixon Unified School District | \$29,200,000 | 2002-11-05 | Solano | J | 55 | 3,313 | 4,896 | 67.7% | \$8,814 |
| 540 | Roseville City School District | \$29,117,071 | 2002-11-05 | Placer | H | 55 | 11,174 | 18,219 | 61.3% | \$2,606 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| 541 | South Pasadena Unified School District | \$29,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 5,754 | 7,377 | 78.0% | \$5,040 |
| 542 | Savanna Elementary School District | \$28,750,000 | 2012-06-05 | Orange | G | 55 | 1,715 | 2,888 | 59.4% | \$16,764 |
| 543 | Hollister School District | \$28,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Benito | M | 55 | 5,729 | 9,035 | 63.4% | \$4,975 |
| 544 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$28,400,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | D | 55 | 1,874 | 3,284 | 57.1% | \$15,155 |
| 545 | Buckeye Union School District | \$28,300,000 | 2006-11-07 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 7,910 | 12,670 | 62.4% | \$3,578 |
| 546 | Lemon Grove School District | \$28,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | W | 55 | 8,635 | 11,853 | 72.9% | \$3,243 |
| 547 | College of the Sequoias | \$28,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Tulare | I | 55 | 35,713 | 54,070 | 66.0% | \$784 |
| 548 | Standard School District | \$28,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | D | 55 | 2,166 | 3,342 | 64.8% | \$12,927 |
| 549 | Merced City Elementary School District | \$26,500,000 | 2003-11-04 | Merced | S | 55 | 4,710 | 8,021 | 58.7% | \$5,626 |
| 550 | National School District | \$26,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | N | 55 | 4,621 | 5,880 | 78.6% | \$5,648 |
| 551 | South Bay Union School District | Reauthorize \$26,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | Y | 55 | 14,123 | 18,600 | 75.9% | \$1,841 |
| 552 | Larkspur-Corte Madera School District | \$26,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | Marin | A | 55 | 3,243 | 4,574 | 70.9% | \$8,017 |
| 553 | Old Adobe Union School District | \$26,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 3,391 | 5,969 | 56.8% | \$7,667 |
| 554 | Northern Humboldt Union High School District | \$25,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Humboldt | Q | 55 | 10,386 | 17,465 | 59.5% | \$2,484 |
| 555 | Yuba City Unified School District | \$25,670,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sutter | K | 55 | 9,383 | 15,729 | 59.7% | \$2,736 |
| 556 | Ripon Unified School District | \$25,236,190 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | G | 55 | 4,264 | 7,395 | 57.7% | \$5,918 |
| 557 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | \$25,200,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt/Mendocino | L | 55 | 1,528 | 2,379 | 64.2% | \$16,492 |
| 558 | El Segundo Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 2,369 | 3,149 | 75.2% | \$10,553 |
| 559 | Palmdale Elementary School District | \$25,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | W | 55 | 3,848 | 6,971 | 55.2% | \$6,497 |
| 560 | Ceres Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | J | 55 | 3,214 | 4,310 | 74.6% | \$7,778 |
| 561 | Mount Pleasant School District | \$25,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | L | 55 | 4,482 | 5,938 | 75.5% | \$5,578 |
| 562 | McFarland Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | M | 55 | 1,238 | 1,664 | 74.4% | \$20,194 |
| 563 | Perris Elementary School District | \$25,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | S | 55 | 2,823 | 4,111 | 68.7% | \$8,856 |
| 564 | Redwood Shores SFID | \$25,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 2,312 | 3,401 | 68.0% | \$10,813 |
| 565 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District | \$25,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 9,509 | 14,431 | 65.9% | \$2,629 |
| 566 | Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus | R | 55 | 1,998 | 3,114 | 64.2% | \$12,513 |
| 567 | Bear Valley Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | Q | 55 | 3,423 | 5,351 | 64.0% | \$7,304 |
| 568 | La Canada Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | B | 55 | 4,408 | 6,939 | 63.5% | \$5,672 |
| 569 | Del Norte County School District | \$24,987,000 | 2008-11-04 | Del Norte | A | 55 | 5,338 | 9,265 | 57.6% | \$4,681 |
| 570 | Savanna Elementary School District | \$24,935,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | N | 55 | 5,728 | 7,900 | 72.5% | \$4,353 |
| 571 | Kerman Unified School District | \$24,900,000 | 2008-06-03 | Fresno | K | 55 | 931 | 1,447 | 64.3% | \$26,745 |
| 572 | Fairfax Elementary School District | \$24,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,265 | 1,708 | 74.1% | \$19,605 |
| 573 | Hawthorne Elementary School District | \$24,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | H | 55 | 11,314 | 14,331 | 78.9% | \$2,121 |
| 574 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$24,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kern | H | 55 | 4,089 | 6,007 | 68.1% | \$5,869 |
| 575 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$24,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Kern | A | 55 | 1,746 | 2,793 | 62.5% | \$13,746 |
| 576 | Rincon Valley Union School District | \$23,900,000 | 2004-03-02 | Sonoma | D | 55 | 10,302 | 16,288 | 63.2% | \$2,320 |
| 577 | West Sonoma County Union High School District | \$23,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | I | 55 | 15,375 | 20,443 | 75.2% | \$1,548 |
| 578 | Selma Unified School District | \$23,800,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno | A | 55 | 3,091 | 4,410 | 70.1% | \$7,700 |
| 579 | North Monterey County Unified School District | \$23,800,000 | 2013-11-05 | Monterey | H | 55 | 2,453 | 4,019 | 61.0% | \$9,702 |
| 580 | Taft City School District | \$23,600,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,132 | 2,027 | 55.8% | \$20,848 |
| 581 | Rim of the World Unified School District | \$23,340,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | W | 55 | 8,116 | 13,171 | 61.6% | \$2,876 |
| 582 | Bassett Unified School District | \$23,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 4,852 | 5,995 | 80.9% | \$4,740 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| 583 | Richland School District | \$23,000,000 | 2002-06-04 | Kern | F | 66.7 | 1,006 | 1,283 | 78.4% | \$22,863 |
| 584 | Menlo Park City School District | \$23,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | San Mateo | W | 55 | 4,222 | 5,605 | 75.3% | \$5,448 |
| 585 | Porterville Unified School District FID | \$23,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tulare | A | 55 | 3,681 | 5,368 | 68.6% | \$6,248 |
| 586 | Sonora Union High School District | \$23,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tuolumne | J | 55 | 9,171 | 16,234 | 56.5% | \$2,508 |
| 587 | Lakeside Union Elementary School District | \$22,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kern | I | 55 | 2,179 | 2,835 | 76.9% | \$10,326 |
| 588 | Fort Bragg Unified School District | \$22,200,000 | 2003-11-04 | Mendocino | D | 55 | 1,946 | 2,958 | 65.8% | \$11,408 |
| 589 | Washington Unified School District | \$22,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Yolo | W | 55 | 2,757 | 3,787 | 72.8% | \$7,980 |
| 590 | Redwood City Elementary School District | \$22,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Mateo | B | 55 | 8,946 | 13,774 | 64.9% | \$2,459 |
| 591 | Gateway Unified School District | \$22,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Shasta | B | 55 | 3,623 | 5,864 | 61.8% | \$6,072 |
| 592 | College of the Sequoias | \$22,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | C | 55 | 8,279 | 13,948 | 59.4% | \$2,657 |
| 593 | Orland Joint Unified School District | \$21,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Glenn | K | 55 | 2,093 | 3,710 | 56.4% | \$10,463 |
| 594 | Carmel Unified School District | \$21,500,000 | 2005-11-08 | Monterey | A | 55 | 7,744 | 10,512 | 73.7% | \$2,776 |
| 595 | Lucia Mar Unified School District | \$21,350,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Luis Obispo | A | 55 | 13,848 | 21,869 | 63.3% | \$1,542 |
| 596 | Fowler Unified School District | \$21,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | L | 55 | 1,757 | 2,224 | 79.0% | \$11,952 |
| 597 | Petaluma City School District | \$21,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 9,760 | 15,033 | 64.9% | \$2,152 |
| 598 | Cambrian School District | \$20,975,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 5,352 | 7,254 | 73.8% | \$3,919 |
| 599 | North Monterey County Unified School District | \$20,750,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | E | 55 | 4,188 | 6,123 | 68.4% | \$4,955 |
| 600 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$20,700,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | B | 55 | 1,285 | 1,825 | 70.4% | \$16,109 |
| 601 | Taft City School District | \$20,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Kern | E | 66.7 | 1,488 | 1,844 | 80.7% | \$13,441 |
| 602 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 4,448 | 5,799 | 76.7% | \$4,496 |
| 603 | John Swett Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 3,950 | 5,350 | 73.8% | \$5,063 |
| 604 | Bassett Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 2,844 | 3,876 | 73.4% | \$7,032 |
| 605 | Helendale School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | X | 55 | 1,707 | 2,507 | 68.1% | \$11,716 |
| 606 | Walnut Creek School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 11,876 | 18,290 | 64.9% | \$1,684 |
| 607 | Oakdale Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | C | 55 | 28 | 44 | 63.6% | \$714,286 |
| 608 | Hawthorne Elementary School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Los Angeles | H | 55 | 2,481 | 4,009 | 61.9% | \$8,061 |
| 609 | Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Luis Obispo/ Monterey | T | 55 | 8,753 | 14,498 | 60.4% | \$2,285 |
| 610 | West Hills Community College District | \$20,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | T | 55 | 6,682 | 11,557 | 57.8% | \$2,993 |
| 611 | Piner-Olivet Union School District | \$20,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 3,006 | 5,429 | 55.4% | \$6,653 |
| 612 | Saratoga Union School District | \$19,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | L | 55 | 3,903 | 6,091 | 64.1% | \$5,099 |
| 613 | Copper Mountain Community College District | \$19,720,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Bernardino | T | 55 | 12,455 | 17,379 | 71.7% | \$1,583 |
| 614 | Byron Union School District | \$19,700,000 | 2006-06-06 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 1,617 | 2,767 | 58.4% | \$12,183 |
| 615 | Hueneme Elementary School District | \$19,600,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | T | 55 | 8,408 | 11,011 | 76.4% | \$2,331 |
| 616 | Patterson Joint Unified School District | \$19,500,000 | 2001-05-22 | Stanislaus | G | 66.7 | 1,341 | 1,871 | 71.7% | \$14,541 |
| 617 | Escalon Unified School District | \$19,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | B | 55 | 3,279 | 5,115 | 64.1% | \$5,947 |
| 618 | Mendota Unified School District | \$19,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno | M | 55 | 856 | 1,158 | 73.9% | \$22,196 |
| 619 | Santa Rosa Elementary School District | \$19,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 9,204 | 13,074 | 70.4% | \$2,064 |
| 620 | Larkspur-Corte Madera School District | \$19,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Marin | D | 55 | 2,725 | 3,889 | 70.1% | \$6,972 |
| 621 | Bellevue Union School District | \$19,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 3,953 | 5,755 | 68.7% | \$4,806 |
| 622 | Gateway Unified School District | \$19,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | G | 55 | 7,152 | 12,396 | 57.7% | \$2,657 |
| 623 | San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District | \$18,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Santa Cruz | O | 55 | 6,298 | 10,452 | 60.3% | \$3,001 |
| 624 | Healdsburg Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$18,820,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | H | 55 | 3,519 | 5,214 | 67.5% | \$5,348 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| 625 | Westside Union School District | Reauthorize \$18,510,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | WR | 55 | 16,153 | 25,849 | 62.5% | \$1,146 |
| 626 | Konocti Unified School District | \$18,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Lake | G | 55 | 5,173 | 7,219 | 71.7% | \$3,576 |
| 627 | Franklin-McKinley School District | \$18,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Santa Clara | A | 66.7 | 1,640 | 1,996 | 82.2% | \$10,976 |
| 628 | Little Lake City School District | \$18,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | EE | 55 | 8,041 | 10,471 | 76.8% | \$2,239 |
| 629 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$18,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 4,257 | 6,556 | 64.9% | \$4,228 |
| 630 | Bret Harte Union High School District | \$18,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Calaveras | K | 55 | 6,167 | 10,295 | 59.9% | \$2,919 |
| 631 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | \$18,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | A | 55 | 4,020 | 6,724 | 59.8% | \$4,478 |
| 632 | North Sacramento School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$17,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento | C | 55 | 2,523 | 3,641 | 69.3% | \$6,936 |
| 633 | Centralia School District | \$17,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | H | 55 | 5,760 | 8,589 | 67.1% | \$3,038 |
| 634 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$17,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Ventura | C | 55 | 2,030 | 3,378 | 60.1% | \$8,621 |
| 635 | Hueneme Elementary School District | \$17,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Ventura | I | 55 | 8,681 | 11,732 | 74.0% | \$1,970 |
| 636 | Mountain View School District | \$17,000,000 | 2001-05-08 | San Bernardino | V | 66.7 | 284 | 372 | 76.3% | \$59,859 |
| 637 | Modesto City Schools (Modesto) | \$17,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | S | 55 | 9,167 | 13,110 | 69.9% | \$1,854 |
| 638 | Bonsall Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Diego | C | 55 | 2,767 | 4,218 | 65.6% | \$6,144 |
| 639 | Lakeport Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Lake | T | 55 | 1,543 | 2,405 | 64.2% | \$11,017 |
| 640 | Cloverdale Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 2,096 | 3,769 | 55.6% | \$8,111 |
| 641 | Castro Valley Unified School District | \$16,800,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | C | 55 | 6,861 | 9,836 | 69.8% | \$2,449 |
| 642 | Oakley Union Elementary School District | \$16,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Contra Costa | H | 55 | 5,988 | 8,670 | 69.1% | \$2,756 |
| 643 | Magnolia School District | \$16,300,000 | 2010-11-02 | Orange | I | 55 | 6,366 | 9,693 | 65.7% | \$2,560 |
| 644 | Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District | \$16,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito | E | 55 | 1,813 | 2,844 | 63.7% | \$8,880 |
| 645 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$16,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Kern | C | 66.7 | 1,365 | 1,917 | 71.2% | \$11,722 |
| 646 | Fort Bragg Unified School District | \$16,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Mendocino | C | 55 | 3,329 | 4,813 | 69.2% | \$4,806 |
| 647 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$16,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | L | 55 | 1,468 | 2,503 | 58.6% | \$10,899 |
| 648 | Sausalito Marin City School District | \$15,900,000 | 2004-11-02 | Marin | I | 55 | 4,346 | 5,911 | 73.5% | \$3,659 |
| 649 | Mendocino Unified School District | \$15,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Mendocino | AA | 55 | 2,327 | 3,281 | 70.9% | \$6,661 |
| 650 | Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$15,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 9,946 | 15,195 | 65.5% | \$1,548 |
| 651 | Middletown Unified School District | \$15,300,000 | 2006-11-07 | Lake | X | 55 | 2,020 | 3,021 | 66.9% | \$7,574 |
| 652 | Anderson Valley Unified School District | \$15,250,000 | 2010-06-08 | Mendocino | A | 55 | 551 | 847 | 65.1% | \$27,677 |
| 653 | Riverbank Unified School District | \$15,200,000 | 2005-11-08 | Stanislaus | P | 55 | 1,584 | 2,426 | 65.3% | \$9,596 |
| 654 | Walnut Valley Unified School District | \$15,200,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 3,859 | 6,784 | 56.9% | \$3,939 |
| 655 | Mendota Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno | M | 55 | 628 | 785 | 80.0% | \$23,885 |
| 656 | Arvin Union School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | E | 55 | 1,114 | 1,402 | 79.5% | \$13,465 |
| 657 | Ross School District | \$15,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Marin | B | 66.7 | 976 | 1,237 | 78.9% | \$15,369 |
| 658 | Los Nietos School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 1,300 | 1,686 | 77.1% | \$11,538 |
| 659 | Soquel Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Cruz | A | 55 | 5,118 | 7,145 | 71.6% | \$2,931 |
| 660 | Winters Joint Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Solano/Yolo | R | 55 | 1,097 | 1,538 | 71.3% | \$13,674 |
| 661 | La Honda-Pescadero Unified | \$15,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 918 | 1,309 | 70.1% | \$16,340 |
| 662 | Plumas Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Plumas | A | 66.7 | 5,206 | 7,735 | 67.3% | \$2,881 |
| 663 | Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Madera | R | 55 | 2,213 | 3,520 | 62.9% | \$6,778 |
| 664 | Nevada Joint Union High School District | \$15,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Nevada | A | 55 | 16,397 | 26,704 | 61.4% | \$915 |
| 665 | Dinuba Unified School District | \$14,915,000 | 2002-11-05 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 2,266 | 3,228 | 70.2% | \$6,582 |
| 666 | Santa Rita Union Elementary School District | \$14,600,000 | 2006-11-07 | Monterey | C | 55 | 2,161 | 3,737 | 57.8% | \$6,756 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| 667 | Live Oak School District | \$14,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Cruz | E | 55 | 4,446 | 6,100 | 72.9% | \$3,261 |
| 668 | Menifee Union School District | \$14,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | J | 55 | 6,853 | 11,568 | 59.2% | \$2,116 |
| 669 | Hughson Unified School District | \$14,300,000 | 2004-11-02 | Stanislaus | G | 55 | 2,788 | 4,146 | 67.2% | \$5,129 |
| 670 | Barstow Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Bernardino | C | 55 | 2,492 | 4,386 | 56.8% | \$5,618 |
| 671 | Willows Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Glenn | T | 55 | 140 | 177 | 79.1% | \$100,000 |
| 672 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$14,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kern | G | 55 | 804 | 1,145 | 70.2% | \$17,413 |
| 673 | River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$14,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sacramento/Solano/Yolo | U | 55 | 3,017 | 4,347 | 69.4% | \$4,640 |
| 674 | El Segundo Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 5,602 | 8,326 | 67.3% | \$2,499 |
| 675 | Gustine Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Merced | P | 55 | 962 | 1,461 | 65.8% | \$14,553 |
| 676 | Wright Elementary School District | \$14,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,913 | 3,078 | 62.2% | \$7,318 |
| 677 | Mark West Union School District | \$14,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 2,372 | 3,994 | 59.4% | \$5,902 |
| 678 | McKinleyville Union School District | \$14,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Humboldt | C | 55 | 1,723 | 3,108 | 55.4% | \$8,125 |
| 679 | Hermosa Beach City School District | \$13,600,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 3,874 | 5,944 | 65.2% | \$3,511 |
| 680 | Woodside Elementary School District | \$13,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | D | 55 | 713 | 1,108 | 64.4% | \$18,934 |
| 681 | Calaveras Unified School District | \$13,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Calaveras | A | 55 | 5,241 | 9,396 | 55.8% | \$2,576 |
| 682 | Ocean View School District | \$13,200,000 | 2006-11-07 | Ventura | J | 55 | 1,571 | 2,180 | 72.1% | \$8,402 |
| 683 | Washington Union School District | \$13,000,000 | 2001-03-20 | Monterey | A | 66.7 | 1,253 | 1,704 | 73.5% | \$10,375 |
| 684 | Albany Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Alameda | A | 55 | 5,879 | 7,304 | 80.5% | \$2,211 |
| 685 | Los Nietos School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | N | 55 | 1,285 | 1,668 | 77.0% | \$10,117 |
| 686 | Reed Union School District | \$13,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Marin | B | 55 | 3,907 | 5,566 | 70.2% | \$3,327 |
| 687 | Denair Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Stanislaus | K | 55 | 812 | 1,290 | 62.9% | \$16,010 |
| 688 | Golden Plains Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno | G | 55 | 402 | 657 | 61.2% | \$32,338 |
| 689 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 1,588 | 2,844 | 55.8% | \$8,186 |
| 690 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | CF | 55 | 3,486 | 6,252 | 55.8% | \$3,729 |
| 691 | Coast Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$12,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Luis Obispo | B | 55 | 2,260 | 3,159 | 71.5% | \$5,619 |
| 692 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3 | Reauthorize \$12,655,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | L | 55 | 5,946 | 10,109 | 58.8% | \$2,128 |
| 693 | Sutter Union High School District | \$12,600,000 | 2008-06-03 | Sutter | T | 55 | 1,194 | 1,810 | 66.0% | \$10,553 |
| 694 | Siskiyou Union High School District | \$12,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Siskiyou | Q | 55 | 4,418 | 7,659 | 57.7% | \$2,829 |
| 695 | Cascade Union Elementary School District | \$12,400,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | C | 55 | 3,211 | 4,800 | 66.9% | \$3,862 |
| 696 | Anderson Union High School District | \$12,300,000 | 2012-11-06 | Shasta | C | 55 | 8,574 | 15,008 | 57.1% | \$1,435 |
| 697 | Imperial Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Imperial | I | 55 | 1,265 | 1,823 | 69.4% | \$9,486 |
| 698 | Woodside Elementary School District | \$12,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | D | 55 | 1,042 | 1,522 | 68.5% | \$11,516 |
| 699 | Banning Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | L | 55 | 2,620 | 3,865 | 67.8% | \$4,580 |
| 700 | Caruthers Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno | C | 55 | 1,264 | 1,888 | 66.9% | \$9,494 |
| 701 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern | H | 55 | 1,914 | 3,049 | 62.8% | \$6,270 |
| 702 | Bellevue Union School District | \$12,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | D | 55 | 1,314 | 2,111 | 62.2% | \$9,132 |
| 703 | Oroville Union High School District | \$12,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Butte | G | 55 | 10,978 | 18,552 | 59.2% | \$1,093 |
| 704 | Merced Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$11,930,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced/Madera | J | 55 | 4,644 | 6,863 | 67.7% | \$2,569 |
| 705 | Kentfield School District | \$11,900,000 | 2004-03-02 | Marin | E | 55 | 3,259 | 4,630 | 70.4% | \$3,651 |
| 706 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$11,800,000 | 2008-06-03 | Fresno/Madera | Q | 55 | 782 | 1,171 | 66.8% | \$15,090 |
| 707 | Solvang School District | \$11,650,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Barbara | J | 55 | 1,148 | 1,863 | 61.6% | \$10,148 |
| 708 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$11,600,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito | C | 55 | 2,656 | 4,040 | 65.7% | \$4,367 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| 709 | Linden Unified School District | \$11,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | S | 55 | 2,099 | 3,764 | 55.8% | \$5,479 |
| 710 | Standard School District | Reauthorize \$11,200,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | Q | 55 | 4,179 | 5,923 | 70.6% | \$2,680 |
| 711 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$11,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Monterey/San Benito | S | 55 | 1,243 | 1,988 | 62.5% | \$9,010 |
| 712 | Eastern Sierra Unified School District | \$11,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Mono | E | 55 | 1,067 | 1,824 | 58.5% | \$10,403 |
| 713 | Arvin Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | C | 55 | 854 | 1,105 | 77.3% | \$12,881 |
| 714 | Kerman Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | K | 55 | 2,407 | 3,387 | 71.1% | \$4,570 |
| 715 | Mark West Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | I | 55 | 2,957 | 4,348 | 68.0% | \$3,720 |
| 716 | Amador County Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Amador | F | 55 | 8,332 | 12,752 | 65.3% | \$1,320 |
| 717 | Brisbane Elementary School District | \$11,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 706 | 1,083 | 65.2% | \$15,581 |
| 718 | Twin Hills Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,975 | 3,046 | 64.8% | \$5,570 |
| 719 | Pacheco Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | B | 55 | 2,534 | 4,548 | 55.7% | \$4,341 |
| 720 | Turlock Unified School District (Turlock Elementary School District) | \$10,950,000 | 2002-11-05 | Stanislaus/Merced | D | 55 | 8,989 | 13,048 | 68.9% | \$1,218 |
| 721 | Rio Bravo-Greeley Union School District | \$10,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Kern | B | 55 | 957 | 1,372 | 69.8% | \$11,390 |
| 722 | Needles Unified School District | \$10,900,000 | 2008-06-03 | San Bernardino | N | 55 | 623 | 1,049 | 59.4% | \$17,496 |
| 723 | Reef-Sunset Unified School District | \$10,830,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kings | M | 55 | 376 | 451 | 83.4% | \$28,803 |
| 724 | Lennox Elementary School District | \$10,700,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | L | 55 | 682 | 881 | 77.4% | \$15,689 |
| 725 | Bennett Valley Union School District | \$10,600,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 2,976 | 4,732 | 62.9% | \$3,562 |
| 726 | Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$10,585,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt | S | 55 | 7,598 | 12,225 | 62.2% | \$1,393 |
| 727 | Elverta Elementary School District | \$10,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento/Placer | L | 55 | 512 | 861 | 59.5% | \$20,508 |
| 728 | Oroville Union High School District | \$10,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Butte | J | 55 | 6,809 | 11,379 | 59.8% | \$1,527 |
| 729 | Beardsley School District | \$10,300,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | B | 55 | 1,444 | 2,383 | 60.6% | \$7,133 |
| 730 | Lemon Grove School District | Reauthorize \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | R | 55 | 4,323 | 6,016 | 71.9% | \$2,313 |
| 731 | Albany Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Alameda | E | 55 | 4,667 | 6,467 | 72.2% | \$2,143 |
| 732 | Fillmore Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Ventura | E | 55 | 3,650 | 5,370 | 68.0% | \$2,740 |
| 733 | Livingston Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Merced | B | 55 | 1,002 | 1,487 | 67.4% | \$9,980 |
| 734 | Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 14,678 | 21,911 | 67.0% | \$681 |
| 735 | John Swett Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 1,778 | 2,673 | 66.5% | \$5,624 |
| 736 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Humboldt/Mendocino | X | 55 | 1,632 | 2,510 | 65.0% | \$6,127 |
| 737 | Greenfield Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | C | 55 | 1,014 | 1,626 | 62.4% | \$9,862 |
| 738 | Fortuna Union High School District | \$10,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Humboldt | D | 55 | 5,072 | 8,278 | 61.3% | \$1,972 |
| 739 | Greenfield Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | D | 55 | 987 | 1,623 | 60.8% | \$10,132 |
| 740 | Ripon Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Joaquin | J | 55 | 2,060 | 3,535 | 58.3% | \$4,854 |
| 741 | Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$9,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus/Merced | Z | 55 | 8,570 | 14,405 | 59.5% | \$1,155 |
| 742 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | \$9,900,000 | 2006-06-06 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 1,688 | 2,897 | 58.3% | \$5,865 |
| 743 | Weaver Union School District | \$9,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Merced | B | 55 | 533 | 850 | 62.7% | \$18,386 |
| 744 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$9,700,000 | 2010-11-02 | Monterey/San Benito | Z | 55 | 1,822 | 3,116 | 58.5% | \$5,324 |
| 745 | Mark Twain Union Elementary School District | \$9,600,000 | 2004-03-02 | Calaveras | K | 55 | 1,786 | 2,885 | 61.9% | \$5,375 |
| 746 | College School District | \$9,370,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Barbara | Z | 55 | 2,028 | 3,271 | 62.0% | \$4,620 |
| 747 | Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District | \$9,300,000 | 2005-11-08 | Tuolumne | M | 55 | 1,563 | 2,285 | 68.4% | \$5,950 |
| 748 | Shoreline Unified School District | \$9,290,000 | 2009-11-03 | Marin/Sonoma | D | 55 | 1,033 | 1,624 | 63.6% | \$8,993 |
| 749 | Galt Joint Union High School District | \$9,240,000 | 2001-10-23 | Sacramento/San Joaquin | W | 66.7 | 2,136 | 3,027 | 70.6% | \$4,326 |
| 750 | River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$9,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Yolo/Sacramento/Solano | V | 55 | 1,165 | 1,529 | 76.2% | \$7,725 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|-------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| 751 | Sebastopol Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | H | 55 | 2,869 | 4,094 | 70.1% | \$3,137 |
| 752 | Somis Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | S | 55 | 955 | 1,416 | 67.4% | \$9,424 |
| 753 | Susanville Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Lassen | S | 55 | 3,025 | 4,552 | 66.5% | \$2,975 |
| 754 | Wheatland School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Yuba | U | 55 | 2,250 | 3,637 | 61.9% | \$4,000 |
| 755 | Pollock Pines Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 1,606 | 2,601 | 61.7% | \$5,604 |
| 756 | Calipatria Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Imperial | T | 55 | 422 | 705 | 59.9% | \$21,327 |
| 757 | Chawanakee Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Madera | Q | 55 | 1,126 | 1,976 | 57.0% | \$7,993 |
| 758 | Weaver Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Merced | G | 55 | 1,422 | 2,534 | 56.1% | \$6,329 |
| 759 | Gold Oak Union School District | \$8,950,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado | E | 55 | 2,326 | 4,149 | 56.1% | \$3,848 |
| 760 | Columbia School District | \$8,600,000 | 2014-11-04 | Shasta | E | 55 | 1,984 | 3,502 | 56.7% | \$4,335 |
| 761 | Live Oak Unified School District | \$8,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Sutter | G | 55 | 961 | 1,515 | 63.4% | \$8,741 |
| 762 | McFarland Unified School District | \$8,300,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | B | 55 | 649 | 833 | 77.9% | \$12,789 |
| 763 | Greenfield Union School District | \$8,300,000 | 2010-06-08 | Monterey | L | 55 | 761 | 1,276 | 59.6% | \$10,907 |
| 764 | Denair Unified School District | \$8,200,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | P | 66.7 | 959 | 1,181 | 81.2% | \$8,551 |
| 765 | Chowchilla Union High School District | \$8,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Madera | N | 55 | 2,258 | 3,368 | 67.0% | \$3,587 |
| 766 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$8,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,551 | 2,450 | 63.3% | \$5,222 |
| 767 | Holtville Unified School District | \$8,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Imperial | E | 55 | 1,282 | 1,666 | 77.0% | \$6,240 |
| 768 | Delhi Unified School District | \$8,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Merced | E | 55 | 1,435 | 2,013 | 71.3% | \$5,575 |
| 769 | Hope Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Barbara | L | 55 | 3,278 | 4,746 | 69.1% | \$2,441 |
| 770 | El Centro Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | Imperial | G | 55 | 1,493 | 2,522 | 59.2% | \$5,358 |
| 771 | Yreka Union High School District | \$8,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Siskiyou | H | 55 | 2,942 | 5,316 | 55.3% | \$2,719 |
| 772 | Elk Hills School District | \$7,905,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | D | 55 | 54 | 72 | 75.0% | \$146,389 |
| 773 | Pixley Union School District | \$7,800,000 | 2014-06-03 | Tulare | Z | 55 | 229 | 298 | 76.8% | \$34,061 |
| 774 | Midway School District | \$7,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | F | 55 | 93 | 137 | 67.9% | \$83,871 |
| 775 | Sonora Elementary School District | \$7,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Tuolumne | H | 55 | 1,867 | 3,385 | 55.2% | \$4,178 |
| 776 | Turlock Unified School District | \$7,725,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | Y | 55 | 8,942 | 16,143 | 55.4% | \$864 |
| 777 | South Whittier Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 4,577 | 5,910 | 77.4% | \$1,639 |
| 778 | Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tulare/Kings/Fresno | R | 55 | 2,221 | 3,175 | 70.0% | \$3,377 |
| 779 | Escalon Unified School District | \$7,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | T | 55 | 2,402 | 3,531 | 68.0% | \$3,122 |
| 780 | Brawley Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | Imperial | S | 55 | 4,081 | 6,096 | 66.9% | \$1,838 |
| 781 | Pioneer Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kings | P | 55 | 1,524 | 2,336 | 65.2% | \$4,921 |
| 782 | Lakeport Unified School District | \$7,300,000 | 2001-11-06 | Lake | W | 55 | 1,246 | 1,794 | 69.5% | \$5,859 |
| 783 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$7,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Tulare | C | 55 | 1,099 | 1,576 | 69.7% | \$6,551 |
| 784 | El Tejon Unified School District | \$7,120,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern/Ventura | E | 55 | 1,257 | 2,240 | 56.1% | \$5,664 |
| 785 | Arcata Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Humboldt | F | 55 | 4,667 | 6,195 | 75.3% | \$1,500 |
| 786 | Roseland School District | \$7,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | N | 55 | 1,612 | 2,335 | 69.0% | \$4,342 |
| 787 | Spreckels Union School District | \$7,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Monterey | B | 55 | 2,091 | 3,346 | 62.5% | \$3,348 |
| 788 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Imperial | G | 55 | 780 | 1,370 | 56.9% | \$8,974 |
| 789 | Black Oak Mine Unified School District | \$6,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 3,768 | 6,034 | 62.4% | \$1,831 |
| 790 | Ross School District | \$6,750,000 | 2008-06-03 | Marin | A | 55 | 894 | 1,183 | 75.6% | \$7,550 |
| 791 | Wasco Union High School District | \$6,600,000 | 2001-06-05 | Kern | F | 66.7 | 1,229 | 1,642 | 74.8% | \$5,370 |
| 792 | Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District | \$6,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern | I | 55 | 223 | 285 | 78.2% | \$29,148 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|-------|-------|---------|-----------------|
| 793 | Buellton Union School District | \$6,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Barbara | U | 55 | 1,176 | 1,905 | 61.7% | \$5,527 |
| 794 | Exeter Union Elementary School District | \$6,400,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | D | 55 | 2,066 | 3,031 | 68.2% | \$3,098 |
| 795 | Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District | \$6,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno/Tulare | O | 55 | 687 | 1,113 | 61.7% | \$9,316 |
| 796 | Lost Hills Union School District | \$6,200,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern | G | 55 | 35 | 58 | 60.3% | \$177,143 |
| 797 | Portola Valley School District | \$6,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 1,823 | 2,483 | 73.4% | \$3,291 |
| 798 | Bayshore Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 325 | 396 | 82.1% | \$18,462 |
| 799 | Palo Verde Community College District | \$6,000,000 | 2005-06-07 | San Bernardino | K | 66.7 | 608 | 912 | 66.7% | \$9,868 |
| 800 | Gravenstein Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,971 | 2,986 | 66.0% | \$3,044 |
| 801 | Parlier Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Fresno | P | 55 | 554 | 840 | 66.0% | \$10,830 |
| 802 | Guerneville School District | \$6,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | F | 55 | 993 | 1,533 | 64.8% | \$6,042 |
| 803 | Maricopa Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Kern | O | 55 | 342 | 534 | 64.0% | \$17,544 |
| 804 | Oak Grove Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | K | 55 | 1,174 | 1,846 | 63.6% | \$5,111 |
| 805 | Oroville City Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Butte | F | 55 | 4,742 | 7,525 | 63.0% | \$1,265 |
| 806 | Pierce Joint Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Colusa | A | 55 | 529 | 905 | 58.5% | \$11,342 |
| 807 | Kernville Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Kern | D | 55 | 1,778 | 3,060 | 58.1% | \$3,375 |
| 808 | Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District | \$5,950,000 | 2008-11-04 | Humboldt/Trinity | S | 55 | 1,287 | 1,865 | 69.0% | \$4,623 |
| 809 | Colusa Unified School District | \$5,900,000 | 2014-11-04 | Colusa | A | 55 | 1,049 | 1,663 | 63.1% | \$5,624 |
| 810 | Wheatland School District | \$5,700,000 | 2008-11-04 | Yuba | R | 55 | 1,465 | 2,243 | 65.3% | \$3,891 |
| 811 | Kernville Union School District | \$5,600,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | E | 55 | 2,559 | 3,770 | 67.9% | \$2,188 |
| 812 | Laytonville Unified School District | \$5,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Mendocino | Q | 55 | 610 | 888 | 68.7% | \$9,016 |
| 813 | Dehesa School District | \$5,500,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | M | 55 | 507 | 868 | 58.4% | \$10,848 |
| 814 | Spreckels Union School District | \$5,335,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | D | 55 | 1,594 | 2,322 | 68.6% | \$3,347 |
| 815 | Placerville Union School District | \$5,300,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 3,274 | 4,896 | 66.9% | \$1,619 |
| 816 | Forestville Union School District | \$5,100,000 | 2001-11-06 | Sonoma | E | 66.7 | 1,041 | 1,464 | 71.1% | \$4,899 |
| 817 | Forestville Union School District | \$5,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | K | 55 | 2,104 | 3,183 | 66.1% | \$2,424 |
| 818 | Exeter Union High School District | \$5,100,000 | 2006-11-07 | Tulare | U | 55 | 2,486 | 3,931 | 63.2% | \$2,051 |
| 819 | Keyes Union High School District | \$5,000,000 | 2005-03-08 | Stanislaus | L | 66.7 | 392 | 532 | 73.7% | \$12,755 |
| 820 | Lagunitas Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Marin | A | 55 | 754 | 1,093 | 69.0% | \$6,631 |
| 821 | Greenfield Union School District | \$5,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Kern | A | 55 | 2,242 | 3,423 | 65.5% | \$2,230 |
| 822 | Chatom Union Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | U | 55 | 617 | 1,007 | 61.3% | \$8,104 |
| 823 | Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District) | \$5,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt | M | 55 | 934 | 1,581 | 59.1% | \$5,353 |
| 824 | Loma Prieta Schools | \$4,965,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | K | 55 | 982 | 1,466 | 67.0% | \$5,056 |
| 825 | Cayucos Elementary School District | \$4,950,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Luis Obispo | P | 55 | 1,316 | 1,893 | 69.5% | \$3,761 |
| 826 | Farmersville Unified School District | \$4,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Tulare | A | 55 | 575 | 871 | 66.0% | \$8,348 |
| 827 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$4,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Diego | K | 55 | 1,417 | 2,238 | 63.3% | \$3,387 |
| 828 | Los Olivos School District | \$4,650,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Barbara | N | 55 | 469 | 763 | 61.5% | \$9,915 |
| 829 | Parlier Unified School District | \$4,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | P | 55 | 1,152 | 1,603 | 71.9% | \$3,906 |
| 830 | Briggs Elementary School District | \$4,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | K | 55 | 398 | 587 | 67.8% | \$11,307 |
| 831 | Woodlake Union High School District | \$4,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | C | 55 | 1,592 | 2,576 | 61.8% | \$2,827 |
| 832 | Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified School District | \$4,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Fresno/Merced | G | 55 | 1,130 | 1,676 | 67.4% | \$3,894 |
| 833 | Exeter Union High School District | \$4,350,000 | 2001-03-06 | Tulare | S | 55 | 1,268 | 1,836 | 69.1% | \$3,431 |
| 834 | Ocean View School District | Reauthorize \$4,200,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | P | 55 | 2,530 | 3,230 | 78.3% | \$1,660 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote |
|------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|-------|-------|---------|-----------------|
| 835 | Le Grand Union High School District | \$4,200,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | N | 55 | 401 | 717 | 55.9% | \$10,474 |
| 836 | Big Pine Unified School District | \$4,100,000 | 2010-06-08 | Inyo | A | 55 | 360 | 581 | 62.0% | \$11,389 |
| 837 | Nuvew Union School District | Reauthorize \$4,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | V | 55 | 1,632 | 2,526 | 64.6% | \$2,451 |
| 838 | Vineland School District | \$4,000,000 | 2007-05-22 | Kern | C | 66.7 | 188 | 227 | 82.8% | \$21,277 |
| 839 | Round Valley Unified School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Mendocino | L | 55 | 290 | 398 | 72.9% | \$13,793 |
| 840 | East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sutter/Placer | W | 55 | 583 | 863 | 67.6% | \$6,861 |
| 841 | Wilmar Union School District | \$4,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | P | 55 | 1,075 | 1,679 | 64.0% | \$3,721 |
| 842 | Mesa Union Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Ventura | A | 55 | 506 | 827 | 61.2% | \$7,905 |
| 843 | Springville Union Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Tulare | J | 55 | 724 | 1,229 | 58.9% | \$5,525 |
| 844 | Healdsburg Unified School District | \$3,990,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 3,922 | 6,023 | 65.1% | \$1,017 |
| 845 | Fortuna Elementary School District | \$3,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | Humboldt | X | 55 | 1,720 | 2,329 | 73.9% | \$2,267 |
| 846 | Mesa Union Elementary School District | \$3,900,000 | 2002-11-05 | Ventura | H | 55 | 786 | 1,204 | 65.3% | \$4,962 |
| 847 | Hart-Ransom Union School District | \$3,900,000 | 2007-11-06 | Stanislaus | G | 55 | 388 | 656 | 59.1% | \$10,052 |
| 848 | Happy Valley Union Elementary School District | \$3,800,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | E | 55 | 1,743 | 2,787 | 62.5% | \$2,180 |
| 849 | Arena Union Elementary School District | \$3,700,000 | 2003-11-04 | Mendocino | E | 55 | 554 | 752 | 73.7% | \$6,679 |
| 850 | Oak View Union School District | \$3,700,000 | 2008-06-03 | San Joaquin | R | 55 | 380 | 568 | 66.9% | \$9,737 |
| 851 | Columbia Union Elementary School District | \$3,700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tuolumne | H | 55 | 1,351 | 2,404 | 56.2% | \$2,739 |
| 852 | Earlimart School District | \$3,600,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | H | 55 | 672 | 847 | 79.3% | \$5,357 |
| 853 | Columbia Union Elementary School District | \$3,600,000 | 2003-03-04 | Tuolumne | G | 55 | 937 | 1,417 | 66.1% | \$3,842 |
| 854 | Strathmore Union High School District | \$3,500,000 | 2002-02-05 | Tulare | A | 66.7 | 463 | 624 | 74.2% | \$7,559 |
| 855 | North County Joint Union School District | \$3,350,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Benito/Santa Clara | M | 55 | 458 | 830 | 55.2% | \$7,314 |
| 856 | Terra Bella Union Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | 2008-11-04 | Tulare | K | 55 | 763 | 1,133 | 67.3% | \$4,325 |
| 857 | Tipton Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | 2014-11-04 | Tulare | C | 55 | 211 | 353 | 59.8% | \$15,640 |
| 858 | Geyserville Unified School District | \$3,250,000 | 2008-02-05 | Sonoma | A | 55 | 498 | 722 | 69.0% | \$6,526 |
| 859 | Sebastopol Union School District | \$3,200,000 | 2001-06-05 | Sonoma | C | 66.7 | 2,642 | 3,398 | 77.8% | \$1,211 |
| 860 | Buellton Union School District | \$3,200,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Barbara | V | 55 | 278 | 479 | 58.0% | \$11,511 |
| 861 | Dehesa School District | Reauthorize \$3,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | D | 55 | 562 | 1,005 | 55.9% | \$5,338 |
| 862 | Bishop Union Elementary School District | \$3,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Inyo | A | 55 | 3,740 | 5,375 | 69.6% | \$802 |
| 863 | Kelseyville Unified School District | \$2,930,000 | 2001-11-06 | Lake | X | 55 | 1,361 | 1,717 | 79.3% | \$2,153 |
| 864 | Howell Mountain School District | \$2,900,000 | 2005-11-08 | Napa | C | 55 | 663 | 939 | 70.6% | \$4,374 |
| 865 | Planada Elementary School District | \$2,900,000 | 2008-11-04 | Merced | O | 55 | 625 | 904 | 69.1% | \$4,640 |
| 866 | Cayucos Elementary School District | \$2,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Luis Obispo | Z | 55 | 1,003 | 1,551 | 64.7% | \$2,891 |
| 867 | Armona Union Elementary School District | \$2,785,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kings | S | 55 | 534 | 832 | 64.2% | \$5,215 |
| 868 | Princeton Unified School District | \$2,750,000 | 2014-06-03 | Glenn/Colusa | S | 55 | 165 | 262 | 63.0% | \$16,667 |
| 869 | Corning Union School District | \$2,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | Tehema | B | 55 | 1,358 | 2,357 | 57.6% | \$1,988 |
| 870 | Owens Valley Unified School District | \$2,600,000 | 2005-11-08 | Inyo | A | 55 | 196 | 348 | 56.3% | \$13,265 |
| 871 | Cinnabar Elementary School District | \$2,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 494 | 808 | 61.1% | \$5,061 |
| 872 | Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1 | \$2,500,000 | 2012-06-05 | Butte | D | 55 | 1,058 | 1,911 | 55.4% | \$2,363 |
| 873 | Happy Valley Union Elementary School District | \$2,495,000 | 2014-06-03 | Shasta | C | 55 | 724 | 1,146 | 63.2% | \$3,446 |
| 874 | Burton Elementary School District | \$2,455,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tulare | B | 55 | 1,471 | 2,327 | 63.2% | \$1,669 |
| 875 | Cold Spring School District | \$2,440,000 | 2008-11-04 | Santa Barbara | C | 55 | 987 | 1,653 | 59.7% | \$2,472 |
| 876 | Southern Trinity Unified School District | \$2,300,000 | 2012-06-05 | Humboldt/Trinity | V | 55 | 170 | 298 | 57.0% | \$13,529 |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Amount Per Vote | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---|------------------------|---------|------------------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 877 | Trinidad Union School District | \$2,200,000 | 2012-06-05 | Humboldt | W | 55 | 568 | 697 | 81.5% | \$3,873 | |
| 878 | Big Pine Unified School District | \$2,200,000 | 2005-03-08 | Inyo | B | 66.7 | 410 | 600 | 68.3% | \$5,366 | |
| 879 | Cottonwood Union Elementary School District | \$2,200,000 | 2003-11-04 | Shasta | A | 55 | 1,111 | 1,678 | 66.2% | \$1,980 | |
| 880 | Julian Union High School District | \$2,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | L | 55 | 1,259 | 2,086 | 60.4% | \$1,668 | |
| 881 | Thermalito Union School District | \$2,050,000 | 2004-11-02 | Butte | M | 55 | 1,721 | 2,999 | 57.4% | \$1,191 | |
| 882 | Hilmar Unified School District | \$2,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced | E | 55 | 1,483 | 2,034 | 72.9% | \$1,349 | |
| 883 | Blue Lake Union Elementary School District | \$2,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt | K | 55 | 528 | 821 | 64.3% | \$3,788 | |
| 884 | Franklin School District | \$2,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sutter | P | 55 | 452 | 724 | 62.4% | \$4,425 | |
| 885 | Liberty School District | \$1,920,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 618 | 959 | 64.4% | \$3,107 | |
| 886 | Chualar Union Elementary School District | \$1,900,000 | 2001-11-06 | Monterey | K | 55 | 157 | 236 | 66.5% | \$12,102 | |
| 887 | Gold Trail Union School District | \$1,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 1,639 | 2,577 | 63.6% | \$1,098 | |
| 888 | Merced River School District | \$1,800,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | L | 55 | 95 | 155 | 61.3% | \$18,947 | |
| 889 | Grant Elementary School District | \$1,700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Shasta | A | 55 | 671 | 1,204 | 55.7% | \$2,534 | |
| 890 | Soulsbyville Elementary School District | \$1,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tuolumne | B | 55 | 1,057 | 1,541 | 68.6% | \$1,419 | |
| 891 | Planada Elementary School District | \$1,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | O | 55 | 220 | 353 | 62.3% | \$6,818 | |
| 892 | Big Creek Elementary School District | \$1,400,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | M | 55 | 167 | 246 | 67.9% | \$8,383 | |
| 893 | Valley Home Joint School District | \$1,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Stanislaus/San Joaquin | S | 55 | 264 | 410 | 64.4% | \$5,303 | |
| 894 | Manchester Union Elementary School District | \$1,310,000 | 2004-11-02 | Mendocino | O | 55 | 151 | 255 | 59.2% | \$8,675 | |
| 895 | Hydesville Elementary School District | \$1,100,000 | 2014-06-03 | Humboldt | M | 55 | 244 | 371 | 65.8% | \$4,508 | |
| 896 | Bradley Union School District | \$1,100,000 | 2011-11-08 | Monterey | T | 55 | 33 | 54 | 61.1% | \$33,333 | |
| 897 | Chualar Union Elementary School District | \$1,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Monterey | A | 55 | 182 | 299 | 60.9% | \$5,495 | |
| 898 | Kings River Union Elementary School District | \$850,000 | 2005-11-08 | Tulare | E | 55 | 300 | 457 | 65.6% | \$2,833 | |
| 899 | Kings River Union Elementary School District | \$850,000 | 2006-06-06 | Tulare | N | 55 | 163 | 289 | 56.4% | \$5,215 | |
| 900 | Pacific Elementary School District | \$830,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Cruz | M | 55 | 292 | 374 | 78.1% | \$2,842 | |
| 901 | Banta Elementary School District | \$800,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | X | 55 | 216 | 318 | 67.9% | \$3,704 | |
| 902 | Stone Corral Elementary School District | \$715,000 | 2008-06-03 | Tulare | F | 55 | 46 | 71 | 64.8% | \$15,543 | |
| 903 | Traver Joint Elementary School District | \$700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tulare/Kings | S | 55 | 124 | 170 | 72.9% | \$5,645 | |
| 904 | Traver Joint Elementary School District | \$700,000 | 2004-11-02 | Tulare/Kings | A | 55 | 146 | 211 | 69.2% | \$4,795 | |
| 905 | Paradise Elementary School District | \$660,000 | 2002-03-05 | Stanislaus | X | 55 | 151 | 239 | 63.2% | \$4,371 | |
| 906 | Peninsula Union School District | \$600,000 | 2001-11-06 | Humboldt | R | 55 | 47 | 76 | 61.8% | \$12,766 | |
| 907 | Loleta Union School District | \$456,000 | 2004-11-02 | Humboldt | K | 55 | 422 | 621 | 68.0% | \$1,081 | |
| 908 | Washington Colony Elementary School District | \$400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | B | 55 | 350 | 503 | 69.6% | \$1,143 | |
| 909 | Linns Valley-Poso Flat Elementary School District | \$340,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tulare/Kern | J | 55 | 191 | 266 | 71.8% | \$1,780 | |
| 910 | Mission Union School District | \$315,000 | 2004-11-02 | Monterey | E | 55 | 103 | 126 | 81.7% | \$3,058 | |
| 911 | Graves Union School District | \$180,000 | 2004-11-02 | Monterey | D | 55 | 16 | 21 | 76.2% | \$11,250 | |
| TOTAL (includes three state bond measures) | | \$145,386,418,737 | (\$146,116,783,737 if 16 reauthorizations included) | | | | | 30,172,510 | 49,224,369 | 61.3% | \$4,819 |

Appendix C

All California Educational Bond Measures Rejected by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount NOT Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District | \$750,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Sacramento | M | 66.7 | 63 | 101 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 2 | Mt. San Jacinto Community College District | \$720,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | G | 55 | 42,697 | 78,198 | 54.6% | Fail |
| 3 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$564,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 48,933 | 92,171 | 53.1% | Fail |
| 4 | MiraCosta Community College District | \$497,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | EE | 55 | 80,929 | 147,583 | 54.8% | Fail |
| 5 | Chawanakee Unified School District | \$450,000,000 | 2003-09-23 | Madera | I | 66.7 | 625 | 1,890 | 33.1% | Fail |
| 6 | Sierra Joint Community College District | \$394,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Placer/Nevada/El Dorado | E | 55 | 66,165 | 133,912 | 49.4% | Fail |
| 7 | Rancho Santiago Community College District | \$393,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Orange | O | 55 | 48,520 | 91,286 | 53.2% | Fail |
| 8 | Victor Valley Community College District | \$338,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Bernardino/Los Angeles | X | 55 | 32,781 | 61,809 | 53.0% | Fail |
| 9 | San Luis Obispo County Community College District | \$310,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Luis Obispo/Monterey | G | 55 | 29,464 | 63,758 | 46.2% | Fail |
| 10 | Orange Unified School District | \$296,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange | K | 55 | 25,992 | 47,605 | 54.6% | Fail |
| 11 | Santa Barbara Community College District | \$288,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara | S | 55 | 30,493 | 60,707 | 50.2% | Fail |
| 12 | Torrance Unified School District | \$280,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles | T | 55 | 12,694 | 23,694 | 53.6% | Fail |
| 13 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$270,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Contra Costa | H | 55 | 13,529 | 29,215 | 46.3% | Fail |
| 14 | West Valley-Mission Community College District | \$268,653,300 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | E | 55 | 31,541 | 62,565 | 50.4% | Fail |
| 15 | Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District | \$240,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | W | 55 | 10,518 | 20,723 | 50.8% | Fail |
| 16 | Vallejo City Unified School District | \$239,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Solano | E | 66.7 | 13,392 | 21,776 | 61.5% | Fail |
| 17 | North Orange County Community College District | \$239,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange/Los Angeles | X | 55 | 70,179 | 151,820 | 46.2% | Fail |
| 18 | Hesperia Unified School District | \$207,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Bernardino | L | 55 | 4,970 | 12,890 | 38.6% | Fail |
| 19 | Orange Unified School District | \$200,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Orange | A | 55 | 21,797 | 44,575 | 48.9% | Fail |
| 20 | Grossmont Union High School District | \$199,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | T | 66.7 | 64,773 | 101,487 | 63.8% | Fail |
| 21 | Ocean View School District | \$198,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | P | 55 | 18,700 | 34,697 | 53.9% | Fail |
| 22 | Napa Valley Community College District | \$198,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Napa/Sonoma | E | 55 | 19,884 | 36,932 | 53.8% | Fail |
| 23 | Orange Unified School District | \$196,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Orange | A | 55 | 42,928 | 78,640 | 54.6% | Fail |
| 24 | ABC Unified School District | \$195,200,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 7,591 | 17,270 | 44.0% | Fail |
| 25 | Rio Hondo Community College District | \$194,600,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 31,913 | 60,587 | 52.7% | Fail |
| 26 | Napa Valley Community College District | \$178,400,000 | 2008-02-05 | Napa/Sonoma | L | 55 | 22,440 | 43,153 | 52.0% | Fail |
| 27 | Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District | \$177,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles/Kern | E | 55 | 15,105 | 28,812 | 52.4% | Fail |
| 28 | Western Placer Unified School District | \$163,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Placer | J | 55 | 9,045 | 20,294 | 44.6% | Fail |
| 29 | Monterey Peninsula Unified School District | \$158,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Monterey | A | 55 | 5,924 | 13,665 | 43.4% | Fail |
| 30 | Central Unified School District | \$152,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Fresno | E | 55 | 4,092 | 7,469 | 54.8% | Fail |
| 31 | Hemet Unified School District | \$149,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | C | 55 | 10,463 | 19,697 | 53.1% | Fail |
| 32 | San Mateo-Foster City School District | \$130,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | San Mateo | P | 55 | 8,002 | 17,197 | 46.5% | Fail |
| 33 | Jurupa Unified School District | \$125,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Riverside | M | 55 | 3,703 | 7,117 | 52.0% | Fail |
| 34 | Gavilan Community College District | \$108,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Clara/San Benito | E | 66.7 | 17,735 | 31,410 | 56.5% | Fail |
| 35 | Snowline Joint Unified School District | \$108,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Bernardino/Los Angeles | I | 55 | 2,840 | 5,284 | 53.7% | Fail |
| 36 | Merced Union High School District | \$104,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Merced | E | 55 | 14,317 | 26,867 | 53.3% | Fail |
| 37 | Tracy Unified School District | \$103,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin/Alameda | W | 55 | 7,497 | 14,986 | 50.0% | Fail |
| 38 | Yucaipa-Calimesa Joint Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Bernardino/Riverside | O | 55 | 10,786 | 21,150 | 51.0% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures Rejected by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount NOT Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| 39 | Santa Maria Joint Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Barbara | V | 55 | 12,894 | 25,394 | 50.8% | Fail |
| 40 | College of the Sequoias | \$95,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | N | 55 | 28,341 | 54,637 | 51.9% | Fail |
| 41 | Claremont Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | CL | 55 | 6,198 | 15,354 | 40.4% | Fail |
| 42 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District | \$93,530,000 | 2008-06-03 | Nevada/Placer | L | 55 | 2,202 | 4,245 | 51.9% | Fail |
| 43 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District | \$93,530,000 | 2008-11-04 | Nevada/Placer | U | 55 | 4,270 | 8,809 | 48.5% | Fail |
| 44 | Porterville Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | J | 55 | 9,749 | 19,012 | 51.3% | Fail |
| 45 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$89,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Ventura | B | 66.7 | 2,032 | 3,389 | 60.0% | Fail |
| 46 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$88,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | San Mateo | A | 66.7 | 16,903 | 25,781 | 65.6% | Fail |
| 47 | Lake Tahoe Unified School District | \$87,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | El Dorado | A | 55 | 2,777 | 5,312 | 52.3% | Fail |
| 48 | Liberty Union High School District | \$85,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Contra Costa | K | 55 | 12,156 | 22,845 | 53.2% | Fail |
| 49 | Clovis Unified School District | \$79,000,000 | 2001-03-06 | Fresno | A | 66.7 | 12,839 | 19,932 | 64.4% | Fail |
| 50 | Sierra Joint Community College District | \$78,200,000 | 2006-06-06 | Placer/Nevada/El Dorado | B | 55 | 28,307 | 64,887 | 43.6% | Fail |
| 51 | Woodland Joint Unified School District | \$78,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Yolo/Sutter | S | 55 | 5,488 | 12,718 | 43.2% | Fail |
| 52 | Del Mar Union School District | \$76,800,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | CC | 55 | 9,699 | 17,869 | 54.3% | Fail |
| 53 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$71,100,000 | 2006-11-07 | Ventura | H | 66.7 | 2,786 | 5,058 | 55.1% | Fail |
| 54 | Gilroy Unified School District | \$69,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | D | 55 | 3,720 | 7,028 | 52.9% | Fail |
| 55 | Westside Union School District | \$67,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 4,543 | 8,652 | 52.5% | Fail |
| 56 | Porterville Unified School District | \$67,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Tulare | B | 55 | 4,930 | 12,794 | 38.5% | Fail |
| 57 | Ramona Unified School District | \$66,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | R | 55 | 7,737 | 15,288 | 50.6% | Fail |
| 58 | Roseville Joint Union High School District | \$65,300,000 | 2002-11-05 | Placer/Sacramento | K | 55 | 21,653 | 40,229 | 53.8% | Fail |
| 59 | Mammoth School District | \$65,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Mono | K | 66.7 | 1,518 | 3,183 | 47.7% | Fail |
| 60 | Hemet Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Riverside | E | 66.7 | 12,899 | 20,547 | 62.8% | Fail |
| 61 | Snowline Joint Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Bernardino/Los Angeles | L | 55 | 3,399 | 7,439 | 45.7% | Fail |
| 62 | Antioch Unified School District | \$59,500,000 | 2012-06-05 | Contra Costa | J | 55 | 4,722 | 8,659 | 54.5% | Fail |
| 63 | Washington Unified School District | \$59,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Yolo | S | 55 | 2,343 | 4,644 | 50.5% | Fail |
| 64 | Jurupa Unified School District | \$58,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Riverside | C | 66.7 | 2,818 | 4,359 | 64.6% | Fail |
| 65 | Scotts Valley Unified School District | \$55,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Cruz | Q | 55 | 3,020 | 5,637 | 53.6% | Fail |
| 66 | Hermosa Beach City School District | \$54,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 3,283 | 6,027 | 54.5% | Fail |
| 67 | Beaumont Unified School District | \$54,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Riverside/San Bernardino | AA | 55 | 6,935 | 13,918 | 49.8% | Fail |
| 68 | Brea-Olinda Unified School District | \$54,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Orange | E | 55 | 3,254 | 6,721 | 48.4% | Fail |
| 69 | John Swett Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Contra Costa | M | 55 | 1,687 | 3,129 | 53.9% | Fail |
| 70 | West Hills Community College District | \$49,400,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | W | 55 | 6,041 | 11,789 | 51.2% | Fail |
| 71 | West Hills Community College District | \$49,400,000 | 2006-06-06 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | B | 55 | 3,419 | 6,773 | 50.5% | Fail |
| 72 | Central Elementary School District | \$49,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Bernardino | L | 66.7 | 4,803 | 7,423 | 64.7% | Fail |
| 73 | Mt. San Jacinto Community College District | \$47,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Riverside | U | 55 | 10,754 | 20,458 | 52.6% | Fail |
| 74 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$46,200,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 2,365 | 4,632 | 51.1% | Fail |
| 75 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$46,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | Y | 55 | 1,194 | 2,696 | 44.3% | Fail |
| 76 | Wasco Union High School District | \$45,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Kern | G | 66.7 | 834 | 1,358 | 61.4% | Fail |
| 77 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | L | 55 | 4,821 | 8,963 | 53.8% | Fail |
| 78 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$44,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Diego | H | 55 | 1,506 | 2,925 | 51.5% | Fail |
| 79 | Scotts Valley Unified School District | \$44,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Cruz | B | 66.7 | 3,716 | 5,652 | 65.7% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures Rejected by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount NOT Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| 80 | Los Banos Unified School District | \$44,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Merced | K | 66.7 | 4,285 | 6,558 | 65.3% | Fail |
| 81 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 2003-09-16 | Contra Costa | C | 66.7 | 11,444 | 19,367 | 59.1% | Fail |
| 82 | Visalia Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Tulare | M | 55 | 8,821 | 17,093 | 51.6% | Fail |
| 83 | Ramona Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | Q | 55 | 5,488 | 10,290 | 53.3% | Fail |
| 84 | San Bruno Park School District | \$40,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | San Mateo | O | 55 | 2,368 | 4,607 | 51.4% | Fail |
| 85 | Perris Union High School District | \$38,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside | D | 55 | 9,214 | 17,222 | 53.5% | Fail |
| 86 | Oakdale Joint Unified School District | \$37,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Joaquin/Stanislaus | R | 55 | 2,546 | 5,587 | 45.6% | Fail |
| 87 | Oakdale Joint Unified School District | \$37,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Joaquin/Stanislaus | C | 55 | 3,856 | 8,547 | 45.1% | Fail |
| 88 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$37,400,000 | 2010-06-08 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 1,312 | 2,588 | 50.7% | Fail |
| 89 | West Hills Community College District | \$36,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | B | 55 | 5,763 | 10,673 | 54.0% | Fail |
| 90 | Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District | \$34,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Humboldt/Lassen/Modoc/Shasta/Tehama/ | A | 66.7 | 16,422 | 27,909 | 58.8% | Fail |
| 91 | Fallbrook Union Elementary School District | \$32,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | L | 55 | 4,299 | 7,975 | 53.9% | Fail |
| 92 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$32,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Fresno/Tulare | C | 55 | 2,630 | 4,897 | 53.7% | Fail |
| 93 | Dixon Unified School District | \$32,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Solano | D | 55 | 2,830 | 6,026 | 47.0% | Fail |
| 94 | Mountain Empire Unified School District | \$30,800,000 | 2012-06-05 | San Diego | G | 55 | 1,352 | 2,489 | 54.3% | Fail |
| 95 | Mountain Empire Unified School District | \$30,800,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | G | 55 | 2,037 | 4,492 | 45.3% | Fail |
| 96 | Rincon Valley Union School District | \$30,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | J | 66.7 | 9,187 | 14,228 | 64.6% | Fail |
| 97 | Coronado Unified School District | \$29,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Diego | E | 55 | 2,275 | 5,416 | 42.0% | Fail |
| 98 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | \$27,800,000 | 2013-11-05 | Monterey | G | 55 | 2,828 | 5,421 | 52.2% | Fail |
| 99 | Montecito Union School District | \$27,150,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara | Q | 55 | 1,257 | 2,831 | 44.4% | Fail |
| 100 | Alpine Union Elementary School District | \$26,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | W | 55 | 2,468 | 5,532 | 44.6% | Fail |
| 101 | Visalia Unified School District | \$25,600,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tulare | P | 55 | 12,602 | 23,973 | 52.6% | Fail |
| 102 | Central Elementary School District | \$25,000,000 | 2003-03-04 | San Bernardino | I | 66.7 | 837 | 1,575 | 53.1% | Fail |
| 103 | Ramona Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | N | 55 | 3,459 | 6,990 | 49.5% | Fail |
| 104 | Calipatria Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Imperial | I | 55 | 317 | 655 | 48.4% | Fail |
| 105 | Anderson Union High School District | \$25,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Shasta | A | 55 | 3,867 | 8,416 | 45.9% | Fail |
| 106 | Corcoran Unified School District | \$24,700,000 | 2010-06-08 | Kings/Tulare | Q | 55 | 618 | 1,206 | 51.2% | Fail |
| 107 | Mojave Unified School District | \$24,000,000 | 2007-09-18 | Kern | E | 66.7 | 1,093 | 1,704 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 108 | Fountain Valley Unified School District | \$23,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | N | 55 | 11,987 | 23,411 | 51.2% | Fail |
| 109 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$23,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Monterey/San Benito | N | 55 | 971 | 2,004 | 48.5% | Fail |
| 110 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$21,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 1,621 | 3,574 | 45.4% | Fail |
| 111 | Hughson Unified School District | \$21,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Stanislaus | H | 55 | 1,533 | 3,757 | 40.8% | Fail |
| 112 | Willows Unified School District | \$20,700,000 | 2008-11-04 | Glenn | N | 55 | 1,701 | 3,440 | 49.4% | Fail |
| 113 | Willows Unified School District | \$20,700,000 | 2008-06-03 | Glenn | L | 55 | 1,129 | 2,292 | 49.3% | Fail |
| 114 | Fallbrook Union Elementary School District | \$20,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | San Diego | A | 66.7 | 2,999 | 5,429 | 55.2% | Fail |
| 115 | Plumas Lake School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Yuba | Q | 66.7 | 858 | 1,589 | 54.0% | Fail |
| 116 | Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Luis Obispo/Monterey | H | 55 | 5,620 | 10,272 | 54.7% | Fail |
| 117 | Upland Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | S | 55 | 7,821 | 15,778 | 49.6% | Fail |
| 118 | Cajon Valley Union School District | \$20,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | C | 55 | 13,382 | 27,266 | 49.1% | Fail |
| 119 | Santa Ynez Valley Unified School District | \$19,840,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Barbara | L | 55 | 5,387 | 10,257 | 52.5% | Fail |
| 120 | Richland School District | \$19,800,000 | 2007-06-05 | Kern | D | 66.7 | 643 | 972 | 66.2% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures Rejected by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount NOT Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|------------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| 121 | Loomis Union School District | \$19,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Placer | M | 55 | 4,511 | 8,505 | 53.0% | Fail |
| 122 | Ross School District | \$19,000,000 | 2005-03-08 | Marin | C | 66.7 | 781 | 1,327 | 58.9% | Fail |
| 123 | El Segundo Unified School District | \$19,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 2,909 | 5,818 | 50.0% | Fail |
| 124 | Woodland Joint Unified School District | \$19,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Yolo/Sutter | T | 55 | 5,279 | 12,689 | 41.6% | Fail |
| 125 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$18,800,000 | 2008-06-03 | Monterey/San Benito/ Santa Cruz | V | 55 | 952 | 2,011 | 47.3% | Fail |
| 126 | Loomis Union School District | \$17,700,000 | 2008-02-05 | Placer | G | 55 | 4,148 | 7,892 | 52.6% | Fail |
| 127 | Gonzales Unified School District | \$16,900,000 | 2014-06-03 | Monterey | N | 55 | 408 | 824 | 49.5% | Fail |
| 128 | Atwater Elementary School District | \$16,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced | I | 66.7 | 3,425 | 5,621 | 60.9% | Fail |
| 129 | Galt Joint Union High School District | \$16,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Sacramento/San Joaquin | G | 55 | 3,940 | 7,619 | 51.7% | Fail |
| 130 | Cutler-Orosi Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Fresno/Tulare | T | 66.7 | 869 | 1,752 | 49.6% | Fail |
| 131 | Muroc Joint Unified School District | \$14,800,000 | 2007-11-06 | Kern/San Bernardino | H | 66.7 | 457 | 845 | 54.1% | Fail |
| 132 | Willows Unified School District | \$14,700,000 | 2012-11-06 | Glenn | P | 55 | 1,743 | 3,238 | 53.8% | Fail |
| 133 | Gateway Unified School District | \$14,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Shasta | B | 55 | 3,331 | 6,427 | 51.8% | Fail |
| 134 | Cold Spring School District | \$14,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Barbara | K | 55 | 661 | 1,299 | 50.9% | Fail |
| 135 | Byron Union School District | \$14,299,707 | 2004-11-02 | Contra Costa | G | 55 | 2,756 | 5,058 | 54.5% | Fail |
| 136 | Riverbank Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | Stanislaus | O | 66.7 | 729 | 1,155 | 63.1% | Fail |
| 137 | Riverbank Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Stanislaus | R | 66.7 | 1,328 | 2,156 | 61.6% | Fail |
| 138 | Piedmont Unified School District | \$13,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | Alameda | H | 55 | 1,801 | 3,779 | 47.7% | Fail |
| 139 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$13,100,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 1,935 | 3,813 | 50.7% | Fail |
| 140 | Hermosa Beach City School District | \$13,100,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 1,840 | 3,653 | 50.4% | Fail |
| 141 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$13,100,000 | 2003-11-04 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 1,231 | 2,547 | 48.3% | Fail |
| 142 | Golden Plains Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Fresno | G | 55 | 192 | 362 | 53.0% | Fail |
| 143 | Palo Verde Community College District | \$12,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside/San Bernardino | P | 55 | 1,847 | 3,434 | 53.8% | Fail |
| 144 | Mojave Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2001-03-06 | Kern | A | 66.7 | 882 | 1,389 | 63.5% | Fail |
| 145 | College School District | \$12,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara | Y | 55 | 1,244 | 2,490 | 50.0% | Fail |
| 146 | Sierra Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno | V | 55 | 2,698 | 5,550 | 48.6% | Fail |
| 147 | College School District | \$12,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Barbara | K | 55 | 1,429 | 3,118 | 45.8% | Fail |
| 148 | Alpine Union Elementary School District | \$12,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | V | 55 | 2,514 | 5,534 | 45.4% | Fail |
| 149 | Alpine Union Elementary School District | \$11,995,000 | 2012-06-05 | San Diego | H | 55 | 1,933 | 4,525 | 42.7% | Fail |
| 150 | Linden Unified School District | \$11,500,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Joaquin | O | 55 | 1,574 | 2,877 | 54.7% | Fail |
| 151 | Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District | \$11,500,000 | 2005-11-08 | Stanislaus | O | 55 | 869 | 1,760 | 49.4% | Fail |
| 152 | Mother Lode Union Elementary School District | \$11,400,000 | 2006-06-06 | El Dorado | A | 55 | 2,405 | 4,509 | 53.3% | Fail |
| 153 | Caruthers Unified School District | \$11,300,000 | 2008-02-05 | Fresno | A | 55 | 683 | 1,474 | 46.3% | Fail |
| 154 | Atwater Elementary School District | \$11,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Merced | A | 66.7 | 2,450 | 4,241 | 57.8% | Fail |
| 155 | Gridley Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Butte | C | 55 | 1,147 | 2,178 | 52.7% | Fail |
| 156 | Waterford Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Stanislaus | I | 55 | 849 | 2,189 | 38.8% | Fail |
| 157 | Gridley Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Butte | G | 55 | 1,377 | 3,577 | 38.5% | Fail |
| 158 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Humboldt/Mendocino | N | 55 | 959 | 1,768 | 54.2% | Fail |
| 159 | Sierra Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno | W | 55 | 2,836 | 5,560 | 51.0% | Fail |
| 160 | Byron Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 1,158 | 3,812 | 30.4% | Fail |
| 161 | Sierra Unified School District | \$9,600,000 | 2010-11-02 | Fresno | S | 55 | 2,087 | 4,227 | 49.4% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures Rejected by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount NOT Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------|------------------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| 162 | Columbia Union Elementary School District | \$9,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | D | 55 | 2,518 | 4,655 | 54.1% | Fail |
| 163 | Bolinas-Stinson Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Marin | B | 55 | 451 | 907 | 49.7% | Fail |
| 164 | Fortuna Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Humboldt | W | 55 | 1,602 | 3,590 | 44.6% | Fail |
| 165 | Corcoran Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kings/Tulare | V | 55 | 521 | 1,223 | 42.6% | Fail |
| 166 | Cold Spring School District | \$8,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | Santa Barbara | R | 55 | 670 | 1,336 | 50.1% | Fail |
| 167 | Mendocino Unified School District | \$8,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Mendocino | Z | 55 | 1,120 | 2,724 | 41.1% | Fail |
| 168 | Calaveras Unified School District | \$8,440,000 | 2004-03-02 | Calaveras | M | 55 | 3,563 | 7,945 | 44.8% | Fail |
| 169 | Sutter Union High School District | \$8,260,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sutter | L | 66.7 | 1,885 | 3,038 | 62.0% | Fail |
| 170 | Mojave Unified School District | \$8,100,000 | 2014-06-03 | Kern | A | 55 | 837 | 1,527 | 54.8% | Fail |
| 171 | Bearsley School District | \$8,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern | D | 66.7 | 1,771 | 2,788 | 63.5% | Fail |
| 172 | Summersville Union High School District | \$8,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tuolumne | H | 55 | 2,601 | 4,731 | 55.0% | Fail |
| 173 | Summersville Union High School District | \$8,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Tuolumne | G | 55 | 2,354 | 4,353 | 54.1% | Fail |
| 174 | Lucerne Valley Unified School District | \$7,950,000 | 2010-06-08 | San Bernardino | S | 55 | 472 | 1,392 | 33.9% | Fail |
| 175 | Turlock Unified School District | \$7,725,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus/Merced | Y | 55 | 8,842 | 16,143 | 54.8% | Fail |
| 176 | Needles Unified School District | \$7,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Bernardino | J | 55 | 536 | 1,002 | 53.5% | Fail |
| 177 | Chawanakee Unified School District | \$7,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Madera | J | 55 | 1,210 | 2,761 | 43.8% | Fail |
| 178 | Lemoore Union Elementary School District | \$7,200,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kings | A | 66.7 | 2,198 | 4,229 | 52.0% | Fail |
| 179 | Elk Hills School District | \$7,130,000 | 2014-06-03 | Kern | B | 55 | 19 | 40 | 47.5% | Fail |
| 180 | Lemoore Union High School District | \$7,100,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kings | K | 55 | 1,729 | 3,431 | 50.4% | Fail |
| 181 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | \$7,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | K | 66.7 | 2,828 | 4,284 | 66.0% | Fail |
| 182 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2007-06-05 | Imperial | U | 66.7 | 347 | 589 | 58.9% | Fail |
| 183 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Imperial | A | 66.7 | 520 | 990 | 52.5% | Fail |
| 184 | Eastern Sierra Unified School District | \$7,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Mono | A | 55 | 680 | 1,264 | 53.8% | Fail |
| 185 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Imperial | B | 55 | 495 | 973 | 50.9% | Fail |
| 186 | Biggs Unified School District | \$6,900,000 | 2008-11-04 | Butte | E | 55 | 644 | 1,408 | 45.7% | Fail |
| 187 | Springville Union Elementary School District | \$6,700,000 | 2009-05-19 | Tulare | L | 66.7 | 561 | 1,305 | 43.0% | Fail |
| 188 | Springville Union Elementary School District | \$6,700,000 | 2009-11-03 | Tulare | O | 66.7 | 485 | 1,428 | 34.0% | Fail |
| 189 | Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | \$6,700,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Luis Obispo/ Monterey | N | 55 | 5,862 | 11,222 | 52.2% | Fail |
| 190 | McSwain Union School District | \$6,500,000 | 2002-03-05 | Merced | C | 66.7 | 431 | 1,325 | 32.5% | Fail |
| 191 | Susanville Elementary School District | \$6,250,000 | 2006-11-07 | Lassen | P | 55 | 1,663 | 3,195 | 52.1% | Fail |
| 192 | Elk Hills School District | \$6,200,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | O | 55 | 65 | 142 | 45.8% | Fail |
| 193 | Nuview Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | T | 66.7 | 1,034 | 1,626 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 194 | Nuview Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2003-03-04 | Riverside | A | 66.7 | 375 | 674 | 55.6% | Fail |
| 195 | Oroville City Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Butte | A | 55 | 2,697 | 5,932 | 45.5% | Fail |
| 196 | Biggs Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Butte | B | 55 | 360 | 810 | 44.4% | Fail |
| 197 | Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | \$5,900,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Luis Obispo/ Monterey | M | 55 | 5,164 | 10,091 | 51.2% | Fail |
| 198 | Scott Valley Unified School District | \$5,900,000 | 2010-11-02 | Siskiyou | E | 55 | 817 | 2,443 | 33.4% | Fail |
| 199 | Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District | \$5,750,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tuolumne | A | 55 | 1,041 | 2,116 | 49.2% | Fail |
| 200 | Eastern Sierra Unified School District | \$5,600,000 | 2002-11-05 | Mono | B | 55 | 598 | 1,203 | 49.7% | Fail |
| 201 | Hamilton Unified School District | \$5,400,000 | 2010-11-02 | Glenn | O | 55 | 372 | 704 | 52.8% | Fail |
| 202 | Sierra Unified School District | \$5,400,000 | 2012-06-05 | Fresno | O | 55 | 1,924 | 3,780 | 50.9% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures Rejected by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount NOT Authorized to Borrow

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|--------------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|---------|
| 203 | Semitropic School District | \$5,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Kern | D | 66.7 | 12 | 21 | 57.1% | Fail |
| 204 | Knightsen Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Contra Costa | N | 55 | 375 | 684 | 54.8% | Fail |
| 205 | Lassen Union High School District | \$5,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Lassen | G | 55 | 2,874 | 5,678 | 50.6% | Fail |
| 206 | Sierra Plumas Joint Unified School District | \$5,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sierra/Plumas | C | 55 | 765 | 2,035 | 37.6% | Fail |
| 207 | Fall River Joint Unified School District | \$4,400,000 | 2004-11-02 | Shasta/Lassen/Modoc | J | 66.7 | 2,274 | 3,785 | 60.1% | Fail |
| 208 | Latrobe Unified School District | \$4,300,000 | 2005-11-08 | El Dorado | U | 55 | 586 | 1,100 | 53.3% | Fail |
| 209 | Biggs Unified School District | \$4,275,000 | 2008-02-05 | Butte | A | 55 | 530 | 1,085 | 48.8% | Fail |
| 210 | Pine Ridge Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Fresno | P | 55 | 187 | 365 | 51.2% | Fail |
| 211 | Pine Ridge Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno | R | 55 | 219 | 432 | 50.7% | Fail |
| 212 | Arcohe Union Elementary School District | \$3,900,000 | 2010-06-08 | Sacramento | A | 55 | 377 | 1,310 | 28.8% | Fail |
| 213 | Susanville Elementary School District | \$3,700,000 | 2004-11-02 | Lassen | N | 55 | 2,461 | 4,505 | 54.6% | Fail |
| 214 | Strathmore Union High School District (now in Porterville Unified School District) | \$3,500,000 | 2001-09-25 | Tulare | A | 66.7 | 288 | 449 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 215 | Butteville Unified School District | \$3,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | Siskiyou | R | 55 | 424 | 897 | 47.3% | Fail |
| 216 | Baker Valley Unified School District | \$3,200,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Bernardino | D | 55 | 41 | 102 | 40.2% | Fail |
| 217 | Junction Elementary School District | \$3,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Shasta | C | 55 | 1,025 | 1,868 | 54.9% | Fail |
| 218 | Tipton Elementary School District | \$3,100,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | E | 55 | 174 | 342 | 50.9% | Fail |
| 219 | Penryn School District | \$3,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Placer | F | 66.7 | 399 | 962 | 41.5% | Fail |
| 220 | Knightsen Elementary School District | \$3,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | H | 55 | 397 | 847 | 46.9% | Fail |
| 221 | Tipton Elementary School District | \$2,800,000 | 2006-11-07 | Tulare | V | 55 | 174 | 365 | 47.7% | Fail |
| 222 | Caruthers Unified School District | \$2,800,000 | 2008-02-05 | Fresno | B | 55 | 424 | 935 | 45.3% | Fail |
| 223 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$2,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Imperial | F | 66.7 | 348 | 575 | 60.5% | Fail |
| 224 | Jacoby Creek School District | \$2,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Humboldt | Y | 55 | 640 | 1,174 | 54.5% | Fail |
| 225 | Colfax School District | \$2,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Placer | Q | 55 | 1,320 | 2,463 | 53.6% | Fail |
| 226 | Jacoby Creek School District | \$2,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Humboldt | Y | 55 | 678 | 1,465 | 46.3% | Fail |
| 227 | Merced City Elementary School District | \$2,200,000 | 2003-05-13 | Merced | K | 66.7 | 4,379 | 6,924 | 63.2% | Fail |
| 228 | Franklin School District | \$2,200,000 | 2004-03-02 | Sutter | E | 66.7 | 554 | 889 | 62.3% | Fail |
| 229 | Vallecitos School District | \$2,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | O | 55 | 299 | 559 | 53.5% | Fail |
| 230 | Le Grand Union High School | \$1,950,000 | 2001-11-06 | Merced | A | 66.7 | 396 | 648 | 61.1% | Fail |
| 231 | Leggett Valley Unified School District | \$1,750,000 | 2008-06-03 | Mendocino | D | 66.7 | 79 | 136 | 58.1% | Fail |
| 232 | Etna High School District | \$1,560,000 | 2002-11-05 | Siskiyou | G | 55 | 1,010 | 2,013 | 50.2% | Fail |
| 233 | Banta Elementary School District | \$1,420,000 | 2001-03-06 | San Joaquin | I | 66.7 | 135 | 209 | 64.6% | Fail |
| 234 | Manchester Union Elementary School District | \$1,180,000 | 2002-11-05 | Mendocino | W | 66.7 | 118 | 196 | 60.2% | Fail |
| 235 | Planada Elementary School District | \$1,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Merced | M | 66.7 | 462 | 721 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 236 | Paradise Elementary School District | \$400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Stanislaus | E | 66.7 | 181 | 283 | 64.0% | Fail |
| TOTAL | | \$14,729,638,007 | | | | | 1,533,336 | 2,947,408 | 52.0% | |

Appendix D

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a Two-Thirds Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Listed By Election Year

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1 | Fresno Unified School District | \$199,000,000 | 2001-03-06 | Fresno | K | 66.7 | 19,864 | 29,542 | 67.2% | Pass |
| 2 | Tamalpais Union High School District | \$121,000,000 | 2001-03-06 | Marin | A | 66.7 | 14,527 | 21,319 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 3 | Liberty Union High School District | \$40,000,000 | 2001-03-06 | Contra Costa | A | 66.7 | 5,100 | 7,060 | 72.2% | Pass |
| 4 | Washington Union School District | \$13,000,000 | 2001-03-20 | Monterey | A | 66.7 | 1,253 | 1,704 | 73.5% | Pass |
| 5 | Mountain View School District | \$17,000,000 | 2001-05-08 | San Bernardino | V | 66.7 | 284 | 372 | 76.3% | Pass |
| 6 | Patterson Joint Unified School District | \$19,500,000 | 2001-05-22 | Stanislaus | G | 66.7 | 1,341 | 1,871 | 71.7% | Pass |
| 7 | Los Gatos Union School District | \$91,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Santa Clara | B | 66.7 | 4,615 | 5,443 | 84.8% | Pass |
| 8 | Cupertino Union School District | \$80,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Santa Clara | C | 66.7 | 11,117 | 14,860 | 74.8% | Pass |
| 9 | Covina Valley Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Los Angeles | K | 66.7 | 4,746 | 6,551 | 72.4% | Pass |
| 10 | Taft City School District | \$20,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Kern | E | 66.7 | 1,488 | 1,844 | 80.7% | Pass |
| 11 | Franklin-McKinley School District | \$18,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Santa Clara | A | 66.7 | 1,640 | 1,996 | 82.2% | Pass |
| 12 | Wasco Union High School District | \$6,600,000 | 2001-06-05 | Kern | F | 66.7 | 1,229 | 1,642 | 74.8% | Pass |
| 13 | Sebastopol Union School District | \$3,200,000 | 2001-06-05 | Sonoma | C | 66.7 | 2,642 | 3,398 | 77.8% | Pass |
| 14 | Colton Joint Unified School District | \$102,000,000 | 2001-09-25 | San Bernardino | B | 66.7 | 3,884 | 5,181 | 75.0% | Pass |
| 15 | Galt Joint Union High School District | \$9,240,000 | 2001-10-23 | Sacramento/San Joaquin | W | 66.7 | 2,136 | 3,027 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 16 | Desert Sands Unified School District | \$450,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Riverside | K | 66.7 | 12,110 | 15,006 | 80.7% | Pass |
| 17 | City College of San Francisco | \$195,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Francisco | A | 66.7 | 91,643 | 127,035 | 72.1% | Pass |
| 18 | Riverside Unified School District | \$175,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Riverside | B | 66.7 | 16,466 | 24,104 | 68.3% | Pass |
| 19 | Vacaville Unified School District | \$101,300,000 | 2001-11-06 | Solano | V | 66.7 | 8,606 | 12,737 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 20 | Jefferson Elementary School District | \$52,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | H | 66.7 | 3,829 | 5,597 | 68.4% | Pass |
| 21 | Reed Union School District | \$38,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Marin | C | 66.7 | 2,886 | 3,864 | 74.7% | Pass |
| 22 | Denair Unified School District | \$8,200,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | P | 66.7 | 959 | 1,181 | 81.2% | Pass |
| 23 | Forestville Union School District | \$5,100,000 | 2001-11-06 | Sonoma | E | 66.7 | 1,041 | 1,464 | 71.1% | Pass |
| 24 | Strathmore Union High School District | \$3,500,000 | 2002-02-05 | Tulare | A | 66.7 | 463 | 624 | 74.2% | Pass |
| 25 | Sonoma County Community College District | \$251,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino | E | 66.7 | 77,248 | 113,889 | 67.8% | Pass |
| 26 | Rocklin Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Placer | B | 66.7 | 7,101 | 10,500 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 27 | Moreland School District | \$35,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | J | 66.7 | 5,597 | 7,722 | 72.5% | Pass |
| 28 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$16,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Kern | C | 66.7 | 1,365 | 1,917 | 71.2% | Pass |
| 29 | Saugus Union School District | \$48,000,000 | 2002-06-04 | Los Angeles | E | 66.7 | 4,462 | 6,043 | 73.8% | Pass |
| 30 | Richland School District | \$23,000,000 | 2002-06-04 | Kern | F | 66.7 | 1,006 | 1,283 | 78.4% | Pass |
| 31 | San Mateo Union High School District | Repurpose 2000 bonds | 2002-11-05 | San Mateo | C | 66.7 | 40,674 | 55,573 | 73.2% | Pass |
| 32 | El Monte Union High School District | \$90,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | Y | 66.7 | 13,584 | 17,815 | 76.3% | Pass |
| 33 | Lompoc Unified School District | \$38,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Barbara | N | 66.7 | 9,049 | 12,993 | 69.6% | Pass |
| 34 | Plumas Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Plumas | A | 66.7 | 5,206 | 7,735 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 35 | Brentwood Union Elementary School District | \$35,000,000 | 2003-03-04 | Contra Costa | B | 66.7 | 2,968 | 4,385 | 67.7% | Pass |
| 36 | New Haven Unified School District | \$120,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | Alameda | A | 66.7 | 3,817 | 5,581 | 68.4% | Pass |
| 37 | Desert Community College District | \$346,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside/Imperial | B | 66.7 | 36,698 | 53,375 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 38 | Tulare Joint Union High School District | \$45,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tulare/Kings | Q | 66.7 | 6,308 | 9,112 | 69.2% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a Two-Thirds Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Listed By Election Year

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome | |
|--------------|---|---------------------------|--|------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--|
| 39 | Keyes Union High School District | \$5,000,000 | 2005-03-08 | Stanislaus | L | 66.7 | 392 | 532 | 73.7% | Pass | |
| 40 | Big Pine Unified School District | \$2,200,000 | 2005-03-08 | Inyo | B | 66.7 | 410 | 600 | 68.3% | Pass | |
| 41 | Coachella Valley Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2005-06-07 | Riverside/Imperial | D | 66.7 | 1,747 | 2,322 | 75.2% | Pass | |
| 42 | Palo Verde Community College District | \$6,000,000 | 2005-06-07 | San Bernardino | K | 66.7 | 608 | 912 | 66.7% | Pass | |
| 43 | Delano Joint Union High School District | \$55,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern/Tulare | C | 66.7 | 3,321 | 4,552 | 73.0% | Pass | |
| 44 | Ukiah Unified School District | \$43,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Mendocino | U | 66.7 | 6,675 | 9,822 | 68.0% | Pass | |
| 45 | Galt Joint Union High School District | \$29,200,000 | 2005-11-08 | Sacramento/San Joaquin | B | 66.7 | 6,569 | 9,251 | 71.0% | Pass | |
| 46 | Ross School District | \$15,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Marin | B | 66.7 | 976 | 1,237 | 78.9% | Pass | |
| 47 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 3 | \$750,000,000 | 2007-03-27 | Sacramento | M | 66.7 | 60 | 74 | 81.1% | Pass | |
| 48 | Roseville Joint Union High School District SFID No. 1 | \$115,000,000 | 2007-04-24 | Placer | A | 66.7 | 11 | 12 | 91.7% | Pass | |
| 49 | Vineland School District | \$4,000,000 | 2007-05-22 | Kern | C | 66.7 | 188 | 227 | 82.8% | Pass | |
| 50 | Wiseburn Unified School District | \$32,600,000 | 2007-06-12 | Los Angeles | A | 66.7 | 1,477 | 1,778 | 83.1% | Pass | |
| 51 | Santa Ana Unified School District | \$200,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Orange | G | 66.7 | 8,426 | 12,244 | 68.8% | Pass | |
| 52 | Centinela Valley Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | CV | 66.7 | 27,255 | 38,508 | 70.8% | Pass | |
| 53 | Ross Valley Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Marin | A | 66.7 | 8,565 | 12,055 | 71.0% | Pass | |
| 54 | Soledad Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Monterey | C | 66.7 | 2,332 | 3,131 | 74.5% | Pass | |
| TOTAL | | \$4,618,840,000 | (\$4,756,340,000 if one bond reauthorization included) | | | | | 497,964 | 702,602 | 70.9% | |

Appendix E

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1 | Inglewood Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | GG | 55 | 31,907 | 37,059 | 86.1% | Pass |
| 2 | Oakland Unified School District | \$475,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Alameda | J | 55 | 122,695 | 145,382 | 84.4% | Pass |
| 3 | Reef-Sunset Unified School District | \$10,830,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kings | M | 55 | 376 | 451 | 83.4% | Pass |
| 4 | Compton Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 14,150 | 17,036 | 83.1% | Pass |
| 5 | Bayshore Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 325 | 396 | 82.1% | Pass |
| 6 | Mission Union School District | \$315,000 | 2004-11-02 | Monterey | E | 55 | 103 | 126 | 81.7% | Pass |
| 7 | Trinidad Union School District | \$2,200,000 | 2012-06-05 | Humboldt | W | 55 | 568 | 697 | 81.5% | Pass |
| 8 | Bassett Unified School District | \$23,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 4,852 | 5,995 | 80.9% | Pass |
| 9 | Albany Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Alameda | A | 55 | 5,879 | 7,304 | 80.5% | Pass |
| 10 | Mendota Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno | M | 55 | 628 | 785 | 80.0% | Pass |
| 11 | Alum Rock Union Elementary School District | \$125,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 18,442 | 23,188 | 79.5% | Pass |
| 12 | Baldwin Park Unified School District | \$46,600,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 6,321 | 7,952 | 79.5% | Pass |
| 13 | Arvin Union School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | E | 55 | 1,114 | 1,402 | 79.5% | Pass |
| 14 | Roseville Joint Union High School District | \$79,500,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento | D | 55 | 2,114 | 2,664 | 79.4% | Pass |
| 15 | Earlilmart School District | \$3,600,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | H | 55 | 672 | 847 | 79.3% | Pass |
| 16 | Kelseyville Unified School District | \$2,930,000 | 2001-11-06 | Lake | X | 55 | 1,361 | 1,717 | 79.3% | Pass |
| 17 | Willows Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Glenn | T | 55 | 140 | 177 | 79.1% | Pass |
| 18 | Alum Rock Union Elementary School District | \$179,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 6,901 | 8,725 | 79.1% | Pass |
| 19 | Fowler Unified School District | \$21,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | L | 55 | 1,757 | 2,224 | 79.0% | Pass |
| 20 | Hawthorne Elementary School District | \$24,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | H | 55 | 11,314 | 14,331 | 78.9% | Pass |
| 21 | Moreno Valley Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside | G | 55 | 140 | 178 | 78.7% | Pass |
| 22 | National School District | \$26,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | N | 55 | 4,621 | 5,880 | 78.6% | Pass |
| 23 | Compton Community College District | \$100,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 20,209 | 25,779 | 78.4% | Pass |
| 24 | Ocean View School District | Reauthorize \$4,200,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | P | 55 | 2,530 | 3,230 | 78.3% | Pass |
| 25 | Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District | \$6,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern | I | 55 | 223 | 285 | 78.2% | Pass |
| 26 | Beverly Hills Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 4,424 | 5,659 | 78.2% | Pass |
| 27 | Pacific Elementary School District | \$830,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Cruz | M | 55 | 292 | 374 | 78.1% | Pass |
| 28 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$40,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 11,311 | 14,499 | 78.0% | Pass |
| 29 | South Pasadena Unified School District | \$29,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 5,754 | 7,377 | 78.0% | Pass |
| 30 | Oakland Unified School District | \$435,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Alameda | B | 55 | 62,559 | 80,231 | 78.0% | Pass |
| 31 | McFarland Unified School District | \$8,300,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | B | 55 | 649 | 833 | 77.9% | Pass |
| 32 | Mountain View-Los Altos Union School District | \$41,300,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Clara | A | 55 | 19,480 | 25,067 | 77.7% | Pass |
| 33 | Palo Alto Unified School District | \$378,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Clara | A | 55 | 14,074 | 18,140 | 77.6% | Pass |
| 34 | South San Francisco Unified School District | \$162,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 13,758 | 17,743 | 77.5% | Pass |
| 35 | South Whittier Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 4,577 | 5,910 | 77.4% | Pass |
| 36 | Lennox Elementary School District | \$10,700,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | L | 55 | 682 | 881 | 77.4% | Pass |
| 37 | Hayward Unified School District | \$229,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Alameda | L | 55 | 17,976 | 23,239 | 77.4% | Pass |
| 38 | Arvin Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | C | 55 | 854 | 1,105 | 77.3% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| 39 | Berkeley Unified School District | \$210,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | I | 55 | 31,723 | 41,113 | 77.2% | Pass |
| 40 | Los Nietos School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 1,300 | 1,686 | 77.1% | Pass |
| 41 | Los Nietos School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | N | 55 | 1,285 | 1,668 | 77.0% | Pass |
| 42 | Holtville Unified School District | \$8,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Imperial | E | 55 | 1,282 | 1,666 | 77.0% | Pass |
| 43 | Jefferson Elementary School District | \$67,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | M | 55 | 16,261 | 21,138 | 76.9% | Pass |
| 44 | Lakeside Union Elementary School District | \$22,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kern | I | 55 | 2,179 | 2,835 | 76.9% | Pass |
| 45 | Pixley Union School District | \$7,800,000 | 2014-06-03 | Tulare | Z | 55 | 229 | 298 | 76.8% | Pass |
| 46 | Little Lake City School District | \$18,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | EE | 55 | 8,041 | 10,471 | 76.8% | Pass |
| 47 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 4,448 | 5,799 | 76.7% | Pass |
| 48 | South Bay Union School District | \$59,400,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | X | 55 | 15,219 | 19,909 | 76.4% | Pass |
| 49 | Hueneme Elementary School District | \$19,600,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | T | 55 | 8,408 | 11,011 | 76.4% | Pass |
| 50 | Compton Community College District | \$100,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 23,005 | 30,141 | 76.3% | Pass |
| 51 | Culver City Unified School District | \$106,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 4,706 | 6,169 | 76.3% | Pass |
| 52 | River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$9,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Yolo/Sacramento/Solano | V | 55 | 1,165 | 1,529 | 76.2% | Pass |
| 53 | Graves Union School District | \$180,000 | 2004-11-02 | Monterey | D | 55 | 16 | 21 | 76.2% | Pass |
| 54 | El Monte City School District | \$50,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 11,855 | 15,576 | 76.1% | Pass |
| 55 | South Bay Union School District | Reauthorize \$26,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | Y | 55 | 14,123 | 18,600 | 75.9% | Pass |
| 56 | Wiseburn Unified School District | \$87,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 3,285 | 4,338 | 75.7% | Pass |
| 57 | Fresno Unified School District | \$280,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Fresno | Q | 55 | 51,125 | 67,522 | 75.7% | Pass |
| 58 | Peralta Community College District | \$390,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Alameda | A | 55 | 97,685 | 129,106 | 75.7% | Pass |
| 59 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$165,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Mateo | L | 55 | 28,285 | 37,391 | 75.6% | Pass |
| 60 | Ross School District | \$6,750,000 | 2008-06-03 | Marin | A | 55 | 894 | 1,183 | 75.6% | Pass |
| 61 | Mount Pleasant School District | \$25,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | L | 55 | 4,482 | 5,938 | 75.5% | Pass |
| 62 | Arcata Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Humboldt | F | 55 | 4,667 | 6,195 | 75.3% | Pass |
| 63 | Menlo Park City School District | \$23,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | San Mateo | W | 55 | 4,222 | 5,605 | 75.3% | Pass |
| 64 | Calexico Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Imperial | J | 55 | 2,680 | 3,562 | 75.2% | Pass |
| 65 | El Segundo Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 2,369 | 3,149 | 75.2% | Pass |
| 66 | West Sonoma County Union High School District | \$23,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | I | 55 | 15,375 | 20,443 | 75.2% | Pass |
| 67 | Elk Hills School District | \$7,905,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | D | 55 | 54 | 72 | 75.0% | Pass |
| 68 | Oak Grove School District | \$125,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Santa Clara | S | 55 | 25,589 | 34,139 | 75.0% | Pass |
| 69 | Alhambra Unified School District ESID | \$50,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | MM | 55 | 23,276 | 31,080 | 74.9% | Pass |
| 70 | Pomona Unified School District | \$235,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | PS | 55 | 30,058 | 40,221 | 74.7% | Pass |
| 71 | Azusa Unified School District | \$75,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 4,200 | 5,624 | 74.7% | Pass |
| 72 | Ceres Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | J | 55 | 3,214 | 4,310 | 74.6% | Pass |
| 73 | Dixie School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Marin | C | 55 | 6,083 | 8,158 | 74.6% | Pass |
| 74 | Pasadena Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | TT | 55 | 64,090 | 85,998 | 74.5% | Pass |
| 75 | San Lorenzo Unified School District | \$49,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Alameda | E | 55 | 15,797 | 21,217 | 74.5% | Pass |
| 76 | San Lorenzo Unified School District | \$83,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Alameda | O | 55 | 17,356 | 23,311 | 74.5% | Pass |
| 77 | Stockton Unified School District | Reauthorize \$156,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | E | 55 | 31,228 | 41,954 | 74.4% | Pass |
| 78 | McFarland Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | M | 55 | 1,238 | 1,664 | 74.4% | Pass |
| 79 | Torrance Unified School District | \$265,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 44,075 | 59,267 | 74.4% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 80 | Jefferson Union High School District | Reauthorize \$41,900,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | E | 55 | 30,757 | 41,401 | 74.3% | Pass |
| 81 | El Monte Union High School District | \$148,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | D | 55 | 24,851 | 33,510 | 74.2% | Pass |
| 82 | Paramount Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 6,845 | 9,233 | 74.1% | Pass |
| 83 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Contra Costa | J | 55 | 7,288 | 9,833 | 74.1% | Pass |
| 84 | Fairfax Elementary School District | \$24,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,265 | 1,708 | 74.1% | Pass |
| 85 | Palmdale Elementary School District | \$220,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | DD | 55 | 22,328 | 30,162 | 74.0% | Pass |
| 86 | El Rancho Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | EE | 55 | 9,549 | 12,903 | 74.0% | Pass |
| 87 | Hueneme Elementary School District | \$17,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Ventura | I | 55 | 8,681 | 11,732 | 74.0% | Pass |
| 88 | Campbell Union School District | \$150,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 12,753 | 17,237 | 74.0% | Pass |
| 89 | Las Lomas School District | \$60,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | San Mateo | S | 55 | 2,305 | 3,117 | 73.9% | Pass |
| 90 | Mendota Unified School District | \$19,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno | M | 55 | 856 | 1,158 | 73.9% | Pass |
| 91 | Emery Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | J | 55 | 1,982 | 2,683 | 73.9% | Pass |
| 92 | Fortuna Elementary School District | \$3,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | Humboldt | X | 55 | 1,720 | 2,329 | 73.9% | Pass |
| 93 | San Francisco Unified School District | \$450,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 171,236 | 231,876 | 73.8% | Pass |
| 94 | Long Beach Community College District | \$440,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 79,204 | 107,261 | 73.8% | Pass |
| 95 | John Swett Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 3,950 | 5,350 | 73.8% | Pass |
| 96 | Cambrian School District | \$20,975,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 5,352 | 7,254 | 73.8% | Pass |
| 97 | Arena Union Elementary School District | \$3,700,000 | 2003-11-04 | Mendocino | E | 55 | 554 | 752 | 73.7% | Pass |
| 98 | Carmel Unified School District | \$21,500,000 | 2005-11-08 | Monterey | A | 55 | 7,744 | 10,512 | 73.7% | Pass |
| 99 | Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District | \$165,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 14,949 | 20,313 | 73.6% | Pass |
| 100 | Sausalito Marin City School District | \$15,900,000 | 2004-11-02 | Marin | I | 55 | 4,346 | 5,911 | 73.5% | Pass |
| 101 | Colton Joint Unified School District | \$225,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino/Riverside | G | 55 | 19,641 | 26,735 | 73.5% | Pass |
| 102 | Portola Valley School District | \$6,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 1,823 | 2,483 | 73.4% | Pass |
| 103 | Rosemead School District | \$30,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | O | 55 | 4,325 | 5,893 | 73.4% | Pass |
| 104 | Bassett Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 2,844 | 3,876 | 73.4% | Pass |
| 105 | Dublin Unified School District | \$184,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Alameda | C | 55 | 9,062 | 12,380 | 73.2% | Pass |
| 106 | Garvey Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 6,602 | 9,026 | 73.1% | Pass |
| 107 | Covina Valley Unified School District | \$129,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 17,640 | 24,131 | 73.1% | Pass |
| 108 | Sunnyvale School District | \$120,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | P | 55 | 16,283 | 22,313 | 73.0% | Pass |
| 109 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$49,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento | B | 55 | 5,685 | 7,793 | 73.0% | Pass |
| 110 | Traver Joint Elementary School District | \$700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tulare/Kings | S | 55 | 124 | 170 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 111 | Hilmar Unified School District | \$2,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced | E | 55 | 1,483 | 2,034 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 112 | Live Oak School District | \$14,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Cruz | E | 55 | 4,446 | 6,100 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 113 | Round Valley Unified School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Mendocino | L | 55 | 290 | 398 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 114 | Montebello Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 25,476 | 34,968 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 115 | Lemon Grove School District | \$28,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | W | 55 | 8,635 | 11,853 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 116 | Evergreen School District | \$150,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 15,494 | 21,278 | 72.8% | Pass |
| 117 | Washington Unified School District | \$22,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Yolo | W | 55 | 2,757 | 3,787 | 72.8% | Pass |
| 118 | Bellflower Unified School District | \$79,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | BB | 55 | 16,469 | 22,624 | 72.8% | Pass |
| 119 | Palm Springs Unified School District | \$122,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Riverside | T | 55 | 32,476 | 44,662 | 72.7% | Pass |
| 120 | Franklin-McKinley School District | \$30,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | Q | 55 | 11,366 | 15,632 | 72.7% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 121 | Robla School District | \$29,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sacramento | K | 55 | 1,694 | 2,331 | 72.7% | Pass |
| 122 | Ceres Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus | U | 55 | 9,625 | 13,258 | 72.6% | Pass |
| 123 | Rancho Santiago Community College District | \$198,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | Q | 55 | 45,981 | 63,351 | 72.6% | Pass |
| 124 | El Monte City School District | \$75,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | KC | 55 | 12,515 | 17,253 | 72.5% | Pass |
| 125 | Savanna Elementary School District | \$24,935,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | N | 55 | 5,728 | 7,900 | 72.5% | Pass |
| 126 | Cambrian School District | \$39,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 3,840 | 5,299 | 72.5% | Pass |
| 127 | Natomas Unified School District | \$45,880,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | M | 55 | 5,669 | 7,832 | 72.4% | Pass |
| 128 | Whittier City Unified School District | \$55,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | Z | 55 | 14,205 | 19,629 | 72.4% | Pass |
| 129 | Scotts Valley Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Cruz | A | 55 | 3,978 | 5,512 | 72.2% | Pass |
| 130 | Albany Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Alameda | E | 55 | 4,667 | 6,467 | 72.2% | Pass |
| 131 | Hayward Unified School District | \$205,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Alameda | I | 55 | 11,546 | 16,000 | 72.2% | Pass |
| 132 | Long Beach Unified School District | \$1,200,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 123,253 | 170,892 | 72.1% | Pass |
| 133 | Natomas Unified School District | \$129,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sacramento | J | 55 | 9,091 | 12,606 | 72.1% | Pass |
| 134 | Whittier Union High School District | \$75,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | W | 55 | 51,521 | 71,490 | 72.1% | Pass |
| 135 | Ocean View School District | \$13,200,000 | 2006-11-07 | Ventura | J | 55 | 1,571 | 2,180 | 72.1% | Pass |
| 136 | Baldwin Park Unified School District | \$75,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 6,727 | 9,335 | 72.1% | Pass |
| 137 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$315,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 27,343 | 37,953 | 72.0% | Pass |
| 138 | Alameda Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Alameda | C | 55 | 13,809 | 19,205 | 71.9% | Pass |
| 139 | Parlier Unified School District | \$4,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | P | 55 | 1,152 | 1,603 | 71.9% | Pass |
| 140 | Lemon Grove School District | Reauthorize \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | R | 55 | 4,323 | 6,016 | 71.9% | Pass |
| 141 | College of the Sequoias | \$60,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kings/Tulare | J | 55 | 16,395 | 22,818 | 71.9% | Pass |
| 142 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$300,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 24,391 | 33,958 | 71.8% | Pass |
| 143 | Linns Valley-Poso Flat Elementary School District | \$340,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tulare/Kern | J | 55 | 191 | 266 | 71.8% | Pass |
| 144 | San Luis Coastal Unified School District | \$177,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo | D | 55 | 18,400 | 25,636 | 71.8% | Pass |
| 145 | Los Nietos School District | \$31,140,000 | 2008-06-03 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 738 | 1,029 | 71.7% | Pass |
| 146 | Copper Mountain Community College District | \$19,720,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Bernardino | T | 55 | 12,455 | 17,379 | 71.7% | Pass |
| 147 | Konocti Unified School District | \$18,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Lake | G | 55 | 5,173 | 7,219 | 71.7% | Pass |
| 148 | Soquel Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Cruz | A | 55 | 5,118 | 7,145 | 71.6% | Pass |
| 149 | Santa Barbara Elementary School District | \$75,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Barbara | R | 55 | 18,701 | 26,108 | 71.6% | Pass |
| 150 | Rosemead School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | RS | 55 | 1,635 | 2,283 | 71.6% | Pass |
| 151 | East Side Union High School District | \$120,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | M | 55 | 102,531 | 143,231 | 71.6% | Pass |
| 152 | Monterey Peninsula Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Monterey | P | 55 | 15,558 | 21,745 | 71.5% | Pass |
| 153 | Coast Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$12,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Luis Obispo | B | 55 | 2,260 | 3,159 | 71.5% | Pass |
| 154 | Los Gatos Union School District | \$30,900,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 6,412 | 8,966 | 71.5% | Pass |
| 155 | Torrance Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | Z | 55 | 41,832 | 58,516 | 71.5% | Pass |
| 156 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 4 | \$68,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | P | 55 | 11,677 | 16,337 | 71.5% | Pass |
| 157 | San Bernardino City Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Bernardino | N | 55 | 34,902 | 48,873 | 71.4% | Pass |
| 158 | Southwestern Community College District | \$389,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | R | 55 | 95,460 | 133,681 | 71.4% | Pass |
| 159 | East Side Union High School District | \$349,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 68,929 | 96,559 | 71.4% | Pass |
| 160 | San Jose Unified School District | \$290,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 63,447 | 88,944 | 71.3% | Pass |
| 161 | Winters Joint Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Solano/Yolo | R | 55 | 1,097 | 1,538 | 71.3% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 162 | Delhi Unified School District | \$8,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Merced | E | 55 | 1,435 | 2,013 | 71.3% | Pass |
| 163 | Lincoln Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Joaquin | P | 55 | 12,065 | 16,925 | 71.3% | Pass |
| 164 | Oceanside Unified School District | \$195,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | San Diego | H | 55 | 10,952 | 15,366 | 71.3% | Pass |
| 165 | Jefferson Union High School District | \$133,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 17,090 | 24,018 | 71.2% | Pass |
| 166 | Central School District | \$31,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | A | 55 | 9,072 | 12,753 | 71.1% | Pass |
| 167 | San Francisco Unified School District | \$531,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 134,695 | 189,445 | 71.1% | Pass |
| 168 | Kerman Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | K | 55 | 2,407 | 3,387 | 71.1% | Pass |
| 169 | Rio School District | \$38,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | G | 55 | 3,753 | 5,291 | 70.9% | Pass |
| 170 | Mendocino Unified School District | \$15,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Mendocino | AA | 55 | 2,327 | 3,281 | 70.9% | Pass |
| 171 | Kentfield School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Marin | D | 55 | 3,314 | 4,673 | 70.9% | Pass |
| 172 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$34,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | E | 55 | 2,041 | 2,878 | 70.9% | Pass |
| 173 | Larkspur-Corte Madera School District | \$26,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | Marin | A | 55 | 3,243 | 4,574 | 70.9% | Pass |
| 174 | Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 15,496 | 21,894 | 70.8% | Pass |
| 175 | William S. Hart Union High School District | \$158,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 18,100 | 25,584 | 70.7% | Pass |
| 176 | Orchard School District | \$40,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 391 | 553 | 70.7% | Pass |
| 177 | Alhambra Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 26,048 | 36,862 | 70.7% | Pass |
| 178 | Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3 | \$43,100,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Joaquin/Alameda | S | 55 | 14,856 | 21,036 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 179 | Stanislaus Union School District | \$39,800,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus | T | 55 | 7,213 | 10,214 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 180 | Howell Mountain School District | \$2,900,000 | 2005-11-08 | Napa | C | 55 | 663 | 939 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 181 | San Francisco Unified School District | \$295,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 139,814 | 198,068 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 182 | Menlo Park City School District | \$91,100,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Mateo | U | 55 | 4,936 | 6,993 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 183 | Standard School District | Reauthorize \$11,200,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | Q | 55 | 4,179 | 5,923 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 184 | Murietta Valley Unified School District | \$40,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | K | 55 | 11,119 | 15,781 | 70.5% | Pass |
| 185 | Santa Barbara Community College District | \$77,242,012 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Barbara | V | 55 | 28,397 | 40,308 | 70.5% | Pass |
| 186 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$20,700,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | B | 55 | 1,285 | 1,825 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 187 | Rowland Unified School District | \$118,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles/Orange | R | 55 | 4,790 | 6,804 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 188 | Santa Rosa Elementary School District | \$19,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 9,204 | 13,074 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 189 | Santa Paula Union High School District | \$39,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | Q | 55 | 5,871 | 8,340 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 190 | Kentfield School District | \$11,900,000 | 2004-03-02 | Marin | E | 55 | 3,259 | 4,630 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 191 | Sanger Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno | S | 55 | 9,986 | 14,190 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 192 | Cerritos Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 83,524 | 118,758 | 70.3% | Pass |
| 193 | Lancaster Elementary School District | \$63,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | L | 55 | 21,804 | 31,042 | 70.2% | Pass |
| 194 | Jefferson Union High School District | \$136,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 22,025 | 31,358 | 70.2% | Pass |
| 195 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$14,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kern | G | 55 | 804 | 1,145 | 70.2% | Pass |
| 196 | Dinuba Unified School District | \$14,915,000 | 2002-11-05 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 2,266 | 3,228 | 70.2% | Pass |
| 197 | Reed Union School District | \$13,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Marin | B | 55 | 3,907 | 5,566 | 70.2% | Pass |
| 198 | Redlands Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | R | 55 | 17,850 | 25,448 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 199 | La Honda-Pescadero Unified | \$15,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 918 | 1,309 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 200 | Los Angeles Community College District | \$3,500,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 1,057,738 | 1,508,687 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 201 | Sacramento City Unified School District | \$346,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | Q | 55 | 74,681 | 106,528 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 202 | San Gabriel Unified School District | \$65,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 5,240 | 7,475 | 70.1% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 203 | Selma Unified School District | \$23,800,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno | A | 55 | 3,091 | 4,410 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 204 | San Gabriel Unified School District | \$47,150,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 3,411 | 4,867 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 205 | Sebastopol Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | H | 55 | 2,869 | 4,094 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 206 | Larkspur-Corte Madera School District | \$19,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Marin | D | 55 | 2,725 | 3,889 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 207 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$160,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | U | 55 | 13,540 | 19,347 | 70.0% | Pass |
| 208 | Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tulare/Kings/Fresno | R | 55 | 2,221 | 3,175 | 70.0% | Pass |
| 209 | Mt. San Antonio Community College District | \$353,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles/Orange | RR/CC | 55 | 164,177 | 234,717 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 210 | Glendale Unified School District | \$270,000,000 | 2011-04-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 13,637 | 19,501 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 211 | East Side Union High School District | \$298,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 33,345 | 47,687 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 212 | Modesto City Schools (Modesto City Elementary School District) | \$17,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | S | 55 | 9,167 | 13,110 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 213 | Franklin-McKinley School District | \$50,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 10,860 | 15,534 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 214 | Greenfield Union School District | \$40,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Kern | H | 55 | 3,241 | 4,636 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 215 | Pasadena City College | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | P | 55 | 39,129 | 56,024 | 69.8% | Pass |
| 216 | Castro Valley Unified School District | \$16,800,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | C | 55 | 6,861 | 9,836 | 69.8% | Pass |
| 217 | Rio Bravo-Greeley Union School District | \$10,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Kern | B | 55 | 957 | 1,372 | 69.8% | Pass |
| 218 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$7,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Tulare | C | 55 | 1,099 | 1,576 | 69.7% | Pass |
| 219 | Antelope Valley Community College | \$139,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles/Kern | R | 55 | 74,966 | 107,579 | 69.7% | Pass |
| 220 | Washington Colony Elementary School District | \$400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | B | 55 | 350 | 503 | 69.6% | Pass |
| 221 | Bishop Union Elementary School District | \$3,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Inyo | A | 55 | 3,740 | 5,375 | 69.6% | Pass |
| 222 | Santa Barbara High School District | \$75,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Barbara | Q | 55 | 41,893 | 60,237 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 223 | La Mesa Spring Valley School District | \$44,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | M | 55 | 16,326 | 23,478 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 224 | Cayucos Elementary School District | \$4,950,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Luis Obispo | P | 55 | 1,316 | 1,893 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 225 | Rialto Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Bernardino | Y | 55 | 12,608 | 18,137 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 226 | Desert Sands Unified School District | \$225,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | KK | 55 | 27,027 | 38,889 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 227 | Millbrae School District | \$30,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Mateo | X | 55 | 6,646 | 9,566 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 228 | Lakeport Unified School District | \$7,300,000 | 2001-11-06 | Lake | W | 55 | 1,246 | 1,794 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 229 | Stockton Unified School District | \$120,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Joaquin | C | 55 | 18,858 | 27,167 | 69.4% | Pass |
| 230 | River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$14,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sacramento/Solano/Yolo | U | 55 | 3,017 | 4,347 | 69.4% | Pass |
| 231 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$419,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 18,958 | 27,320 | 69.4% | Pass |
| 232 | Imperial Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Imperial | I | 55 | 1,265 | 1,823 | 69.4% | Pass |
| 233 | Berryessa Union School District | \$77,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | L | 55 | 10,622 | 15,320 | 69.3% | Pass |
| 234 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$70,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 63,187 | 91,162 | 69.3% | Pass |
| 235 | San Jose Unified School District | \$429,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | F | 55 | 23,248 | 33,549 | 69.3% | Pass |
| 236 | North Sacramento School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$17,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento | C | 55 | 2,523 | 3,641 | 69.3% | Pass |
| 237 | Moorpark Unified School District | \$33,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Ventura | R | 55 | 4,153 | 5,996 | 69.3% | Pass |
| 238 | Cypress School District | \$53,600,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | M | 55 | 12,493 | 18,045 | 69.2% | Pass |
| 239 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5 | \$125,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 8,199 | 11,845 | 69.2% | Pass |
| 240 | Traver Joint Elementary School District | \$700,000 | 2004-11-02 | Tulare/Kings | A | 55 | 146 | 211 | 69.2% | Pass |
| 241 | Fort Bragg Unified School District | \$16,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Mendocino | C | 55 | 3,329 | 4,813 | 69.2% | Pass |
| 242 | Moreland School District | \$55,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | K | 55 | 9,035 | 13,066 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 243 | Planada Elementary School District | \$2,900,000 | 2008-11-04 | Merced | O | 55 | 625 | 904 | 69.1% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 244 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$7,000,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 885,467 | 1,281,319 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 245 | Santa Rosa Elementary School District | \$54,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 11,000 | 15,919 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 246 | Carlsbad Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | P | 55 | 16,250 | 23,522 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 247 | Val Verde Unified School District | \$43,440,000 | 2008-06-03 | Riverside | J | 55 | 2,323 | 3,363 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 248 | Hope Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Barbara | L | 55 | 3,278 | 4,746 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 249 | Oakley Union Elementary School District | \$16,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Contra Costa | H | 55 | 5,988 | 8,670 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 250 | Exeter Union High School District | \$4,350,000 | 2001-03-06 | Tulare | S | 55 | 1,268 | 1,836 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 251 | Roseland School District | \$7,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | N | 55 | 1,612 | 2,335 | 69.0% | Pass |
| 252 | Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District | \$5,950,000 | 2008-11-04 | Humboldt/Trinity | S | 55 | 1,287 | 1,865 | 69.0% | Pass |
| 253 | Covina Valley Unified School District | \$66,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 5,432 | 7,872 | 69.0% | Pass |
| 254 | Lagunitas Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Marin | A | 55 | 754 | 1,093 | 69.0% | Pass |
| 255 | Geyserville Unified School District | \$3,250,000 | 2008-02-05 | Sonoma | A | 55 | 498 | 722 | 69.0% | Pass |
| 256 | Stockton Unified School District | \$464,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Joaquin | Q | 55 | 20,331 | 29,495 | 68.9% | Pass |
| 257 | Pajaro Valley Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Cruz/Monterey | L | 55 | 23,645 | 34,304 | 68.9% | Pass |
| 258 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5 | \$195,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sacramento | G | 55 | 13,332 | 19,350 | 68.9% | Pass |
| 259 | Turlock Unified School District (Turlock Elementary School District) | \$10,950,000 | 2002-11-05 | Stanislaus/Merced | D | 55 | 8,989 | 13,048 | 68.9% | Pass |
| 260 | Union School District | \$125,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 5,958 | 8,656 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 261 | Hemet Unified School District | Reauthorize \$49,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | U | 55 | 25,661 | 37,287 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 262 | Chula Vista Elementary School District SFID No. 1 | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | E | 55 | 36,265 | 52,699 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 263 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$35,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Placer/Nevada/ | H | 55 | 8,856 | 12,872 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 264 | Washington Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Yolo | Q | 55 | 5,213 | 7,578 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 265 | El Rancho Unified School District | \$49,500,000 | 2003-11-04 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 1,788 | 2,601 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 266 | San Diego Unified School District | \$2,100,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | S | 55 | 261,672 | 380,808 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 267 | New Haven Unified School District | \$125,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Alameda | M | 55 | 8,962 | 13,044 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 268 | Laytonville Unified School District | \$5,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Mendocino | Q | 55 | 610 | 888 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 269 | Bellevue Union School District | \$19,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 3,953 | 5,755 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 270 | Perris Elementary School District | \$25,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | S | 55 | 2,823 | 4,111 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 271 | San Leandro Unified School District | \$109,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Alameda | B | 55 | 11,398 | 16,600 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 272 | Spreckels Union School District | \$5,335,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | D | 55 | 1,594 | 2,322 | 68.6% | Pass |
| 273 | San Diego Community College District | \$685,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | S | 55 | 140,577 | 204,797 | 68.6% | Pass |
| 274 | Soulsbyville Elementary School District | \$1,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tuolumne | B | 55 | 1,057 | 1,541 | 68.6% | Pass |
| 275 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$53,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento | C | 55 | 8,657 | 12,623 | 68.6% | Pass |
| 276 | Porterville Unified School District FID | \$23,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tulare | A | 55 | 3,681 | 5,368 | 68.6% | Pass |
| 277 | San Rafael Elementary School District (San Rafael City Schools) | \$49,300,000 | 2002-11-05 | Marin | B | 55 | 9,365 | 13,665 | 68.5% | Pass |
| 278 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Contra Costa | L | 55 | 7,391 | 10,787 | 68.5% | Pass |
| 279 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Contra Costa | N | 55 | 5,483 | 8,007 | 68.5% | Pass |
| 280 | Woodside Elementary School District | \$12,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | D | 55 | 1,042 | 1,522 | 68.5% | Pass |
| 281 | Sunnyvale School District | \$96,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 6,995 | 10,220 | 68.4% | Pass |
| 282 | Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District | \$9,300,000 | 2005-11-08 | Tuolumne | M | 55 | 1,563 | 2,285 | 68.4% | Pass |
| 283 | North Monterey County Unified School District | \$20,750,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | E | 55 | 4,188 | 6,123 | 68.4% | Pass |
| 284 | Petaluma Joint Union High School District | \$68,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | E | 55 | 4,652 | 6,813 | 68.3% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 285 | El Camino Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 129,939 | 190,356 | 68.3% | Pass |
| 286 | Ojai Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | J | 55 | 5,223 | 7,655 | 68.2% | Pass |
| 287 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$88,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | G | 55 | 23,927 | 35,083 | 68.2% | Pass |
| 288 | Exeter Union Elementary School District | \$6,400,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | D | 55 | 2,066 | 3,031 | 68.2% | Pass |
| 289 | Helendale School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | X | 55 | 1,707 | 2,507 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 290 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$3,350,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 488,849 | 718,030 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 291 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$24,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kern | H | 55 | 4,089 | 6,007 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 292 | Santa Clarita Community College District | \$82,110,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 16,370 | 24,050 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 293 | Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District | \$385,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | ES | 55 | 29,874 | 43,892 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 294 | Escalon Unified School District | \$7,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | T | 55 | 2,402 | 3,531 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 295 | Mark West Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | I | 55 | 2,957 | 4,348 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 296 | San Carlos Unified School District | \$72,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 9,534 | 14,023 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 297 | Redwood Shores SFID | \$25,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 2,312 | 3,401 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 298 | Fillmore Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Ventura | E | 55 | 3,650 | 5,370 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 299 | Loleta Union School District | \$456,000 | 2004-11-02 | Humboldt | K | 55 | 422 | 621 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 300 | Tracy Unified School District | \$51,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Joaquin/Alameda | E | 55 | 7,230 | 10,644 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 301 | Banta Elementary School District | \$800,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | X | 55 | 216 | 318 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 302 | East Side Union High School District | \$113,200,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 59,092 | 87,014 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 303 | Victor Valley Union High School District | \$500,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | V | 55 | 24,037 | 35,400 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 304 | Big Creek Elementary School District | \$1,400,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | M | 55 | 167 | 246 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 305 | Midway School District | \$7,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | F | 55 | 93 | 137 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 306 | Kernville Union School District | \$5,600,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | E | 55 | 2,559 | 3,770 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 307 | Salinas Union High School District | \$37,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | M | 55 | 14,651 | 21,589 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 308 | Sacramento City Unified School District | \$68,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | R | 55 | 71,820 | 105,834 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 309 | Modesto City Schools (Modesto High School District) | \$65,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | T | 55 | 20,783 | 30,639 | 67.8% | Pass |
| 310 | Briggs Elementary School District | \$4,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | K | 55 | 398 | 587 | 67.8% | Pass |
| 311 | Banning Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | L | 55 | 2,620 | 3,865 | 67.8% | Pass |
| 312 | Dixon Unified School District | \$29,200,000 | 2002-11-05 | Solano | J | 55 | 3,313 | 4,896 | 67.7% | Pass |
| 313 | Merced Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$11,930,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced/Madera | J | 55 | 4,644 | 6,863 | 67.7% | Pass |
| 314 | Sonoma Valley Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | H | 55 | 10,581 | 15,649 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 315 | San Rafael High School District (San Rafael City Schools) | \$49,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Marin | A | 55 | 15,011 | 22,208 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 316 | Mountain View Whisman Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 7,341 | 10,863 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 317 | Gilroy Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Santa Clara | P | 55 | 11,989 | 17,741 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 318 | Visalia Unified School District | \$60,100,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | E | 55 | 26,898 | 39,806 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 319 | East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sutter/Placer | W | 55 | 583 | 863 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 320 | Evergreen School District | \$100,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | M | 55 | 14,002 | 20,740 | 67.5% | Pass |
| 321 | Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District | \$268,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | BB | 55 | 22,927 | 33,960 | 67.5% | Pass |
| 322 | Burlingame School District | \$56,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | D | 55 | 8,609 | 12,754 | 67.5% | Pass |
| 323 | Healdsburg Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$18,820,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | H | 55 | 3,519 | 5,214 | 67.5% | Pass |
| 324 | Rowland Unified School District | \$158,800,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles/Orange | R | 55 | 17,181 | 25,465 | 67.5% | Pass |
| 325 | Sweetwater Union High School District | \$644,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | O | 55 | 48,916 | 72,502 | 67.5% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| 326 | Stockton Unified School District | \$114,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Joaquin | E | 55 | 14,751 | 21,871 | 67.4% | Pass |
| 327 | Somis Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | S | 55 | 955 | 1,416 | 67.4% | Pass |
| 328 | Washington Unified School District | \$49,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Yolo | V | 55 | 6,118 | 9,072 | 67.4% | Pass |
| 329 | Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified School District | \$4,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Fresno/Merced | G | 55 | 1,130 | 1,676 | 67.4% | Pass |
| 330 | Livingston Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Merced | B | 55 | 1,002 | 1,487 | 67.4% | Pass |
| 331 | Terra Bella Union Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | 2008-11-04 | Tulare | K | 55 | 763 | 1,133 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 332 | Acalanes Union High School District | \$44,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 30,163 | 44,797 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 333 | Victor Elementary School District | \$150,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | E | 55 | 15,167 | 22,529 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 334 | Ontario-Montclair School District | \$59,655,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | T | 55 | 11,472 | 17,042 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 335 | El Segundo Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 5,602 | 8,326 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 336 | Jefferson School District | \$35,400,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Joaquin | J | 55 | 2,354 | 3,500 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 337 | Hughson Unified School District | \$14,300,000 | 2004-11-02 | Stanislaus | G | 55 | 2,788 | 4,146 | 67.2% | Pass |
| 338 | Sacramento City Unified School District | \$225,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | I | 55 | 49,115 | 73,041 | 67.2% | Pass |
| 339 | Vista Unified School District | \$140,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | O | 55 | 17,506 | 26,037 | 67.2% | Pass |
| 340 | Tustin Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | G | 55 | 12,792 | 19,054 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 341 | Monrovia Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 4,301 | 6,409 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 342 | Central School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Bernardino | N | 55 | 4,141 | 6,171 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 343 | El Monte City School District | \$78,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 4,614 | 6,877 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 344 | Fremont Union High School District | \$198,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Clara | B | 55 | 22,100 | 32,949 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 345 | Centralia School District | \$17,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | H | 55 | 5,760 | 8,589 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 346 | Downey Unified School District | \$65,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | D | 55 | 13,257 | 19,771 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 347 | Chowchilla Union High School District | \$8,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Madera | N | 55 | 2,258 | 3,368 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 348 | Dinuba Unified School District | \$37,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Tulare | T | 55 | 2,205 | 3,290 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 349 | San Bernardino Community College District | \$500,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino/Riverside | M | 55 | 87,405 | 130,437 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 350 | San Jacinto Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | V | 55 | 4,153 | 6,198 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 351 | Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 14,678 | 21,911 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 352 | Loma Prieta Schools | \$4,965,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | K | 55 | 982 | 1,466 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 353 | Hanford Joint Union High School District | \$33,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 12,549 | 18,737 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 354 | Caruthers Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno | C | 55 | 1,264 | 1,888 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 355 | Brawley Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | Imperial | S | 55 | 4,081 | 6,096 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 356 | Arcadia Unified School District | \$218,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 10,104 | 15,093 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 357 | Mill Valley School District | \$59,800,000 | 2009-11-03 | Marin | C | 55 | 5,530 | 8,261 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 358 | Oak View Union School District | \$3,700,000 | 2008-06-03 | San Joaquin | R | 55 | 380 | 568 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 359 | Cascade Union Elementary School District | \$12,400,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | C | 55 | 3,211 | 4,800 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 360 | Buena Park Elementary School District | \$71,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Orange | B | 55 | 2,229 | 3,333 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 361 | Tamalpais Union High School District | \$79,920,000 | 2006-06-06 | Marin | A | 55 | 23,420 | 35,020 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 362 | Placerville Union School District | \$5,300,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 3,274 | 4,896 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 363 | Middletown Unified School District | \$15,300,000 | 2006-11-07 | Lake | X | 55 | 2,020 | 3,021 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 364 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$11,800,000 | 2008-06-03 | Fresno/Madera | Q | 55 | 782 | 1,171 | 66.8% | Pass |
| 365 | Rincon Valley Union School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | F | 55 | 6,816 | 10,209 | 66.8% | Pass |
| 366 | Torrance Unified School District | \$144,300,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | T | 55 | 21,424 | 32,113 | 66.7% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 367 | Newhall School District | \$60,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 3,706 | 5,556 | 66.7% | Pass |
| 368 | Kern High School District | \$219,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Kern | N | 55 | 97,540 | 146,279 | 66.7% | Pass |
| 369 | Campbell Union School District | \$74,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 8,772 | 13,156 | 66.7% | Pass |
| 370 | Pajaro Valley Unified School District | \$58,250,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Cruz/Monterey | J or L | 55 | 14,352 | 21,530 | 66.7% | Pass |
| 371 | Chualar Union Elementary School District | \$1,900,000 | 2001-11-06 | Monterey | K | 55 | 157 | 236 | 66.5% | Pass |
| 372 | John Swett Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 1,778 | 2,673 | 66.5% | Pass |
| 373 | San Mateo Union High School District | \$298,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Mateo | M | 55 | 42,296 | 63,640 | 66.5% | Pass |
| 374 | Susanville Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Lassen | S | 55 | 3,025 | 4,552 | 66.5% | Pass |
| 375 | Hillsborough City School District | \$66,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Mateo | B | 55 | 2,838 | 4,271 | 66.4% | Pass |
| 376 | Redlands Unified School District | \$65,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino | J | 55 | 21,545 | 32,446 | 66.4% | Pass |
| 377 | Oxnard School District | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | R | 55 | 19,968 | 30,078 | 66.4% | Pass |
| 378 | Perris Elementary School District | \$40,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Riverside | C | 55 | 1,153 | 1,738 | 66.3% | Pass |
| 379 | Carpinteria Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara | U | 55 | 3,901 | 5,881 | 66.3% | Pass |
| 380 | Cupertino Union School District | \$220,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 17,510 | 26,432 | 66.2% | Pass |
| 381 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$388,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 102,629 | 154,995 | 66.2% | Pass |
| 382 | Cottonwood Union Elementary School District | \$2,200,000 | 2003-11-04 | Shasta | A | 55 | 1,111 | 1,678 | 66.2% | Pass |
| 383 | Patterson Joint Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus/Santa Clara | V | 55 | 3,982 | 6,015 | 66.2% | Pass |
| 384 | Columbia Union Elementary School District | \$3,600,000 | 2003-03-04 | Tuolumne | G | 55 | 937 | 1,417 | 66.1% | Pass |
| 385 | Forestville Union School District | \$5,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | K | 55 | 2,104 | 3,183 | 66.1% | Pass |
| 386 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$3,985,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 443,905 | 671,636 | 66.1% | Pass |
| 387 | College of the Sequoias | \$28,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Tulare | I | 55 | 35,713 | 54,070 | 66.0% | Pass |
| 388 | Farmersville Unified School District | \$4,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Tulare | A | 55 | 575 | 871 | 66.0% | Pass |
| 389 | Gravenstein Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,971 | 2,986 | 66.0% | Pass |
| 390 | Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District | \$102,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | Y | 55 | 18,300 | 27,735 | 66.0% | Pass |
| 391 | Sutter Union High School District | \$12,600,000 | 2008-06-03 | Sutter | T | 55 | 1,194 | 1,810 | 66.0% | Pass |
| 392 | Parlier Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Fresno | P | 55 | 554 | 840 | 66.0% | Pass |
| 393 | Conejo Valley Unified School District | \$197,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | I | 55 | 27,468 | 41,652 | 65.9% | Pass |
| 394 | Redondo Beach Unified School District | \$145,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 13,516 | 20,505 | 65.9% | Pass |
| 395 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District | \$25,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 9,509 | 14,431 | 65.9% | Pass |
| 396 | San Mateo-Foster City School District | \$175,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 48,116 | 73,047 | 65.9% | Pass |
| 397 | Gustine Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Merced | P | 55 | 962 | 1,461 | 65.8% | Pass |
| 398 | Butte-Glenn Community College District | \$84,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Butte/Glenn | A | 55 | 36,012 | 54,724 | 65.8% | Pass |
| 399 | Fort Bragg Unified School District | \$22,200,000 | 2003-11-04 | Mendocino | D | 55 | 1,946 | 2,958 | 65.8% | Pass |
| 400 | Hydesville Elementary School District | \$1,100,000 | 2014-06-03 | Humboldt | M | 55 | 244 | 371 | 65.8% | Pass |
| 401 | Hartnell Community College District | \$131,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey/San Benito | H | 55 | 29,768 | 45,263 | 65.8% | Pass |
| 402 | San Juan Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | J | 55 | 63,010 | 95,831 | 65.8% | Pass |
| 403 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$11,600,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito | C | 55 | 2,656 | 4,040 | 65.7% | Pass |
| 404 | Morgan Hill Unified School District | \$198,250,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 15,243 | 23,187 | 65.7% | Pass |
| 405 | Foothill-De Anza Community College District | \$490,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Clara | C | 55 | 44,924 | 68,392 | 65.7% | Pass |
| 406 | Magnolia School District | \$16,300,000 | 2010-11-02 | Orange | I | 55 | 6,366 | 9,693 | 65.7% | Pass |
| 407 | Kings River Union Elementary School District | \$850,000 | 2005-11-08 | Tulare | E | 55 | 300 | 457 | 65.6% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 408 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$265,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 22,995 | 35,047 | 65.6% | Pass |
| 409 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$207,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 58,530 | 89,208 | 65.6% | Pass |
| 410 | Bonsall Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Diego | C | 55 | 2,767 | 4,218 | 65.6% | Pass |
| 411 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Monterey | D | 55 | 3,411 | 5,200 | 65.6% | Pass |
| 412 | Ventura County Community College District | \$356,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Ventura | S | 55 | 77,854 | 118,715 | 65.6% | Pass |
| 413 | Oak Grove School District | \$89,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | P | 55 | 13,056 | 19,918 | 65.5% | Pass |
| 414 | Mt. Diablo Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 31,530 | 48,112 | 65.5% | Pass |
| 415 | Martinez Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Contra Costa | K | 55 | 6,863 | 10,474 | 65.5% | Pass |
| 416 | Greenfield Union School District | \$5,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Kern | A | 55 | 2,242 | 3,423 | 65.5% | Pass |
| 417 | Fontana Unified School District | \$275,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Orange | C | 55 | 5,598 | 8,547 | 65.5% | Pass |
| 418 | Santa Rosa High School District | \$77,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 29,276 | 44,707 | 65.5% | Pass |
| 419 | Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$15,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 9,946 | 15,195 | 65.5% | Pass |
| 420 | San Jose-Evergreen Community College District | \$185,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 141,070 | 215,731 | 65.4% | Pass |
| 421 | Moorpark Unified School District | \$39,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | S | 55 | 9,560 | 14,620 | 65.4% | Pass |
| 422 | Los Alamitos Unified School District | \$126,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | K | 55 | 13,740 | 21,015 | 65.4% | Pass |
| 423 | Amador County Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Amador | F | 55 | 8,332 | 12,752 | 65.3% | Pass |
| 424 | Atascadero Unified School District | \$117,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Luis Obispo | I | 55 | 9,165 | 14,029 | 65.3% | Pass |
| 425 | Wheatland School District | \$5,700,000 | 2008-11-04 | Yuba | R | 55 | 1,465 | 2,243 | 65.3% | Pass |
| 426 | Riverbank Unified School District | \$15,200,000 | 2005-11-08 | Stanislaus | P | 55 | 1,584 | 2,426 | 65.3% | Pass |
| 427 | Mesa Union Elementary School District | \$3,900,000 | 2002-11-05 | Ventura | H | 55 | 786 | 1,204 | 65.3% | Pass |
| 428 | Wasco Union High School District | \$33,500,000 | 2008-06-03 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,070 | 1,640 | 65.2% | Pass |
| 429 | Pioneer Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kings | P | 55 | 1,524 | 2,336 | 65.2% | Pass |
| 430 | Calistoga Joint Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma/Napa | A | 55 | 1,572 | 2,411 | 65.2% | Pass |
| 431 | Clovis Unified School District | \$298,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Fresno | M | 55 | 23,752 | 36,429 | 65.2% | Pass |
| 432 | Coast Community College District | \$370,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | C | 55 | 90,198 | 138,348 | 65.2% | Pass |
| 433 | Brisbane Elementary School District | \$11,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 706 | 1,083 | 65.2% | Pass |
| 434 | Coachella Valley Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside/Imperial | X | 55 | 7,191 | 11,032 | 65.2% | Pass |
| 435 | Hermosa Beach City School District | \$13,600,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 3,874 | 5,944 | 65.2% | Pass |
| 436 | Healdsburg Unified School District | \$3,990,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 3,922 | 6,023 | 65.1% | Pass |
| 437 | Anderson Valley Unified School District | \$15,250,000 | 2010-06-08 | Mendocino | A | 55 | 551 | 847 | 65.1% | Pass |
| 438 | Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 4 | \$82,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Joaquin/Alameda | B | 55 | 4,570 | 7,028 | 65.0% | Pass |
| 439 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Humboldt/Mendocino | X | 55 | 1,632 | 2,510 | 65.0% | Pass |
| 440 | Panama-Buena Vista Union School District | \$147,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | P | 55 | 23,690 | 36,447 | 65.0% | Pass |
| 441 | Long Beach Community College District | \$176,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 34,580 | 53,225 | 65.0% | Pass |
| 442 | Chico Unified School District | \$78,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Butte | E | 55 | 27,112 | 41,734 | 65.0% | Pass |
| 443 | Redwood City Elementary School District | \$22,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Mateo | B | 55 | 8,946 | 13,774 | 64.9% | Pass |
| 444 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$18,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 4,257 | 6,556 | 64.9% | Pass |
| 445 | Walnut Creek School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 11,876 | 18,290 | 64.9% | Pass |
| 446 | Petaluma City School District | \$21,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 9,760 | 15,033 | 64.9% | Pass |
| 447 | Beverly Hills Unified School District | \$334,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 9,484 | 14,616 | 64.9% | Pass |
| 448 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$120,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 112,062 | 172,726 | 64.9% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 449 | Centinela Valley Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | CV | 55 | 16,057 | 24,754 | 64.9% | Pass |
| 450 | Twin Hills Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,975 | 3,046 | 64.8% | Pass |
| 451 | Fremont Union High School District | \$295,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | K | 55 | 31,982 | 49,326 | 64.8% | Pass |
| 452 | Alisal Union School District | \$90,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Monterey | A | 55 | 3,414 | 5,266 | 64.8% | Pass |
| 453 | Standard School District | \$28,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | D | 55 | 2,166 | 3,342 | 64.8% | Pass |
| 454 | Stone Corral Elementary School District | \$715,000 | 2008-06-03 | Tulare | F | 55 | 46 | 71 | 64.8% | Pass |
| 455 | Guerneville School District | \$6,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | F | 55 | 993 | 1,533 | 64.8% | Pass |
| 456 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District | \$48,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 7,017 | 10,837 | 64.8% | Pass |
| 457 | Imperial Valley College | \$80,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Imperial | J | 55 | 16,325 | 25,220 | 64.7% | Pass |
| 458 | Sanger Unified School District | \$30,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Fresno | S | 55 | 3,298 | 5,097 | 64.7% | Pass |
| 459 | Cayucos Elementary School District | \$2,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Luis Obispo | Z | 55 | 1,003 | 1,551 | 64.7% | Pass |
| 460 | Monterey Peninsula Community College District | \$145,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | I | 55 | 23,711 | 36,677 | 64.6% | Pass |
| 461 | Castaic Union School District | \$51,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | QS | 55 | 5,682 | 8,793 | 64.6% | Pass |
| 462 | Nuview Union School District | Reauthorize \$4,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | V | 55 | 1,632 | 2,526 | 64.6% | Pass |
| 463 | Lakeside Union School District | \$79,550,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | I | 55 | 10,695 | 16,561 | 64.6% | Pass |
| 464 | Oxnard School District | \$64,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Ventura | M | 55 | 12,655 | 19,631 | 64.5% | Pass |
| 465 | Liberty School District | \$1,920,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 618 | 959 | 64.4% | Pass |
| 466 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$81,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 21,839 | 33,890 | 64.4% | Pass |
| 467 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$360,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 50,266 | 78,060 | 64.4% | Pass |
| 468 | Valley Home Joint School District | \$1,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Stanislaus/San Joaquin | S | 55 | 264 | 410 | 64.4% | Pass |
| 469 | Temple City Unified School District | \$128,800,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 6,454 | 10,029 | 64.4% | Pass |
| 470 | Woodside Elementary School District | \$13,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | D | 55 | 713 | 1,108 | 64.4% | Pass |
| 471 | Kerman Unified School District | \$24,900,000 | 2008-06-03 | Fresno | K | 55 | 931 | 1,447 | 64.3% | Pass |
| 472 | Blue Lake Union Elementary School District | \$2,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt | K | 55 | 528 | 821 | 64.3% | Pass |
| 473 | College of the Redwoods | \$40,320,000 | 2004-11-02 | Del Norte/Humboldt/ Mendocino | B/Q | 55 | 52,249 | 81,284 | 64.3% | Pass |
| 474 | Redondo Beach Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 18,786 | 29,232 | 64.3% | Pass |
| 475 | Acalanes Union High School District | \$93,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 39,556 | 61,553 | 64.3% | Pass |
| 476 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | \$25,200,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt/Mendocino | L | 55 | 1,528 | 2,379 | 64.2% | Pass |
| 477 | Eureka Unified School District | \$32,515,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt | T | 55 | 5,059 | 7,881 | 64.2% | Pass |
| 478 | Armona Union Elementary School District | \$2,785,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kings | S | 55 | 534 | 832 | 64.2% | Pass |
| 479 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$468,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 114,319 | 178,121 | 64.2% | Pass |
| 480 | Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus | R | 55 | 1,998 | 3,114 | 64.2% | Pass |
| 481 | Lakeport Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Lake | T | 55 | 1,543 | 2,405 | 64.2% | Pass |
| 482 | Cajon Valley Union School District | \$156,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | D | 55 | 23,696 | 36,946 | 64.1% | Pass |
| 483 | Las Virgenes Unified School District | \$128,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles/Ventura | G | 55 | 6,865 | 10,705 | 64.1% | Pass |
| 484 | Rancho Santiago Community College District | \$337,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | E | 55 | 51,789 | 80,771 | 64.1% | Pass |
| 485 | Escalon Unified School District | \$19,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | B | 55 | 3,279 | 5,115 | 64.1% | Pass |
| 486 | Saratoga Union School District | \$19,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | L | 55 | 3,903 | 6,091 | 64.1% | Pass |
| 487 | Moreno Valley Unified School District | \$398,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | M | 55 | 12,348 | 19,276 | 64.1% | Pass |
| 488 | Milpitas Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 5,412 | 8,449 | 64.1% | Pass |
| 489 | Maricopa Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Kern | O | 55 | 342 | 534 | 64.0% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 490 | Santa Rosa High School District | \$175,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | I | 55 | 34,086 | 53,235 | 64.0% | Pass |
| 491 | Wilmar Union School District | \$4,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | P | 55 | 1,075 | 1,679 | 64.0% | Pass |
| 492 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID | \$35,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 6,744 | 10,539 | 64.0% | Pass |
| 493 | Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District | \$99,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | E | 55 | 8,331 | 13,020 | 64.0% | Pass |
| 494 | Bear Valley Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | Q | 55 | 3,423 | 5,351 | 64.0% | Pass |
| 495 | Anaheim City Elementary School District | \$169,300,000 | 2010-11-02 | Orange | G | 55 | 19,550 | 30,580 | 63.9% | Pass |
| 496 | Poway Unified School District SFID 2007-1 | \$179,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | C | 55 | 28,323 | 44,318 | 63.9% | Pass |
| 497 | Salinas City Elementary School District | \$80,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Monterey | T | 55 | 5,513 | 8,627 | 63.9% | Pass |
| 498 | Burlingame School District | \$48,300,000 | 2007-11-06 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 3,650 | 5,712 | 63.9% | Pass |
| 499 | City College of San Francisco | \$246,300,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 134,999 | 211,319 | 63.9% | Pass |
| 500 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3 | \$31,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno/Kings | E | 55 | 7,512 | 11,763 | 63.9% | Pass |
| 501 | Bakersfield City Elementary School District | \$100,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Kern | G | 55 | 17,657 | 27,661 | 63.8% | Pass |
| 502 | Garden Grove Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Orange | A | 55 | 21,149 | 33,168 | 63.8% | Pass |
| 503 | Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District | \$16,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito | E | 55 | 1,813 | 2,844 | 63.7% | Pass |
| 504 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$3,870,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 335,230 | 526,258 | 63.7% | Pass |
| 505 | Oakdale Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | C | 55 | 28 | 44 | 63.6% | Pass |
| 506 | Imperial Valley College | \$58,600,000 | 2004-11-02 | Imperial | L | 55 | 20,176 | 31,716 | 63.6% | Pass |
| 507 | Shoreline Unified School District | \$9,290,000 | 2009-11-03 | Marin/Sonoma | D | 55 | 1,033 | 1,624 | 63.6% | Pass |
| 508 | Chaffey Joint Union School District | \$848,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Bernardino | P | 55 | 70,026 | 110,096 | 63.6% | Pass |
| 509 | Gold Trail Union School District | \$1,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 1,639 | 2,577 | 63.6% | Pass |
| 510 | Oak Grove Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | K | 55 | 1,174 | 1,846 | 63.6% | Pass |
| 511 | Castro Valley Unified School District | \$44,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Alameda | B | 55 | 10,602 | 16,674 | 63.6% | Pass |
| 512 | Victor Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Bernardino | E | 55 | 3,155 | 4,964 | 63.6% | Pass |
| 513 | La Canada Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | B | 55 | 4,408 | 6,939 | 63.5% | Pass |
| 514 | Fremont Unified School District | \$157,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | B | 55 | 15,101 | 23,780 | 63.5% | Pass |
| 515 | Benicia Unified School District | \$49,600,000 | 2014-06-03 | Solano | S | 55 | 3,903 | 6,147 | 63.5% | Pass |
| 516 | Madera Unified School District | \$46,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Madera | B | 55 | 6,812 | 10,729 | 63.5% | Pass |
| 517 | Solano Community College District | \$348,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Solano/Yolo | Q | 55 | 89,634 | 141,189 | 63.5% | Pass |
| 518 | Solano Community College District | \$124,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Solano/Yolo | G | 55 | 89,634 | 141,189 | 63.5% | Pass |
| 519 | Live Oak Unified School District | \$8,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Sutter | G | 55 | 961 | 1,515 | 63.4% | Pass |
| 520 | Hollister School District | \$28,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Benito | M | 55 | 5,729 | 9,035 | 63.4% | Pass |
| 521 | Central Unified School District | \$152,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno | E | 55 | 12,062 | 19,023 | 63.4% | Pass |
| 522 | San Marcos Unified School District | \$287,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | K | 55 | 20,082 | 31,682 | 63.4% | Pass |
| 523 | Temecula Valley Unified School District | \$165,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | Y | 55 | 27,808 | 43,879 | 63.4% | Pass |
| 524 | Lucia Mar Unified School District | \$21,350,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Luis Obispo | A | 55 | 13,848 | 21,869 | 63.3% | Pass |
| 525 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$4,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Diego | K | 55 | 1,417 | 2,238 | 63.3% | Pass |
| 526 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$8,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,551 | 2,450 | 63.3% | Pass |
| 527 | Rincon Valley Union School District | \$23,900,000 | 2004-03-02 | Sonoma | D | 55 | 10,302 | 16,288 | 63.2% | Pass |
| 528 | Exeter Union High School District | \$5,100,000 | 2006-11-07 | Tulare | U | 55 | 2,486 | 3,931 | 63.2% | Pass |
| 529 | Anaheim City Elementary School District | \$111,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | BB | 55 | 11,610 | 18,366 | 63.2% | Pass |
| 530 | Burton Elementary School District | \$2,455,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tulare | B | 55 | 1,471 | 2,327 | 63.2% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 531 | Paradise Elementary School District | \$660,000 | 2002-03-05 | Stanislaus | X | 55 | 151 | 239 | 63.2% | Pass |
| 532 | Happy Valley Union Elementary School District | \$2,495,000 | 2014-06-03 | Shasta | C | 55 | 724 | 1,146 | 63.2% | Pass |
| 533 | Sonoma County Community College District | \$410,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino | H | 55 | 87,739 | 139,046 | 63.1% | Pass |
| 534 | Glendora Unified School District | \$41,310,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 6,588 | 10,442 | 63.1% | Pass |
| 535 | San Leandro Unified School District | \$50,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | M | 55 | 10,638 | 16,864 | 63.1% | Pass |
| 536 | Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 5,382 | 8,532 | 63.1% | Pass |
| 537 | Colusa Unified School District | \$5,900,000 | 2014-11-04 | Colusa | A | 55 | 1,049 | 1,663 | 63.1% | Pass |
| 538 | College of Marin | \$249,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Marin | C | 55 | 77,102 | 122,301 | 63.0% | Pass |
| 539 | San Diego Community College District | \$870,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | N | 55 | 149,399 | 237,005 | 63.0% | Pass |
| 540 | Oroville City Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Butte | F | 55 | 4,742 | 7,525 | 63.0% | Pass |
| 541 | Ohlone Community College District | \$349,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | G | 55 | 37,042 | 58,814 | 63.0% | Pass |
| 542 | Westminster School District | \$130,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | O | 55 | 15,947 | 25,321 | 63.0% | Pass |
| 543 | Princeton Unified School District | \$2,750,000 | 2014-06-03 | Glenn/Colusa | S | 55 | 165 | 262 | 63.0% | Pass |
| 544 | San Carlos Unified School District | \$38,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | E | 55 | 6,240 | 9,913 | 62.9% | Pass |
| 545 | Denair Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Stanislaus | K | 55 | 812 | 1,290 | 62.9% | Pass |
| 546 | Rio Hondo Community College District | \$245,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 27,447 | 43,642 | 62.9% | Pass |
| 547 | Bennett Valley Union School District | \$10,600,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 2,976 | 4,732 | 62.9% | Pass |
| 548 | Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Madera | R | 55 | 2,213 | 3,520 | 62.9% | Pass |
| 549 | Westside Union School District | \$63,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | WS | 55 | 16,127 | 25,660 | 62.8% | Pass |
| 550 | Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$56,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 12,264 | 19,515 | 62.8% | Pass |
| 551 | San Bernardino Community College District | \$190,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino/Riverside | P | 55 | 60,487 | 96,344 | 62.8% | Pass |
| 552 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern | H | 55 | 1,914 | 3,049 | 62.8% | Pass |
| 553 | Windsor Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 6,208 | 9,891 | 62.8% | Pass |
| 554 | Alameda Unified School District | \$179,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Alameda | I | 55 | 13,111 | 20,894 | 62.8% | Pass |
| 555 | Pomona Unified School District | \$68,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 7,280 | 11,602 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 556 | Gilroy Unified School District | \$69,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 5,865 | 9,349 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 557 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 6,806 | 10,851 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 558 | Charter Oak Unified School District | \$47,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Los Angeles | CO | 55 | 3,035 | 4,839 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 559 | Weaver Union School District | \$9,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Merced | B | 55 | 533 | 850 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 560 | Oxnard Union High School District | \$135,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Ventura | H | 55 | 52,851 | 84,324 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 561 | Alvord Unified School District | Reauthorize \$79,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | W | 55 | 12,911 | 20,602 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 562 | Santa Clarita Community College District | \$160,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 38,292 | 61,119 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 563 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$380,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 23,014 | 36,754 | 62.6% | Pass |
| 564 | Merced Union High School District | \$149,450,000 | 2008-11-04 | Merced | M | 55 | 25,266 | 40,377 | 62.6% | Pass |
| 565 | San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta) | \$275,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo/ Monterey | L | 55 | 51,740 | 82,706 | 62.6% | Pass |
| 566 | Happy Valley Union Elementary School District | \$3,800,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | E | 55 | 1,743 | 2,787 | 62.5% | Pass |
| 567 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$11,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Monterey/San Benito | S | 55 | 1,243 | 1,988 | 62.5% | Pass |
| 568 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$24,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Kern | A | 55 | 1,746 | 2,793 | 62.5% | Pass |
| 569 | Spreckels Union School District | \$7,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Monterey | B | 55 | 2,091 | 3,346 | 62.5% | Pass |
| 570 | Westside Union School District | Reauthorize \$18,510,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | WR | 55 | 16,153 | 25,849 | 62.5% | Pass |
| 571 | Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$61,600,000 | 2008-06-03 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 4,948 | 7,921 | 62.5% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 572 | Siskiyou Joint Community College District | \$31,400,000 | 2005-11-08 | Siskiyou/Shasta | A | 55 | 8,912 | 14,267 | 62.5% | Pass |
| 573 | Black Oak Mine Unified School District | \$6,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 3,768 | 6,034 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 574 | Clovis Unified School District | \$168,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | A | 55 | 24,836 | 39,778 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 575 | Buckeye Union School District | \$28,300,000 | 2006-11-07 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 7,910 | 12,670 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 576 | Franklin School District | \$2,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sutter | P | 55 | 452 | 724 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 577 | Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$230,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento/Placer | G | 55 | 10,477 | 16,782 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 578 | Bassett Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 1,616 | 2,589 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 579 | Mendocino-Lake Community College District | \$67,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Mendocino/Lake | W | 55 | 18,417 | 29,506 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 580 | Marysville Joint Unified School District | \$47,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Yuba/Butte | P | 55 | 10,081 | 16,160 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 581 | Greenfield Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | C | 55 | 1,014 | 1,626 | 62.4% | Pass |
| 582 | Planada Elementary School District | \$1,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | O | 55 | 220 | 353 | 62.3% | Pass |
| 583 | Bellevue Union School District | \$12,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | D | 55 | 1,314 | 2,111 | 62.2% | Pass |
| 584 | Anaheim Union High School District | \$132,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | Z | 55 | 25,592 | 41,126 | 62.2% | Pass |
| 585 | Palm Springs Unified School District | \$516,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Riverside | E | 55 | 20,923 | 33,647 | 62.2% | Pass |
| 586 | Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$10,585,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt | S | 55 | 7,598 | 12,225 | 62.2% | Pass |
| 587 | Wright Elementary School District | \$14,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,913 | 3,078 | 62.2% | Pass |
| 588 | Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$38,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Sacramento | P | 55 | 11,752 | 18,915 | 62.1% | Pass |
| 589 | Santa Maria-Bonita School District | \$45,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara/SLO | T | 55 | 8,085 | 13,021 | 62.1% | Pass |
| 590 | Torrance Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | U | 55 | 19,877 | 32,015 | 62.1% | Pass |
| 591 | Bonita Unified School District | \$56,360,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 8,841 | 14,243 | 62.1% | Pass |
| 592 | Beaumont Unified School District | \$125,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Riverside/San Bernardino | Z | 55 | 9,029 | 14,552 | 62.0% | Pass |
| 593 | Dublin Unified School District | \$99,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Alameda | E | 55 | 4,205 | 6,780 | 62.0% | Pass |
| 594 | Alvord Unified School District | \$196,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Riverside | H | 55 | 3,437 | 5,542 | 62.0% | Pass |
| 595 | Grossmont Union High School District | \$274,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Diego | H | 55 | 61,406 | 99,029 | 62.0% | Pass |
| 596 | Natomas Unified School District | \$145,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento | D | 55 | 4,358 | 7,029 | 62.0% | Pass |
| 597 | College School District | \$9,370,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Barbara | Z | 55 | 2,028 | 3,271 | 62.0% | Pass |
| 598 | Big Pine Unified School District | \$4,100,000 | 2010-06-08 | Inyo | A | 55 | 360 | 581 | 62.0% | Pass |
| 599 | Vacaville Unified School District | \$194,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Solano | A | 55 | 12,095 | 19,524 | 61.9% | Pass |
| 600 | San Mateo Union High School District | \$186,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | O | 55 | 43,251 | 69,854 | 61.9% | Pass |
| 601 | Val Verde Unified School District | \$178,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Riverside | L | 55 | 2,758 | 4,455 | 61.9% | Pass |
| 602 | Mark Twain Union Elementary School District | \$9,600,000 | 2004-03-02 | Calaveras | K | 55 | 1,786 | 2,885 | 61.9% | Pass |
| 603 | Hawthorne Elementary School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Los Angeles | H | 55 | 2,481 | 4,009 | 61.9% | Pass |
| 604 | Downey Unified School District | \$248,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | O | 55 | 10,093 | 16,314 | 61.9% | Pass |
| 605 | Wheatland School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Yuba | U | 55 | 2,250 | 3,637 | 61.9% | Pass |
| 606 | Chino Valley Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Bernardino | M | 55 | 10,191 | 16,478 | 61.8% | Pass |
| 607 | Peninsula Union School District | \$600,000 | 2001-11-06 | Humboldt | R | 55 | 47 | 76 | 61.8% | Pass |
| 608 | Simi Valley Unified School District | \$145,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Ventura | C | 55 | 16,118 | 26,079 | 61.8% | Pass |
| 609 | Woodlake Union High School District | \$4,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | C | 55 | 1,592 | 2,576 | 61.8% | Pass |
| 610 | San Diego Unified School District | \$2,800,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | Z | 55 | 218,242 | 353,169 | 61.8% | Pass |
| 611 | Burbank Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 2013-03-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 4,663 | 7,547 | 61.8% | Pass |
| 612 | Gateway Unified School District | \$22,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Shasta | B | 55 | 3,623 | 5,864 | 61.8% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 613 | Pollock Pines Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 1,606 | 2,601 | 61.7% | Pass |
| 614 | Los Rios Community College District | \$265,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | El Dorado/Placer/Sacramento/Yolo/Solano | A | 55 | 132,952 | 215,359 | 61.7% | Pass |
| 615 | Buellton Union School District | \$6,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Barbara | U | 55 | 1,176 | 1,905 | 61.7% | Pass |
| 616 | Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District | \$6,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno/Tulare | O | 55 | 687 | 1,113 | 61.7% | Pass |
| 617 | Corona-Norco Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | U | 55 | 24,101 | 39,068 | 61.7% | Pass |
| 618 | Western Placer Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Placer | A | 55 | 10,742 | 17,418 | 61.7% | Pass |
| 619 | Atascadero Unified School District | Reauthorize \$58,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo | B | 55 | 7,102 | 11,517 | 61.7% | Pass |
| 620 | Solvang School District | \$11,650,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Barbara | J | 55 | 1,148 | 1,863 | 61.6% | Pass |
| 621 | Rim of the World Unified School District | \$23,340,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | W | 55 | 8,116 | 13,171 | 61.6% | Pass |
| 622 | Cabrillo Community College District | \$118,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Cruz/Monterey/San Benito | D | 55 | 44,542 | 72,295 | 61.6% | Pass |
| 623 | Encinitas Union School District | \$44,200,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | P | 55 | 17,989 | 29,200 | 61.6% | Pass |
| 624 | El Camino Community College District | \$394,516,464 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 68,095 | 110,541 | 61.6% | Pass |
| 625 | Novato Unified School District | \$107,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Marin | A | 55 | 8,419 | 13,668 | 61.6% | Pass |
| 626 | Manhattan Beach Unified School District | \$67,480,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | BB | 55 | 12,191 | 19,801 | 61.6% | Pass |
| 627 | Victor Valley Community College District | \$297,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino/Los Angeles | JJ | 55 | 63,581 | 103,301 | 61.5% | Pass |
| 628 | Fullerton School District | \$49,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | CC | 55 | 11,710 | 19,026 | 61.5% | Pass |
| 629 | San Ramon Valley Unified School District | \$260,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 37,380 | 60,774 | 61.5% | Pass |
| 630 | Los Olivos School District | \$4,650,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Barbara | N | 55 | 469 | 763 | 61.5% | Pass |
| 631 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$295,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 30,232 | 49,185 | 61.5% | Pass |
| 632 | San Bernardino City Unified School District | \$140,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Bernardino | T | 55 | 14,282 | 23,247 | 61.4% | Pass |
| 633 | Nevada Joint Union High School District | \$15,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Nevada | A | 55 | 16,397 | 26,704 | 61.4% | Pass |
| 634 | Center Joint Unified School District | \$500,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Sacramento/Placer | N | 55 | 5,082 | 8,278 | 61.4% | Pass |
| 635 | Healdsburg Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | E | 55 | 2,790 | 4,546 | 61.4% | Pass |
| 636 | Roseville City School District | \$29,117,071 | 2002-11-05 | Placer | H | 55 | 11,174 | 18,219 | 61.3% | Pass |
| 637 | Willits Unified School District | \$43,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Mendocino | B | 55 | 2,211 | 3,606 | 61.3% | Pass |
| 638 | Merced River School District | \$1,800,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | L | 55 | 95 | 155 | 61.3% | Pass |
| 639 | Chatom Union Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | U | 55 | 617 | 1,007 | 61.3% | Pass |
| 640 | Fortuna Union High School District | \$10,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Humboldt | D | 55 | 5,072 | 8,278 | 61.3% | Pass |
| 641 | La Habra City School District | \$31,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | O | 55 | 8,874 | 14,489 | 61.2% | Pass |
| 642 | Walnut Valley Unified School District | \$64,600,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 4,159 | 6,797 | 61.2% | Pass |
| 643 | Golden Plains Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno | G | 55 | 402 | 657 | 61.2% | Pass |
| 644 | Mesa Union Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Ventura | A | 55 | 506 | 827 | 61.2% | Pass |
| 645 | Fremont Unified School District | \$650,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Alameda | E | 55 | 15,971 | 26,106 | 61.2% | Pass |
| 646 | Cinnabar Elementary School District | \$2,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 494 | 808 | 61.1% | Pass |
| 647 | Napa Valley Community College District | \$133,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | Napa/Sonoma | N | 55 | 21,699 | 35,502 | 61.1% | Pass |
| 648 | Bradley Union School District | \$1,100,000 | 2011-11-08 | Monterey | T | 55 | 33 | 54 | 61.1% | Pass |
| 649 | Sierra Sands Unified School District | \$50,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern/San Bernardino | A | 55 | 4,710 | 7,710 | 61.1% | Pass |
| 650 | North Monterey County Unified School District | \$23,800,000 | 2013-11-05 | Monterey | H | 55 | 2,453 | 4,019 | 61.0% | Pass |
| 651 | Central Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | K | 55 | 5,174 | 8,494 | 60.9% | Pass |
| 652 | Mt. Diablo Unified School District | \$348,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 32,152 | 52,814 | 60.9% | Pass |
| 653 | Chualar Union Elementary School District | \$1,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Monterey | A | 55 | 182 | 299 | 60.9% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 654 | Yosemite Community College District | \$326,174,000 | 2004-11-02 | Stanislaus/San Joaquin/Merced/Calaveras/ | E | 55 | 109,315 | 179,656 | 60.8% | Pass |
| 655 | Huntington Beach City Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | DD | 55 | 11,913 | 19,584 | 60.8% | Pass |
| 656 | Bonita Unified School District | \$83,560,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | AB | 55 | 17,218 | 28,308 | 60.8% | Pass |
| 657 | Greenfield Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | D | 55 | 987 | 1,623 | 60.8% | Pass |
| 658 | Jurupa Unified School District | \$144,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | EE | 55 | 5,824 | 9,578 | 60.8% | Pass |
| 659 | St. Helena Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Napa | B | 55 | 2,545 | 4,186 | 60.8% | Pass |
| 660 | Lodi Unified School District | \$114,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Joaquin | L | 55 | 9,225 | 15,176 | 60.8% | Pass |
| 661 | Perris Union High School District | \$153,420,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | T | 55 | 24,229 | 39,886 | 60.7% | Pass |
| 662 | Napa Valley Unified School District | \$183,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Napa | G | 55 | 20,831 | 34,315 | 60.7% | Pass |
| 663 | William S. Hart Union High School District | \$300,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | SA | 55 | 59,287 | 97,816 | 60.6% | Pass |
| 664 | Beardsley School District | \$10,300,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | B | 55 | 1,444 | 2,383 | 60.6% | Pass |
| 665 | Riverside Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside | C | 55 | 61,923 | 102,205 | 60.6% | Pass |
| 666 | Madera Unified School District | \$32,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Madera | U | 55 | 6,812 | 11,244 | 60.6% | Pass |
| 667 | Salinas Union High School District | \$52,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Monterey | F | 55 | 12,746 | 21,111 | 60.4% | Pass |
| 668 | Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Luis Obispo/Monterey | T | 55 | 8,753 | 14,498 | 60.4% | Pass |
| 669 | Julian Union High School District | \$2,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | L | 55 | 1,259 | 2,086 | 60.4% | Pass |
| 670 | Lost Hills Union School District | \$6,200,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern | G | 55 | 35 | 58 | 60.3% | Pass |
| 671 | Fullerton Joint Union High School District | \$67,940,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange/Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 21,974 | 36,437 | 60.3% | Pass |
| 672 | Salinas Union High School District | \$128,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | B | 55 | 14,730 | 24,428 | 60.3% | Pass |
| 673 | Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District | \$207,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | R | 55 | 60,622 | 100,551 | 60.3% | Pass |
| 674 | Upland Unified School District | \$103,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino | K | 55 | 11,785 | 19,554 | 60.3% | Pass |
| 675 | San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District | \$18,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Santa Cruz | O | 55 | 6,298 | 10,452 | 60.3% | Pass |
| 676 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$62,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | El Dorado/Placer | E | 55 | 2,074 | 3,442 | 60.3% | Pass |
| 677 | San Juan Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 76,052 | 126,333 | 60.2% | Pass |
| 678 | Tustin Unified School District | \$135,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | S | 55 | 25,220 | 41,949 | 60.1% | Pass |
| 679 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$17,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Ventura | C | 55 | 2,030 | 3,378 | 60.1% | Pass |
| 680 | Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Solano | C | 55 | 11,288 | 18,784 | 60.1% | Pass |
| 681 | West Valley-Mission Community College District | \$235,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | H | 55 | 79,524 | 132,394 | 60.1% | Pass |
| 682 | Duarte Unified School District | \$62,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 4,198 | 7,008 | 59.9% | Pass |
| 683 | Bret Harte Union High School District | \$18,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Calaveras | K | 55 | 6,167 | 10,295 | 59.9% | Pass |
| 684 | Roseville Joint Union High School District | \$79,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Placer/Sacramento | J | 55 | 35,814 | 59,793 | 59.9% | Pass |
| 685 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$32,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 5,029 | 8,400 | 59.9% | Pass |
| 686 | Calipatria Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Imperial | T | 55 | 422 | 705 | 59.9% | Pass |
| 687 | West Valley-Mission Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | C | 55 | 42,498 | 71,020 | 59.8% | Pass |
| 688 | Oroville Union High School District | \$10,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Butte | J | 55 | 6,809 | 11,379 | 59.8% | Pass |
| 689 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | \$18,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | A | 55 | 4,020 | 6,724 | 59.8% | Pass |
| 690 | Tipton Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | 2014-11-04 | Tulare | C | 55 | 211 | 353 | 59.8% | Pass |
| 691 | Cold Spring School District | \$2,440,000 | 2008-11-04 | Santa Barbara | C | 55 | 987 | 1,653 | 59.7% | Pass |
| 692 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$44,400,000 | 2004-11-02 | Nevada | G | 55 | 24,877 | 41,666 | 59.7% | Pass |
| 693 | Yuba City Unified School District | \$25,670,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sutter | K | 55 | 9,383 | 15,729 | 59.7% | Pass |
| 694 | Greenfield Union School District | \$8,300,000 | 2010-06-08 | Monterey | L | 55 | 761 | 1,276 | 59.6% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 695 | St. Helena Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Napa | C | 55 | 2,782 | 4,669 | 59.6% | Pass |
| 696 | Lincoln Unified School District | \$48,500,000 | 2012-06-05 | San Joaquin | M | 55 | 5,653 | 9,488 | 59.6% | Pass |
| 697 | Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$9,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus/Merced | Z | 55 | 8,570 | 14,405 | 59.5% | Pass |
| 698 | Northern Humboldt Union High School District | \$25,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Humboldt | Q | 55 | 10,386 | 17,465 | 59.5% | Pass |
| 699 | Elverta Elementary School District | \$10,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento/Placer | L | 55 | 512 | 861 | 59.5% | Pass |
| 700 | Lake Tahoe Unified School District | \$64,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 6,715 | 11,301 | 59.4% | Pass |
| 701 | Needles Unified School District | \$10,900,000 | 2008-06-03 | San Bernardino | N | 55 | 623 | 1,049 | 59.4% | Pass |
| 702 | Mark West Union School District | \$14,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 2,372 | 3,994 | 59.4% | Pass |
| 703 | Savanna Elementary School District | \$28,750,000 | 2012-06-05 | Orange | G | 55 | 1,715 | 2,888 | 59.4% | Pass |
| 704 | College of the Sequoias | \$22,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | C | 55 | 8,279 | 13,948 | 59.4% | Pass |
| 705 | Napa Valley Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Napa | M | 55 | 17,183 | 28,953 | 59.3% | Pass |
| 706 | Menifee Union School District | \$14,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | J | 55 | 6,853 | 11,568 | 59.2% | Pass |
| 707 | Manchester Union Elementary School District | \$1,310,000 | 2004-11-02 | Mendocino | O | 55 | 151 | 255 | 59.2% | Pass |
| 708 | El Centro Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | Imperial | G | 55 | 1,493 | 2,522 | 59.2% | Pass |
| 709 | Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District | \$34,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt/Lassen/Modoc/Shasta/Tehama/Trinity | A | 55 | 33,076 | 55,884 | 59.2% | Pass |
| 710 | Oroville Union High School District | \$12,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Butte | G | 55 | 10,978 | 18,552 | 59.2% | Pass |
| 711 | Saddleback Valley Unified School District | \$180,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Orange | B | 55 | 31,024 | 52,448 | 59.2% | Pass |
| 712 | Hart-Ransom Union School District | \$3,900,000 | 2007-11-06 | Stanislaus | G | 55 | 388 | 656 | 59.1% | Pass |
| 713 | Anaheim Union High School District | \$249,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange | H | 55 | 30,238 | 51,177 | 59.1% | Pass |
| 714 | Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District) | \$5,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt | M | 55 | 934 | 1,581 | 59.1% | Pass |
| 715 | Kern Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern/San Bernardino | G | 55 | 79,076 | 133,870 | 59.1% | Pass |
| 716 | Manteca Unified School District | \$159,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Joaquin | G | 55 | 11,535 | 19,535 | 59.0% | Pass |
| 717 | Escondido Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | T | 55 | 30,006 | 50,836 | 59.0% | Pass |
| 718 | Chabot-Las Positas Community College District | \$498,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Alameda/Contra Costa | B | 55 | 65,195 | 110,593 | 59.0% | Pass |
| 719 | Springville Union Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Tulare | J | 55 | 724 | 1,229 | 58.9% | Pass |
| 720 | Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District | \$72,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Los Angeles | CK | 55 | 4,394 | 7,465 | 58.9% | Pass |
| 721 | Mt. San Jacinto Community College District | \$295,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | AA | 55 | 76,993 | 130,897 | 58.8% | Pass |
| 722 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3 | Reauthorize \$12,655,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | L | 55 | 5,946 | 10,109 | 58.8% | Pass |
| 723 | San Jose-Evergreen Community College District | \$268,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 111,032 | 188,791 | 58.8% | Pass |
| 724 | Santa Maria Joint Union High School District | \$79,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Barbara | C | 55 | 22,527 | 38,345 | 58.7% | Pass |
| 725 | Merced City Elementary School District | \$26,500,000 | 2003-11-04 | Merced | S | 55 | 4,710 | 8,021 | 58.7% | Pass |
| 726 | San Ramon Valley Unified School District | \$260,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 23,655 | 40,330 | 58.7% | Pass |
| 727 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$16,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | L | 55 | 1,468 | 2,503 | 58.6% | Pass |
| 728 | Fullerton Joint Union High School District | \$175,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange/Los Angeles | I | 55 | 25,415 | 43,353 | 58.6% | Pass |
| 729 | Apple Valley Unified School District | \$36,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Bernardino | S | 55 | 8,818 | 15,051 | 58.6% | Pass |
| 730 | Lakeside Union School District | Reauthorize \$31,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | L | 55 | 5,640 | 9,630 | 58.6% | Pass |
| 731 | Tustin Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | L | 55 | 18,304 | 31,266 | 58.5% | Pass |
| 732 | Santee Elementary School District | \$60,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | R | 55 | 9,742 | 16,649 | 58.5% | Pass |
| 733 | Mt. San Antonio Community College District | \$221,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 20,315 | 34,727 | 58.5% | Pass |
| 734 | Eastern Sierra Unified School District | \$11,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Mono | E | 55 | 1,067 | 1,824 | 58.5% | Pass |
| 735 | Millbrae School District | \$30,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 2,550 | 4,361 | 58.5% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 736 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$9,700,000 | 2010-11-02 | Monterey/San Benito | Z | 55 | 1,822 | 3,116 | 58.5% | Pass |
| 737 | Pierce Joint Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Colusa | A | 55 | 529 | 905 | 58.5% | Pass |
| 738 | Byron Union School District | \$19,700,000 | 2006-06-06 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 1,617 | 2,767 | 58.4% | Pass |
| 739 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$135,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 27,472 | 47,028 | 58.4% | Pass |
| 740 | Dehesa School District | \$5,500,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | M | 55 | 507 | 868 | 58.4% | Pass |
| 741 | Saugus Union School District | \$148,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | EE | 55 | 11,878 | 20,351 | 58.4% | Pass |
| 742 | Madera Unified School District | \$70,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Madera | G | 55 | 5,894 | 10,113 | 58.3% | Pass |
| 743 | Ripon Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Joaquin | J | 55 | 2,060 | 3,535 | 58.3% | Pass |
| 744 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | \$9,900,000 | 2006-06-06 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 1,688 | 2,897 | 58.3% | Pass |
| 745 | Cajon Valley Union School District | Reauthorize \$88,400,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | C | 55 | 29,048 | 49,882 | 58.2% | Pass |
| 746 | Hemet Unified School District | \$149,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | T | 55 | 17,757 | 30,493 | 58.2% | Pass |
| 747 | Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District | \$398,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | V | 55 | 95,802 | 164,554 | 58.2% | Pass |
| 748 | Campbell Union High School District | \$90,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 32,505 | 55,855 | 58.2% | Pass |
| 749 | Golden Valley Unified School District | \$70,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Madera | S | 55 | 1,438 | 2,472 | 58.2% | Pass |
| 750 | Escondido Union School District | \$46,300,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | K | 55 | 11,635 | 20,003 | 58.2% | Pass |
| 751 | Piedmont Unified School District | \$56,000,000 | 2006-03-07 | Alameda | E | 55 | 2,497 | 4,294 | 58.2% | Pass |
| 752 | Glendale Community College District | \$98,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 14,245 | 24,507 | 58.1% | Pass |
| 753 | West Kern Community College District | \$39,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | A | 55 | 2,039 | 3,509 | 58.1% | Pass |
| 754 | Kernville Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Kern | D | 55 | 1,778 | 3,060 | 58.1% | Pass |
| 755 | Huntington Beach Union High School District | \$238,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Orange | C | 55 | 42,469 | 73,151 | 58.1% | Pass |
| 756 | Buellton Union School District | \$3,200,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Barbara | V | 55 | 278 | 479 | 58.0% | Pass |
| 757 | Chaffey Community College | \$230,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Bernardino | L | 55 | 33,737 | 58,195 | 58.0% | Pass |
| 758 | Banning Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | R | 55 | 2,635 | 4,546 | 58.0% | Pass |
| 759 | Banning Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | R | 55 | 2,635 | 4,546 | 58.0% | Pass |
| 760 | Murietta Valley Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | BB | 55 | 11,278 | 19,462 | 57.9% | Pass |
| 761 | Los Rios Community College District | \$475,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado/Placer/ Sacramento/Solano/Yolo | M | 55 | 328,240 | 566,450 | 57.9% | Pass |
| 762 | Palomar Community College | \$694,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | M | 55 | 103,380 | 178,543 | 57.9% | Pass |
| 763 | Perris Union High School District | \$46,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Riverside | Z | 55 | 18,871 | 32,594 | 57.9% | Pass |
| 764 | Templeton Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Luis Obispo | H | 55 | 3,017 | 5,213 | 57.9% | Pass |
| 765 | Citrus Community College District | \$121,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 21,639 | 37,418 | 57.8% | Pass |
| 766 | Santa Rita Union Elementary School District | \$14,600,000 | 2006-11-07 | Monterey | C | 55 | 2,161 | 3,737 | 57.8% | Pass |
| 767 | West Hills Community College District | \$20,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | T | 55 | 6,682 | 11,557 | 57.8% | Pass |
| 768 | Lake Tahoe Community College District | \$55,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | El Dorado | F | 55 | 3,784 | 6,547 | 57.8% | Pass |
| 769 | Fallbrook Union Elementary School District | \$32,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | X | 55 | 6,199 | 10,731 | 57.8% | Pass |
| 770 | Cerritos Community College District | \$210,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 29,336 | 50,836 | 57.7% | Pass |
| 771 | Gateway Unified School District | \$19,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | G | 55 | 7,152 | 12,396 | 57.7% | Pass |
| 772 | Siskiyou Union High School District | \$12,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Siskiyou | Q | 55 | 4,418 | 7,659 | 57.7% | Pass |
| 773 | Ripon Unified School District | \$25,236,190 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | G | 55 | 4,264 | 7,395 | 57.7% | Pass |
| 774 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District | \$30,450,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado/Placer | J | 55 | 2,076 | 3,603 | 57.6% | Pass |
| 775 | Corning Union School District | \$2,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | Tehema | B | 55 | 1,358 | 2,357 | 57.6% | Pass |
| 776 | Del Norte County School District | \$24,987,000 | 2008-11-04 | Del Norte | A | 55 | 5,338 | 9,265 | 57.6% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 777 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$450,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 82,464 | 143,168 | 57.6% | Pass |
| 778 | Allan Hancock Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Barbara/Ventura | I | 55 | 22,996 | 39,989 | 57.5% | Pass |
| 779 | Shasta Union High School District | \$31,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Shasta | B | 55 | 8,590 | 14,949 | 57.5% | Pass |
| 780 | Poway Unified School District SFID 2002-1 | \$198,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | U | 55 | 21,958 | 38,252 | 57.4% | Pass |
| 781 | Los Altos School District | \$150,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | N | 55 | 8,671 | 15,106 | 57.4% | Pass |
| 782 | Eureka Unified School District | \$49,750,000 | 2014-11-04 | Humboldt | S | 55 | 8,083 | 14,084 | 57.4% | Pass |
| 783 | Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District | \$375,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 8,940 | 15,578 | 57.4% | Pass |
| 784 | Thermalito Union School District | \$2,050,000 | 2004-11-02 | Butte | M | 55 | 1,721 | 2,999 | 57.4% | Pass |
| 785 | Murietta Valley Unified School District | \$120,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | E | 55 | 6,327 | 11,026 | 57.4% | Pass |
| 786 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$93,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 7,719 | 13,452 | 57.4% | Pass |
| 787 | Marysville Joint Unified School District | \$37,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Yuba/Butte | H | 55 | 5,050 | 8,809 | 57.3% | Pass |
| 788 | Enterprise Elementary School District | \$34,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Shasta | A | 55 | 4,340 | 7,574 | 57.3% | Pass |
| 789 | Merced City Elementary School District | \$60,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | M | 55 | 5,277 | 9,217 | 57.3% | Pass |
| 790 | Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District | \$103,600,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 19,029 | 33,254 | 57.2% | Pass |
| 791 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$286,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 99,077 | 173,269 | 57.2% | Pass |
| 792 | Coast Community College District | \$698,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | M | 55 | 134,619 | 235,462 | 57.2% | Pass |
| 793 | Anderson Union High School District | \$12,300,000 | 2012-11-06 | Shasta | C | 55 | 8,574 | 15,008 | 57.1% | Pass |
| 794 | Lodi Unified School District | \$109,300,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Joaquin | K | 55 | 15,881 | 27,827 | 57.1% | Pass |
| 795 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$28,400,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | D | 55 | 1,874 | 3,284 | 57.1% | Pass |
| 796 | Southern Trinity Unified School District | \$2,300,000 | 2012-06-05 | Humboldt/Trinity | V | 55 | 170 | 298 | 57.0% | Pass |
| 797 | Corona-Norco Unified School District | \$396,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | GG | 55 | 20,876 | 36,610 | 57.0% | Pass |
| 798 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$29,445,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | R | 55 | 3,966 | 6,957 | 57.0% | Pass |
| 799 | Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$74,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento/Placer | E | 55 | 10,435 | 18,312 | 57.0% | Pass |
| 800 | Chawanakee Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Madera | Q | 55 | 1,126 | 1,976 | 57.0% | Pass |
| 801 | Escondido Union School District | \$182,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | E | 55 | 18,615 | 32,687 | 56.9% | Pass |
| 802 | Morongo Unified School District | \$48,150,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Bernardino | O | 55 | 6,563 | 11,527 | 56.9% | Pass |
| 803 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Imperial | G | 55 | 780 | 1,370 | 56.9% | Pass |
| 804 | Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District | \$200,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Orange | A | 55 | 25,764 | 45,272 | 56.9% | Pass |
| 805 | Sylvan Union School District | \$40,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | A | 55 | 9,402 | 16,526 | 56.9% | Pass |
| 806 | Walnut Valley Unified School District | \$15,200,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 3,859 | 6,784 | 56.9% | Pass |
| 807 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$400,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Contra Costa | J | 55 | 30,013 | 52,789 | 56.9% | Pass |
| 808 | Barstow Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Bernardino | C | 55 | 2,492 | 4,386 | 56.8% | Pass |
| 809 | Old Adobe Union School District | \$26,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 3,391 | 5,969 | 56.8% | Pass |
| 810 | Menifee Union School District | \$31,460,000 | 2008-02-05 | Riverside | B | 55 | 9,561 | 16,850 | 56.7% | Pass |
| 811 | Grossmont Union High School District | \$417,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | U | 55 | 97,337 | 171,811 | 56.7% | Pass |
| 812 | Columbia School District | \$8,600,000 | 2014-11-04 | Shasta | E | 55 | 1,984 | 3,502 | 56.7% | Pass |
| 813 | Yuba Community College District | \$190,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Yuba/Sutter | J | 55 | 37,608 | 66,429 | 56.6% | Pass |
| 814 | Dry Creek Elementary School District | \$67,300,000 | 2008-02-05 | Placer/Sacramento | E | 55 | 8,282 | 14,634 | 56.6% | Pass |
| 815 | Cabrillo Unified School District | \$81,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | San Mateo | S | 55 | 3,803 | 6,730 | 56.5% | Pass |
| 816 | Sonora Union High School District | \$23,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tuolumne | J | 55 | 9,171 | 16,234 | 56.5% | Pass |
| 817 | State Center Community College District | \$161,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare/Madera | E | 55 | 90,285 | 159,849 | 56.5% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome | |
|--------------|--|---------------------------|---|------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| 818 | Orland Joint Unified School District | \$21,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Glenn | K | 55 | 2,093 | 3,710 | 56.4% | Pass | |
| 819 | Kings River Union Elementary School District | \$850,000 | 2006-06-06 | Tulare | N | 55 | 163 | 289 | 56.4% | Pass | |
| 820 | Nuvview Union School District | \$39,600,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | D | 55 | 622 | 1,103 | 56.4% | Pass | |
| 821 | Owens Valley Unified School District | \$2,600,000 | 2005-11-08 | Inyo | A | 55 | 196 | 348 | 56.3% | Pass | |
| 822 | Ohlone Community College District | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | A | 55 | 16,111 | 28,617 | 56.3% | Pass | |
| 823 | Fruitvale School District | \$29,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | C | 55 | 2,257 | 4,009 | 56.3% | Pass | |
| 824 | San Benito High School District | \$42,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Benito/Santa Clara | G | 55 | 3,524 | 6,260 | 56.3% | Pass | |
| 825 | Azusa Unified School District | \$92,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 3,983 | 7,082 | 56.2% | Pass | |
| 826 | El Dorado Union High School District | \$66,300,000 | 2008-06-03 | El Dorado | Q | 55 | 19,536 | 34,740 | 56.2% | Pass | |
| 827 | Columbia Union Elementary School District | \$3,700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tuolumne | H | 55 | 1,351 | 2,404 | 56.2% | Pass | |
| 828 | Newport-Mesa Unified School District | \$282,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Orange | F | 55 | 26,123 | 46,524 | 56.1% | Pass | |
| 829 | Manteca Unified School District | \$66,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Joaquin | M | 55 | 7,867 | 14,011 | 56.1% | Pass | |
| 830 | Weaver Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Merced | G | 55 | 1,422 | 2,534 | 56.1% | Pass | |
| 831 | El Tejon Unified School District | \$7,120,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern/Ventura | E | 55 | 1,257 | 2,240 | 56.1% | Pass | |
| 832 | Gold Oak Union School District | \$8,950,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado | E | 55 | 2,326 | 4,149 | 56.1% | Pass | |
| 833 | Le Grand Union High School District | \$4,200,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | N | 55 | 401 | 717 | 55.9% | Pass | |
| 834 | Dehesa School District | Reauthorize \$3,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | D | 55 | 562 | 1,005 | 55.9% | Pass | |
| 835 | Newark Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | Alameda | G | 55 | 3,286 | 5,878 | 55.9% | Pass | |
| 836 | Taft City School District | \$23,600,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,132 | 2,027 | 55.8% | Pass | |
| 837 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 1,588 | 2,844 | 55.8% | Pass | |
| 838 | Calaveras Unified School District | \$13,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Calaveras | A | 55 | 5,241 | 9,396 | 55.8% | Pass | |
| 839 | Linden Unified School District | \$11,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | S | 55 | 2,099 | 3,764 | 55.8% | Pass | |
| 840 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | CF | 55 | 3,486 | 6,252 | 55.8% | Pass | |
| 841 | Grant Elementary School District | \$1,700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Shasta | A | 55 | 671 | 1,204 | 55.7% | Pass | |
| 842 | Merced Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$53,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced/Madera | H | 55 | 17,048 | 30,594 | 55.7% | Pass | |
| 843 | Pacheco Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | B | 55 | 2,534 | 4,548 | 55.7% | Pass | |
| 844 | Cloverdale Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 2,096 | 3,769 | 55.6% | Pass | |
| 845 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$114,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Nevada/Placer | U | 55 | 3,018 | 5,433 | 55.5% | Pass | |
| 846 | San Dieguito Union High School District | \$449,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | AA | 55 | 43,627 | 78,574 | 55.5% | Pass | |
| 847 | McKinleyville Union School District | \$14,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Humboldt | C | 55 | 1,723 | 3,108 | 55.4% | Pass | |
| 848 | San Joaquin-Delta Community College District | \$250,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Joaquin/Sacramento | L | 55 | 62,465 | 112,744 | 55.4% | Pass | |
| 849 | Turlock Unified School District | \$7,725,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | Y | 55 | 8,942 | 16,143 | 55.4% | Pass | |
| 850 | Piner-Olivet Union School District | \$20,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 3,006 | 5,429 | 55.4% | Pass | |
| 851 | Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1 | \$2,500,000 | 2012-06-05 | Butte | D | 55 | 1,058 | 1,911 | 55.4% | Pass | |
| 852 | Yreka Union High School District | \$8,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Siskiyou | H | 55 | 2,942 | 5,316 | 55.3% | Pass | |
| 853 | Palmdale Elementary School District | \$25,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | W | 55 | 3,848 | 6,971 | 55.2% | Pass | |
| 854 | North County Joint Union School District | \$3,350,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Benito/Santa Clara | M | 55 | 458 | 830 | 55.2% | Pass | |
| 855 | Sonora Elementary School District | \$7,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Tuolumne | H | 55 | 1,867 | 3,385 | 55.2% | Pass | |
| 856 | Norris School District | \$149,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kern | B | 55 | 3,017 | 5,475 | 55.1% | Pass | |
| 857 | North Orange County Community College District | \$574,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange/Los Angeles | J | 55 | 84,780 | 154,118 | 55.0% | Pass | |
| TOTAL | | \$105,001,578,737 | (\$105,594,443,737 if 15 reauthorizations included) | | | | | 17,457,026 | 26,646,048 | 65.5% | |

Appendix F

All California Educational Bond Measures Repurposed or Reauthorized Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Listed by Election Year

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Reauthorize | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Outcome |
|------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------|
| 1 | San Mateo Union High School District | \$137,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Mateo | C | 66.7 | 40,674 | 55,573 | 73.2% | Pass |
| 2 | Stockton Unified School District | \$156,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | E | 55 | 31,228 | 41,954 | 74.4% | Pass |
| 3 | Cajon Valley Union School District | \$88,400,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | C | 55 | 29,048 | 49,882 | 58.2% | Pass |
| 4 | Alvord Unified School District | \$79,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | W | 55 | 12,911 | 20,602 | 62.7% | Pass |
| 5 | Hemet Unified School District | \$49,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | U | 55 | 25,661 | 37,287 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 6 | Jefferson Union High School District | \$41,900,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | E | 55 | 30,757 | 41,401 | 74.3% | Pass |
| 7 | South Bay Union School District | \$26,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | Y | 55 | 14,123 | 18,600 | 75.9% | Pass |
| 8 | Westside Union School District | \$18,510,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | WR | 55 | 16,153 | 25,849 | 62.5% | Pass |
| 9 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3 | \$12,655,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | L | 55 | 5,946 | 10,109 | 58.8% | Pass |
| 10 | Standard School District | \$11,200,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | Q | 55 | 4,179 | 5,923 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 11 | Ocean View School District | \$4,200,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | P | 55 | 2,530 | 3,230 | 78.3% | Pass |
| 12 | Nuview Union School District | \$4,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | V | 55 | 1,632 | 2,526 | 64.6% | Pass |
| 13 | Dehesa School District | \$3,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | D | 55 | 562 | 1,005 | 55.9% | Pass |
| 14 | Atascadero Unified School District | \$58,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo | B | 55 | 7,102 | 11,517 | 61.7% | Pass |
| 15 | Lakeside Union School District | \$31,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | L | 55 | 5,640 | 9,630 | 58.6% | Pass |
| 16 | Lemon Grove School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | R | 55 | 4,323 | 6,016 | 71.9% | Pass |
| | TOTAL | \$730,365,000 | | | | | 232,469 | 341,104 | 68.2% | |

Appendix G

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 1 | Inglewood Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | GG | 55 | 31,907 | 37,059 | 86.1% | Pass |
| 2 | Oakland Unified School District | \$475,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Alameda | J | 55 | 122,695 | 145,382 | 84.4% | Pass |
| 3 | Reef-Sunset Unified School District | \$10,830,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kings | M | 55 | 376 | 451 | 83.4% | Pass |
| 4 | Compton Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 14,150 | 17,036 | 83.1% | Pass |
| 5 | Bayshore Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 325 | 396 | 82.1% | Pass |
| 6 | Mission Union School District | \$315,000 | 2004-11-02 | Monterey | E | 55 | 103 | 126 | 81.7% | Pass |
| 7 | Trinidad Union School District | \$2,200,000 | 2012-06-05 | Humboldt | W | 55 | 568 | 697 | 81.5% | Pass |
| 8 | Bassett Unified School District | \$23,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 4,852 | 5,995 | 80.9% | Pass |
| 9 | Albany Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Alameda | A | 55 | 5,879 | 7,304 | 80.5% | Pass |
| 10 | Mendota Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno | M | 55 | 628 | 785 | 80.0% | Pass |
| 11 | Alum Rock Union Elementary School District | \$125,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 18,442 | 23,188 | 79.5% | Pass |
| 12 | Baldwin Park Unified School District | \$46,600,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 6,321 | 7,952 | 79.5% | Pass |
| 13 | Arvin Union School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | E | 55 | 1,114 | 1,402 | 79.5% | Pass |
| 14 | Roseville Joint Union High School District | \$79,500,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento | D | 55 | 2,114 | 2,664 | 79.4% | Pass |
| 15 | Earlilmart School District | \$3,600,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | H | 55 | 672 | 847 | 79.3% | Pass |
| 16 | Kelseyville Unified School District | \$2,930,000 | 2001-11-06 | Lake | X | 55 | 1,361 | 1,717 | 79.3% | Pass |
| 17 | Willows Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Glenn | T | 55 | 140 | 177 | 79.1% | Pass |
| 18 | Alum Rock Union Elementary School District | \$179,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 6,901 | 8,725 | 79.1% | Pass |
| 19 | Fowler Unified School District | \$21,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | L | 55 | 1,757 | 2,224 | 79.0% | Pass |
| 20 | Hawthorne Elementary School District | \$24,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | H | 55 | 11,314 | 14,331 | 78.9% | Pass |
| 21 | Moreno Valley Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside | G | 55 | 140 | 178 | 78.7% | Pass |
| 22 | National School District | \$26,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | N | 55 | 4,621 | 5,880 | 78.6% | Pass |
| 23 | Compton Community College District | \$100,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 20,209 | 25,779 | 78.4% | Pass |
| 24 | Ocean View School District | Reauthorize \$4,200,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | P | 55 | 2,530 | 3,230 | 78.3% | Pass |
| 25 | Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District | \$6,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern | I | 55 | 223 | 285 | 78.2% | Pass |
| 26 | Beverly Hills Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 4,424 | 5,659 | 78.2% | Pass |
| 27 | Pacific Elementary School District | \$830,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Cruz | M | 55 | 292 | 374 | 78.1% | Pass |
| 28 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$40,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 11,311 | 14,499 | 78.0% | Pass |
| 29 | South Pasadena Unified School District | \$29,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 5,754 | 7,377 | 78.0% | Pass |
| 30 | Oakland Unified School District | \$435,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Alameda | B | 55 | 62,559 | 80,231 | 78.0% | Pass |
| 31 | McFarland Unified School District | \$8,300,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | B | 55 | 649 | 833 | 77.9% | Pass |
| 32 | Mountain View-Los Altos Union School District | \$41,300,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Clara | A | 55 | 19,480 | 25,067 | 77.7% | Pass |
| 33 | Palo Alto Unified School District | \$378,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Clara | A | 55 | 14,074 | 18,140 | 77.6% | Pass |
| 34 | South San Francisco Unified School District | \$162,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 13,758 | 17,743 | 77.5% | Pass |
| 35 | South Whittier Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 4,577 | 5,910 | 77.4% | Pass |
| 36 | Lennox Elementary School District | \$10,700,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | L | 55 | 682 | 881 | 77.4% | Pass |
| 37 | Hayward Unified School District | \$229,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Alameda | L | 55 | 17,976 | 23,239 | 77.4% | Pass |
| 38 | Arvin Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | C | 55 | 854 | 1,105 | 77.3% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 39 | Berkeley Unified School District | \$210,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | I | 55 | 31,723 | 41,113 | 77.2% | Pass |
| 40 | Los Nietos School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 1,300 | 1,686 | 77.1% | Pass |
| 41 | Los Nietos School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | N | 55 | 1,285 | 1,668 | 77.0% | Pass |
| 42 | Holtville Unified School District | \$8,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Imperial | E | 55 | 1,282 | 1,666 | 77.0% | Pass |
| 43 | Jefferson Elementary School District | \$67,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | M | 55 | 16,261 | 21,138 | 76.9% | Pass |
| 44 | Lakeside Union Elementary School District | \$22,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kern | I | 55 | 2,179 | 2,835 | 76.9% | Pass |
| 45 | Pixley Union School District | \$7,800,000 | 2014-06-03 | Tulare | Z | 55 | 229 | 298 | 76.8% | Pass |
| 46 | Little Lake City School District | \$18,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | EE | 55 | 8,041 | 10,471 | 76.8% | Pass |
| 47 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 4,448 | 5,799 | 76.7% | Pass |
| 48 | South Bay Union School District | \$59,400,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | X | 55 | 15,219 | 19,909 | 76.4% | Pass |
| 49 | Hueneme Elementary School District | \$19,600,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | T | 55 | 8,408 | 11,011 | 76.4% | Pass |
| 50 | Compton Community College District | \$100,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 23,005 | 30,141 | 76.3% | Pass |
| 51 | Culver City Unified School District | \$106,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 4,706 | 6,169 | 76.3% | Pass |
| 52 | River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$9,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Yolo/Sacramento/Solano | V | 55 | 1,165 | 1,529 | 76.2% | Pass |
| 53 | Graves Union School District | \$180,000 | 2004-11-02 | Monterey | D | 55 | 16 | 21 | 76.2% | Pass |
| 54 | El Monte City School District | \$50,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 11,855 | 15,576 | 76.1% | Pass |
| 55 | South Bay Union School District | Reauthorize \$26,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | Y | 55 | 14,123 | 18,600 | 75.9% | Pass |
| 56 | Wiseburn Unified School District | \$87,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 3,285 | 4,338 | 75.7% | Pass |
| 57 | Fresno Unified School District | \$280,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Fresno | Q | 55 | 51,125 | 67,522 | 75.7% | Pass |
| 58 | Peralta Community College District | \$390,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Alameda | A | 55 | 97,685 | 129,106 | 75.7% | Pass |
| 59 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$165,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Mateo | L | 55 | 28,285 | 37,391 | 75.6% | Pass |
| 60 | Ross School District | \$6,750,000 | 2008-06-03 | Marin | A | 55 | 894 | 1,183 | 75.6% | Pass |
| 61 | Mount Pleasant School District | \$25,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | L | 55 | 4,482 | 5,938 | 75.5% | Pass |
| 62 | Arcata Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Humboldt | F | 55 | 4,667 | 6,195 | 75.3% | Pass |
| 63 | Menlo Park City School District | \$23,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | San Mateo | W | 55 | 4,222 | 5,605 | 75.3% | Pass |
| 64 | Calexico Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Imperial | J | 55 | 2,680 | 3,562 | 75.2% | Pass |
| 65 | El Segundo Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 2,369 | 3,149 | 75.2% | Pass |
| 66 | West Sonoma County Union High School District | \$23,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | I | 55 | 15,375 | 20,443 | 75.2% | Pass |
| 67 | Elk Hills School District | \$7,905,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | D | 55 | 54 | 72 | 75.0% | Pass |
| 68 | Oak Grove School District | \$125,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Santa Clara | S | 55 | 25,589 | 34,139 | 75.0% | Pass |
| 69 | Alhambra Unified School District ESID | \$50,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | MM | 55 | 23,276 | 31,080 | 74.9% | Pass |
| 70 | Pomona Unified School District | \$235,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | PS | 55 | 30,058 | 40,221 | 74.7% | Pass |
| 71 | Azusa Unified School District | \$75,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 4,200 | 5,624 | 74.7% | Pass |
| 72 | Ceres Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | J | 55 | 3,214 | 4,310 | 74.6% | Pass |
| 73 | Dixie School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Marin | C | 55 | 6,083 | 8,158 | 74.6% | Pass |
| 74 | Pasadena Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | TT | 55 | 64,090 | 85,998 | 74.5% | Pass |
| 75 | San Lorenzo Unified School District | \$49,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Alameda | E | 55 | 15,797 | 21,217 | 74.5% | Pass |
| 76 | San Lorenzo Unified School District | \$83,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Alameda | O | 55 | 17,356 | 23,311 | 74.5% | Pass |
| 77 | Stockton Unified School District | Reauthorize \$156,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | E | 55 | 31,228 | 41,954 | 74.4% | Pass |
| 78 | McFarland Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | M | 55 | 1,238 | 1,664 | 74.4% | Pass |
| 79 | Torrance Unified School District | \$265,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 44,075 | 59,267 | 74.4% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 80 | Jefferson Union High School District | <i>Reauthorize \$41,900,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | E | 55 | 30,757 | 41,401 | 74.3% | Pass |
| 81 | El Monte Union High School District | \$148,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | D | 55 | 24,851 | 33,510 | 74.2% | Pass |
| 82 | Paramount Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 6,845 | 9,233 | 74.1% | Pass |
| 83 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Contra Costa | J | 55 | 7,288 | 9,833 | 74.1% | Pass |
| 84 | Fairfax Elementary School District | \$24,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,265 | 1,708 | 74.1% | Pass |
| 85 | Palmdale Elementary School District | \$220,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | DD | 55 | 22,328 | 30,162 | 74.0% | Pass |
| 86 | El Rancho Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | EE | 55 | 9,549 | 12,903 | 74.0% | Pass |
| 87 | Hueneme Elementary School District | \$17,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Ventura | I | 55 | 8,681 | 11,732 | 74.0% | Pass |
| 88 | Campbell Union School District | \$150,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 12,753 | 17,237 | 74.0% | Pass |
| 89 | Las Lomas School District | \$60,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | San Mateo | S | 55 | 2,305 | 3,117 | 73.9% | Pass |
| 90 | Mendota Unified School District | \$19,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno | M | 55 | 856 | 1,158 | 73.9% | Pass |
| 91 | Emery Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | J | 55 | 1,982 | 2,683 | 73.9% | Pass |
| 92 | Fortuna Elementary School District | \$3,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | Humboldt | X | 55 | 1,720 | 2,329 | 73.9% | Pass |
| 93 | San Francisco Unified School District | \$450,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 171,236 | 231,876 | 73.8% | Pass |
| 94 | Long Beach Community College District | \$440,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 79,204 | 107,261 | 73.8% | Pass |
| 95 | John Swett Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 3,950 | 5,350 | 73.8% | Pass |
| 96 | Cambrian School District | \$20,975,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 5,352 | 7,254 | 73.8% | Pass |
| 97 | Arena Union Elementary School District | \$3,700,000 | 2003-11-04 | Mendocino | E | 55 | 554 | 752 | 73.7% | Pass |
| 98 | Carmel Unified School District | \$21,500,000 | 2005-11-08 | Monterey | A | 55 | 7,744 | 10,512 | 73.7% | Pass |
| 99 | Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District | \$165,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 14,949 | 20,313 | 73.6% | Pass |
| 100 | Sausalito Marin City School District | \$15,900,000 | 2004-11-02 | Marin | I | 55 | 4,346 | 5,911 | 73.5% | Pass |
| 101 | Colton Joint Unified School District | \$225,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino/Riverside | G | 55 | 19,641 | 26,735 | 73.5% | Pass |
| 102 | Portola Valley School District | \$6,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 1,823 | 2,483 | 73.4% | Pass |
| 103 | Rosemead School District | \$30,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | O | 55 | 4,325 | 5,893 | 73.4% | Pass |
| 104 | Bassett Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 2,844 | 3,876 | 73.4% | Pass |
| 105 | Dublin Unified School District | \$184,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Alameda | C | 55 | 9,062 | 12,380 | 73.2% | Pass |
| 106 | Garvey Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 6,602 | 9,026 | 73.1% | Pass |
| 107 | Covina Valley Unified School District | \$129,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 17,640 | 24,131 | 73.1% | Pass |
| 108 | Sunnyvale School District | \$120,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | P | 55 | 16,283 | 22,313 | 73.0% | Pass |
| 109 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$49,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento | B | 55 | 5,685 | 7,793 | 73.0% | Pass |
| 110 | Traver Joint Elementary School District | \$700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tulare/Kings | S | 55 | 124 | 170 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 111 | Hilmar Unified School District | \$2,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced | E | 55 | 1,483 | 2,034 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 112 | Live Oak School District | \$14,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Cruz | E | 55 | 4,446 | 6,100 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 113 | Round Valley Unified School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Mendocino | L | 55 | 290 | 398 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 114 | Montebello Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 25,476 | 34,968 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 115 | Lemon Grove School District | \$28,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | W | 55 | 8,635 | 11,853 | 72.9% | Pass |
| 116 | Evergreen School District | \$150,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 15,494 | 21,278 | 72.8% | Pass |
| 117 | Washington Unified School District | \$22,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Yolo | W | 55 | 2,757 | 3,787 | 72.8% | Pass |
| 118 | Bellflower Unified School District | \$79,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | BB | 55 | 16,469 | 22,624 | 72.8% | Pass |
| 119 | Palm Springs Unified School District | \$122,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Riverside | T | 55 | 32,476 | 44,662 | 72.7% | Pass |
| 120 | Franklin-McKinley School District | \$30,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | Q | 55 | 11,366 | 15,632 | 72.7% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 121 | Robla School District | \$29,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sacramento | K | 55 | 1,694 | 2,331 | 72.7% | Pass |
| 122 | Ceres Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus | U | 55 | 9,625 | 13,258 | 72.6% | Pass |
| 123 | Rancho Santiago Community College District | \$198,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | Q | 55 | 45,981 | 63,351 | 72.6% | Pass |
| 124 | El Monte City School District | \$75,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | KC | 55 | 12,515 | 17,253 | 72.5% | Pass |
| 125 | Savanna Elementary School District | \$24,935,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | N | 55 | 5,728 | 7,900 | 72.5% | Pass |
| 126 | Cambrian School District | \$39,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 3,840 | 5,299 | 72.5% | Pass |
| 127 | Natomas Unified School District | \$45,880,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | M | 55 | 5,669 | 7,832 | 72.4% | Pass |
| 128 | Whittier City Unified School District | \$55,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | Z | 55 | 14,205 | 19,629 | 72.4% | Pass |
| 129 | Scotts Valley Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Cruz | A | 55 | 3,978 | 5,512 | 72.2% | Pass |
| 130 | Albany Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Alameda | E | 55 | 4,667 | 6,467 | 72.2% | Pass |
| 131 | Hayward Unified School District | \$205,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Alameda | I | 55 | 11,546 | 16,000 | 72.2% | Pass |
| 132 | Long Beach Unified School District | \$1,200,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 123,253 | 170,892 | 72.1% | Pass |
| 133 | Natomas Unified School District | \$129,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sacramento | J | 55 | 9,091 | 12,606 | 72.1% | Pass |
| 134 | Whittier Union High School District | \$75,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | W | 55 | 51,521 | 71,490 | 72.1% | Pass |
| 135 | Ocean View School District | \$13,200,000 | 2006-11-07 | Ventura | J | 55 | 1,571 | 2,180 | 72.1% | Pass |
| 136 | Baldwin Park Unified School District | \$75,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 6,727 | 9,335 | 72.1% | Pass |
| 137 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$315,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 27,343 | 37,953 | 72.0% | Pass |
| 138 | Alameda Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Alameda | C | 55 | 13,809 | 19,205 | 71.9% | Pass |
| 139 | Parlier Unified School District | \$4,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | P | 55 | 1,152 | 1,603 | 71.9% | Pass |
| 140 | Lemon Grove School District | <i>Reauthorize \$10,000,000</i> | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | R | 55 | 4,323 | 6,016 | 71.9% | Pass |
| 141 | College of the Sequoias | \$60,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kings/Tulare | J | 55 | 16,395 | 22,818 | 71.9% | Pass |
| 142 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$300,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 24,391 | 33,958 | 71.8% | Pass |
| 143 | Linns Valley-Poso Flat Elementary School District | \$340,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tulare/Kern | J | 55 | 191 | 266 | 71.8% | Pass |
| 144 | San Luis Coastal Unified School District | \$177,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo | D | 55 | 18,400 | 25,636 | 71.8% | Pass |
| 145 | Los Nietos School District | \$31,140,000 | 2008-06-03 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 738 | 1,029 | 71.7% | Pass |
| 146 | Copper Mountain Community College District | \$19,720,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Bernardino | T | 55 | 12,455 | 17,379 | 71.7% | Pass |
| 147 | Konocti Unified School District | \$18,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Lake | G | 55 | 5,173 | 7,219 | 71.7% | Pass |
| 148 | Soquel Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Cruz | A | 55 | 5,118 | 7,145 | 71.6% | Pass |
| 149 | Santa Barbara Elementary School District | \$75,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Barbara | R | 55 | 18,701 | 26,108 | 71.6% | Pass |
| 150 | Rosemead School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | RS | 55 | 1,635 | 2,283 | 71.6% | Pass |
| 151 | East Side Union High School District | \$120,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | M | 55 | 102,531 | 143,231 | 71.6% | Pass |
| 152 | Monterey Peninsula Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Monterey | P | 55 | 15,558 | 21,745 | 71.5% | Pass |
| 153 | Coast Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$12,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Luis Obispo | B | 55 | 2,260 | 3,159 | 71.5% | Pass |
| 154 | Los Gatos Union School District | \$30,900,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 6,412 | 8,966 | 71.5% | Pass |
| 155 | Torrance Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | Z | 55 | 41,832 | 58,516 | 71.5% | Pass |
| 156 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 4 | \$68,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | P | 55 | 11,677 | 16,337 | 71.5% | Pass |
| 157 | San Bernardino City Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Bernardino | N | 55 | 34,902 | 48,873 | 71.4% | Pass |
| 158 | Southwestern Community College District | \$389,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | R | 55 | 95,460 | 133,681 | 71.4% | Pass |
| 159 | East Side Union High School District | \$349,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 68,929 | 96,559 | 71.4% | Pass |
| 160 | San Jose Unified School District | \$290,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 63,447 | 88,944 | 71.3% | Pass |
| 161 | Winters Joint Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Solano/Yolo | R | 55 | 1,097 | 1,538 | 71.3% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------------------|
| 162 | Delhi Unified School District | \$8,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Merced | E | 55 | 1,435 | 2,013 | 71.3% | Pass |
| 163 | Lincoln Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Joaquin | P | 55 | 12,065 | 16,925 | 71.3% | Pass |
| 164 | Oceanside Unified School District | \$195,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | San Diego | H | 55 | 10,952 | 15,366 | 71.3% | Pass |
| 165 | Jefferson Union High School District | \$133,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 17,090 | 24,018 | 71.2% | Pass |
| 166 | Central School District | \$31,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | A | 55 | 9,072 | 12,753 | 71.1% | Pass |
| 167 | San Francisco Unified School District | \$531,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 134,695 | 189,445 | 71.1% | Pass |
| 168 | Kerman Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | K | 55 | 2,407 | 3,387 | 71.1% | Pass |
| 169 | Rio School District | \$38,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | G | 55 | 3,753 | 5,291 | 70.9% | Pass |
| 170 | Mendocino Unified School District | \$15,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Mendocino | AA | 55 | 2,327 | 3,281 | 70.9% | Pass |
| 171 | Kentfield School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Marin | D | 55 | 3,314 | 4,673 | 70.9% | Pass |
| 172 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$34,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | E | 55 | 2,041 | 2,878 | 70.9% | Pass |
| 173 | Larkspur-Corte Madera School District | \$26,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | Marin | A | 55 | 3,243 | 4,574 | 70.9% | Pass |
| 174 | Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 15,496 | 21,894 | 70.8% | Pass |
| 175 | William S. Hart Union High School District | \$158,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 18,100 | 25,584 | 70.7% | Pass |
| 176 | Orchard School District | \$40,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 391 | 553 | 70.7% | Pass |
| 177 | Alhambra Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 26,048 | 36,862 | 70.7% | Pass |
| 178 | Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3 | \$43,100,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Joaquin/Alameda | S | 55 | 14,856 | 21,036 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 179 | Stanislaus Union School District | \$39,800,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus | T | 55 | 7,213 | 10,214 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 180 | Howell Mountain School District | \$2,900,000 | 2005-11-08 | Napa | C | 55 | 663 | 939 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 181 | San Francisco Unified School District | \$295,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 139,814 | 198,068 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 182 | Menlo Park City School District | \$91,100,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Mateo | U | 55 | 4,936 | 6,993 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 183 | Standard School District | Reauthorize \$11,200,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | Q | 55 | 4,179 | 5,923 | 70.6% | Pass |
| 184 | Murietta Valley Unified School District | \$40,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | K | 55 | 11,119 | 15,781 | 70.5% | Pass |
| 185 | Santa Barbara Community College District | \$77,242,012 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Barbara | V | 55 | 28,397 | 40,308 | 70.5% | Pass |
| 186 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$20,700,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | B | 55 | 1,285 | 1,825 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 187 | Rowland Unified School District | \$118,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles/Orange | R | 55 | 4,790 | 6,804 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 188 | Santa Rosa Elementary School District | \$19,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 9,204 | 13,074 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 189 | Santa Paula Union High School District | \$39,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | Q | 55 | 5,871 | 8,340 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 190 | Kentfield School District | \$11,900,000 | 2004-03-02 | Marin | E | 55 | 3,259 | 4,630 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 191 | Sanger Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno | S | 55 | 9,986 | 14,190 | 70.4% | Pass |
| 192 | Cerritos Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 83,524 | 118,758 | 70.3% | Pass |
| 193 | Lancaster Elementary School District | \$63,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | L | 55 | 21,804 | 31,042 | 70.2% | Pass |
| 194 | Jefferson Union High School District | \$136,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 22,025 | 31,358 | 70.2% | Pass |
| 195 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$14,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kern | G | 55 | 804 | 1,145 | 70.2% | Pass |
| 196 | Dinuba Unified School District | \$14,915,000 | 2002-11-05 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 2,266 | 3,228 | 70.2% | Pass |
| 197 | Reed Union School District | \$13,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Marin | B | 55 | 3,907 | 5,566 | 70.2% | Pass |
| 198 | Redlands Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | R | 55 | 17,850 | 25,448 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 199 | La Honda-Pescadero Unified | \$15,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 918 | 1,309 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 200 | Los Angeles Community College District | \$3,500,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 1,057,738 | 1,508,687 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 201 | Sacramento City Unified School District | \$346,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | Q | 55 | 74,681 | 106,528 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 202 | San Gabriel Unified School District | \$65,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 5,240 | 7,475 | 70.1% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 203 | Selma Unified School District | \$23,800,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno | A | 55 | 3,091 | 4,410 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 204 | San Gabriel Unified School District | \$47,150,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 3,411 | 4,867 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 205 | Sebastopol Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | H | 55 | 2,869 | 4,094 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 206 | Larkspur-Corte Madera School District | \$19,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Marin | D | 55 | 2,725 | 3,889 | 70.1% | Pass |
| 207 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$160,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | U | 55 | 13,540 | 19,347 | 70.0% | Pass |
| 208 | Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tulare/Kings/Fresno | R | 55 | 2,221 | 3,175 | 70.0% | Pass |
| 209 | Mt. San Antonio Community College District | \$353,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles/Orange | RR/CC | 55 | 164,177 | 234,717 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 210 | Glendale Unified School District | \$270,000,000 | 2011-04-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 13,637 | 19,501 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 211 | East Side Union High School District | \$298,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 33,345 | 47,687 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 212 | Modesto City Schools (Modesto City Elementary School District) | \$17,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | S | 55 | 9,167 | 13,110 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 213 | Franklin-McKinley School District | \$50,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 10,860 | 15,534 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 214 | Greenfield Union School District | \$40,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Kern | H | 55 | 3,241 | 4,636 | 69.9% | Pass |
| 215 | Pasadena City College | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | P | 55 | 39,129 | 56,024 | 69.8% | Pass |
| 216 | Castro Valley Unified School District | \$16,800,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | C | 55 | 6,861 | 9,836 | 69.8% | Pass |
| 217 | Rio Bravo-Greeley Union School District | \$10,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Kern | B | 55 | 957 | 1,372 | 69.8% | Pass |
| 218 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$7,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Tulare | C | 55 | 1,099 | 1,576 | 69.7% | Pass |
| 219 | Antelope Valley Community College | \$139,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles/Kern | R | 55 | 74,966 | 107,579 | 69.7% | Pass |
| 220 | Washington Colony Elementary School District | \$400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | B | 55 | 350 | 503 | 69.6% | Pass |
| 221 | Bishop Union Elementary School District | \$3,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Inyo | A | 55 | 3,740 | 5,375 | 69.6% | Pass |
| 222 | Santa Barbara High School District | \$75,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Barbara | Q | 55 | 41,893 | 60,237 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 223 | La Mesa Spring Valley School District | \$44,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | M | 55 | 16,326 | 23,478 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 224 | Cayucos Elementary School District | \$4,950,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Luis Obispo | P | 55 | 1,316 | 1,893 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 225 | Rialto Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Bernardino | Y | 55 | 12,608 | 18,137 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 226 | Desert Sands Unified School District | \$225,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | KK | 55 | 27,027 | 38,889 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 227 | Millbrae School District | \$30,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Mateo | X | 55 | 6,646 | 9,566 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 228 | Lakeport Unified School District | \$7,300,000 | 2001-11-06 | Lake | W | 55 | 1,246 | 1,794 | 69.5% | Pass |
| 229 | Stockton Unified School District | \$120,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Joaquin | C | 55 | 18,858 | 27,167 | 69.4% | Pass |
| 230 | River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$14,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sacramento/Solano/Yolo | U | 55 | 3,017 | 4,347 | 69.4% | Pass |
| 231 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$419,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 18,958 | 27,320 | 69.4% | Pass |
| 232 | Imperial Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Imperial | I | 55 | 1,265 | 1,823 | 69.4% | Pass |
| 233 | Berryessa Union School District | \$77,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | L | 55 | 10,622 | 15,320 | 69.3% | Pass |
| 234 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$70,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 63,187 | 91,162 | 69.3% | Pass |
| 235 | San Jose Unified School District | \$429,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | F | 55 | 23,248 | 33,549 | 69.3% | Pass |
| 236 | North Sacramento School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$17,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento | C | 55 | 2,523 | 3,641 | 69.3% | Pass |
| 237 | Moorpark Unified School District | \$33,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Ventura | R | 55 | 4,153 | 5,996 | 69.3% | Pass |
| 238 | Cypress School District | \$53,600,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | M | 55 | 12,493 | 18,045 | 69.2% | Pass |
| 239 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5 | \$125,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 8,199 | 11,845 | 69.2% | Pass |
| 240 | Traver Joint Elementary School District | \$700,000 | 2004-11-02 | Tulare/Kings | A | 55 | 146 | 211 | 69.2% | Pass |
| 241 | Fort Bragg Unified School District | \$16,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Mendocino | C | 55 | 3,329 | 4,813 | 69.2% | Pass |
| 242 | Moreland School District | \$55,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | K | 55 | 9,035 | 13,066 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 243 | Planada Elementary School District | \$2,900,000 | 2008-11-04 | Merced | O | 55 | 625 | 904 | 69.1% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|---------|-----------|---------|--------------------|
| 244 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$7,000,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 885,467 | 1,281,319 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 245 | Santa Rosa Elementary School District | \$54,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 11,000 | 15,919 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 246 | Carlsbad Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | P | 55 | 16,250 | 23,522 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 247 | Val Verde Unified School District | \$43,440,000 | 2008-06-03 | Riverside | J | 55 | 2,323 | 3,363 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 248 | Hope Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Barbara | L | 55 | 3,278 | 4,746 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 249 | Oakley Union Elementary School District | \$16,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Contra Costa | H | 55 | 5,988 | 8,670 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 250 | Exeter Union High School District | \$4,350,000 | 2001-03-06 | Tulare | S | 55 | 1,268 | 1,836 | 69.1% | Pass |
| 251 | Roseland School District | \$7,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | N | 55 | 1,612 | 2,335 | 69.0% | Pass |
| 252 | Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District | \$5,950,000 | 2008-11-04 | Humboldt/Trinity | S | 55 | 1,287 | 1,865 | 69.0% | Pass |
| 253 | Covina Valley Unified School District | \$66,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 5,432 | 7,872 | 69.0% | Pass |
| 254 | Lagunitas Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Marin | A | 55 | 754 | 1,093 | 69.0% | Pass |
| 255 | Geyserville Unified School District | \$3,250,000 | 2008-02-05 | Sonoma | A | 55 | 498 | 722 | 69.0% | Pass |
| 256 | Stockton Unified School District | \$464,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Joaquin | Q | 55 | 20,331 | 29,495 | 68.9% | Pass |
| 257 | Pajaro Valley Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Cruz/Monterey | L | 55 | 23,645 | 34,304 | 68.9% | Pass |
| 258 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5 | \$195,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sacramento | G | 55 | 13,332 | 19,350 | 68.9% | Pass |
| 259 | Turlock Unified School District (Turlock Elementary School District) | \$10,950,000 | 2002-11-05 | Stanislaus/Merced | D | 55 | 8,989 | 13,048 | 68.9% | Pass |
| 260 | Union School District | \$125,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 5,958 | 8,656 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 261 | Hemet Unified School District | Reauthorize \$49,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | U | 55 | 25,661 | 37,287 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 262 | Chula Vista Elementary School District SFID No. 1 | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | E | 55 | 36,265 | 52,699 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 263 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$35,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Placer/Nevada/ | H | 55 | 8,856 | 12,872 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 264 | Washington Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Yolo | Q | 55 | 5,213 | 7,578 | 68.8% | Pass |
| 265 | El Rancho Unified School District | \$49,500,000 | 2003-11-04 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 1,788 | 2,601 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 266 | San Diego Unified School District | \$2,100,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | S | 55 | 261,672 | 380,808 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 267 | New Haven Unified School District | \$125,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Alameda | M | 55 | 8,962 | 13,044 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 268 | Laytonville Unified School District | \$5,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Mendocino | Q | 55 | 610 | 888 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 269 | Bellevue Union School District | \$19,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 3,953 | 5,755 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 270 | Perris Elementary School District | \$25,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | S | 55 | 2,823 | 4,111 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 271 | San Leandro Unified School District | \$109,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Alameda | B | 55 | 11,398 | 16,600 | 68.7% | Pass |
| 272 | Spreckels Union School District | \$5,335,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | D | 55 | 1,594 | 2,322 | 68.6% | Pass |
| 273 | San Diego Community College District | \$685,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | S | 55 | 140,577 | 204,797 | 68.6% | Pass |
| 274 | Soulsbyville Elementary School District | \$1,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tuolumne | B | 55 | 1,057 | 1,541 | 68.6% | Pass |
| 275 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$53,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento | C | 55 | 8,657 | 12,623 | 68.6% | Pass |
| 276 | Porterville Unified School District FID | \$23,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tulare | A | 55 | 3,681 | 5,368 | 68.6% | Pass |
| 277 | San Rafael Elementary School District (San Rafael City Schools) | \$49,300,000 | 2002-11-05 | Marin | B | 55 | 9,365 | 13,665 | 68.5% | Pass |
| 278 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Contra Costa | L | 55 | 7,391 | 10,787 | 68.5% | Pass |
| 279 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Contra Costa | N | 55 | 5,483 | 8,007 | 68.5% | Pass |
| 280 | Woodside Elementary School District | \$12,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | D | 55 | 1,042 | 1,522 | 68.5% | Pass |
| 281 | Sunnyvale School District | \$96,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 6,995 | 10,220 | 68.4% | Pass |
| 282 | Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District | \$9,300,000 | 2005-11-08 | Tuolumne | M | 55 | 1,563 | 2,285 | 68.4% | Pass |
| 283 | North Monterey County Unified School District | \$20,750,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | E | 55 | 4,188 | 6,123 | 68.4% | Pass |
| 284 | Petaluma Joint Union High School District | \$68,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | E | 55 | 4,652 | 6,813 | 68.3% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 285 | El Camino Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 129,939 | 190,356 | 68.3% | Pass |
| 286 | Ojai Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | J | 55 | 5,223 | 7,655 | 68.2% | Pass |
| 287 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$88,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | G | 55 | 23,927 | 35,083 | 68.2% | Pass |
| 288 | Exeter Union Elementary School District | \$6,400,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | D | 55 | 2,066 | 3,031 | 68.2% | Pass |
| 289 | Helendale School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | X | 55 | 1,707 | 2,507 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 290 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$3,350,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 488,849 | 718,030 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 291 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$24,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kern | H | 55 | 4,089 | 6,007 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 292 | Santa Clarita Community College District | \$82,110,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 16,370 | 24,050 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 293 | Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District | \$385,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | ES | 55 | 29,874 | 43,892 | 68.1% | Pass |
| 294 | Escalon Unified School District | \$7,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | T | 55 | 2,402 | 3,531 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 295 | Mark West Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | I | 55 | 2,957 | 4,348 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 296 | San Carlos Unified School District | \$72,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 9,534 | 14,023 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 297 | Redwood Shores SFID | \$25,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 2,312 | 3,401 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 298 | Fillmore Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Ventura | E | 55 | 3,650 | 5,370 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 299 | Loleta Union School District | \$456,000 | 2004-11-02 | Humboldt | K | 55 | 422 | 621 | 68.0% | Pass |
| 300 | Tracy Unified School District | \$51,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Joaquin/Alameda | E | 55 | 7,230 | 10,644 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 301 | Banta Elementary School District | \$800,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | X | 55 | 216 | 318 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 302 | East Side Union High School District | \$113,200,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 59,092 | 87,014 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 303 | Victor Valley Union High School District | \$500,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | V | 55 | 24,037 | 35,400 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 304 | Big Creek Elementary School District | \$1,400,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | M | 55 | 167 | 246 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 305 | Midway School District | \$7,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | F | 55 | 93 | 137 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 306 | Kernville Union School District | \$5,600,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | E | 55 | 2,559 | 3,770 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 307 | Salinas Union High School District | \$37,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | M | 55 | 14,651 | 21,589 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 308 | Sacramento City Unified School District | \$68,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | R | 55 | 71,820 | 105,834 | 67.9% | Pass |
| 309 | Modesto City Schools (Modesto High School District) | \$65,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | T | 55 | 20,783 | 30,639 | 67.8% | Pass |
| 310 | Briggs Elementary School District | \$4,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | K | 55 | 398 | 587 | 67.8% | Pass |
| 311 | Banning Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | L | 55 | 2,620 | 3,865 | 67.8% | Pass |
| 312 | Dixon Unified School District | \$29,200,000 | 2002-11-05 | Solano | J | 55 | 3,313 | 4,896 | 67.7% | Pass |
| 313 | Merced Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$11,930,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced/Madera | J | 55 | 4,644 | 6,863 | 67.7% | Pass |
| 314 | Sonoma Valley Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | H | 55 | 10,581 | 15,649 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 315 | San Rafael High School District (San Rafael City Schools) | \$49,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Marin | A | 55 | 15,011 | 22,208 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 316 | Mountain View Whisman Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 7,341 | 10,863 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 317 | Gilroy Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Santa Clara | P | 55 | 11,989 | 17,741 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 318 | Visalia Unified School District | \$60,100,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | E | 55 | 26,898 | 39,806 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 319 | East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sutter/Placer | W | 55 | 583 | 863 | 67.6% | Pass |
| 320 | Evergreen School District | \$100,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | M | 55 | 14,002 | 20,740 | 67.5% | Pass |
| 321 | Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District | \$268,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | BB | 55 | 22,927 | 33,960 | 67.5% | Pass |
| 322 | Burlingame School District | \$56,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | D | 55 | 8,609 | 12,754 | 67.5% | Pass |
| 323 | Healdsburg Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$18,820,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | H | 55 | 3,519 | 5,214 | 67.5% | Pass |
| 324 | Rowland Unified School District | \$158,800,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles/Orange | R | 55 | 17,181 | 25,465 | 67.5% | Pass |
| 325 | Sweetwater Union High School District | \$644,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | O | 55 | 48,916 | 72,502 | 67.5% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 326 | Stockton Unified School District | \$114,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Joaquin | E | 55 | 14,751 | 21,871 | 67.4% | Pass |
| 327 | Somis Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | S | 55 | 955 | 1,416 | 67.4% | Pass |
| 328 | Washington Unified School District | \$49,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Yolo | V | 55 | 6,118 | 9,072 | 67.4% | Pass |
| 329 | Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified School District | \$4,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Fresno/Merced | G | 55 | 1,130 | 1,676 | 67.4% | Pass |
| 330 | Livingston Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Merced | B | 55 | 1,002 | 1,487 | 67.4% | Pass |
| 331 | Terra Bella Union Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | 2008-11-04 | Tulare | K | 55 | 763 | 1,133 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 332 | Acalanes Union High School District | \$44,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 30,163 | 44,797 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 333 | Victor Elementary School District | \$150,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | E | 55 | 15,167 | 22,529 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 334 | Ontario-Montclair School District | \$59,655,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | T | 55 | 11,472 | 17,042 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 335 | El Segundo Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 5,602 | 8,326 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 336 | Jefferson School District | \$35,400,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Joaquin | J | 55 | 2,354 | 3,500 | 67.3% | Pass |
| 337 | Hughson Unified School District | \$14,300,000 | 2004-11-02 | Stanislaus | G | 55 | 2,788 | 4,146 | 67.2% | Pass |
| 338 | Sacramento City Unified School District | \$225,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | I | 55 | 49,115 | 73,041 | 67.2% | Pass |
| 339 | Vista Unified School District | \$140,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | O | 55 | 17,506 | 26,037 | 67.2% | Pass |
| 340 | Tustin Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | G | 55 | 12,792 | 19,054 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 341 | Monrovia Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 4,301 | 6,409 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 342 | Central School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Bernardino | N | 55 | 4,141 | 6,171 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 343 | El Monte City School District | \$78,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 4,614 | 6,877 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 344 | Fremont Union High School District | \$198,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Clara | B | 55 | 22,100 | 32,949 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 345 | Centralia School District | \$17,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | H | 55 | 5,760 | 8,589 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 346 | Downey Unified School District | \$65,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | D | 55 | 13,257 | 19,771 | 67.1% | Pass |
| 347 | Chowchilla Union High School District | \$8,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Madera | N | 55 | 2,258 | 3,368 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 348 | Dinuba Unified School District | \$37,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Tulare | T | 55 | 2,205 | 3,290 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 349 | San Bernardino Community College District | \$500,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino/Riverside | M | 55 | 87,405 | 130,437 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 350 | San Jacinto Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | V | 55 | 4,153 | 6,198 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 351 | Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 14,678 | 21,911 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 352 | Loma Prieta Schools | \$4,965,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | K | 55 | 982 | 1,466 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 353 | Hanford Joint Union High School District | \$33,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 12,549 | 18,737 | 67.0% | Pass |
| 354 | Caruthers Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno | C | 55 | 1,264 | 1,888 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 355 | Brawley Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | Imperial | S | 55 | 4,081 | 6,096 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 356 | Arcadia Unified School District | \$218,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 10,104 | 15,093 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 357 | Mill Valley School District | \$59,800,000 | 2009-11-03 | Marin | C | 55 | 5,530 | 8,261 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 358 | Oak View Union School District | \$3,700,000 | 2008-06-03 | San Joaquin | R | 55 | 380 | 568 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 359 | Cascade Union Elementary School District | \$12,400,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | C | 55 | 3,211 | 4,800 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 360 | Buena Park Elementary School District | \$71,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Orange | B | 55 | 2,229 | 3,333 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 361 | Tamalpais Union High School District | \$79,920,000 | 2006-06-06 | Marin | A | 55 | 23,420 | 35,020 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 362 | Placerville Union School District | \$5,300,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 3,274 | 4,896 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 363 | Middletown Unified School District | \$15,300,000 | 2006-11-07 | Lake | X | 55 | 2,020 | 3,021 | 66.9% | Pass |
| 364 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$11,800,000 | 2008-06-03 | Fresno/Madera | Q | 55 | 782 | 1,171 | 66.8% | Pass |
| 365 | Rincon Valley Union School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | F | 55 | 6,816 | 10,209 | 66.8% | Pass |
| 366 | Torrance Unified School District | \$144,300,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | T | 55 | 21,424 | 32,113 | 66.7% | Pass |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 367 | Newhall School District | \$60,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 3,706 | 5,556 | 66.7% | Pass |
| 368 | Kern High School District | \$219,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Kern | N | 55 | 97,540 | 146,279 | 66.7% | Pass |
| 369 | Campbell Union School District | \$74,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 8,772 | 13,156 | 66.7% | Pass |
| 1 | Pajaro Valley Unified School District | \$58,250,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Cruz/Monterey | J or L | 55 | 14,352 | 21,530 | 66.7% | Fail |
| 2 | Chualar Union Elementary School District | \$1,900,000 | 2001-11-06 | Monterey | K | 55 | 157 | 236 | 66.5% | Fail |
| 3 | John Swett Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 1,778 | 2,673 | 66.5% | Fail |
| 4 | San Mateo Union High School District | \$298,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Mateo | M | 55 | 42,296 | 63,640 | 66.5% | Fail |
| 5 | Susanville Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Lassen | S | 55 | 3,025 | 4,552 | 66.5% | Fail |
| 6 | Hillsborough City School District | \$66,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Mateo | B | 55 | 2,838 | 4,271 | 66.4% | Fail |
| 7 | Redlands Unified School District | \$65,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino | J | 55 | 21,545 | 32,446 | 66.4% | Fail |
| 8 | Oxnard School District | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | R | 55 | 19,968 | 30,078 | 66.4% | Fail |
| 9 | Perris Elementary School District | \$40,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Riverside | C | 55 | 1,153 | 1,738 | 66.3% | Fail |
| 10 | Carpinteria Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara | U | 55 | 3,901 | 5,881 | 66.3% | Fail |
| 11 | Cupertino Union School District | \$220,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 17,510 | 26,432 | 66.2% | Fail |
| 12 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$388,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 102,629 | 154,995 | 66.2% | Fail |
| 13 | Cottonwood Union Elementary School District | \$2,200,000 | 2003-11-04 | Shasta | A | 55 | 1,111 | 1,678 | 66.2% | Fail |
| 14 | Patterson Joint Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus/Santa Clara | V | 55 | 3,982 | 6,015 | 66.2% | Fail |
| 15 | Columbia Union Elementary School District | \$3,600,000 | 2003-03-04 | Tuolumne | G | 55 | 937 | 1,417 | 66.1% | Fail |
| 16 | Forestville Union School District | \$5,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | K | 55 | 2,104 | 3,183 | 66.1% | Fail |
| 17 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$3,985,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 443,905 | 671,636 | 66.1% | Fail |
| 18 | College of the Sequoias | \$28,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Tulare | I | 55 | 35,713 | 54,070 | 66.0% | Fail |
| 19 | Farmersville Unified School District | \$4,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Tulare | A | 55 | 575 | 871 | 66.0% | Fail |
| 20 | Gravenstein Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,971 | 2,986 | 66.0% | Fail |
| 21 | Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District | \$102,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | Y | 55 | 18,300 | 27,735 | 66.0% | Fail |
| 22 | Sutter Union High School District | \$12,600,000 | 2008-06-03 | Sutter | T | 55 | 1,194 | 1,810 | 66.0% | Fail |
| 23 | Parlier Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Fresno | P | 55 | 554 | 840 | 66.0% | Fail |
| 24 | Conejo Valley Unified School District | \$197,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | I | 55 | 27,468 | 41,652 | 65.9% | Fail |
| 25 | Redondo Beach Unified School District | \$145,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 13,516 | 20,505 | 65.9% | Fail |
| 26 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District | \$25,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 9,509 | 14,431 | 65.9% | Fail |
| 27 | San Mateo-Foster City School District | \$175,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 48,116 | 73,047 | 65.9% | Fail |
| 28 | Gustine Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Merced | P | 55 | 962 | 1,461 | 65.8% | Fail |
| 29 | Butte-Glenn Community College District | \$84,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Butte/Glenn | A | 55 | 36,012 | 54,724 | 65.8% | Fail |
| 30 | Fort Bragg Unified School District | \$22,200,000 | 2003-11-04 | Mendocino | D | 55 | 1,946 | 2,958 | 65.8% | Fail |
| 31 | Hydesville Elementary School District | \$1,100,000 | 2014-06-03 | Humboldt | M | 55 | 244 | 371 | 65.8% | Fail |
| 32 | Hartnell Community College District | \$131,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey/San Benito | H | 55 | 29,768 | 45,263 | 65.8% | Fail |
| 33 | San Juan Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | J | 55 | 63,010 | 95,831 | 65.8% | Fail |
| 34 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$11,600,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito | C | 55 | 2,656 | 4,040 | 65.7% | Fail |
| 35 | Morgan Hill Unified School District | \$198,250,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 15,243 | 23,187 | 65.7% | Fail |
| 36 | Foothill-De Anza Community College District | \$490,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Clara | C | 55 | 44,924 | 68,392 | 65.7% | Fail |
| 37 | Magnolia School District | \$16,300,000 | 2010-11-02 | Orange | I | 55 | 6,366 | 9,693 | 65.7% | Fail |
| 38 | Kings River Union Elementary School District | \$850,000 | 2005-11-08 | Tulare | E | 55 | 300 | 457 | 65.6% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 39 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$265,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 22,995 | 35,047 | 65.6% | Fail |
| 40 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$207,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 58,530 | 89,208 | 65.6% | Fail |
| 41 | Bonsall Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Diego | C | 55 | 2,767 | 4,218 | 65.6% | Fail |
| 42 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Monterey | D | 55 | 3,411 | 5,200 | 65.6% | Fail |
| 43 | Ventura County Community College District | \$356,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Ventura | S | 55 | 77,854 | 118,715 | 65.6% | Fail |
| 44 | Oak Grove School District | \$89,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | P | 55 | 13,056 | 19,918 | 65.5% | Fail |
| 45 | Mt. Diablo Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 31,530 | 48,112 | 65.5% | Fail |
| 46 | Martinez Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Contra Costa | K | 55 | 6,863 | 10,474 | 65.5% | Fail |
| 47 | Greenfield Union School District | \$5,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Kern | A | 55 | 2,242 | 3,423 | 65.5% | Fail |
| 48 | Fontana Unified School District | \$275,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Orange | C | 55 | 5,598 | 8,547 | 65.5% | Fail |
| 49 | Santa Rosa High School District | \$77,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 29,276 | 44,707 | 65.5% | Fail |
| 50 | Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$15,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 9,946 | 15,195 | 65.5% | Fail |
| 51 | San Jose-Evergreen Community College District | \$185,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 141,070 | 215,731 | 65.4% | Fail |
| 52 | Moorpark Unified School District | \$39,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | S | 55 | 9,560 | 14,620 | 65.4% | Fail |
| 53 | Los Alamitos Unified School District | \$126,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | K | 55 | 13,740 | 21,015 | 65.4% | Fail |
| 54 | Amador County Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Amador | F | 55 | 8,332 | 12,752 | 65.3% | Fail |
| 55 | Atascadero Unified School District | \$117,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Luis Obispo | I | 55 | 9,165 | 14,029 | 65.3% | Fail |
| 56 | Wheatland School District | \$5,700,000 | 2008-11-04 | Yuba | R | 55 | 1,465 | 2,243 | 65.3% | Fail |
| 57 | Riverbank Unified School District | \$15,200,000 | 2005-11-08 | Stanislaus | P | 55 | 1,584 | 2,426 | 65.3% | Fail |
| 58 | Mesa Union Elementary School District | \$3,900,000 | 2002-11-05 | Ventura | H | 55 | 786 | 1,204 | 65.3% | Fail |
| 59 | Wasco Union High School District | \$33,500,000 | 2008-06-03 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,070 | 1,640 | 65.2% | Fail |
| 60 | Pioneer Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kings | P | 55 | 1,524 | 2,336 | 65.2% | Fail |
| 61 | Calistoga Joint Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma/Napa | A | 55 | 1,572 | 2,411 | 65.2% | Fail |
| 62 | Clovis Unified School District | \$298,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Fresno | M | 55 | 23,752 | 36,429 | 65.2% | Fail |
| 63 | Coast Community College District | \$370,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | C | 55 | 90,198 | 138,348 | 65.2% | Fail |
| 64 | Brisbane Elementary School District | \$11,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 706 | 1,083 | 65.2% | Fail |
| 65 | Coachella Valley Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside/Imperial | X | 55 | 7,191 | 11,032 | 65.2% | Fail |
| 66 | Hermosa Beach City School District | \$13,600,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 3,874 | 5,944 | 65.2% | Fail |
| 67 | Healdsburg Unified School District | \$3,990,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 3,922 | 6,023 | 65.1% | Fail |
| 68 | Anderson Valley Unified School District | \$15,250,000 | 2010-06-08 | Mendocino | A | 55 | 551 | 847 | 65.1% | Fail |
| 69 | Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 4 | \$82,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Joaquin/Alameda | B | 55 | 4,570 | 7,028 | 65.0% | Fail |
| 70 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Humboldt/Mendocino | X | 55 | 1,632 | 2,510 | 65.0% | Fail |
| 71 | Panama-Buena Vista Union School District | \$147,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | P | 55 | 23,690 | 36,447 | 65.0% | Fail |
| 72 | Long Beach Community College District | \$176,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 34,580 | 53,225 | 65.0% | Fail |
| 73 | Chico Unified School District | \$78,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Butte | E | 55 | 27,112 | 41,734 | 65.0% | Fail |
| 74 | Redwood City Elementary School District | \$22,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Mateo | B | 55 | 8,946 | 13,774 | 64.9% | Fail |
| 75 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$18,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 4,257 | 6,556 | 64.9% | Fail |
| 76 | Walnut Creek School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 11,876 | 18,290 | 64.9% | Fail |
| 77 | Petaluma City School District | \$21,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 9,760 | 15,033 | 64.9% | Fail |
| 78 | Beverly Hills Unified School District | \$334,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 9,484 | 14,616 | 64.9% | Fail |
| 79 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$120,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 112,062 | 172,726 | 64.9% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 80 | Centinela Valley Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | CV | 55 | 16,057 | 24,754 | 64.9% | Fail |
| 81 | Twin Hills Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,975 | 3,046 | 64.8% | Fail |
| 82 | Fremont Union High School District | \$295,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | K | 55 | 31,982 | 49,326 | 64.8% | Fail |
| 83 | Alisal Union School District | \$90,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Monterey | A | 55 | 3,414 | 5,266 | 64.8% | Fail |
| 84 | Standard School District | \$28,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | D | 55 | 2,166 | 3,342 | 64.8% | Fail |
| 85 | Stone Corral Elementary School District | \$715,000 | 2008-06-03 | Tulare | F | 55 | 46 | 71 | 64.8% | Fail |
| 86 | Guerneville School District | \$6,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | F | 55 | 993 | 1,533 | 64.8% | Fail |
| 87 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District | \$48,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 7,017 | 10,837 | 64.8% | Fail |
| 88 | Imperial Valley College | \$80,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Imperial | J | 55 | 16,325 | 25,220 | 64.7% | Fail |
| 89 | Sanger Unified School District | \$30,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Fresno | S | 55 | 3,298 | 5,097 | 64.7% | Fail |
| 90 | Cayucos Elementary School District | \$2,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Luis Obispo | Z | 55 | 1,003 | 1,551 | 64.7% | Fail |
| 91 | Monterey Peninsula Community College District | \$145,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | I | 55 | 23,711 | 36,677 | 64.6% | Fail |
| 92 | Castaic Union School District | \$51,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | QS | 55 | 5,682 | 8,793 | 64.6% | Fail |
| 93 | Nuview Union School District | <i>Reauthorize \$4,000,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | V | 55 | 1,632 | 2,526 | 64.6% | Fail |
| 94 | Lakeside Union School District | \$79,550,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | I | 55 | 10,695 | 16,561 | 64.6% | Fail |
| 95 | Oxnard School District | \$64,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Ventura | M | 55 | 12,655 | 19,631 | 64.5% | Fail |
| 96 | Liberty School District | \$1,920,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 618 | 959 | 64.4% | Fail |
| 97 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$81,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 21,839 | 33,890 | 64.4% | Fail |
| 98 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$360,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 50,266 | 78,060 | 64.4% | Fail |
| 99 | Valley Home Joint School District | \$1,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Stanislaus/San Joaquin | S | 55 | 264 | 410 | 64.4% | Fail |
| 100 | Temple City Unified School District | \$128,800,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 6,454 | 10,029 | 64.4% | Fail |
| 101 | Woodside Elementary School District | \$13,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | D | 55 | 713 | 1,108 | 64.4% | Fail |
| 102 | Kerman Unified School District | \$24,900,000 | 2008-06-03 | Fresno | K | 55 | 931 | 1,447 | 64.3% | Fail |
| 103 | Blue Lake Union Elementary School District | \$2,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt | K | 55 | 528 | 821 | 64.3% | Fail |
| 104 | College of the Redwoods | \$40,320,000 | 2004-11-02 | Del Norte/Humboldt/Mendocino | B/Q | 55 | 52,249 | 81,284 | 64.3% | Fail |
| 105 | Redondo Beach Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 18,786 | 29,232 | 64.3% | Fail |
| 106 | Acalanes Union High School District | \$93,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 39,556 | 61,553 | 64.3% | Fail |
| 107 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | \$25,200,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt/Mendocino | L | 55 | 1,528 | 2,379 | 64.2% | Fail |
| 108 | Eureka Unified School District | \$32,515,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt | T | 55 | 5,059 | 7,881 | 64.2% | Fail |
| 109 | Armona Union Elementary School District | \$2,785,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kings | S | 55 | 534 | 832 | 64.2% | Fail |
| 110 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$468,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 114,319 | 178,121 | 64.2% | Fail |
| 111 | Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus | R | 55 | 1,998 | 3,114 | 64.2% | Fail |
| 112 | Lakeport Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Lake | T | 55 | 1,543 | 2,405 | 64.2% | Fail |
| 113 | Cajon Valley Union School District | \$156,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | D | 55 | 23,696 | 36,946 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 114 | Las Virgenes Unified School District | \$128,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles/Ventura | G | 55 | 6,865 | 10,705 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 115 | Rancho Santiago Community College District | \$337,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | E | 55 | 51,789 | 80,771 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 116 | Escalon Unified School District | \$19,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | B | 55 | 3,279 | 5,115 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 117 | Saratoga Union School District | \$19,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | L | 55 | 3,903 | 6,091 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 118 | Moreno Valley Unified School District | \$398,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | M | 55 | 12,348 | 19,276 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 119 | Milpitas Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 5,412 | 8,449 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 120 | Maricopa Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Kern | O | 55 | 342 | 534 | 64.0% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 121 | Santa Rosa High School District | \$175,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | I | 55 | 34,086 | 53,235 | 64.0% | Fail |
| 122 | Wilmar Union School District | \$4,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | P | 55 | 1,075 | 1,679 | 64.0% | Fail |
| 123 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID | \$35,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 6,744 | 10,539 | 64.0% | Fail |
| 124 | Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District | \$99,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | E | 55 | 8,331 | 13,020 | 64.0% | Fail |
| 125 | Bear Valley Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | Q | 55 | 3,423 | 5,351 | 64.0% | Fail |
| 126 | Anaheim City Elementary School District | \$169,300,000 | 2010-11-02 | Orange | G | 55 | 19,550 | 30,580 | 63.9% | Fail |
| 127 | Poway Unified School District SFID 2007-1 | \$179,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | C | 55 | 28,323 | 44,318 | 63.9% | Fail |
| 128 | Salinas City Elementary School District | \$80,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Monterey | T | 55 | 5,513 | 8,627 | 63.9% | Fail |
| 129 | Burlingame School District | \$48,300,000 | 2007-11-06 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 3,650 | 5,712 | 63.9% | Fail |
| 130 | City College of San Francisco | \$246,300,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 134,999 | 211,319 | 63.9% | Fail |
| 131 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3 | \$31,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno/Kings | E | 55 | 7,512 | 11,763 | 63.9% | Fail |
| 132 | Bakersfield City Elementary School District | \$100,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Kern | G | 55 | 17,657 | 27,661 | 63.8% | Fail |
| 133 | Garden Grove Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Orange | A | 55 | 21,149 | 33,168 | 63.8% | Fail |
| 134 | Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District | \$16,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito | E | 55 | 1,813 | 2,844 | 63.7% | Fail |
| 135 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$3,870,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 335,230 | 526,258 | 63.7% | Fail |
| 136 | Oakdale Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | C | 55 | 28 | 44 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 137 | Imperial Valley College | \$58,600,000 | 2004-11-02 | Imperial | L | 55 | 20,176 | 31,716 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 138 | Shoreline Unified School District | \$9,290,000 | 2009-11-03 | Marin/Sonoma | D | 55 | 1,033 | 1,624 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 139 | Chaffey Joint Union School District | \$848,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Bernardino | P | 55 | 70,026 | 110,096 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 140 | Gold Trail Union School District | \$1,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 1,639 | 2,577 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 141 | Oak Grove Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | K | 55 | 1,174 | 1,846 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 142 | Castro Valley Unified School District | \$44,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Alameda | B | 55 | 10,602 | 16,674 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 143 | Victor Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Bernardino | E | 55 | 3,155 | 4,964 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 144 | La Canada Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | B | 55 | 4,408 | 6,939 | 63.5% | Fail |
| 145 | Fremont Unified School District | \$157,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | B | 55 | 15,101 | 23,780 | 63.5% | Fail |
| 146 | Benicia Unified School District | \$49,600,000 | 2014-06-03 | Solano | S | 55 | 3,903 | 6,147 | 63.5% | Fail |
| 147 | Madera Unified School District | \$46,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Madera | B | 55 | 6,812 | 10,729 | 63.5% | Fail |
| 148 | Solano Community College District | \$348,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Solano/Yolo | Q | 55 | 89,634 | 141,189 | 63.5% | Fail |
| 149 | Solano Community College District | \$124,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Solano/Yolo | G | 55 | 89,634 | 141,189 | 63.5% | Fail |
| 150 | Live Oak Unified School District | \$8,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Sutter | G | 55 | 961 | 1,515 | 63.4% | Fail |
| 151 | Hollister School District | \$28,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Benito | M | 55 | 5,729 | 9,035 | 63.4% | Fail |
| 152 | Central Unified School District | \$152,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno | E | 55 | 12,062 | 19,023 | 63.4% | Fail |
| 153 | San Marcos Unified School District | \$287,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | K | 55 | 20,082 | 31,682 | 63.4% | Fail |
| 154 | Temecula Valley Unified School District | \$165,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | Y | 55 | 27,808 | 43,879 | 63.4% | Fail |
| 155 | Lucia Mar Unified School District | \$21,350,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Luis Obispo | A | 55 | 13,848 | 21,869 | 63.3% | Fail |
| 156 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$4,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Diego | K | 55 | 1,417 | 2,238 | 63.3% | Fail |
| 157 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$8,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,551 | 2,450 | 63.3% | Fail |
| 158 | Rincon Valley Union School District | \$23,900,000 | 2004-03-02 | Sonoma | D | 55 | 10,302 | 16,288 | 63.2% | Fail |
| 159 | Exeter Union High School District | \$5,100,000 | 2006-11-07 | Tulare | U | 55 | 2,486 | 3,931 | 63.2% | Fail |
| 160 | Anaheim City Elementary School District | \$111,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | BB | 55 | 11,610 | 18,366 | 63.2% | Fail |
| 161 | Burton Elementary School District | \$2,455,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tulare | B | 55 | 1,471 | 2,327 | 63.2% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 162 | Paradise Elementary School District | \$660,000 | 2002-03-05 | Stanislaus | X | 55 | 151 | 239 | 63.2% | Fail |
| 163 | Happy Valley Union Elementary School District | \$2,495,000 | 2014-06-03 | Shasta | C | 55 | 724 | 1,146 | 63.2% | Fail |
| 164 | Sonoma County Community College District | \$410,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino | H | 55 | 87,739 | 139,046 | 63.1% | Fail |
| 165 | Glendora Unified School District | \$41,310,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 6,588 | 10,442 | 63.1% | Fail |
| 166 | San Leandro Unified School District | \$50,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | M | 55 | 10,638 | 16,864 | 63.1% | Fail |
| 167 | Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 5,382 | 8,532 | 63.1% | Fail |
| 168 | Colusa Unified School District | \$5,900,000 | 2014-11-04 | Colusa | A | 55 | 1,049 | 1,663 | 63.1% | Fail |
| 169 | College of Marin | \$249,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Marin | C | 55 | 77,102 | 122,301 | 63.0% | Fail |
| 170 | San Diego Community College District | \$870,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | N | 55 | 149,399 | 237,005 | 63.0% | Fail |
| 171 | Oroville City Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Butte | F | 55 | 4,742 | 7,525 | 63.0% | Fail |
| 172 | Ohlone Community College District | \$349,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | G | 55 | 37,042 | 58,814 | 63.0% | Fail |
| 173 | Westminster School District | \$130,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | O | 55 | 15,947 | 25,321 | 63.0% | Fail |
| 174 | Princeton Unified School District | \$2,750,000 | 2014-06-03 | Glenn/Colusa | S | 55 | 165 | 262 | 63.0% | Fail |
| 175 | San Carlos Unified School District | \$38,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | E | 55 | 6,240 | 9,913 | 62.9% | Fail |
| 176 | Denair Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Stanislaus | K | 55 | 812 | 1,290 | 62.9% | Fail |
| 177 | Rio Hondo Community College District | \$245,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 27,447 | 43,642 | 62.9% | Fail |
| 178 | Bennett Valley Union School District | \$10,600,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 2,976 | 4,732 | 62.9% | Fail |
| 179 | Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Madera | R | 55 | 2,213 | 3,520 | 62.9% | Fail |
| 180 | Westside Union School District | \$63,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | WS | 55 | 16,127 | 25,660 | 62.8% | Fail |
| 181 | Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$56,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 12,264 | 19,515 | 62.8% | Fail |
| 182 | San Bernardino Community College District | \$190,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino/Riverside | P | 55 | 60,487 | 96,344 | 62.8% | Fail |
| 183 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern | H | 55 | 1,914 | 3,049 | 62.8% | Fail |
| 184 | Windsor Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 6,208 | 9,891 | 62.8% | Fail |
| 185 | Alameda Unified School District | \$179,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Alameda | I | 55 | 13,111 | 20,894 | 62.8% | Fail |
| 186 | Pomona Unified School District | \$68,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 7,280 | 11,602 | 62.7% | Fail |
| 187 | Gilroy Unified School District | \$69,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 5,865 | 9,349 | 62.7% | Fail |
| 188 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 6,806 | 10,851 | 62.7% | Fail |
| 189 | Charter Oak Unified School District | \$47,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Los Angeles | CO | 55 | 3,035 | 4,839 | 62.7% | Fail |
| 190 | Weaver Union School District | \$9,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Merced | B | 55 | 533 | 850 | 62.7% | Fail |
| 191 | Oxnard Union High School District | \$135,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Ventura | H | 55 | 52,851 | 84,324 | 62.7% | Fail |
| 192 | Alvord Unified School District | <i>Reauthorize \$79,000,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | W | 55 | 12,911 | 20,602 | 62.7% | Fail |
| 193 | Santa Clarita Community College District | \$160,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 38,292 | 61,119 | 62.7% | Fail |
| 194 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$380,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 23,014 | 36,754 | 62.6% | Fail |
| 195 | Merced Union High School District | \$149,450,000 | 2008-11-04 | Merced | M | 55 | 25,266 | 40,377 | 62.6% | Fail |
| 196 | San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta) | \$275,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo/ Monterey | L | 55 | 51,740 | 82,706 | 62.6% | Fail |
| 197 | Happy Valley Union Elementary School District | \$3,800,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | E | 55 | 1,743 | 2,787 | 62.5% | Fail |
| 198 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$11,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Monterey/San Benito | S | 55 | 1,243 | 1,988 | 62.5% | Fail |
| 199 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$24,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Kern | A | 55 | 1,746 | 2,793 | 62.5% | Fail |
| 200 | Spreckels Union School District | \$7,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Monterey | B | 55 | 2,091 | 3,346 | 62.5% | Fail |
| 201 | Westside Union School District | <i>Reauthorize \$18,510,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | WR | 55 | 16,153 | 25,849 | 62.5% | Fail |
| 202 | Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$61,600,000 | 2008-06-03 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 4,948 | 7,921 | 62.5% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 203 | Siskiyou Joint Community College District | \$31,400,000 | 2005-11-08 | Siskiyou/Shasta | A | 55 | 8,912 | 14,267 | 62.5% | Fail |
| 204 | Black Oak Mine Unified School District | \$6,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 3,768 | 6,034 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 205 | Clovis Unified School District | \$168,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | A | 55 | 24,836 | 39,778 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 206 | Buckeye Union School District | \$28,300,000 | 2006-11-07 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 7,910 | 12,670 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 207 | Franklin School District | \$2,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sutter | P | 55 | 452 | 724 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 208 | Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$230,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento/Placer | G | 55 | 10,477 | 16,782 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 209 | Bassett Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 1,616 | 2,589 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 210 | Mendocino-Lake Community College District | \$67,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Mendocino/Lake | W | 55 | 18,417 | 29,506 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 211 | Marysville Joint Unified School District | \$47,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Yuba/Butte | P | 55 | 10,081 | 16,160 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 212 | Greenfield Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | C | 55 | 1,014 | 1,626 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 213 | Planada Elementary School District | \$1,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | O | 55 | 220 | 353 | 62.3% | Fail |
| 214 | Bellevue Union School District | \$12,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | D | 55 | 1,314 | 2,111 | 62.2% | Fail |
| 215 | Anaheim Union High School District | \$132,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | Z | 55 | 25,592 | 41,126 | 62.2% | Fail |
| 216 | Palm Springs Unified School District | \$516,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Riverside | E | 55 | 20,923 | 33,647 | 62.2% | Fail |
| 217 | Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$10,585,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt | S | 55 | 7,598 | 12,225 | 62.2% | Fail |
| 218 | Wright Elementary School District | \$14,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,913 | 3,078 | 62.2% | Fail |
| 219 | Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$38,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Sacramento | P | 55 | 11,752 | 18,915 | 62.1% | Fail |
| 220 | Santa Maria-Bonita School District | \$45,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara/SLO | T | 55 | 8,085 | 13,021 | 62.1% | Fail |
| 221 | Torrance Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | U | 55 | 19,877 | 32,015 | 62.1% | Fail |
| 222 | Bonita Unified School District | \$56,360,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 8,841 | 14,243 | 62.1% | Fail |
| 223 | Beaumont Unified School District | \$125,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Riverside/San Bernardino | Z | 55 | 9,029 | 14,552 | 62.0% | Fail |
| 224 | Dublin Unified School District | \$99,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Alameda | E | 55 | 4,205 | 6,780 | 62.0% | Fail |
| 225 | Alvord Unified School District | \$196,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Riverside | H | 55 | 3,437 | 5,542 | 62.0% | Fail |
| 226 | Grossmont Union High School District | \$274,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Diego | H | 55 | 61,406 | 99,029 | 62.0% | Fail |
| 227 | Natomas Unified School District | \$145,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento | D | 55 | 4,358 | 7,029 | 62.0% | Fail |
| 228 | College School District | \$9,370,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Barbara | Z | 55 | 2,028 | 3,271 | 62.0% | Fail |
| 229 | Big Pine Unified School District | \$4,100,000 | 2010-06-08 | Inyo | A | 55 | 360 | 581 | 62.0% | Fail |
| 230 | Vacaville Unified School District | \$194,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Solano | A | 55 | 12,095 | 19,524 | 61.9% | Fail |
| 231 | San Mateo Union High School District | \$186,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | O | 55 | 43,251 | 69,854 | 61.9% | Fail |
| 232 | Val Verde Unified School District | \$178,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Riverside | L | 55 | 2,758 | 4,455 | 61.9% | Fail |
| 233 | Mark Twain Union Elementary School District | \$9,600,000 | 2004-03-02 | Calaveras | K | 55 | 1,786 | 2,885 | 61.9% | Fail |
| 234 | Hawthorne Elementary School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Los Angeles | H | 55 | 2,481 | 4,009 | 61.9% | Fail |
| 235 | Downey Unified School District | \$248,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | O | 55 | 10,093 | 16,314 | 61.9% | Fail |
| 236 | Wheatland School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Yuba | U | 55 | 2,250 | 3,637 | 61.9% | Fail |
| 237 | Chino Valley Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Bernardino | M | 55 | 10,191 | 16,478 | 61.8% | Fail |
| 238 | Peninsula Union School District | \$600,000 | 2001-11-06 | Humboldt | R | 55 | 47 | 76 | 61.8% | Fail |
| 239 | Simi Valley Unified School District | \$145,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Ventura | C | 55 | 16,118 | 26,079 | 61.8% | Fail |
| 240 | Woodlake Union High School District | \$4,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | C | 55 | 1,592 | 2,576 | 61.8% | Fail |
| 241 | San Diego Unified School District | \$2,800,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | Z | 55 | 218,242 | 353,169 | 61.8% | Fail |
| 242 | Burbank Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 2013-03-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 4,663 | 7,547 | 61.8% | Fail |
| 243 | Gateway Unified School District | \$22,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Shasta | B | 55 | 3,623 | 5,864 | 61.8% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 244 | Pollock Pines Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 1,606 | 2,601 | 61.7% | Fail |
| 245 | Los Rios Community College District | \$265,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | El Dorado/Placer/Sacramento/Yolo/Solano | A | 55 | 132,952 | 215,359 | 61.7% | Fail |
| 246 | Buellton Union School District | \$6,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Barbara | U | 55 | 1,176 | 1,905 | 61.7% | Fail |
| 247 | Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District | \$6,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno/Tulare | O | 55 | 687 | 1,113 | 61.7% | Fail |
| 248 | Corona-Norco Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | U | 55 | 24,101 | 39,068 | 61.7% | Fail |
| 249 | Western Placer Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Placer | A | 55 | 10,742 | 17,418 | 61.7% | Fail |
| 250 | Atascadero Unified School District | Reauthorize \$58,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo | B | 55 | 7,102 | 11,517 | 61.7% | Fail |
| 251 | Solvang School District | \$11,650,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Barbara | J | 55 | 1,148 | 1,863 | 61.6% | Fail |
| 252 | Rim of the World Unified School District | \$23,340,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | W | 55 | 8,116 | 13,171 | 61.6% | Fail |
| 253 | Cabrillo Community College District | \$118,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Cruz/Monterey/San Benito | D | 55 | 44,542 | 72,295 | 61.6% | Fail |
| 254 | Encinitas Union School District | \$44,200,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | P | 55 | 17,989 | 29,200 | 61.6% | Fail |
| 255 | El Camino Community College District | \$394,516,464 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 68,095 | 110,541 | 61.6% | Fail |
| 256 | Novato Unified School District | \$107,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Marin | A | 55 | 8,419 | 13,668 | 61.6% | Fail |
| 257 | Manhattan Beach Unified School District | \$67,480,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | BB | 55 | 12,191 | 19,801 | 61.6% | Fail |
| 258 | Victor Valley Community College District | \$297,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino/Los Angeles | JJ | 55 | 63,581 | 103,301 | 61.5% | Fail |
| 259 | Fullerton School District | \$49,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | CC | 55 | 11,710 | 19,026 | 61.5% | Fail |
| 260 | San Ramon Valley Unified School District | \$260,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 37,380 | 60,774 | 61.5% | Fail |
| 261 | Los Olivos School District | \$4,650,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Barbara | N | 55 | 469 | 763 | 61.5% | Fail |
| 262 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$295,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 30,232 | 49,185 | 61.5% | Fail |
| 263 | San Bernardino City Unified School District | \$140,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Bernardino | T | 55 | 14,282 | 23,247 | 61.4% | Fail |
| 264 | Nevada Joint Union High School District | \$15,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Nevada | A | 55 | 16,397 | 26,704 | 61.4% | Fail |
| 265 | Center Joint Unified School District | \$500,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Sacramento/Placer | N | 55 | 5,082 | 8,278 | 61.4% | Fail |
| 266 | Healdsburg Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | E | 55 | 2,790 | 4,546 | 61.4% | Fail |
| 267 | Roseville City School District | \$29,117,071 | 2002-11-05 | Placer | H | 55 | 11,174 | 18,219 | 61.3% | Fail |
| 268 | Willits Unified School District | \$43,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Mendocino | B | 55 | 2,211 | 3,606 | 61.3% | Fail |
| 269 | Merced River School District | \$1,800,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | L | 55 | 95 | 155 | 61.3% | Fail |
| 270 | Chatom Union Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | U | 55 | 617 | 1,007 | 61.3% | Fail |
| 271 | Fortuna Union High School District | \$10,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Humboldt | D | 55 | 5,072 | 8,278 | 61.3% | Fail |
| 272 | La Habra City School District | \$31,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | O | 55 | 8,874 | 14,489 | 61.2% | Fail |
| 273 | Walnut Valley Unified School District | \$64,600,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 4,159 | 6,797 | 61.2% | Fail |
| 274 | Golden Plains Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno | G | 55 | 402 | 657 | 61.2% | Fail |
| 275 | Mesa Union Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Ventura | A | 55 | 506 | 827 | 61.2% | Fail |
| 276 | Fremont Unified School District | \$650,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Alameda | E | 55 | 15,971 | 26,106 | 61.2% | Fail |
| 277 | Cinnabar Elementary School District | \$2,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 494 | 808 | 61.1% | Fail |
| 278 | Napa Valley Community College District | \$133,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | Napa/Sonoma | N | 55 | 21,699 | 35,502 | 61.1% | Fail |
| 279 | Bradley Union School District | \$1,100,000 | 2011-11-08 | Monterey | T | 55 | 33 | 54 | 61.1% | Fail |
| 280 | Sierra Sands Unified School District | \$50,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern/San Bernardino | A | 55 | 4,710 | 7,710 | 61.1% | Fail |
| 281 | North Monterey County Unified School District | \$23,800,000 | 2013-11-05 | Monterey | H | 55 | 2,453 | 4,019 | 61.0% | Fail |
| 282 | Central Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | K | 55 | 5,174 | 8,494 | 60.9% | Fail |
| 283 | Mt. Diablo Unified School District | \$348,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 32,152 | 52,814 | 60.9% | Fail |
| 284 | Chualar Union Elementary School District | \$1,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Monterey | A | 55 | 182 | 299 | 60.9% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 285 | Yosemite Community College District | \$326,174,000 | 2004-11-02 | Stanislaus/San Joaquin/Merced/Calaveras/ | E | 55 | 109,315 | 179,656 | 60.8% | Fail |
| 286 | Huntington Beach City Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | DD | 55 | 11,913 | 19,584 | 60.8% | Fail |
| 287 | Bonita Unified School District | \$83,560,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | AB | 55 | 17,218 | 28,308 | 60.8% | Fail |
| 288 | Greenfield Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | D | 55 | 987 | 1,623 | 60.8% | Fail |
| 289 | Jurupa Unified School District | \$144,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | EE | 55 | 5,824 | 9,578 | 60.8% | Fail |
| 290 | St. Helena Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Napa | B | 55 | 2,545 | 4,186 | 60.8% | Fail |
| 291 | Lodi Unified School District | \$114,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Joaquin | L | 55 | 9,225 | 15,176 | 60.8% | Fail |
| 292 | Perris Union High School District | \$153,420,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | T | 55 | 24,229 | 39,886 | 60.7% | Fail |
| 293 | Napa Valley Unified School District | \$183,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Napa | G | 55 | 20,831 | 34,315 | 60.7% | Fail |
| 294 | William S. Hart Union High School District | \$300,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | SA | 55 | 59,287 | 97,816 | 60.6% | Fail |
| 295 | Beardsley School District | \$10,300,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | B | 55 | 1,444 | 2,383 | 60.6% | Fail |
| 296 | Riverside Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside | C | 55 | 61,923 | 102,205 | 60.6% | Fail |
| 297 | Madera Unified School District | \$32,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Madera | U | 55 | 6,812 | 11,244 | 60.6% | Fail |
| 298 | Salinas Union High School District | \$52,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Monterey | F | 55 | 12,746 | 21,111 | 60.4% | Fail |
| 299 | Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Luis Obispo/Monterey | T | 55 | 8,753 | 14,498 | 60.4% | Fail |
| 300 | Julian Union High School District | \$2,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | L | 55 | 1,259 | 2,086 | 60.4% | Fail |
| 301 | Lost Hills Union School District | \$6,200,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern | G | 55 | 35 | 58 | 60.3% | Fail |
| 302 | Fullerton Joint Union High School District | \$67,940,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange/Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 21,974 | 36,437 | 60.3% | Fail |
| 303 | Salinas Union High School District | \$128,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | B | 55 | 14,730 | 24,428 | 60.3% | Fail |
| 304 | Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District | \$207,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | R | 55 | 60,622 | 100,551 | 60.3% | Fail |
| 305 | Upland Unified School District | \$103,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino | K | 55 | 11,785 | 19,554 | 60.3% | Fail |
| 306 | San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District | \$18,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Santa Cruz | O | 55 | 6,298 | 10,452 | 60.3% | Fail |
| 307 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$62,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | El Dorado/Placer | E | 55 | 2,074 | 3,442 | 60.3% | Fail |
| 308 | San Juan Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 76,052 | 126,333 | 60.2% | Fail |
| 309 | Tustin Unified School District | \$135,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | S | 55 | 25,220 | 41,949 | 60.1% | Fail |
| 310 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$17,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Ventura | C | 55 | 2,030 | 3,378 | 60.1% | Fail |
| 311 | Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Solano | C | 55 | 11,288 | 18,784 | 60.1% | Fail |
| 312 | West Valley-Mission Community College District | \$235,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | H | 55 | 79,524 | 132,394 | 60.1% | Fail |
| 313 | Duarte Unified School District | \$62,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 4,198 | 7,008 | 59.9% | Fail |
| 314 | Bret Harte Union High School District | \$18,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Calaveras | K | 55 | 6,167 | 10,295 | 59.9% | Fail |
| 315 | Roseville Joint Union High School District | \$79,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Placer/Sacramento | J | 55 | 35,814 | 59,793 | 59.9% | Fail |
| 316 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$32,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 5,029 | 8,400 | 59.9% | Fail |
| 317 | Calipatria Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Imperial | T | 55 | 422 | 705 | 59.9% | Fail |
| 318 | West Valley-Mission Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | C | 55 | 42,498 | 71,020 | 59.8% | Fail |
| 319 | Oroville Union High School District | \$10,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Butte | J | 55 | 6,809 | 11,379 | 59.8% | Fail |
| 320 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | \$18,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | A | 55 | 4,020 | 6,724 | 59.8% | Fail |
| 321 | Tipton Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | 2014-11-04 | Tulare | C | 55 | 211 | 353 | 59.8% | Fail |
| 322 | Cold Spring School District | \$2,440,000 | 2008-11-04 | Santa Barbara | C | 55 | 987 | 1,653 | 59.7% | Fail |
| 323 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$44,400,000 | 2004-11-02 | Nevada | G | 55 | 24,877 | 41,666 | 59.7% | Fail |
| 324 | Yuba City Unified School District | \$25,670,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sutter | K | 55 | 9,383 | 15,729 | 59.7% | Fail |
| 325 | Greenfield Union School District | \$8,300,000 | 2010-06-08 | Monterey | L | 55 | 761 | 1,276 | 59.6% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 326 | St. Helena Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Napa | C | 55 | 2,782 | 4,669 | 59.6% | Fail |
| 327 | Lincoln Unified School District | \$48,500,000 | 2012-06-05 | San Joaquin | M | 55 | 5,653 | 9,488 | 59.6% | Fail |
| 328 | Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$9,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus/Merced | Z | 55 | 8,570 | 14,405 | 59.5% | Fail |
| 329 | Northern Humboldt Union High School District | \$25,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Humboldt | Q | 55 | 10,386 | 17,465 | 59.5% | Fail |
| 330 | Elverta Elementary School District | \$10,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento/Placer | L | 55 | 512 | 861 | 59.5% | Fail |
| 331 | Lake Tahoe Unified School District | \$64,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 6,715 | 11,301 | 59.4% | Fail |
| 332 | Needles Unified School District | \$10,900,000 | 2008-06-03 | San Bernardino | N | 55 | 623 | 1,049 | 59.4% | Fail |
| 333 | Mark West Union School District | \$14,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 2,372 | 3,994 | 59.4% | Fail |
| 334 | Savanna Elementary School District | \$28,750,000 | 2012-06-05 | Orange | G | 55 | 1,715 | 2,888 | 59.4% | Fail |
| 335 | College of the Sequoias | \$22,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | C | 55 | 8,279 | 13,948 | 59.4% | Fail |
| 336 | Napa Valley Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Napa | M | 55 | 17,183 | 28,953 | 59.3% | Fail |
| 337 | Menifee Union School District | \$14,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | J | 55 | 6,853 | 11,568 | 59.2% | Fail |
| 338 | Manchester Union Elementary School District | \$1,310,000 | 2004-11-02 | Mendocino | O | 55 | 151 | 255 | 59.2% | Fail |
| 339 | El Centro Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | Imperial | G | 55 | 1,493 | 2,522 | 59.2% | Fail |
| 340 | Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District | \$34,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt/Lassen/Modoc/Shasta/Tehama/Trinity | A | 55 | 33,076 | 55,884 | 59.2% | Fail |
| 341 | Oroville Union High School District | \$12,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Butte | G | 55 | 10,978 | 18,552 | 59.2% | Fail |
| 342 | Saddleback Valley Unified School District | \$180,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Orange | B | 55 | 31,024 | 52,448 | 59.2% | Fail |
| 343 | Hart-Ransom Union School District | \$3,900,000 | 2007-11-06 | Stanislaus | G | 55 | 388 | 656 | 59.1% | Fail |
| 344 | Anaheim Union High School District | \$249,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange | H | 55 | 30,238 | 51,177 | 59.1% | Fail |
| 345 | Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District) | \$5,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt | M | 55 | 934 | 1,581 | 59.1% | Fail |
| 346 | Kern Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern/San Bernardino | G | 55 | 79,076 | 133,870 | 59.1% | Fail |
| 347 | Manteca Unified School District | \$159,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Joaquin | G | 55 | 11,535 | 19,535 | 59.0% | Fail |
| 348 | Escondido Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | T | 55 | 30,006 | 50,836 | 59.0% | Fail |
| 349 | Chabot-Las Positas Community College District | \$498,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Alameda/Contra Costa | B | 55 | 65,195 | 110,593 | 59.0% | Fail |
| 350 | Springville Union Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Tulare | J | 55 | 724 | 1,229 | 58.9% | Fail |
| 351 | Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District | \$72,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Los Angeles | CK | 55 | 4,394 | 7,465 | 58.9% | Fail |
| 352 | Mt. San Jacinto Community College District | \$295,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | AA | 55 | 76,993 | 130,897 | 58.8% | Fail |
| 353 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3 | Reauthorize \$12,655,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | L | 55 | 5,946 | 10,109 | 58.8% | Fail |
| 354 | San Jose-Evergreen Community College District | \$268,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 111,032 | 188,791 | 58.8% | Fail |
| 355 | Santa Maria Joint Union High School District | \$79,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Barbara | C | 55 | 22,527 | 38,345 | 58.7% | Fail |
| 356 | Merced City Elementary School District | \$26,500,000 | 2003-11-04 | Merced | S | 55 | 4,710 | 8,021 | 58.7% | Fail |
| 357 | San Ramon Valley Unified School District | \$260,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 23,655 | 40,330 | 58.7% | Fail |
| 358 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$16,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | L | 55 | 1,468 | 2,503 | 58.6% | Fail |
| 359 | Fullerton Joint Union High School District | \$175,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange/Los Angeles | I | 55 | 25,415 | 43,353 | 58.6% | Fail |
| 360 | Apple Valley Unified School District | \$36,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Bernardino | S | 55 | 8,818 | 15,051 | 58.6% | Fail |
| 361 | Lakeside Union School District | Reauthorize \$31,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | L | 55 | 5,640 | 9,630 | 58.6% | Fail |
| 362 | Tustin Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | L | 55 | 18,304 | 31,266 | 58.5% | Fail |
| 363 | Santee Elementary School District | \$60,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | R | 55 | 9,742 | 16,649 | 58.5% | Fail |
| 364 | Mt. San Antonio Community College District | \$221,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 20,315 | 34,727 | 58.5% | Fail |
| 365 | Eastern Sierra Unified School District | \$11,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Mono | E | 55 | 1,067 | 1,824 | 58.5% | Fail |
| 366 | Millbrae School District | \$30,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 2,550 | 4,361 | 58.5% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 367 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$9,700,000 | 2010-11-02 | Monterey/San Benito | Z | 55 | 1,822 | 3,116 | 58.5% | Fail |
| 368 | Pierce Joint Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Colusa | A | 55 | 529 | 905 | 58.5% | Fail |
| 369 | Byron Union School District | \$19,700,000 | 2006-06-06 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 1,617 | 2,767 | 58.4% | Fail |
| 370 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$135,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 27,472 | 47,028 | 58.4% | Fail |
| 371 | Dehesa School District | \$5,500,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | M | 55 | 507 | 868 | 58.4% | Fail |
| 372 | Saugus Union School District | \$148,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | EE | 55 | 11,878 | 20,351 | 58.4% | Fail |
| 373 | Madera Unified School District | \$70,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Madera | G | 55 | 5,894 | 10,113 | 58.3% | Fail |
| 374 | Ripon Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Joaquin | J | 55 | 2,060 | 3,535 | 58.3% | Fail |
| 375 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | \$9,900,000 | 2006-06-06 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 1,688 | 2,897 | 58.3% | Fail |
| 376 | Cajon Valley Union School District | <i>Reauthorize \$88,400,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | C | 55 | 29,048 | 49,882 | 58.2% | Fail |
| 377 | Hemet Unified School District | \$149,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | T | 55 | 17,757 | 30,493 | 58.2% | Fail |
| 378 | Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District | \$398,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | V | 55 | 95,802 | 164,554 | 58.2% | Fail |
| 379 | Campbell Union High School District | \$90,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 32,505 | 55,855 | 58.2% | Fail |
| 380 | Golden Valley Unified School District | \$70,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Madera | S | 55 | 1,438 | 2,472 | 58.2% | Fail |
| 381 | Escondido Union School District | \$46,300,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | K | 55 | 11,635 | 20,003 | 58.2% | Fail |
| 382 | Piedmont Unified School District | \$56,000,000 | 2006-03-07 | Alameda | E | 55 | 2,497 | 4,294 | 58.2% | Fail |
| 383 | Glendale Community College District | \$98,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 14,245 | 24,507 | 58.1% | Fail |
| 384 | West Kern Community College District | \$39,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | A | 55 | 2,039 | 3,509 | 58.1% | Fail |
| 385 | Kernville Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Kern | D | 55 | 1,778 | 3,060 | 58.1% | Fail |
| 386 | Huntington Beach Union High School District | \$238,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Orange | C | 55 | 42,469 | 73,151 | 58.1% | Fail |
| 387 | Buellton Union School District | \$3,200,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Barbara | V | 55 | 278 | 479 | 58.0% | Fail |
| 388 | Chaffey Community College | \$230,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Bernardino | L | 55 | 33,737 | 58,195 | 58.0% | Fail |
| 389 | Banning Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | R | 55 | 2,635 | 4,546 | 58.0% | Fail |
| 390 | Banning Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | R | 55 | 2,635 | 4,546 | 58.0% | Fail |
| 391 | Murieta Valley Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | BB | 55 | 11,278 | 19,462 | 57.9% | Fail |
| 392 | Los Rios Community College District | \$475,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado/Placer/ Sacramento/Solano/Yolo | M | 55 | 328,240 | 566,450 | 57.9% | Fail |
| 393 | Palomar Community College | \$694,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | M | 55 | 103,380 | 178,543 | 57.9% | Fail |
| 394 | Perris Union High School District | \$46,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Riverside | Z | 55 | 18,871 | 32,594 | 57.9% | Fail |
| 395 | Templeton Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Luis Obispo | H | 55 | 3,017 | 5,213 | 57.9% | Fail |
| 396 | Citrus Community College District | \$121,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 21,639 | 37,418 | 57.8% | Fail |
| 397 | Santa Rita Union Elementary School District | \$14,600,000 | 2006-11-07 | Monterey | C | 55 | 2,161 | 3,737 | 57.8% | Fail |
| 398 | West Hills Community College District | \$20,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | T | 55 | 6,682 | 11,557 | 57.8% | Fail |
| 399 | Lake Tahoe Community College District | \$55,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | El Dorado | F | 55 | 3,784 | 6,547 | 57.8% | Fail |
| 400 | Fallbrook Union Elementary School District | \$32,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | X | 55 | 6,199 | 10,731 | 57.8% | Fail |
| 401 | Cerritos Community College District | \$210,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 29,336 | 50,836 | 57.7% | Fail |
| 402 | Gateway Unified School District | \$19,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | G | 55 | 7,152 | 12,396 | 57.7% | Fail |
| 403 | Siskiyou Union High School District | \$12,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Siskiyou | Q | 55 | 4,418 | 7,659 | 57.7% | Fail |
| 404 | Ripon Unified School District | \$25,236,190 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | G | 55 | 4,264 | 7,395 | 57.7% | Fail |
| 405 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District | \$30,450,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado/Placer | J | 55 | 2,076 | 3,603 | 57.6% | Fail |
| 406 | Corning Union School District | \$2,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | Tehama | B | 55 | 1,358 | 2,357 | 57.6% | Fail |
| 407 | Del Norte County School District | \$24,987,000 | 2008-11-04 | Del Norte | A | 55 | 5,338 | 9,265 | 57.6% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 408 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$450,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 82,464 | 143,168 | 57.6% | Fail |
| 409 | Allan Hancock Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Barbara/Ventura | I | 55 | 22,996 | 39,989 | 57.5% | Fail |
| 410 | Shasta Union High School District | \$31,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Shasta | B | 55 | 8,590 | 14,949 | 57.5% | Fail |
| 411 | Poway Unified School District SFID 2002-1 | \$198,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | U | 55 | 21,958 | 38,252 | 57.4% | Fail |
| 412 | Los Altos School District | \$150,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | N | 55 | 8,671 | 15,106 | 57.4% | Fail |
| 413 | Eureka Unified School District | \$49,750,000 | 2014-11-04 | Humboldt | S | 55 | 8,083 | 14,084 | 57.4% | Fail |
| 414 | Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District | \$375,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 8,940 | 15,578 | 57.4% | Fail |
| 415 | Thermalito Union School District | \$2,050,000 | 2004-11-02 | Butte | M | 55 | 1,721 | 2,999 | 57.4% | Fail |
| 416 | Murieta Valley Unified School District | \$120,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | E | 55 | 6,327 | 11,026 | 57.4% | Fail |
| 417 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$93,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 7,719 | 13,452 | 57.4% | Fail |
| 418 | Marysville Joint Unified School District | \$37,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Yuba/Butte | H | 55 | 5,050 | 8,809 | 57.3% | Fail |
| 419 | Enterprise Elementary School District | \$34,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Shasta | A | 55 | 4,340 | 7,574 | 57.3% | Fail |
| 420 | Merced City Elementary School District | \$60,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | M | 55 | 5,277 | 9,217 | 57.3% | Fail |
| 421 | Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District | \$103,600,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 19,029 | 33,254 | 57.2% | Fail |
| 422 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$286,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 99,077 | 173,269 | 57.2% | Fail |
| 423 | Coast Community College District | \$698,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | M | 55 | 134,619 | 235,462 | 57.2% | Fail |
| 424 | Anderson Union High School District | \$12,300,000 | 2012-11-06 | Shasta | C | 55 | 8,574 | 15,008 | 57.1% | Fail |
| 425 | Lodi Unified School District | \$109,300,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Joaquin | K | 55 | 15,881 | 27,827 | 57.1% | Fail |
| 426 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$28,400,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | D | 55 | 1,874 | 3,284 | 57.1% | Fail |
| 427 | Southern Trinity Unified School District | \$2,300,000 | 2012-06-05 | Humboldt/Trinity | V | 55 | 170 | 298 | 57.0% | Fail |
| 428 | Corona-Norco Unified School District | \$396,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | GG | 55 | 20,876 | 36,610 | 57.0% | Fail |
| 429 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$29,445,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | R | 55 | 3,966 | 6,957 | 57.0% | Fail |
| 430 | Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$74,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento/Placer | E | 55 | 10,435 | 18,312 | 57.0% | Fail |
| 431 | Chawanakee Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Madera | Q | 55 | 1,126 | 1,976 | 57.0% | Fail |
| 432 | Escondido Union School District | \$182,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | E | 55 | 18,615 | 32,687 | 56.9% | Fail |
| 433 | Morongo Unified School District | \$48,150,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Bernardino | O | 55 | 6,563 | 11,527 | 56.9% | Fail |
| 434 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Imperial | G | 55 | 780 | 1,370 | 56.9% | Fail |
| 435 | Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District | \$200,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Orange | A | 55 | 25,764 | 45,272 | 56.9% | Fail |
| 436 | Sylvan Union School District | \$40,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | A | 55 | 9,402 | 16,526 | 56.9% | Fail |
| 437 | Walnut Valley Unified School District | \$15,200,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 3,859 | 6,784 | 56.9% | Fail |
| 438 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$400,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Contra Costa | J | 55 | 30,013 | 52,789 | 56.9% | Fail |
| 439 | Barstow Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Bernardino | C | 55 | 2,492 | 4,386 | 56.8% | Fail |
| 440 | Old Adobe Union School District | \$26,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 3,391 | 5,969 | 56.8% | Fail |
| 441 | Menifee Union School District | \$31,460,000 | 2008-02-05 | Riverside | B | 55 | 9,561 | 16,850 | 56.7% | Fail |
| 442 | Grossmont Union High School District | \$417,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | U | 55 | 97,337 | 171,811 | 56.7% | Fail |
| 443 | Columbia School District | \$8,600,000 | 2014-11-04 | Shasta | E | 55 | 1,984 | 3,502 | 56.7% | Fail |
| 444 | Yuba Community College District | \$190,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Yuba/Sutter | J | 55 | 37,608 | 66,429 | 56.6% | Fail |
| 445 | Dry Creek Elementary School District | \$67,300,000 | 2008-02-05 | Placer/Sacramento | E | 55 | 8,282 | 14,634 | 56.6% | Fail |
| 446 | Cabrillo Unified School District | \$81,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | San Mateo | S | 55 | 3,803 | 6,730 | 56.5% | Fail |
| 447 | Sonora Union High School District | \$23,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tuolumne | J | 55 | 9,171 | 16,234 | 56.5% | Fail |
| 448 | State Center Community College District | \$161,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare/Madera | E | 55 | 90,285 | 159,849 | 56.5% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome | |
|--------------|--|--------------------------------|---|------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|
| 449 | Orland Joint Unified School District | \$21,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Glenn | K | 55 | 2,093 | 3,710 | 56.4% | Fail | |
| 450 | Kings River Union Elementary School District | \$850,000 | 2006-06-06 | Tulare | N | 55 | 163 | 289 | 56.4% | Fail | |
| 451 | Nuvview Union School District | \$39,600,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | D | 55 | 622 | 1,103 | 56.4% | Fail | |
| 452 | Owens Valley Unified School District | \$2,600,000 | 2005-11-08 | Inyo | A | 55 | 196 | 348 | 56.3% | Fail | |
| 453 | Ohlone Community College District | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | A | 55 | 16,111 | 28,617 | 56.3% | Fail | |
| 454 | Fruitvale School District | \$29,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | C | 55 | 2,257 | 4,009 | 56.3% | Fail | |
| 455 | San Benito High School District | \$42,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Benito/Santa Clara | G | 55 | 3,524 | 6,260 | 56.3% | Fail | |
| 456 | Azusa Unified School District | \$92,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 3,983 | 7,082 | 56.2% | Fail | |
| 457 | El Dorado Union High School District | \$66,300,000 | 2008-06-03 | El Dorado | Q | 55 | 19,536 | 34,740 | 56.2% | Fail | |
| 458 | Columbia Union Elementary School District | \$3,700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tuolumne | H | 55 | 1,351 | 2,404 | 56.2% | Fail | |
| 459 | Newport-Mesa Unified School District | \$282,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Orange | F | 55 | 26,123 | 46,524 | 56.1% | Fail | |
| 460 | Manteca Unified School District | \$66,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Joaquin | M | 55 | 7,867 | 14,011 | 56.1% | Fail | |
| 461 | Weaver Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Merced | G | 55 | 1,422 | 2,534 | 56.1% | Fail | |
| 462 | El Tejon Unified School District | \$7,120,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern/Ventura | E | 55 | 1,257 | 2,240 | 56.1% | Fail | |
| 463 | Gold Oak Union School District | \$8,950,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado | E | 55 | 2,326 | 4,149 | 56.1% | Fail | |
| 464 | Le Grand Union High School District | \$4,200,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | N | 55 | 401 | 717 | 55.9% | Fail | |
| 465 | Dehesa School District | <i>Reauthorize \$3,000,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | D | 55 | 562 | 1,005 | 55.9% | Fail | |
| 466 | Newark Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | Alameda | G | 55 | 3,286 | 5,878 | 55.9% | Fail | |
| 467 | Taft City School District | \$23,600,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,132 | 2,027 | 55.8% | Fail | |
| 468 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 1,588 | 2,844 | 55.8% | Fail | |
| 469 | Calaveras Unified School District | \$13,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Calaveras | A | 55 | 5,241 | 9,396 | 55.8% | Fail | |
| 470 | Linden Unified School District | \$11,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | S | 55 | 2,099 | 3,764 | 55.8% | Fail | |
| 471 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | CF | 55 | 3,486 | 6,252 | 55.8% | Fail | |
| 472 | Grant Elementary School District | \$1,700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Shasta | A | 55 | 671 | 1,204 | 55.7% | Fail | |
| 473 | Merced Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$53,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced/Madera | H | 55 | 17,048 | 30,594 | 55.7% | Fail | |
| 474 | Pacheco Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | B | 55 | 2,534 | 4,548 | 55.7% | Fail | |
| 475 | Cloverdale Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 2,096 | 3,769 | 55.6% | Fail | |
| 476 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$114,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Nevada/Placer | U | 55 | 3,018 | 5,433 | 55.5% | Fail | |
| 477 | San Dieguito Union High School District | \$449,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | AA | 55 | 43,627 | 78,574 | 55.5% | Fail | |
| 478 | McKinleyville Union School District | \$14,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Humboldt | C | 55 | 1,723 | 3,108 | 55.4% | Fail | |
| 479 | San Joaquin-Delta Community College District | \$250,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Joaquin/Sacramento | L | 55 | 62,465 | 112,744 | 55.4% | Fail | |
| 480 | Turlock Unified School District | \$7,725,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | Y | 55 | 8,942 | 16,143 | 55.4% | Fail | |
| 481 | Piner-Olivet Union School District | \$20,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 3,006 | 5,429 | 55.4% | Fail | |
| 482 | Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1 | \$2,500,000 | 2012-06-05 | Butte | D | 55 | 1,058 | 1,911 | 55.4% | Fail | |
| 483 | Yreka Union High School District | \$8,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Siskiyou | H | 55 | 2,942 | 5,316 | 55.3% | Fail | |
| 484 | Palmdale Elementary School District | \$25,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | W | 55 | 3,848 | 6,971 | 55.2% | Fail | |
| 485 | North County Joint Union School District | \$3,350,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Benito/Santa Clara | M | 55 | 458 | 830 | 55.2% | Fail | |
| 486 | Sonora Elementary School District | \$7,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Tuolumne | H | 55 | 1,867 | 3,385 | 55.2% | Fail | |
| 487 | Norris School District | \$149,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kern | B | 55 | 3,017 | 5,475 | 55.1% | Fail | |
| 488 | North Orange County Community College District | \$574,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange/Los Angeles | J | 55 | 84,780 | 154,118 | 55.0% | Fail | |
| TOTAL | | \$105,001,578,737 | (\$105,594,443,737 if 15 reauthorizations included) | | | | | 17,457,026 | 26,646,048 | 65.5% | |

Appendix H

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 1 | Pajaro Valley Unified School District | \$58,250,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Cruz/Monterey | J or L | 55 | 14,352 | 21,530 | 66.7% | Fail |
| 2 | Chualar Union Elementary School District | \$1,900,000 | 2001-11-06 | Monterey | K | 55 | 157 | 236 | 66.5% | Fail |
| 3 | John Swett Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 1,778 | 2,673 | 66.5% | Fail |
| 4 | San Mateo Union High School District | \$298,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Mateo | M | 55 | 42,296 | 63,640 | 66.5% | Fail |
| 5 | Susanville Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Lassen | S | 55 | 3,025 | 4,552 | 66.5% | Fail |
| 6 | Hillsborough City School District | \$66,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Mateo | B | 55 | 2,838 | 4,271 | 66.4% | Fail |
| 7 | Redlands Unified School District | \$65,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino | J | 55 | 21,545 | 32,446 | 66.4% | Fail |
| 8 | Oxnard School District | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | R | 55 | 19,968 | 30,078 | 66.4% | Fail |
| 9 | Perris Elementary School District | \$40,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Riverside | C | 55 | 1,153 | 1,738 | 66.3% | Fail |
| 10 | Carpinteria Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara | U | 55 | 3,901 | 5,881 | 66.3% | Fail |
| 11 | Cupertino Union School District | \$220,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 17,510 | 26,432 | 66.2% | Fail |
| 12 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$388,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 102,629 | 154,995 | 66.2% | Fail |
| 13 | Cottonwood Union Elementary School District | \$2,200,000 | 2003-11-04 | Shasta | A | 55 | 1,111 | 1,678 | 66.2% | Fail |
| 14 | Patterson Joint Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus/Santa Clara | V | 55 | 3,982 | 6,015 | 66.2% | Fail |
| 15 | Columbia Union Elementary School District | \$3,600,000 | 2003-03-04 | Tuolumne | G | 55 | 937 | 1,417 | 66.1% | Fail |
| 16 | Forestville Union School District | \$5,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | K | 55 | 2,104 | 3,183 | 66.1% | Fail |
| 17 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$3,985,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 443,905 | 671,636 | 66.1% | Fail |
| 18 | College of the Sequoias | \$28,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Tulare | I | 55 | 35,713 | 54,070 | 66.0% | Fail |
| 19 | Farmersville Unified School District | \$4,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Tulare | A | 55 | 575 | 871 | 66.0% | Fail |
| 20 | Gravenstein Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,971 | 2,986 | 66.0% | Fail |
| 21 | Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District | \$102,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | Y | 55 | 18,300 | 27,735 | 66.0% | Fail |
| 22 | Sutter Union High School District | \$12,600,000 | 2008-06-03 | Sutter | T | 55 | 1,194 | 1,810 | 66.0% | Fail |
| 23 | Parlier Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Fresno | P | 55 | 554 | 840 | 66.0% | Fail |
| 24 | Conejo Valley Unified School District | \$197,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | I | 55 | 27,468 | 41,652 | 65.9% | Fail |
| 25 | Redondo Beach Unified School District | \$145,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 13,516 | 20,505 | 65.9% | Fail |
| 26 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District | \$25,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 9,509 | 14,431 | 65.9% | Fail |
| 27 | San Mateo-Foster City School District | \$175,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 48,116 | 73,047 | 65.9% | Fail |
| 28 | Gustine Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Merced | P | 55 | 962 | 1,461 | 65.8% | Fail |
| 29 | Butte-Glenn Community College District | \$84,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Butte/Glenn | A | 55 | 36,012 | 54,724 | 65.8% | Fail |
| 30 | Fort Bragg Unified School District | \$22,200,000 | 2003-11-04 | Mendocino | D | 55 | 1,946 | 2,958 | 65.8% | Fail |
| 31 | Hydesville Elementary School District | \$1,100,000 | 2014-06-03 | Humboldt | M | 55 | 244 | 371 | 65.8% | Fail |
| 32 | Hartnell Community College District | \$131,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey/San Benito | H | 55 | 29,768 | 45,263 | 65.8% | Fail |
| 33 | San Juan Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | J | 55 | 63,010 | 95,831 | 65.8% | Fail |
| 34 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$11,600,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito | C | 55 | 2,656 | 4,040 | 65.7% | Fail |
| 35 | Morgan Hill Unified School District | \$198,250,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 15,243 | 23,187 | 65.7% | Fail |
| 36 | Foothill-De Anza Community College District | \$490,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Clara | C | 55 | 44,924 | 68,392 | 65.7% | Fail |
| 37 | Magnolia School District | \$16,300,000 | 2010-11-02 | Orange | I | 55 | 6,366 | 9,693 | 65.7% | Fail |
| 38 | Kings River Union Elementary School District | \$850,000 | 2005-11-08 | Tulare | E | 55 | 300 | 457 | 65.6% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 39 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$265,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 22,995 | 35,047 | 65.6% | Fail |
| 40 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$207,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 58,530 | 89,208 | 65.6% | Fail |
| 41 | Bonsall Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Diego | C | 55 | 2,767 | 4,218 | 65.6% | Fail |
| 42 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Monterey | D | 55 | 3,411 | 5,200 | 65.6% | Fail |
| 43 | Ventura County Community College District | \$356,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Ventura | S | 55 | 77,854 | 118,715 | 65.6% | Fail |
| 44 | Oak Grove School District | \$89,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | P | 55 | 13,056 | 19,918 | 65.5% | Fail |
| 45 | Mt. Diablo Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 31,530 | 48,112 | 65.5% | Fail |
| 46 | Martinez Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Contra Costa | K | 55 | 6,863 | 10,474 | 65.5% | Fail |
| 47 | Greenfield Union School District | \$5,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Kern | A | 55 | 2,242 | 3,423 | 65.5% | Fail |
| 48 | Fontana Unified School District | \$275,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Orange | C | 55 | 5,598 | 8,547 | 65.5% | Fail |
| 49 | Santa Rosa High School District | \$77,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 29,276 | 44,707 | 65.5% | Fail |
| 50 | Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$15,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 9,946 | 15,195 | 65.5% | Fail |
| 51 | San Jose-Evergreen Community College District | \$185,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 141,070 | 215,731 | 65.4% | Fail |
| 52 | Moorpark Unified School District | \$39,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | S | 55 | 9,560 | 14,620 | 65.4% | Fail |
| 53 | Los Alamitos Unified School District | \$126,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | K | 55 | 13,740 | 21,015 | 65.4% | Fail |
| 54 | Amador County Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Amador | F | 55 | 8,332 | 12,752 | 65.3% | Fail |
| 55 | Atascadero Unified School District | \$117,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Luis Obispo | I | 55 | 9,165 | 14,029 | 65.3% | Fail |
| 56 | Wheatland School District | \$5,700,000 | 2008-11-04 | Yuba | R | 55 | 1,465 | 2,243 | 65.3% | Fail |
| 57 | Riverbank Unified School District | \$15,200,000 | 2005-11-08 | Stanislaus | P | 55 | 1,584 | 2,426 | 65.3% | Fail |
| 58 | Mesa Union Elementary School District | \$3,900,000 | 2002-11-05 | Ventura | H | 55 | 786 | 1,204 | 65.3% | Fail |
| 59 | Wasco Union High School District | \$33,500,000 | 2008-06-03 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,070 | 1,640 | 65.2% | Fail |
| 60 | Pioneer Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kings | P | 55 | 1,524 | 2,336 | 65.2% | Fail |
| 61 | Calistoga Joint Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma/Napa | A | 55 | 1,572 | 2,411 | 65.2% | Fail |
| 62 | Clovis Unified School District | \$298,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Fresno | M | 55 | 23,752 | 36,429 | 65.2% | Fail |
| 63 | Coast Community College District | \$370,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | C | 55 | 90,198 | 138,348 | 65.2% | Fail |
| 64 | Brisbane Elementary School District | \$11,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 706 | 1,083 | 65.2% | Fail |
| 65 | Coachella Valley Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside/Imperial | X | 55 | 7,191 | 11,032 | 65.2% | Fail |
| 66 | Hermosa Beach City School District | \$13,600,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 3,874 | 5,944 | 65.2% | Fail |
| 67 | Healdsburg Unified School District | \$3,990,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 3,922 | 6,023 | 65.1% | Fail |
| 68 | Anderson Valley Unified School District | \$15,250,000 | 2010-06-08 | Mendocino | A | 55 | 551 | 847 | 65.1% | Fail |
| 69 | Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 4 | \$82,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Joaquin/Alameda | B | 55 | 4,570 | 7,028 | 65.0% | Fail |
| 70 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Humboldt/Mendocino | X | 55 | 1,632 | 2,510 | 65.0% | Fail |
| 71 | Panama-Buena Vista Union School District | \$147,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | P | 55 | 23,690 | 36,447 | 65.0% | Fail |
| 72 | Long Beach Community College District | \$176,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 34,580 | 53,225 | 65.0% | Fail |
| 73 | Chico Unified School District | \$78,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Butte | E | 55 | 27,112 | 41,734 | 65.0% | Fail |
| 74 | Redwood City Elementary School District | \$22,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Mateo | B | 55 | 8,946 | 13,774 | 64.9% | Fail |
| 75 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$18,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 4,257 | 6,556 | 64.9% | Fail |
| 76 | Walnut Creek School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 11,876 | 18,290 | 64.9% | Fail |
| 77 | Petaluma City School District | \$21,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 9,760 | 15,033 | 64.9% | Fail |
| 78 | Beverly Hills Unified School District | \$334,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 9,484 | 14,616 | 64.9% | Fail |
| 79 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$120,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 112,062 | 172,726 | 64.9% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 80 | Centinela Valley Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | CV | 55 | 16,057 | 24,754 | 64.9% | Fail |
| 81 | Twin Hills Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,975 | 3,046 | 64.8% | Fail |
| 82 | Fremont Union High School District | \$295,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | K | 55 | 31,982 | 49,326 | 64.8% | Fail |
| 83 | Alisal Union School District | \$90,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Monterey | A | 55 | 3,414 | 5,266 | 64.8% | Fail |
| 84 | Standard School District | \$28,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | D | 55 | 2,166 | 3,342 | 64.8% | Fail |
| 85 | Stone Corral Elementary School District | \$715,000 | 2008-06-03 | Tulare | F | 55 | 46 | 71 | 64.8% | Fail |
| 86 | Guerneville School District | \$6,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | F | 55 | 993 | 1,533 | 64.8% | Fail |
| 87 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District | \$48,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 7,017 | 10,837 | 64.8% | Fail |
| 88 | Imperial Valley College | \$80,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Imperial | J | 55 | 16,325 | 25,220 | 64.7% | Fail |
| 89 | Sanger Unified School District | \$30,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Fresno | S | 55 | 3,298 | 5,097 | 64.7% | Fail |
| 90 | Cayucos Elementary School District | \$2,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Luis Obispo | Z | 55 | 1,003 | 1,551 | 64.7% | Fail |
| 91 | Monterey Peninsula Community College District | \$145,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | I | 55 | 23,711 | 36,677 | 64.6% | Fail |
| 92 | Castaic Union School District | \$51,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | QS | 55 | 5,682 | 8,793 | 64.6% | Fail |
| 93 | Nuview Union School District | <i>Reauthorize \$4,000,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | V | 55 | 1,632 | 2,526 | 64.6% | Fail |
| 94 | Lakeside Union School District | \$79,550,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | I | 55 | 10,695 | 16,561 | 64.6% | Fail |
| 95 | Oxnard School District | \$64,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Ventura | M | 55 | 12,655 | 19,631 | 64.5% | Fail |
| 96 | Liberty School District | \$1,920,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 618 | 959 | 64.4% | Fail |
| 97 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$81,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 21,839 | 33,890 | 64.4% | Fail |
| 98 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$360,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 50,266 | 78,060 | 64.4% | Fail |
| 99 | Valley Home Joint School District | \$1,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Stanislaus/San Joaquin | S | 55 | 264 | 410 | 64.4% | Fail |
| 100 | Temple City Unified School District | \$128,800,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 6,454 | 10,029 | 64.4% | Fail |
| 101 | Woodside Elementary School District | \$13,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | D | 55 | 713 | 1,108 | 64.4% | Fail |
| 102 | Kerman Unified School District | \$24,900,000 | 2008-06-03 | Fresno | K | 55 | 931 | 1,447 | 64.3% | Fail |
| 103 | Blue Lake Union Elementary School District | \$2,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt | K | 55 | 528 | 821 | 64.3% | Fail |
| 104 | College of the Redwoods | \$40,320,000 | 2004-11-02 | Del Norte/Humboldt/Mendocino | B/Q | 55 | 52,249 | 81,284 | 64.3% | Fail |
| 105 | Redondo Beach Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 18,786 | 29,232 | 64.3% | Fail |
| 106 | Acalanes Union High School District | \$93,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 39,556 | 61,553 | 64.3% | Fail |
| 107 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | \$25,200,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt/Mendocino | L | 55 | 1,528 | 2,379 | 64.2% | Fail |
| 108 | Eureka Unified School District | \$32,515,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt | T | 55 | 5,059 | 7,881 | 64.2% | Fail |
| 109 | Armona Union Elementary School District | \$2,785,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kings | S | 55 | 534 | 832 | 64.2% | Fail |
| 110 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$468,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 114,319 | 178,121 | 64.2% | Fail |
| 111 | Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus | R | 55 | 1,998 | 3,114 | 64.2% | Fail |
| 112 | Lakeport Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Lake | T | 55 | 1,543 | 2,405 | 64.2% | Fail |
| 113 | Cajon Valley Union School District | \$156,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | D | 55 | 23,696 | 36,946 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 114 | Las Virgenes Unified School District | \$128,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles/Ventura | G | 55 | 6,865 | 10,705 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 115 | Rancho Santiago Community College District | \$337,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | E | 55 | 51,789 | 80,771 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 116 | Escalon Unified School District | \$19,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | B | 55 | 3,279 | 5,115 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 117 | Saratoga Union School District | \$19,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | L | 55 | 3,903 | 6,091 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 118 | Moreno Valley Unified School District | \$398,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | M | 55 | 12,348 | 19,276 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 119 | Milpitas Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 5,412 | 8,449 | 64.1% | Fail |
| 120 | Maricopa Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Kern | O | 55 | 342 | 534 | 64.0% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 121 | Santa Rosa High School District | \$175,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | I | 55 | 34,086 | 53,235 | 64.0% | Fail |
| 122 | Wilmar Union School District | \$4,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | P | 55 | 1,075 | 1,679 | 64.0% | Fail |
| 123 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID | \$35,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 6,744 | 10,539 | 64.0% | Fail |
| 124 | Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District | \$99,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | E | 55 | 8,331 | 13,020 | 64.0% | Fail |
| 125 | Bear Valley Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | Q | 55 | 3,423 | 5,351 | 64.0% | Fail |
| 126 | Anaheim City Elementary School District | \$169,300,000 | 2010-11-02 | Orange | G | 55 | 19,550 | 30,580 | 63.9% | Fail |
| 127 | Poway Unified School District | \$179,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | C | 55 | 28,323 | 44,318 | 63.9% | Fail |
| 128 | Salinas City Elementary School District | \$80,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Monterey | T | 55 | 5,513 | 8,627 | 63.9% | Fail |
| 129 | Burlingame School District | \$48,300,000 | 2007-11-06 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 3,650 | 5,712 | 63.9% | Fail |
| 130 | City College of San Francisco | \$246,300,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 134,999 | 211,319 | 63.9% | Fail |
| 131 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3 | \$31,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno/Kings | E | 55 | 7,512 | 11,763 | 63.9% | Fail |
| 132 | Bakersfield City Elementary School District | \$100,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Kern | G | 55 | 17,657 | 27,661 | 63.8% | Fail |
| 133 | Garden Grove Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Orange | A | 55 | 21,149 | 33,168 | 63.8% | Fail |
| 134 | Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District | \$16,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito | E | 55 | 1,813 | 2,844 | 63.7% | Fail |
| 135 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$3,870,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 335,230 | 526,258 | 63.7% | Fail |
| 136 | Oakdale Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | C | 55 | 28 | 44 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 137 | Imperial Valley College | \$58,600,000 | 2004-11-02 | Imperial | L | 55 | 20,176 | 31,716 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 138 | Shoreline Unified School District | \$9,290,000 | 2009-11-03 | Marin/Sonoma | D | 55 | 1,033 | 1,624 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 139 | Chaffey Joint Union School District | \$848,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Bernardino | P | 55 | 70,026 | 110,096 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 140 | Gold Trail Union School District | \$1,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 1,639 | 2,577 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 141 | Oak Grove Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | K | 55 | 1,174 | 1,846 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 142 | Castro Valley Unified School District | \$44,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Alameda | B | 55 | 10,602 | 16,674 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 143 | Victor Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Bernardino | E | 55 | 3,155 | 4,964 | 63.6% | Fail |
| 144 | La Canada Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | B | 55 | 4,408 | 6,939 | 63.5% | Fail |
| 145 | Fremont Unified School District | \$157,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | B | 55 | 15,101 | 23,780 | 63.5% | Fail |
| 146 | Benicia Unified School District | \$49,600,000 | 2014-06-03 | Solano | S | 55 | 3,903 | 6,147 | 63.5% | Fail |
| 147 | Madera Unified School District | \$46,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Madera | B | 55 | 6,812 | 10,729 | 63.5% | Fail |
| 148 | Solano Community College District | \$348,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Solano/Yolo | Q | 55 | 89,634 | 141,189 | 63.5% | Fail |
| 149 | Solano Community College District | \$124,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Solano/Yolo | G | 55 | 89,634 | 141,189 | 63.5% | Fail |
| 150 | Live Oak Unified School District | \$8,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Sutter | G | 55 | 961 | 1,515 | 63.4% | Fail |
| 151 | Hollister School District | \$28,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Benito | M | 55 | 5,729 | 9,035 | 63.4% | Fail |
| 152 | Central Unified School District | \$152,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno | E | 55 | 12,062 | 19,023 | 63.4% | Fail |
| 153 | San Marcos Unified School District | \$287,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | K | 55 | 20,082 | 31,682 | 63.4% | Fail |
| 154 | Temecula Valley Unified School District | \$165,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | Y | 55 | 27,808 | 43,879 | 63.4% | Fail |
| 155 | Lucia Mar Unified School District | \$21,350,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Luis Obispo | A | 55 | 13,848 | 21,869 | 63.3% | Fail |
| 156 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$4,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Diego | K | 55 | 1,417 | 2,238 | 63.3% | Fail |
| 157 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$8,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,551 | 2,450 | 63.3% | Fail |
| 158 | Rincon Valley Union School District | \$23,900,000 | 2004-03-02 | Sonoma | D | 55 | 10,302 | 16,288 | 63.2% | Fail |
| 159 | Exeter Union High School District | \$5,100,000 | 2006-11-07 | Tulare | U | 55 | 2,486 | 3,931 | 63.2% | Fail |
| 160 | Anaheim City Elementary School District | \$111,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | BB | 55 | 11,610 | 18,366 | 63.2% | Fail |
| 161 | Burton Elementary School District | \$2,455,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tulare | B | 55 | 1,471 | 2,327 | 63.2% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 162 | Paradise Elementary School District | \$660,000 | 2002-03-05 | Stanislaus | X | 55 | 151 | 239 | 63.2% | Fail |
| 163 | Happy Valley Union Elementary School District | \$2,495,000 | 2014-06-03 | Shasta | C | 55 | 724 | 1,146 | 63.2% | Fail |
| 164 | Sonoma County Community College District | \$410,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino | H | 55 | 87,739 | 139,046 | 63.1% | Fail |
| 165 | Glendora Unified School District | \$41,310,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 6,588 | 10,442 | 63.1% | Fail |
| 166 | San Leandro Unified School District | \$50,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | M | 55 | 10,638 | 16,864 | 63.1% | Fail |
| 167 | Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 5,382 | 8,532 | 63.1% | Fail |
| 168 | Colusa Unified School District | \$5,900,000 | 2014-11-04 | Colusa | A | 55 | 1,049 | 1,663 | 63.1% | Fail |
| 169 | College of Marin | \$249,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Marin | C | 55 | 77,102 | 122,301 | 63.0% | Fail |
| 170 | San Diego Community College District | \$870,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | N | 55 | 149,399 | 237,005 | 63.0% | Fail |
| 171 | Oroville City Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Butte | F | 55 | 4,742 | 7,525 | 63.0% | Fail |
| 172 | Ohlone Community College District | \$349,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | G | 55 | 37,042 | 58,814 | 63.0% | Fail |
| 173 | Westminster School District | \$130,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | O | 55 | 15,947 | 25,321 | 63.0% | Fail |
| 174 | Princeton Unified School District | \$2,750,000 | 2014-06-03 | Glenn/Colusa | S | 55 | 165 | 262 | 63.0% | Fail |
| 175 | San Carlos Unified School District | \$38,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | E | 55 | 6,240 | 9,913 | 62.9% | Fail |
| 176 | Denair Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Stanislaus | K | 55 | 812 | 1,290 | 62.9% | Fail |
| 177 | Rio Hondo Community College District | \$245,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 27,447 | 43,642 | 62.9% | Fail |
| 178 | Bennett Valley Union School District | \$10,600,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 2,976 | 4,732 | 62.9% | Fail |
| 179 | Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Madera | R | 55 | 2,213 | 3,520 | 62.9% | Fail |
| 180 | Westside Union School District | \$63,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | WS | 55 | 16,127 | 25,660 | 62.8% | Fail |
| 181 | Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$56,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 12,264 | 19,515 | 62.8% | Fail |
| 182 | San Bernardino Community College District | \$190,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino/Riverside | P | 55 | 60,487 | 96,344 | 62.8% | Fail |
| 183 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern | H | 55 | 1,914 | 3,049 | 62.8% | Fail |
| 184 | Windsor Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 6,208 | 9,891 | 62.8% | Fail |
| 185 | Alameda Unified School District | \$179,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Alameda | I | 55 | 13,111 | 20,894 | 62.8% | Fail |
| 186 | Pomona Unified School District | \$68,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 7,280 | 11,602 | 62.7% | Fail |
| 187 | Gilroy Unified School District | \$69,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 5,865 | 9,349 | 62.7% | Fail |
| 188 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 6,806 | 10,851 | 62.7% | Fail |
| 189 | Charter Oak Unified School District | \$47,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Los Angeles | CO | 55 | 3,035 | 4,839 | 62.7% | Fail |
| 190 | Weaver Union School District | \$9,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Merced | B | 55 | 533 | 850 | 62.7% | Fail |
| 191 | Oxnard Union High School District | \$135,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Ventura | H | 55 | 52,851 | 84,324 | 62.7% | Fail |
| 192 | Alvord Unified School District | <i>Reauthorize \$79,000,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | W | 55 | 12,911 | 20,602 | 62.7% | Fail |
| 193 | Santa Clarita Community College District | \$160,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 38,292 | 61,119 | 62.7% | Fail |
| 194 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$380,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 23,014 | 36,754 | 62.6% | Fail |
| 195 | Merced Union High School District | \$149,450,000 | 2008-11-04 | Merced | M | 55 | 25,266 | 40,377 | 62.6% | Fail |
| 196 | San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta) | \$275,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo/ Monterey | L | 55 | 51,740 | 82,706 | 62.6% | Fail |
| 197 | Happy Valley Union Elementary School District | \$3,800,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | E | 55 | 1,743 | 2,787 | 62.5% | Fail |
| 198 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$11,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Monterey/San Benito | S | 55 | 1,243 | 1,988 | 62.5% | Fail |
| 199 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$24,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Kern | A | 55 | 1,746 | 2,793 | 62.5% | Fail |
| 200 | Spreckels Union School District | \$7,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Monterey | B | 55 | 2,091 | 3,346 | 62.5% | Fail |
| 201 | Westside Union School District | <i>Reauthorize \$18,510,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | WR | 55 | 16,153 | 25,849 | 62.5% | Fail |
| 202 | Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$61,600,000 | 2008-06-03 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 4,948 | 7,921 | 62.5% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 203 | Siskiyou Joint Community College District | \$31,400,000 | 2005-11-08 | Siskiyou/Shasta | A | 55 | 8,912 | 14,267 | 62.5% | Fail |
| 204 | Black Oak Mine Unified School District | \$6,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 3,768 | 6,034 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 205 | Clovis Unified School District | \$168,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | A | 55 | 24,836 | 39,778 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 206 | Buckeye Union School District | \$28,300,000 | 2006-11-07 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 7,910 | 12,670 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 207 | Franklin School District | \$2,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sutter | P | 55 | 452 | 724 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 208 | Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$230,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento/Placer | G | 55 | 10,477 | 16,782 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 209 | Bassett Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 1,616 | 2,589 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 210 | Mendocino-Lake Community College District | \$67,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Mendocino/Lake | W | 55 | 18,417 | 29,506 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 211 | Marysville Joint Unified School District | \$47,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Yuba/Butte | P | 55 | 10,081 | 16,160 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 212 | Greenfield Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | C | 55 | 1,014 | 1,626 | 62.4% | Fail |
| 213 | Planada Elementary School District | \$1,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | O | 55 | 220 | 353 | 62.3% | Fail |
| 214 | Bellevue Union School District | \$12,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | D | 55 | 1,314 | 2,111 | 62.2% | Fail |
| 215 | Anaheim Union High School District | \$132,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | Z | 55 | 25,592 | 41,126 | 62.2% | Fail |
| 216 | Palm Springs Unified School District | \$516,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Riverside | E | 55 | 20,923 | 33,647 | 62.2% | Fail |
| 217 | Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$10,585,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt | S | 55 | 7,598 | 12,225 | 62.2% | Fail |
| 218 | Wright Elementary School District | \$14,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,913 | 3,078 | 62.2% | Fail |
| 219 | Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$38,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Sacramento | P | 55 | 11,752 | 18,915 | 62.1% | Fail |
| 220 | Santa Maria-Bonita School District | \$45,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara/SLO | T | 55 | 8,085 | 13,021 | 62.1% | Fail |
| 221 | Torrance Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | U | 55 | 19,877 | 32,015 | 62.1% | Fail |
| 222 | Bonita Unified School District | \$56,360,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 8,841 | 14,243 | 62.1% | Fail |
| 223 | Beaumont Unified School District | \$125,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Riverside/San Bernardino | Z | 55 | 9,029 | 14,552 | 62.0% | Fail |
| 224 | Dublin Unified School District | \$99,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Alameda | E | 55 | 4,205 | 6,780 | 62.0% | Fail |
| 225 | Alvord Unified School District | \$196,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Riverside | H | 55 | 3,437 | 5,542 | 62.0% | Fail |
| 226 | Grossmont Union High School District | \$274,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Diego | H | 55 | 61,406 | 99,029 | 62.0% | Fail |
| 227 | Natomas Unified School District | \$145,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento | D | 55 | 4,358 | 7,029 | 62.0% | Fail |
| 228 | College School District | \$9,370,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Barbara | Z | 55 | 2,028 | 3,271 | 62.0% | Fail |
| 229 | Big Pine Unified School District | \$4,100,000 | 2010-06-08 | Inyo | A | 55 | 360 | 581 | 62.0% | Fail |
| 230 | Vacaville Unified School District | \$194,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Solano | A | 55 | 12,095 | 19,524 | 61.9% | Fail |
| 231 | San Mateo Union High School District | \$186,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | O | 55 | 43,251 | 69,854 | 61.9% | Fail |
| 232 | Val Verde Unified School District | \$178,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Riverside | L | 55 | 2,758 | 4,455 | 61.9% | Fail |
| 233 | Mark Twain Union Elementary School District | \$9,600,000 | 2004-03-02 | Calaveras | K | 55 | 1,786 | 2,885 | 61.9% | Fail |
| 234 | Hawthorne Elementary School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Los Angeles | H | 55 | 2,481 | 4,009 | 61.9% | Fail |
| 235 | Downey Unified School District | \$248,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | O | 55 | 10,093 | 16,314 | 61.9% | Fail |
| 236 | Wheatland School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Yuba | U | 55 | 2,250 | 3,637 | 61.9% | Fail |
| 237 | Chino Valley Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Bernardino | M | 55 | 10,191 | 16,478 | 61.8% | Fail |
| 238 | Peninsula Union School District | \$600,000 | 2001-11-06 | Humboldt | R | 55 | 47 | 76 | 61.8% | Fail |
| 239 | Simi Valley Unified School District | \$145,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Ventura | C | 55 | 16,118 | 26,079 | 61.8% | Fail |
| 240 | Woodlake Union High School District | \$4,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | C | 55 | 1,592 | 2,576 | 61.8% | Fail |
| 241 | San Diego Unified School District | \$2,800,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | Z | 55 | 218,242 | 353,169 | 61.8% | Fail |
| 242 | Burbank Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 2013-03-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 4,663 | 7,547 | 61.8% | Fail |
| 243 | Gateway Unified School District | \$22,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Shasta | B | 55 | 3,623 | 5,864 | 61.8% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 244 | Pollock Pines Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 1,606 | 2,601 | 61.7% | Fail |
| 245 | Los Rios Community College District | \$265,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | El Dorado/Placer/Sacramento/Yolo/Solano | A | 55 | 132,952 | 215,359 | 61.7% | Fail |
| 246 | Buellton Union School District | \$6,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Barbara | U | 55 | 1,176 | 1,905 | 61.7% | Fail |
| 247 | Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District | \$6,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno/Tulare | O | 55 | 687 | 1,113 | 61.7% | Fail |
| 248 | Corona-Norco Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | U | 55 | 24,101 | 39,068 | 61.7% | Fail |
| 249 | Western Placer Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Placer | A | 55 | 10,742 | 17,418 | 61.7% | Fail |
| 250 | Atascadero Unified School District | Reauthorize \$58,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo | B | 55 | 7,102 | 11,517 | 61.7% | Fail |
| 251 | Solvang School District | \$11,650,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Barbara | J | 55 | 1,148 | 1,863 | 61.6% | Fail |
| 252 | Rim of the World Unified School District | \$23,340,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | W | 55 | 8,116 | 13,171 | 61.6% | Fail |
| 253 | Cabrillo Community College District | \$118,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Cruz/Monterey/San Benito | D | 55 | 44,542 | 72,295 | 61.6% | Fail |
| 254 | Encinitas Union School District | \$44,200,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | P | 55 | 17,989 | 29,200 | 61.6% | Fail |
| 255 | El Camino Community College District | \$394,516,464 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 68,095 | 110,541 | 61.6% | Fail |
| 256 | Novato Unified School District | \$107,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Marin | A | 55 | 8,419 | 13,668 | 61.6% | Fail |
| 257 | Manhattan Beach Unified School District | \$67,480,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | BB | 55 | 12,191 | 19,801 | 61.6% | Fail |
| 258 | Victor Valley Community College District | \$297,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino/Los Angeles | JJ | 55 | 63,581 | 103,301 | 61.5% | Fail |
| 259 | Fullerton School District | \$49,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | CC | 55 | 11,710 | 19,026 | 61.5% | Fail |
| 260 | San Ramon Valley Unified School District | \$260,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 37,380 | 60,774 | 61.5% | Fail |
| 261 | Los Olivos School District | \$4,650,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Barbara | N | 55 | 469 | 763 | 61.5% | Fail |
| 262 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$295,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 30,232 | 49,185 | 61.5% | Fail |
| 263 | San Bernardino City Unified School District | \$140,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Bernardino | T | 55 | 14,282 | 23,247 | 61.4% | Fail |
| 264 | Nevada Joint Union High School District | \$15,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Nevada | A | 55 | 16,397 | 26,704 | 61.4% | Fail |
| 265 | Center Joint Unified School District | \$500,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Sacramento/Placer | N | 55 | 5,082 | 8,278 | 61.4% | Fail |
| 266 | Healdsburg Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | E | 55 | 2,790 | 4,546 | 61.4% | Fail |
| 267 | Roseville City School District | \$29,117,071 | 2002-11-05 | Placer | H | 55 | 11,174 | 18,219 | 61.3% | Fail |
| 268 | Willits Unified School District | \$43,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Mendocino | B | 55 | 2,211 | 3,606 | 61.3% | Fail |
| 269 | Merced River School District | \$1,800,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | L | 55 | 95 | 155 | 61.3% | Fail |
| 270 | Chatom Union Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | U | 55 | 617 | 1,007 | 61.3% | Fail |
| 271 | Fortuna Union High School District | \$10,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Humboldt | D | 55 | 5,072 | 8,278 | 61.3% | Fail |
| 272 | La Habra City School District | \$31,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | O | 55 | 8,874 | 14,489 | 61.2% | Fail |
| 273 | Walnut Valley Unified School District | \$64,600,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 4,159 | 6,797 | 61.2% | Fail |
| 274 | Golden Plains Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno | G | 55 | 402 | 657 | 61.2% | Fail |
| 275 | Mesa Union Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Ventura | A | 55 | 506 | 827 | 61.2% | Fail |
| 276 | Fremont Unified School District | \$650,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Alameda | E | 55 | 15,971 | 26,106 | 61.2% | Fail |
| 277 | Cinnabar Elementary School District | \$2,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 494 | 808 | 61.1% | Fail |
| 278 | Napa Valley Community College District | \$133,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | Napa/Sonoma | N | 55 | 21,699 | 35,502 | 61.1% | Fail |
| 279 | Bradley Union School District | \$1,100,000 | 2011-11-08 | Monterey | T | 55 | 33 | 54 | 61.1% | Fail |
| 280 | Sierra Sands Unified School District | \$50,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern/San Bernardino | A | 55 | 4,710 | 7,710 | 61.1% | Fail |
| 281 | North Monterey County Unified School District | \$23,800,000 | 2013-11-05 | Monterey | H | 55 | 2,453 | 4,019 | 61.0% | Fail |
| 282 | Central Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | K | 55 | 5,174 | 8,494 | 60.9% | Fail |
| 283 | Mt. Diablo Unified School District | \$348,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 32,152 | 52,814 | 60.9% | Fail |
| 284 | Chualar Union Elementary School District | \$1,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Monterey | A | 55 | 182 | 299 | 60.9% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 285 | Yosemite Community College District | \$326,174,000 | 2004-11-02 | Stanislaus/San Joaquin/Merced/Calaveras/ | E | 55 | 109,315 | 179,656 | 60.8% | Fail |
| 286 | Huntington Beach City Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | DD | 55 | 11,913 | 19,584 | 60.8% | Fail |
| 287 | Bonita Unified School District | \$83,560,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | AB | 55 | 17,218 | 28,308 | 60.8% | Fail |
| 288 | Greenfield Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | D | 55 | 987 | 1,623 | 60.8% | Fail |
| 289 | Jurupa Unified School District | \$144,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | EE | 55 | 5,824 | 9,578 | 60.8% | Fail |
| 290 | St. Helena Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Napa | B | 55 | 2,545 | 4,186 | 60.8% | Fail |
| 291 | Lodi Unified School District | \$114,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Joaquin | L | 55 | 9,225 | 15,176 | 60.8% | Fail |
| 292 | Perris Union High School District | \$153,420,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | T | 55 | 24,229 | 39,886 | 60.7% | Fail |
| 293 | Napa Valley Unified School District | \$183,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Napa | G | 55 | 20,831 | 34,315 | 60.7% | Fail |
| 294 | William S. Hart Union High School District | \$300,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | SA | 55 | 59,287 | 97,816 | 60.6% | Fail |
| 295 | Beardsley School District | \$10,300,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | B | 55 | 1,444 | 2,383 | 60.6% | Fail |
| 296 | Riverside Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside | C | 55 | 61,923 | 102,205 | 60.6% | Fail |
| 297 | Madera Unified School District | \$32,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Madera | U | 55 | 6,812 | 11,244 | 60.6% | Fail |
| 298 | Salinas Union High School District | \$52,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Monterey | F | 55 | 12,746 | 21,111 | 60.4% | Fail |
| 299 | Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Luis Obispo/Monterey | T | 55 | 8,753 | 14,498 | 60.4% | Fail |
| 300 | Julian Union High School District | \$2,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | L | 55 | 1,259 | 2,086 | 60.4% | Fail |
| 301 | Lost Hills Union School District | \$6,200,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern | G | 55 | 35 | 58 | 60.3% | Fail |
| 302 | Fullerton Joint Union High School District | \$67,940,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange/Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 21,974 | 36,437 | 60.3% | Fail |
| 303 | Salinas Union High School District | \$128,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | B | 55 | 14,730 | 24,428 | 60.3% | Fail |
| 304 | Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District | \$207,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | R | 55 | 60,622 | 100,551 | 60.3% | Fail |
| 305 | Upland Unified School District | \$103,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino | K | 55 | 11,785 | 19,554 | 60.3% | Fail |
| 306 | San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District | \$18,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Santa Cruz | O | 55 | 6,298 | 10,452 | 60.3% | Fail |
| 307 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$62,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | El Dorado/Placer | E | 55 | 2,074 | 3,442 | 60.3% | Fail |
| 308 | San Juan Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 76,052 | 126,333 | 60.2% | Fail |
| 309 | Tustin Unified School District | \$135,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | S | 55 | 25,220 | 41,949 | 60.1% | Fail |
| 310 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$17,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Ventura | C | 55 | 2,030 | 3,378 | 60.1% | Fail |
| 311 | Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Solano | C | 55 | 11,288 | 18,784 | 60.1% | Fail |
| 312 | West Valley-Mission Community College District | \$235,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | H | 55 | 79,524 | 132,394 | 60.1% | Fail |
| 313 | Duarte Unified School District | \$62,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 4,198 | 7,008 | 59.9% | Fail |
| 314 | Bret Harte Union High School District | \$18,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Calaveras | K | 55 | 6,167 | 10,295 | 59.9% | Fail |
| 315 | Roseville Joint Union High School District | \$79,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Placer/Sacramento | J | 55 | 35,814 | 59,793 | 59.9% | Fail |
| 316 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$32,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 5,029 | 8,400 | 59.9% | Fail |
| 317 | Calipatria Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Imperial | T | 55 | 422 | 705 | 59.9% | Fail |
| 318 | West Valley-Mission Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | C | 55 | 42,498 | 71,020 | 59.8% | Fail |
| 319 | Oroville Union High School District | \$10,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Butte | J | 55 | 6,809 | 11,379 | 59.8% | Fail |
| 320 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | \$18,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | A | 55 | 4,020 | 6,724 | 59.8% | Fail |
| 321 | Tipton Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | 2014-11-04 | Tulare | C | 55 | 211 | 353 | 59.8% | Fail |
| 322 | Cold Spring School District | \$2,440,000 | 2008-11-04 | Santa Barbara | C | 55 | 987 | 1,653 | 59.7% | Fail |
| 323 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$44,400,000 | 2004-11-02 | Nevada | G | 55 | 24,877 | 41,666 | 59.7% | Fail |
| 324 | Yuba City Unified School District | \$25,670,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sutter | K | 55 | 9,383 | 15,729 | 59.7% | Fail |
| 325 | Greenfield Union School District | \$8,300,000 | 2010-06-08 | Monterey | L | 55 | 761 | 1,276 | 59.6% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 326 | St. Helena Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Napa | C | 55 | 2,782 | 4,669 | 59.6% | Fail |
| 327 | Lincoln Unified School District | \$48,500,000 | 2012-06-05 | San Joaquin | M | 55 | 5,653 | 9,488 | 59.6% | Fail |
| 328 | Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$9,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus/Merced | Z | 55 | 8,570 | 14,405 | 59.5% | Fail |
| 329 | Northern Humboldt Union High School District | \$25,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Humboldt | Q | 55 | 10,386 | 17,465 | 59.5% | Fail |
| 330 | Elverta Elementary School District | \$10,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento/Placer | L | 55 | 512 | 861 | 59.5% | Fail |
| 331 | Lake Tahoe Unified School District | \$64,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 6,715 | 11,301 | 59.4% | Fail |
| 332 | Needles Unified School District | \$10,900,000 | 2008-06-03 | San Bernardino | N | 55 | 623 | 1,049 | 59.4% | Fail |
| 333 | Mark West Union School District | \$14,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 2,372 | 3,994 | 59.4% | Fail |
| 334 | Savanna Elementary School District | \$28,750,000 | 2012-06-05 | Orange | G | 55 | 1,715 | 2,888 | 59.4% | Fail |
| 335 | College of the Sequoias | \$22,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | C | 55 | 8,279 | 13,948 | 59.4% | Fail |
| 336 | Napa Valley Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Napa | M | 55 | 17,183 | 28,953 | 59.3% | Fail |
| 337 | Menifee Union School District | \$14,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | J | 55 | 6,853 | 11,568 | 59.2% | Fail |
| 338 | Manchester Union Elementary School District | \$1,310,000 | 2004-11-02 | Mendocino | O | 55 | 151 | 255 | 59.2% | Fail |
| 339 | El Centro Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | Imperial | G | 55 | 1,493 | 2,522 | 59.2% | Fail |
| 340 | Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District | \$34,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt/Lassen/Modoc/Shasta/Tehama/Trinity | A | 55 | 33,076 | 55,884 | 59.2% | Fail |
| 341 | Oroville Union High School District | \$12,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Butte | G | 55 | 10,978 | 18,552 | 59.2% | Fail |
| 342 | Saddleback Valley Unified School District | \$180,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Orange | B | 55 | 31,024 | 52,448 | 59.2% | Fail |
| 343 | Hart-Ransom Union School District | \$3,900,000 | 2007-11-06 | Stanislaus | G | 55 | 388 | 656 | 59.1% | Fail |
| 344 | Anaheim Union High School District | \$249,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange | H | 55 | 30,238 | 51,177 | 59.1% | Fail |
| 345 | Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District) | \$5,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt | M | 55 | 934 | 1,581 | 59.1% | Fail |
| 346 | Kern Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern/San Bernardino | G | 55 | 79,076 | 133,870 | 59.1% | Fail |
| 347 | Manteca Unified School District | \$159,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Joaquin | G | 55 | 11,535 | 19,535 | 59.0% | Fail |
| 348 | Escondido Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | T | 55 | 30,006 | 50,836 | 59.0% | Fail |
| 349 | Chabot-Las Positas Community College District | \$498,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Alameda/Contra Costa | B | 55 | 65,195 | 110,593 | 59.0% | Fail |
| 350 | Springville Union Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Tulare | J | 55 | 724 | 1,229 | 58.9% | Fail |
| 351 | Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District | \$72,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Los Angeles | CK | 55 | 4,394 | 7,465 | 58.9% | Fail |
| 352 | Mt. San Jacinto Community College District | \$295,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | AA | 55 | 76,993 | 130,897 | 58.8% | Fail |
| 353 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3 | Reauthorize \$12,655,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | L | 55 | 5,946 | 10,109 | 58.8% | Fail |
| 354 | San Jose-Evergreen Community College District | \$268,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 111,032 | 188,791 | 58.8% | Fail |
| 355 | Santa Maria Joint Union High School District | \$79,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Barbara | C | 55 | 22,527 | 38,345 | 58.7% | Fail |
| 356 | Merced City Elementary School District | \$26,500,000 | 2003-11-04 | Merced | S | 55 | 4,710 | 8,021 | 58.7% | Fail |
| 357 | San Ramon Valley Unified School District | \$260,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 23,655 | 40,330 | 58.7% | Fail |
| 358 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$16,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | L | 55 | 1,468 | 2,503 | 58.6% | Fail |
| 359 | Fullerton Joint Union High School District | \$175,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange/Los Angeles | I | 55 | 25,415 | 43,353 | 58.6% | Fail |
| 360 | Apple Valley Unified School District | \$36,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Bernardino | S | 55 | 8,818 | 15,051 | 58.6% | Fail |
| 361 | Lakeside Union School District | Reauthorize \$31,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | L | 55 | 5,640 | 9,630 | 58.6% | Fail |
| 362 | Tustin Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | L | 55 | 18,304 | 31,266 | 58.5% | Fail |
| 363 | Santee Elementary School District | \$60,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | R | 55 | 9,742 | 16,649 | 58.5% | Fail |
| 364 | Mt. San Antonio Community College District | \$221,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 20,315 | 34,727 | 58.5% | Fail |
| 365 | Eastern Sierra Unified School District | \$11,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Mono | E | 55 | 1,067 | 1,824 | 58.5% | Fail |
| 366 | Millbrae School District | \$30,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 2,550 | 4,361 | 58.5% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 367 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$9,700,000 | 2010-11-02 | Monterey/San Benito | Z | 55 | 1,822 | 3,116 | 58.5% | Fail |
| 368 | Pierce Joint Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Colusa | A | 55 | 529 | 905 | 58.5% | Fail |
| 369 | Byron Union School District | \$19,700,000 | 2006-06-06 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 1,617 | 2,767 | 58.4% | Fail |
| 370 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$135,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 27,472 | 47,028 | 58.4% | Fail |
| 371 | Dehesa School District | \$5,500,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | M | 55 | 507 | 868 | 58.4% | Fail |
| 372 | Saugus Union School District | \$148,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | EE | 55 | 11,878 | 20,351 | 58.4% | Fail |
| 373 | Madera Unified School District | \$70,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Madera | G | 55 | 5,894 | 10,113 | 58.3% | Fail |
| 374 | Ripon Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Joaquin | J | 55 | 2,060 | 3,535 | 58.3% | Fail |
| 375 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | \$9,900,000 | 2006-06-06 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 1,688 | 2,897 | 58.3% | Fail |
| 376 | Cajon Valley Union School District | <i>Reauthorize \$88,400,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | C | 55 | 29,048 | 49,882 | 58.2% | Fail |
| 377 | Hemet Unified School District | \$149,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | T | 55 | 17,757 | 30,493 | 58.2% | Fail |
| 378 | Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District | \$398,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | V | 55 | 95,802 | 164,554 | 58.2% | Fail |
| 379 | Campbell Union High School District | \$90,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 32,505 | 55,855 | 58.2% | Fail |
| 380 | Golden Valley Unified School District | \$70,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Madera | S | 55 | 1,438 | 2,472 | 58.2% | Fail |
| 381 | Escondido Union School District | \$46,300,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | K | 55 | 11,635 | 20,003 | 58.2% | Fail |
| 382 | Piedmont Unified School District | \$56,000,000 | 2006-03-07 | Alameda | E | 55 | 2,497 | 4,294 | 58.2% | Fail |
| 383 | Glendale Community College District | \$98,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 14,245 | 24,507 | 58.1% | Fail |
| 384 | West Kern Community College District | \$39,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | A | 55 | 2,039 | 3,509 | 58.1% | Fail |
| 385 | Kernville Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Kern | D | 55 | 1,778 | 3,060 | 58.1% | Fail |
| 386 | Huntington Beach Union High School District | \$238,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Orange | C | 55 | 42,469 | 73,151 | 58.1% | Fail |
| 387 | Buellton Union School District | \$3,200,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Barbara | V | 55 | 278 | 479 | 58.0% | Fail |
| 388 | Chaffey Community College | \$230,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Bernardino | L | 55 | 33,737 | 58,195 | 58.0% | Fail |
| 389 | Banning Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | R | 55 | 2,635 | 4,546 | 58.0% | Fail |
| 390 | Banning Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | R | 55 | 2,635 | 4,546 | 58.0% | Fail |
| 391 | Murieta Valley Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | BB | 55 | 11,278 | 19,462 | 57.9% | Fail |
| 392 | Los Rios Community College District | \$475,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado/Placer/ Sacramento/Solano/Yolo | M | 55 | 328,240 | 566,450 | 57.9% | Fail |
| 393 | Palomar Community College | \$694,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | M | 55 | 103,380 | 178,543 | 57.9% | Fail |
| 394 | Perris Union High School District | \$46,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Riverside | Z | 55 | 18,871 | 32,594 | 57.9% | Fail |
| 395 | Templeton Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Luis Obispo | H | 55 | 3,017 | 5,213 | 57.9% | Fail |
| 396 | Citrus Community College District | \$121,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 21,639 | 37,418 | 57.8% | Fail |
| 397 | Santa Rita Union Elementary School District | \$14,600,000 | 2006-11-07 | Monterey | C | 55 | 2,161 | 3,737 | 57.8% | Fail |
| 398 | West Hills Community College District | \$20,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | T | 55 | 6,682 | 11,557 | 57.8% | Fail |
| 399 | Lake Tahoe Community College District | \$55,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | El Dorado | F | 55 | 3,784 | 6,547 | 57.8% | Fail |
| 400 | Fallbrook Union Elementary School District | \$32,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | X | 55 | 6,199 | 10,731 | 57.8% | Fail |
| 401 | Cerritos Community College District | \$210,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 29,336 | 50,836 | 57.7% | Fail |
| 402 | Gateway Unified School District | \$19,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | G | 55 | 7,152 | 12,396 | 57.7% | Fail |
| 403 | Siskiyou Union High School District | \$12,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Siskiyou | Q | 55 | 4,418 | 7,659 | 57.7% | Fail |
| 404 | Ripon Unified School District | \$25,236,190 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | G | 55 | 4,264 | 7,395 | 57.7% | Fail |
| 405 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District | \$30,450,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado/Placer | J | 55 | 2,076 | 3,603 | 57.6% | Fail |
| 406 | Corning Union School District | \$2,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | Tehema | B | 55 | 1,358 | 2,357 | 57.6% | Fail |
| 407 | Del Norte County School District | \$24,987,000 | 2008-11-04 | Del Norte | A | 55 | 5,338 | 9,265 | 57.6% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 408 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$450,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 82,464 | 143,168 | 57.6% | Fail |
| 409 | Allan Hancock Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Barbara/Ventura | I | 55 | 22,996 | 39,989 | 57.5% | Fail |
| 410 | Shasta Union High School District | \$31,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Shasta | B | 55 | 8,590 | 14,949 | 57.5% | Fail |
| 411 | Poway Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | U | 55 | 21,958 | 38,252 | 57.4% | Fail |
| 412 | Los Altos School District | \$150,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | N | 55 | 8,671 | 15,106 | 57.4% | Fail |
| 413 | Eureka Unified School District | \$49,750,000 | 2014-11-04 | Humboldt | S | 55 | 8,083 | 14,084 | 57.4% | Fail |
| 414 | Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District | \$375,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 8,940 | 15,578 | 57.4% | Fail |
| 415 | Thermalito Union School District | \$2,050,000 | 2004-11-02 | Butte | M | 55 | 1,721 | 2,999 | 57.4% | Fail |
| 416 | Murieta Valley Unified School District | \$120,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | E | 55 | 6,327 | 11,026 | 57.4% | Fail |
| 417 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$93,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 7,719 | 13,452 | 57.4% | Fail |
| 418 | Marysville Joint Unified School District | \$37,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Yuba/Butte | H | 55 | 5,050 | 8,809 | 57.3% | Fail |
| 419 | Enterprise Elementary School District | \$34,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Shasta | A | 55 | 4,340 | 7,574 | 57.3% | Fail |
| 420 | Merced City Elementary School District | \$60,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | M | 55 | 5,277 | 9,217 | 57.3% | Fail |
| 421 | Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District | \$103,600,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 19,029 | 33,254 | 57.2% | Fail |
| 422 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$286,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 99,077 | 173,269 | 57.2% | Fail |
| 423 | Coast Community College District | \$698,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | M | 55 | 134,619 | 235,462 | 57.2% | Fail |
| 424 | Anderson Union High School District | \$12,300,000 | 2012-11-06 | Shasta | C | 55 | 8,574 | 15,008 | 57.1% | Fail |
| 425 | Lodi Unified School District | \$109,300,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Joaquin | K | 55 | 15,881 | 27,827 | 57.1% | Fail |
| 426 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$28,400,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | D | 55 | 1,874 | 3,284 | 57.1% | Fail |
| 427 | Southern Trinity Unified School District | \$2,300,000 | 2012-06-05 | Humboldt/Trinity | V | 55 | 170 | 298 | 57.0% | Fail |
| 428 | Corona-Norco Unified School District | \$396,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | GG | 55 | 20,876 | 36,610 | 57.0% | Fail |
| 429 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$29,445,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | R | 55 | 3,966 | 6,957 | 57.0% | Fail |
| 430 | Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$74,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento/Placer | E | 55 | 10,435 | 18,312 | 57.0% | Fail |
| 431 | Chawanakee Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Madera | Q | 55 | 1,126 | 1,976 | 57.0% | Fail |
| 432 | Escondido Union School District | \$182,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | E | 55 | 18,615 | 32,687 | 56.9% | Fail |
| 433 | Morongo Unified School District | \$48,150,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Bernardino | O | 55 | 6,563 | 11,527 | 56.9% | Fail |
| 434 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Imperial | G | 55 | 780 | 1,370 | 56.9% | Fail |
| 435 | Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District | \$200,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Orange | A | 55 | 25,764 | 45,272 | 56.9% | Fail |
| 436 | Sylvan Union School District | \$40,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | A | 55 | 9,402 | 16,526 | 56.9% | Fail |
| 437 | Walnut Valley Unified School District | \$15,200,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 3,859 | 6,784 | 56.9% | Fail |
| 438 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$400,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Contra Costa | J | 55 | 30,013 | 52,789 | 56.9% | Fail |
| 439 | Barstow Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Bernardino | C | 55 | 2,492 | 4,386 | 56.8% | Fail |
| 440 | Old Adobe Union School District | \$26,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 3,391 | 5,969 | 56.8% | Fail |
| 441 | Menifee Union School District | \$31,460,000 | 2008-02-05 | Riverside | B | 55 | 9,561 | 16,850 | 56.7% | Fail |
| 442 | Grossmont Union High School District | \$417,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | U | 55 | 97,337 | 171,811 | 56.7% | Fail |
| 443 | Columbia School District | \$8,600,000 | 2014-11-04 | Shasta | E | 55 | 1,984 | 3,502 | 56.7% | Fail |
| 444 | Yuba Community College District | \$190,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Yuba/Sutter | J | 55 | 37,608 | 66,429 | 56.6% | Fail |
| 445 | Dry Creek Elementary School District | \$67,300,000 | 2008-02-05 | Placer/Sacramento | E | 55 | 8,282 | 14,634 | 56.6% | Fail |
| 446 | Cabrillo Unified School District | \$81,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | San Mateo | S | 55 | 3,803 | 6,730 | 56.5% | Fail |
| 447 | Sonora Union High School District | \$23,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tuolumne | J | 55 | 9,171 | 16,234 | 56.5% | Fail |
| 448 | State Center Community College District | \$161,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare/Madera | E | 55 | 90,285 | 159,849 | 56.5% | Fail |

All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

| Rank | Educational District | Amount Proposed to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage | Two-Thirds Outcome |
|--------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| 449 | Orland Joint Unified School District | \$21,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Glenn | K | 55 | 2,093 | 3,710 | 56.4% | Fail |
| 450 | Kings River Union Elementary School District | \$850,000 | 2006-06-06 | Tulare | N | 55 | 163 | 289 | 56.4% | Fail |
| 451 | Nuvview Union School District | \$39,600,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | D | 55 | 622 | 1,103 | 56.4% | Fail |
| 452 | Owens Valley Unified School District | \$2,600,000 | 2005-11-08 | Inyo | A | 55 | 196 | 348 | 56.3% | Fail |
| 453 | Ohlone Community College District | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | A | 55 | 16,111 | 28,617 | 56.3% | Fail |
| 454 | Fruitvale School District | \$29,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | C | 55 | 2,257 | 4,009 | 56.3% | Fail |
| 455 | San Benito High School District | \$42,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Benito/Santa Clara | G | 55 | 3,524 | 6,260 | 56.3% | Fail |
| 456 | Azusa Unified School District | \$92,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 3,983 | 7,082 | 56.2% | Fail |
| 457 | El Dorado Union High School District | \$66,300,000 | 2008-06-03 | El Dorado | Q | 55 | 19,536 | 34,740 | 56.2% | Fail |
| 458 | Columbia Union Elementary School District | \$3,700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tuolumne | H | 55 | 1,351 | 2,404 | 56.2% | Fail |
| 459 | Newport-Mesa Unified School District | \$282,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Orange | F | 55 | 26,123 | 46,524 | 56.1% | Fail |
| 460 | Manteca Unified School District | \$66,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Joaquin | M | 55 | 7,867 | 14,011 | 56.1% | Fail |
| 461 | Weaver Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Merced | G | 55 | 1,422 | 2,534 | 56.1% | Fail |
| 462 | El Tejon Unified School District | \$7,120,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern/Ventura | E | 55 | 1,257 | 2,240 | 56.1% | Fail |
| 463 | Gold Oak Union School District | \$8,950,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado | E | 55 | 2,326 | 4,149 | 56.1% | Fail |
| 464 | Le Grand Union High School District | \$4,200,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | N | 55 | 401 | 717 | 55.9% | Fail |
| 465 | Dehesa School District | <i>Reauthorize \$3,000,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | D | 55 | 562 | 1,005 | 55.9% | Fail |
| 466 | Newark Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | Alameda | G | 55 | 3,286 | 5,878 | 55.9% | Fail |
| 467 | Taft City School District | \$23,600,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,132 | 2,027 | 55.8% | Fail |
| 468 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 1,588 | 2,844 | 55.8% | Fail |
| 469 | Calaveras Unified School District | \$13,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Calaveras | A | 55 | 5,241 | 9,396 | 55.8% | Fail |
| 470 | Linden Unified School District | \$11,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | S | 55 | 2,099 | 3,764 | 55.8% | Fail |
| 471 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | CF | 55 | 3,486 | 6,252 | 55.8% | Fail |
| 472 | Grant Elementary School District | \$1,700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Shasta | A | 55 | 671 | 1,204 | 55.7% | Fail |
| 473 | Merced Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$53,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced/Madera | H | 55 | 17,048 | 30,594 | 55.7% | Fail |
| 474 | Pacheco Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | B | 55 | 2,534 | 4,548 | 55.7% | Fail |
| 475 | Cloverdale Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 2,096 | 3,769 | 55.6% | Fail |
| 476 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$114,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Nevada/Placer | U | 55 | 3,018 | 5,433 | 55.5% | Fail |
| 477 | San Dieguito Union High School District | \$449,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | AA | 55 | 43,627 | 78,574 | 55.5% | Fail |
| 478 | McKinleyville Union School District | \$14,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Humboldt | C | 55 | 1,723 | 3,108 | 55.4% | Fail |
| 479 | San Joaquin-Delta Community College District | \$250,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Joaquin/Sacramento | L | 55 | 62,465 | 112,744 | 55.4% | Fail |
| 480 | Turlock Unified School District | \$7,725,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | Y | 55 | 8,942 | 16,143 | 55.4% | Fail |
| 481 | Piner-Olivet Union School District | \$20,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 3,006 | 5,429 | 55.4% | Fail |
| 482 | Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1 | \$2,500,000 | 2012-06-05 | Butte | D | 55 | 1,058 | 1,911 | 55.4% | Fail |
| 483 | Yreka Union High School District | \$8,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Siskiyou | H | 55 | 2,942 | 5,316 | 55.3% | Fail |
| 484 | Palmdale Elementary School District | \$25,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | W | 55 | 3,848 | 6,971 | 55.2% | Fail |
| 485 | North County Joint Union School District | \$3,350,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Benito/Santa Clara | M | 55 | 458 | 830 | 55.2% | Fail |
| 486 | Sonora Elementary School District | \$7,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Tuolumne | H | 55 | 1,867 | 3,385 | 55.2% | Fail |
| 487 | Norris School District | \$149,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kern | B | 55 | 3,017 | 5,475 | 55.1% | Fail |
| 488 | North Orange County Community College District | \$574,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange/Los Angeles | J | 55 | 84,780 | 154,118 | 55.0% | Fail |
| TOTAL | | \$57,628,510,725 | | | | | 9,174,889 | 14,922,966 | 61.5% | |

Appendix I

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| S-3 | \$20,191,061,654 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2006 | \$10,416,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | All of California | Prop 1D | 50 | 4,754,868 | 8,356,923 | 56.9% |
| S-2 | \$19,535,527,220 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2004 | \$12,300,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | All of California | Prop 55 | 50 | 3,239,706 | 6,370,627 | 50.9% |
| S-1 | \$16,942,084,821 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2002 | \$13,050,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | All of California | Prop 47 | 50 | 4,222,946 | 7,148,169 | 59.1% |
| 1 | \$16,630,230,000 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$3,870,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 335,230 | 526,258 | 63.7% |
| | | | \$3,985,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 443,905 | 671,636 | 66.1% |
| | | | \$3,350,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 488,849 | 718,030 | 68.1% |
| | | | \$7,000,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 885,467 | 1,281,319 | 69.1% |
| 2 | \$7,677,847,839 | Los Angeles Community College District | \$3,500,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 1,057,738 | 1,508,687 | 70.1% |
| 3 | \$5,386,598,170 | San Diego Unified School District | \$2,800,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | Z | 55 | 218,242 | 353,169 | 61.8% |
| | | | \$2,100,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | S | 55 | 261,672 | 380,808 | 68.7% |
| 4 | \$2,590,426,525 | San Diego Community College District | \$685,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | S | 55 | 140,577 | 204,797 | 68.6% |
| | | | \$870,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | N | 55 | 149,399 | 237,005 | 63.0% |
| 5 | \$1,823,863,360 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$380,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 23,014 | 36,754 | 62.6% |
| | | | \$300,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 24,391 | 33,958 | 71.8% |
| | | | \$400,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Contra Costa | J | 55 | 30,013 | 52,789 | 56.9% |
| | | | \$360,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 50,266 | 78,060 | 64.4% |
| 6 | \$1,352,414,905 | Oakland Unified School District | \$435,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Alameda | B | 55 | 62,559 | 80,231 | 78.0% |
| | | | \$475,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Alameda | J | 55 | 122,695 | 145,382 | 84.4% |
| 7 | \$1,328,256,540 | Foothill-De Anza Community College District | \$490,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Clara | C | 55 | 44,924 | 68,392 | 65.7% |
| 8 | \$1,316,013,363 | Chabot-Las Positas Community College District | \$498,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Alameda/Contra Costa | B | 55 | 65,195 | 110,593 | 59.0% |
| 9 | \$1,316,013,363 | Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$230,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento/Placer | G | 55 | 10,477 | 16,782 | 62.4% |
| 10 | \$1,275,122,944 | San Mateo Union High School District | Repurpose \$137,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Mateo | C | 66.7 | 40,674 | 55,573 | 73.2% |
| | | | \$298,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Mateo | M | 55 | 42,296 | 63,640 | 66.5% |
| | | | \$186,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | O | 55 | 43,251 | 69,854 | 61.9% |
| 11 | \$1,242,287,328 | Poway Unified School District SFID 2007-1 | \$179,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | C | 55 | 28,323 | 44,318 | 63.9% |
| 12 | \$1,225,944,294 | San Bernardino Community College District | \$190,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino/Riverside | P | 55 | 60,487 | 96,344 | 62.8% |
| | | | \$500,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino/Riverside | M | 55 | 87,405 | 130,437 | 67.0% |
| 13 | \$1,224,857,399 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$207,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 58,530 | 89,208 | 65.6% |
| | | | \$388,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 102,629 | 154,995 | 66.2% |
| | | | \$468,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 114,319 | 178,121 | 64.2% |
| 14 | \$1,148,082,042 | San Francisco Unified School District | \$531,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 134,695 | 189,445 | 71.1% |
| | | | \$295,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 139,814 | 198,068 | 70.6% |
| | | | \$450,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 171,236 | 231,876 | 73.8% |
| 15 | \$1,037,173,698 | Fresno Unified School District | \$280,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Fresno | Q | 55 | 51,125 | 67,522 | 75.7% |
| | | | \$199,000,000 | 2001-03-06 | Fresno | K | 66.7 | 19,864 | 29,542 | 67.2% |
| 16 | \$1,011,902,207 | East Side Union High School District | \$298,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 33,345 | 47,687 | 69.9% |
| | | | \$113,200,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 59,092 | 87,014 | 67.9% |
| | | | \$349,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 68,929 | 96,559 | 71.4% |
| | | | \$120,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | M | 55 | 102,531 | 143,231 | 71.6% |
| 17 | \$970,657,248 | Coast Community College District | \$370,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | C | 55 | 90,198 | 138,348 | 65.2% |
| | | | \$698,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | M | 55 | 134,619 | 235,462 | 57.2% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 18 | \$963,927,336 | San Jose Unified School District | \$429,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | F | 55 | 23,248 | 33,549 | 69.3% |
| | | | \$290,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 63,447 | 88,944 | 71.3% |
| 19 | \$926,189,450 | Mt. San Antonio Community College District | \$221,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 20,315 | 34,727 | 58.5% |
| | | | \$353,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles/Orange | RR/CC | 55 | 164,177 | 234,717 | 69.9% |
| 20 | \$885,640,602 | Grossmont Union High School District | \$274,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Diego | H | 55 | 61,406 | 99,029 | 62.0% |
| | | | \$417,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | U | 55 | 97,337 | 171,811 | 56.7% |
| 21 | \$873,228,148 | Palomar Community College | \$694,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | M | 55 | 103,380 | 178,543 | 57.9% |
| 22 | \$871,952,518 | Long Beach Community College District | \$176,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 34,580 | 53,225 | 65.0% |
| | | | \$440,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 79,204 | 107,261 | 73.8% |
| 23 | \$868,696,587 | Stockton Unified School District | \$114,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Joaquin | E | 55 | 14,751 | 21,871 | 67.4% |
| | | | \$120,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Joaquin | C | 55 | 18,858 | 27,167 | 69.4% |
| | | | \$464,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Joaquin | Q | 55 | 20,331 | 29,495 | 68.9% |
| | | | Reauthorize \$156,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | E | 55 | 31,228 | 41,954 | 74.4% |
| 24 | \$861,374,033 | San Marcos Unified School District | \$287,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | K | 55 | 20,082 | 31,682 | 63.4% |
| 25 | \$838,454,461 | Long Beach Unified School District | \$1,200,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 123,253 | 170,892 | 72.1% |
| 26 | \$835,866,713 | Desert Community College District | \$346,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside/Imperial | B | 66.7 | 36,698 | 53,375 | 68.8% |
| 27 | \$826,873,570 | Yosemite Community College District | \$326,174,000 | 2004-11-02 | Stanislaus/San Joaquin/Merced/Calaveras/ | E | 55 | 109,315 | 179,656 | 60.8% |
| 28 | \$820,128,328 | Newport-Mesa Unified School District | \$282,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Orange | F | 55 | 26,123 | 46,524 | 56.1% |
| 29 | \$810,958,445 | William S. Hart Union High School District | \$158,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 18,100 | 25,584 | 70.7% |
| | | | \$300,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | SA | 55 | 59,287 | 97,816 | 60.6% |
| 30 | \$798,489,809 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$160,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | U | 55 | 13,540 | 19,347 | 70.0% |
| | | | \$135,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 27,472 | 47,028 | 58.4% |
| | | | \$295,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 30,232 | 49,185 | 61.5% |
| 31 | \$796,617,519 | Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District | \$102,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | Y | 55 | 18,300 | 27,735 | 66.0% |
| | | | \$200,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Orange | A | 55 | 25,764 | 45,272 | 56.9% |
| 32 | \$777,874,017 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$450,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 82,464 | 143,168 | 57.6% |
| | | | \$286,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 99,077 | 173,269 | 57.2% |
| | | | \$120,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 112,062 | 172,726 | 64.9% |
| 33 | \$775,792,331 | Santa Ana Unified School District | \$200,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Orange | G | 66.7 | 8,426 | 12,244 | 68.8% |
| 34 | \$763,039,971 | Escondido Union School District | \$46,300,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | K | 55 | 11,635 | 20,003 | 58.2% |
| | | | \$182,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | E | 55 | 18,615 | 32,687 | 56.9% |
| 35 | \$738,912,720 | San Jose-Evergreen Community College District | \$268,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 111,032 | 188,791 | 58.8% |
| | | | \$185,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 141,070 | 215,731 | 65.4% |
| 36 | \$734,847,585 | Mt. Diablo Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 31,530 | 48,112 | 65.5% |
| | | | \$348,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 32,152 | 52,814 | 60.9% |
| 37 | \$693,368,612 | Oceanside Unified School District | \$195,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | San Diego | H | 55 | 10,952 | 15,366 | 71.3% |
| 38 | \$686,174,023 | Clovis Unified School District | \$298,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Fresno | M | 55 | 23,752 | 36,429 | 65.2% |
| | | | \$168,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | A | 55 | 24,836 | 39,778 | 62.4% |
| 39 | \$679,613,798 | Alvord Unified School District | \$196,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Riverside | H | 55 | 3,437 | 5,542 | 62.0% |
| | | | Reauthorize \$79,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | W | 55 | 12,911 | 20,602 | 62.7% |
| 40 | \$679,484,100 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$88,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | G | 55 | 23,927 | 35,083 | 68.2% |
| | | | \$265,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 22,995 | 35,047 | 65.6% |
| | | | \$165,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Mateo | L | 55 | 28,285 | 37,391 | 75.6% |
| | | | \$70,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 63,187 | 91,162 | 69.3% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 41 | \$642,787,515 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$419,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 18,958 | 27,320 | 69.4% |
| | | | \$81,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 21,839 | 33,890 | 64.4% |
| | | | \$315,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 27,343 | 37,953 | 72.0% |
| 42 | \$638,935,579 | El Camino Community College District | \$394,516,464 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 68,095 | 110,541 | 61.6% |
| | | | \$350,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 129,939 | 190,356 | 68.3% |
| 43 | \$631,314,231 | Napa Valley Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Napa | M | 55 | 17,183 | 28,953 | 59.3% |
| | | | \$183,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Napa | G | 55 | 20,831 | 34,315 | 60.7% |
| 44 | \$629,269,235 | Sweetwater Union High School District | \$644,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | O | 55 | 48,916 | 72,502 | 67.5% |
| 45 | \$610,206,907 | Corona-Norco Unified School District | \$396,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | GG | 55 | 20,876 | 36,610 | 57.0% |
| | | | \$250,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | U | 55 | 24,101 | 39,068 | 61.7% |
| 46 | \$609,746,527 | Peralta Community College District | \$390,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Alameda | A | 55 | 97,685 | 129,106 | 75.7% |
| 47 | \$586,462,596 | Escondido Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | T | 55 | 30,006 | 50,836 | 59.0% |
| 48 | \$585,151,849 | Hartnell Community College District | \$131,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey/San Benito | H | 55 | 29,768 | 45,263 | 65.8% |
| 49 | \$581,980,406 | Los Rios Community College District | \$265,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | El Dorado/Placer/Sacramento/Yolo/Solano | A | 55 | 132,952 | 215,359 | 61.7% |
| | | | \$475,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado/Placer/Sacramento/Solano/Yolo | M | 55 | 328,240 | 566,450 | 57.9% |
| 50 | \$565,108,064 | Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District | \$268,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | BB | 55 | 22,927 | 33,960 | 67.5% |
| | | | \$385,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | ES | 55 | 29,874 | 43,892 | 68.1% |
| 51 | \$561,977,772 | Sacramento City Unified School District | \$225,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | I | 55 | 49,115 | 73,041 | 67.2% |
| | | | \$68,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | R | 55 | 71,820 | 105,834 | 67.9% |
| | | | \$346,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | Q | 55 | 74,681 | 106,528 | 70.1% |
| 52 | \$557,569,963 | Ventura County Community College District | \$356,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Ventura | S | 55 | 77,854 | 118,715 | 65.6% |
| 53 | \$552,217,504 | Centinela Valley Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | CV | 55 | 16,057 | 24,754 | 64.9% |
| | | | \$98,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | CV | 66.7 | 27,255 | 38,508 | 70.8% |
| 54 | \$548,041,186 | Palm Springs Unified School District | \$516,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Riverside | E | 55 | 20,923 | 33,647 | 62.2% |
| | | | \$122,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Riverside | T | 55 | 32,476 | 44,662 | 72.7% |
| 55 | \$542,600,838 | Hayward Unified School District | \$205,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Alameda | I | 55 | 11,546 | 16,000 | 72.2% |
| | | | \$229,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Alameda | L | 55 | 17,976 | 23,239 | 77.4% |
| 56 | \$540,090,941 | Southwestern Community College District | \$389,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | R | 55 | 95,460 | 133,681 | 71.4% |
| 57 | \$531,607,001 | Arcadia Unified School District | \$218,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 10,104 | 15,093 | 66.9% |
| 58 | \$528,196,190 | Cerritos Community College District | \$210,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 29,336 | 50,836 | 57.7% |
| | | | \$350,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 83,524 | 118,758 | 70.3% |
| 59 | \$520,758,579 | Palo Alto Unified School District | \$378,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Clara | A | 55 | 14,074 | 18,140 | 77.6% |
| 60 | \$519,746,489 | Pasadena Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | TT | 55 | 64,090 | 85,998 | 74.5% |
| 61 | \$513,972,730 | Fremont Union High School District | \$198,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Clara | B | 55 | 22,100 | 32,949 | 67.1% |
| | | | \$295,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | K | 55 | 31,982 | 49,326 | 64.8% |
| 62 | \$513,226,364 | Garden Grove Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Orange | A | 55 | 21,149 | 33,168 | 63.8% |
| 63 | \$501,714,176 | West Valley-Mission Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | C | 55 | 42,498 | 71,020 | 59.8% |
| | | | \$235,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | H | 55 | 79,524 | 132,394 | 60.1% |
| 64 | \$491,203,694 | Fontana Unified School District | \$275,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Orange | C | 55 | 5,598 | 8,547 | 65.5% |
| 65 | \$485,440,600 | Rio Hondo Community College District | \$245,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 27,447 | 43,642 | 62.9% |
| 66 | \$481,068,235 | Beverly Hills Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 4,424 | 5,659 | 78.2% |
| | | | \$334,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 9,484 | 14,616 | 64.9% |
| 67 | \$476,899,876 | Fremont Unified School District | \$157,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | B | 55 | 15,101 | 23,780 | 63.5% |
| | | | \$650,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Alameda | E | 55 | 15,971 | 26,106 | 61.2% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 68 | \$476,750,696 | City College of San Francisco | \$195,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Francisco | A | 66.7 | 91,643 | 127,035 | 72.1% |
| | | | \$246,300,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Francisco | A | 55 | 134,999 | 211,319 | 63.9% |
| 69 | \$475,216,369 | Dublin Unified School District | \$99,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Alameda | E | 55 | 4,205 | 6,780 | 62.0% |
| | | | \$184,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Alameda | C | 55 | 9,062 | 12,380 | 73.2% |
| 70 | \$474,302,513 | Rancho Santiago Community College District | \$198,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | Q | 55 | 45,981 | 63,351 | 72.6% |
| | | | \$337,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | E | 55 | 51,789 | 80,771 | 64.1% |
| 71 | \$474,237,505 | Victor Valley Community College District | \$297,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino/Los Angeles | JJ | 55 | 63,581 | 103,301 | 61.5% |
| 72 | \$471,718,925 | San Ramon Valley Unified School District | \$260,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 23,655 | 40,330 | 58.7% |
| | | | \$260,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | D | 55 | 37,380 | 60,774 | 61.5% |
| 73 | \$469,493,080 | Colton Joint Unified School District | \$102,000,000 | 2001-09-25 | San Bernardino | B | 66.7 | 3,884 | 5,181 | 75.0% |
| | | | \$225,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino/Riverside | G | 55 | 19,641 | 26,735 | 73.5% |
| 74 | \$466,687,533 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Contra Costa | N | 55 | 5,483 | 8,007 | 68.5% |
| | | | \$85,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Contra Costa | J | 55 | 7,288 | 9,833 | 74.1% |
| | | | \$100,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Contra Costa | L | 55 | 7,391 | 10,787 | 68.5% |
| | | | \$40,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 11,311 | 14,499 | 78.0% |
| 75 | \$466,526,948 | Ohlone Community College District | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | A | 55 | 16,111 | 28,617 | 56.3% |
| | | | \$349,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | G | 55 | 37,042 | 58,814 | 63.0% |
| 76 | \$464,756,000 | Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District | \$207,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | R | 55 | 60,622 | 100,551 | 60.3% |
| | | | \$398,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | V | 55 | 95,802 | 164,554 | 58.2% |
| 77 | \$460,509,508 | Glendale Unified School District | \$270,000,000 | 2011-04-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 13,637 | 19,501 | 69.9% |
| 78 | \$458,785,266 | Acalanes Union High School District | \$44,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 30,163 | 44,797 | 67.3% |
| | | | \$93,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Contra Costa | E | 55 | 39,556 | 61,553 | 64.3% |
| 79 | \$457,536,854 | Riverside Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside | C | 55 | 61,923 | 102,205 | 60.6% |
| 80 | \$439,500,784 | San Bernardino City Unified School District | \$140,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Bernardino | T | 55 | 14,282 | 23,247 | 61.4% |
| | | | \$250,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Bernardino | N | 55 | 34,902 | 48,873 | 71.4% |
| 81 | \$436,676,161 | San Mateo-Foster City School District | \$175,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 48,116 | 73,047 | 65.9% |
| 82 | \$434,377,291 | Solano Community College District | \$348,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Solano/Yolo | Q | 55 | 89,634 | 141,189 | 63.5% |
| | | | \$124,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Solano/Yolo | G | 55 | 89,634 | 141,189 | 63.5% |
| 83 | \$428,856,070 | Desert Sands Unified School District | \$450,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Riverside | K | 66.7 | 12,110 | 15,006 | 80.7% |
| | | | \$225,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | KK | 55 | 27,027 | 38,889 | 69.5% |
| 84 | \$426,651,231 | Santa Barbara Elementary School District | \$75,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Barbara | R | 55 | 18,701 | 26,108 | 71.6% |
| | | Santa Barbara High School District | \$75,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Barbara | Q | 55 | 41,893 | 60,237 | 69.5% |
| 85 | \$426,359,902 | Coachella Valley Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2005-06-07 | Riverside/Imperial | D | 66.7 | 1,747 | 2,322 | 75.2% |
| | | | \$41,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside/Imperial | X | 55 | 7,191 | 11,032 | 65.2% |
| 86 | \$426,006,465 | New Haven Unified School District | \$120,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | Alameda | A | 66.7 | 3,817 | 5,581 | 68.4% |
| | | | \$125,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Alameda | M | 55 | 8,962 | 13,044 | 68.7% |
| 87 | \$413,747,880 | Rowland Unified School District | \$118,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles/Orange | R | 55 | 4,790 | 6,804 | 70.4% |
| | | | \$158,800,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles/Orange | R | 55 | 17,181 | 25,465 | 67.5% |
| 88 | \$410,528,679 | Paramount Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 6,845 | 9,233 | 74.1% |
| 89 | \$409,106,363 | Carlsbad Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | P | 55 | 16,250 | 23,522 | 69.1% |
| 90 | \$405,029,140 | Cupertino Union School District | \$80,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Santa Clara | C | 66.7 | 11,117 | 14,860 | 74.8% |
| | | | \$220,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 17,510 | 26,432 | 66.2% |
| 91 | \$401,238,363 | Redondo Beach Unified School District | \$145,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 13,516 | 20,505 | 65.9% |
| | | | \$63,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 18,786 | 29,232 | 64.3% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| 92 | \$401,112,281 | Santa Clarita Community College District | \$82,110,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 16,370 | 24,050 | 68.1% |
| | | | \$160,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 38,292 | 61,119 | 62.7% |
| 93 | \$387,075,741 | Gilroy Unified School District | \$69,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 5,865 | 9,349 | 62.7% |
| | | | \$150,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Santa Clara | P | 55 | 11,989 | 17,741 | 67.6% |
| 94 | \$378,982,038 | Victor Valley Union High School District | \$500,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | V | 55 | 24,037 | 35,400 | 67.9% |
| 95 | \$377,532,823 | San Leandro Unified School District | \$50,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | M | 55 | 10,638 | 16,864 | 63.1% |
| | | | \$109,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Alameda | B | 55 | 11,398 | 16,600 | 68.7% |
| 96 | \$376,258,036 | Upland Unified School District | \$103,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino | K | 55 | 11,785 | 19,554 | 60.3% |
| 97 | \$369,752,801 | Pomona Unified School District | \$68,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 7,280 | 11,602 | 62.7% |
| | | | \$235,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | PS | 55 | 30,058 | 40,221 | 74.7% |
| 98 | \$368,903,700 | Yuba Community College District | \$190,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Yuba/Sutter | J | 55 | 37,608 | 66,429 | 56.6% |
| 99 | \$365,249,350 | Huntington Beach Union High School District | \$238,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Orange | C | 55 | 42,469 | 73,151 | 58.1% |
| 100 | \$363,296,988 | College of Marin | \$249,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Marin | C | 55 | 77,102 | 122,301 | 63.0% |
| 101 | \$361,621,401 | Oak Grove School District | \$89,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | P | 55 | 13,056 | 19,918 | 65.5% |
| | | | \$125,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Santa Clara | S | 55 | 25,589 | 34,139 | 75.0% |
| 102 | \$359,622,882 | Natomas Unified School District | \$145,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento | D | 55 | 4,358 | 7,029 | 62.0% |
| | | | \$45,880,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | M | 55 | 5,669 | 7,832 | 72.4% |
| | | | \$129,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sacramento | J | 55 | 9,091 | 12,606 | 72.1% |
| 103 | \$352,029,370 | Wiseburn Unified School District | \$32,600,000 | 2007-06-12 | Los Angeles | A | 66.7 | 1,477 | 1,778 | 83.1% |
| | | | \$87,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 3,285 | 4,338 | 75.7% |
| 104 | \$351,972,145 | Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District | \$375,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 8,940 | 15,578 | 57.4% |
| | | | \$165,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 14,949 | 20,313 | 73.6% |
| 105 | \$348,039,949 | Berkeley Unified School District | \$210,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | I | 55 | 31,723 | 41,113 | 77.2% |
| 106 | \$345,445,867 | Azusa Unified School District | \$92,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 3,983 | 7,082 | 56.2% |
| | | | \$75,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 4,200 | 5,624 | 74.7% |
| 107 | \$345,344,733 | San Juan Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | J | 55 | 63,010 | 95,831 | 65.8% |
| | | | \$350,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 76,052 | 126,333 | 60.2% |
| 108 | \$344,571,351 | Allan Hancock Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Barbara/Ventura | I | 55 | 22,996 | 39,989 | 57.5% |
| 109 | \$341,061,295 | San Joaquin-Delta Community College District | \$250,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Joaquin/Sacramento | L | 55 | 62,465 | 112,744 | 55.4% |
| 110 | \$336,935,436 | Merced Union High School District | \$149,450,000 | 2008-11-04 | Merced | M | 55 | 25,266 | 40,377 | 62.6% |
| 111 | \$315,595,619 | Sunnyvale School District | \$96,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 6,995 | 10,220 | 68.4% |
| | | | \$120,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | P | 55 | 16,283 | 22,313 | 73.0% |
| 112 | \$315,201,893 | Las Virgenes Unified School District | \$128,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles/Ventura | G | 55 | 6,865 | 10,705 | 64.1% |
| 113 | \$308,326,405 | Menlo Park City School District | \$23,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | San Mateo | W | 55 | 4,222 | 5,605 | 75.3% |
| | | | \$91,100,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Mateo | U | 55 | 4,936 | 6,993 | 70.6% |
| 114 | \$305,620,800 | El Monte Union High School District | \$90,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | Y | 66.7 | 13,584 | 17,815 | 76.3% |
| | | | \$148,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | D | 55 | 24,851 | 33,510 | 74.2% |
| 115 | \$304,897,627 | Westminster School District | \$130,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | O | 55 | 15,947 | 25,321 | 63.0% |
| 116 | \$292,476,368 | Cabrillo Community College District | \$118,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Cruz/Monterey/San Benito | D | 55 | 44,542 | 72,295 | 61.6% |
| 117 | \$285,832,993 | Murietta Valley Unified School District | \$120,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | E | 55 | 6,327 | 11,026 | 57.4% |
| | | | \$40,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | K | 55 | 11,119 | 15,781 | 70.5% |
| | | | \$98,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | BB | 55 | 11,278 | 19,462 | 57.9% |
| 118 | \$283,268,176 | Mendocino-Lake Community College District | \$67,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Mendocino/Lake | W | 55 | 18,417 | 29,506 | 62.4% |
| 119 | \$282,079,314 | Kern Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern/San Bernardino | G | 55 | 79,076 | 133,870 | 59.1% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| 120 | \$276,762,860 | North Orange County Community College District | \$574,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange/Los Angeles | J | 55 | 84,780 | 154,118 | 55.0% |
| 121 | \$274,588,497 | Antelope Valley Community College | \$139,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles/Kern | R | 55 | 74,966 | 107,579 | 69.7% |
| 122 | \$271,550,903 | Jefferson Union High School District | \$133,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 17,090 | 24,018 | 71.2% |
| | | | \$136,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 22,025 | 31,358 | 70.2% |
| | | | Reauthorize \$41,900,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | E | 55 | 30,757 | 41,401 | 74.3% |
| 123 | \$268,611,647 | Chaffey Joint Union School District | \$848,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Bernardino | P | 55 | 70,026 | 110,096 | 63.6% |
| 124 | \$267,706,089 | Campbell Union School District | \$74,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | H | 55 | 8,772 | 13,156 | 66.7% |
| | | | \$150,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 12,753 | 17,237 | 74.0% |
| 125 | \$264,291,465 | Walnut Valley Unified School District | \$15,200,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 3,859 | 6,784 | 56.9% |
| | | | \$64,600,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 4,159 | 6,797 | 61.2% |
| 126 | \$255,319,850 | San Dieguito Union High School District | \$449,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | AA | 55 | 43,627 | 78,574 | 55.5% |
| 127 | \$253,502,040 | Alhambra Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 26,048 | 36,862 | 70.7% |
| 128 | \$253,445,891 | Simi Valley Unified School District | \$145,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Ventura | C | 55 | 16,118 | 26,079 | 61.8% |
| 129 | \$252,129,977 | Manhattan Beach Unified School District | \$67,480,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | BB | 55 | 12,191 | 19,801 | 61.6% |
| 130 | \$249,286,850 | Pajaro Valley Unified School District | \$58,250,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Cruz/Monterey | J or L | 55 | 14,352 | 21,530 | 66.7% |
| | | | \$150,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Cruz/Monterey | L | 55 | 23,645 | 34,304 | 68.9% |
| 131 | \$249,159,550 | Sonoma County Community College District | \$251,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino | E | 66.7 | 77,248 | 113,889 | 67.8% |
| | | | \$410,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino | H | 55 | 87,739 | 139,046 | 63.1% |
| 132 | \$248,810,654 | Whittier Union High School District | \$75,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | W | 55 | 51,521 | 71,490 | 72.1% |
| 133 | \$247,051,143 | Kern High School District | \$219,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Kern | N | 55 | 97,540 | 146,279 | 66.7% |
| 134 | \$247,049,592 | Poway Unified School District SFID 2002-1 | \$198,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | U | 55 | 21,958 | 38,252 | 57.4% |
| 135 | \$244,996,820 | Chaffey Community College | \$230,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Bernardino | L | 55 | 33,737 | 58,195 | 58.0% |
| 136 | \$239,000,672 | Montebello Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 25,476 | 34,968 | 72.9% |
| 137 | \$237,199,439 | Cajon Valley Union School District | \$156,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | D | 55 | 23,696 | 36,946 | 64.1% |
| | | | Reauthorize \$88,400,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | C | 55 | 29,048 | 49,882 | 58.2% |
| 138 | \$235,481,421 | Union School District | \$125,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 5,958 | 8,656 | 68.8% |
| 139 | \$231,561,564 | Lake Tahoe Unified School District | \$64,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 6,715 | 11,301 | 59.4% |
| 140 | \$231,449,078 | Riverside Unified School District | \$175,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Riverside | B | 66.7 | 16,466 | 24,104 | 68.3% |
| 141 | \$229,842,020 | Los Alamitos Unified School District | \$126,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | K | 55 | 13,740 | 21,015 | 65.4% |
| 142 | \$229,146,220 | Bonita Unified School District | \$56,360,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 8,841 | 14,243 | 62.1% |
| | | | \$83,560,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | AB | 55 | 17,218 | 28,308 | 60.8% |
| 143 | \$228,361,629 | Oxnard School District | \$64,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Ventura | M | 55 | 12,655 | 19,631 | 64.5% |
| | | | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | R | 55 | 19,968 | 30,078 | 66.4% |
| 144 | \$227,991,116 | Monterey Peninsula Community College District | \$145,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | I | 55 | 23,711 | 36,677 | 64.6% |
| 145 | \$226,270,582 | Campbell Union High School District | \$90,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 32,505 | 55,855 | 58.2% |
| 146 | \$225,507,517 | Rialto Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Bernardino | Y | 55 | 12,608 | 18,137 | 69.5% |
| 147 | \$224,977,020 | Westside Union School District | \$63,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | WS | 55 | 16,127 | 25,660 | 62.8% |
| | | | Reauthorize \$18,510,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | WR | 55 | 16,153 | 25,849 | 62.5% |
| 148 | \$222,521,372 | Oxnard Union High School District | \$135,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Ventura | H | 55 | 52,851 | 84,324 | 62.7% |
| 149 | \$221,921,615 | Napa Valley Community College District | \$133,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | Napa/Sonoma | N | 55 | 21,699 | 35,502 | 61.1% |
| 150 | \$221,444,499 | Anaheim City Elementary School District | \$111,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | BB | 55 | 11,610 | 18,366 | 63.2% |
| | | | \$169,300,000 | 2010-11-02 | Orange | G | 55 | 19,550 | 30,580 | 63.9% |
| 151 | \$217,991,505 | Chino Valley Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Bernardino | M | 55 | 10,191 | 16,478 | 61.8% |
| 152 | \$212,931,866 | Val Verde Unified School District | \$43,440,000 | 2008-06-03 | Riverside | J | 55 | 2,323 | 3,363 | 69.1% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| | | | \$178,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Riverside | L | 55 | 2,758 | 4,455 | 61.9% |
| 153 | \$208,152,688 | Hillsborough City School District | \$66,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Mateo | B | 55 | 2,838 | 4,271 | 66.4% |
| 154 | \$206,164,296 | Cypress School District | \$53,600,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | M | 55 | 12,493 | 18,045 | 69.2% |
| 155 | \$203,692,946 | Ceres Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | J | 55 | 3,214 | 4,310 | 74.6% |
| | | | \$60,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus | U | 55 | 9,625 | 13,258 | 72.6% |
| 156 | \$203,011,800 | College of the Sequoias | \$22,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | C | 55 | 8,279 | 13,948 | 59.4% |
| | | | \$60,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kings/Tulare | J | 55 | 16,395 | 22,818 | 71.9% |
| | | | \$28,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Tulare | I | 55 | 35,713 | 54,070 | 66.0% |
| 157 | \$199,921,338 | Burbank Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 2013-03-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 4,663 | 7,547 | 61.8% |
| 158 | \$198,521,688 | Torrance Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | U | 55 | 19,877 | 32,015 | 62.1% |
| | | | \$144,300,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | T | 55 | 21,424 | 32,113 | 66.7% |
| | | | \$90,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | Z | 55 | 41,832 | 58,516 | 71.5% |
| | | | \$265,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | Y | 55 | 44,075 | 59,267 | 74.4% |
| 159 | \$197,310,278 | San Lorenzo Unified School District | \$49,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Alameda | E | 55 | 15,797 | 21,217 | 74.5% |
| | | | \$83,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Alameda | O | 55 | 17,356 | 23,311 | 74.5% |
| 160 | \$196,222,983 | San Gabriel Unified School District | \$47,150,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 3,411 | 4,867 | 70.1% |
| | | | \$65,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 5,240 | 7,475 | 70.1% |
| 161 | \$194,331,532 | Rocklin Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Placer | B | 66.7 | 7,101 | 10,500 | 67.6% |
| 162 | \$194,308,052 | Central Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | K | 55 | 5,174 | 8,494 | 60.9% |
| | | | \$152,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno | E | 55 | 12,062 | 19,023 | 63.4% |
| 163 | \$193,454,220 | Hemet Unified School District | \$149,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | T | 55 | 17,757 | 30,493 | 58.2% |
| | | | <i>Reauthorize \$49,000,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | U | 55 | 25,661 | 37,287 | 68.8% |
| 164 | \$189,891,898 | Imperial Valley College | \$80,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Imperial | J | 55 | 16,325 | 25,220 | 64.7% |
| | | | \$58,600,000 | 2004-11-02 | Imperial | L | 55 | 20,176 | 31,716 | 63.6% |
| 165 | \$188,443,331 | Tamalpais Union High School District | \$121,000,000 | 2001-03-06 | Marin | A | 66.7 | 14,527 | 21,319 | 68.1% |
| | | | \$79,920,000 | 2006-06-06 | Marin | A | 55 | 23,420 | 35,020 | 66.9% |
| 166 | \$186,507,025 | Inglewood Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | GG | 55 | 31,907 | 37,059 | 86.1% |
| 167 | \$182,830,440 | Franklin-McKinley School District | \$18,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Santa Clara | A | 66.7 | 1,640 | 1,996 | 82.2% |
| | | | \$50,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 10,860 | 15,534 | 69.9% |
| | | | \$30,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Clara | Q | 55 | 11,366 | 15,632 | 72.7% |
| 168 | \$179,014,870 | Milpitas Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 5,412 | 8,449 | 64.1% |
| 169 | \$178,970,150 | Covina Valley Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Los Angeles | K | 66.7 | 4,746 | 6,551 | 72.4% |
| | | | \$66,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 5,432 | 7,872 | 69.0% |
| | | | \$129,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 17,640 | 24,131 | 73.1% |
| 170 | \$178,786,794 | Saddleback Valley Unified School District | \$180,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Orange | B | 55 | 31,024 | 52,448 | 59.2% |
| 171 | \$175,455,083 | Alum Rock Union Elementary School District | \$179,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 6,901 | 8,725 | 79.1% |
| | | | \$125,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | J | 55 | 18,442 | 23,188 | 79.5% |
| 172 | \$174,482,212 | Moorpark Unified School District | \$33,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Ventura | R | 55 | 4,153 | 5,996 | 69.3% |
| | | | \$39,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | S | 55 | 9,560 | 14,620 | 65.4% |
| 173 | \$173,764,517 | Santee Elementary School District | \$60,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Diego | R | 55 | 9,742 | 16,649 | 58.5% |
| 174 | \$171,989,560 | Beaumont Unified School District | \$125,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Riverside/San Bernardino | Z | 55 | 9,029 | 14,552 | 62.0% |
| 175 | \$171,736,938 | Vista Unified School District | \$140,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | O | 55 | 17,506 | 26,037 | 67.2% |
| 176 | \$171,031,543 | Monrovia Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 4,301 | 6,409 | 67.1% |
| 177 | \$169,856,485 | Newark Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | Alameda | G | 55 | 3,286 | 5,878 | 55.9% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| 178 | \$169,413,890 | El Monte City School District | \$78,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 4,614 | 6,877 | 67.1% |
| | | | \$50,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 11,855 | 15,576 | 76.1% |
| | | | \$75,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | KC | 55 | 12,515 | 17,253 | 72.5% |
| 179 | \$168,861,989 | Compton Community College District | \$100,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 20,209 | 25,779 | 78.4% |
| | | | \$100,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 23,005 | 30,141 | 76.3% |
| 180 | \$168,689,108 | Compton Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 14,150 | 17,036 | 83.1% |
| 181 | \$168,683,036 | Alameda Unified School District | \$179,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Alameda | I | 55 | 13,111 | 20,894 | 62.8% |
| | | | \$63,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Alameda | C | 55 | 13,809 | 19,205 | 71.9% |
| 182 | \$168,238,543 | Citrus Community College District | \$121,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 21,639 | 37,418 | 57.8% |
| 183 | \$168,131,451 | Baldwin Park Unified School District | \$46,600,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 6,321 | 7,952 | 79.5% |
| | | | \$75,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 6,727 | 9,335 | 72.1% |
| 184 | \$168,078,687 | Savanna Elementary School District | \$28,750,000 | 2012-06-05 | Orange | G | 55 | 1,715 | 2,888 | 59.4% |
| | | | \$24,935,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | N | 55 | 5,728 | 7,900 | 72.5% |
| 185 | \$165,034,070 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$18,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 4,257 | 6,556 | 64.9% |
| | | | \$32,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 5,029 | 8,400 | 59.9% |
| | | | \$40,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 6,806 | 10,851 | 62.7% |
| 186 | \$164,012,478 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 4 | \$68,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sacramento | P | 55 | 11,677 | 16,337 | 71.5% |
| | | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5 | \$125,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 8,199 | 11,845 | 69.2% |
| 187 | \$160,298,725 | State Center Community College District | \$161,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare/Madera | E | 55 | 90,285 | 159,849 | 56.5% |
| 188 | \$158,527,364 | Bakersfield City Elementary School District | \$100,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Kern | G | 55 | 17,657 | 27,661 | 63.8% |
| 189 | \$157,991,399 | Piedmont Unified School District | \$56,000,000 | 2006-03-07 | Alameda | E | 55 | 2,497 | 4,294 | 58.2% |
| 190 | \$156,932,935 | Santa Maria Joint Union High School District | \$79,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Barbara | C | 55 | 22,527 | 38,345 | 58.7% |
| 191 | \$155,512,675 | Evergreen School District | \$100,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | M | 55 | 14,002 | 20,740 | 67.5% |
| | | | \$150,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 15,494 | 21,278 | 72.8% |
| 192 | \$155,203,888 | Mountain View Whisman Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 7,341 | 10,863 | 67.6% |
| 193 | \$152,477,011 | Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 14,678 | 21,911 | 67.0% |
| | | | \$30,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | R | 55 | 15,496 | 21,894 | 70.8% |
| 194 | \$151,743,721 | San Carlos Unified School District | \$38,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | E | 55 | 6,240 | 9,913 | 62.9% |
| | | | \$72,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | H | 55 | 9,534 | 14,023 | 68.0% |
| 195 | \$151,141,871 | Perris Union High School District | \$46,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Riverside | Z | 55 | 18,871 | 32,594 | 57.9% |
| | | | \$153,420,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | T | 55 | 24,229 | 39,886 | 60.7% |
| 196 | \$149,389,899 | Redlands Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | R | 55 | 17,850 | 25,448 | 70.1% |
| | | | \$65,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Bernardino | J | 55 | 21,545 | 32,446 | 66.4% |
| 197 | \$148,973,295 | Pasadena City College | \$150,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | P | 55 | 39,129 | 56,024 | 69.8% |
| 198 | \$147,827,850 | Emery Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Alameda | J | 55 | 1,982 | 2,683 | 73.9% |
| 199 | \$145,814,481 | Morgan Hill Unified School District | \$198,250,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 15,243 | 23,187 | 65.7% |
| 200 | \$145,044,155 | Burlingame School District | \$48,300,000 | 2007-11-06 | San Mateo | A | 55 | 3,650 | 5,712 | 63.9% |
| | | | \$56,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | D | 55 | 8,609 | 12,754 | 67.5% |
| 201 | \$144,864,388 | Ukiah Unified School District | \$43,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Mendocino | U | 66.7 | 6,675 | 9,822 | 68.0% |
| 202 | \$141,300,905 | Anaheim Union High School District | \$132,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | Z | 55 | 25,592 | 41,126 | 62.2% |
| | | | \$249,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange | H | 55 | 30,238 | 51,177 | 59.1% |
| 203 | \$141,176,115 | El Dorado Union High School District | \$66,300,000 | 2008-06-03 | El Dorado | Q | 55 | 19,536 | 34,740 | 56.2% |
| 204 | \$138,184,718 | Los Gatos Union School District | \$91,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Santa Clara | B | 66.7 | 4,615 | 5,443 | 84.8% |
| | | | \$30,900,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 6,412 | 8,966 | 71.5% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| 205 | \$137,433,988 | Roseville Joint Union High School District | \$79,500,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento | D | 55 | 2,114 | 2,664 | 79.4% |
| | | | \$79,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Placer/Sacramento | J | 55 | 35,814 | 59,793 | 59.9% |
| 206 | \$136,933,975 | Alhambra Unified School District ESID | \$50,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | MM | 55 | 23,276 | 31,080 | 74.9% |
| 207 | \$136,452,673 | Golden Valley Unified School District | \$70,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Madera | S | 55 | 1,438 | 2,472 | 58.2% |
| 208 | \$135,544,814 | Windsor Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 6,208 | 9,891 | 62.8% |
| 209 | \$134,444,863 | Dry Creek Elementary School District | \$67,300,000 | 2008-02-05 | Placer/Sacramento | E | 55 | 8,282 | 14,634 | 56.6% |
| 210 | \$133,249,463 | Hawthorne Elementary School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Los Angeles | H | 55 | 2,481 | 4,009 | 61.9% |
| | | | \$24,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | H | 55 | 11,314 | 14,331 | 78.9% |
| 211 | \$131,223,713 | Lakeside Union School District | <i>Reauthorize \$31,000,000</i> | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | L | 55 | 5,640 | 9,630 | 58.6% |
| | | | \$79,550,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | I | 55 | 10,695 | 16,561 | 64.6% |
| 212 | \$130,917,495 | Temple City Unified School District | \$128,800,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | S | 55 | 6,454 | 10,029 | 64.4% |
| 213 | \$129,149,014 | Glendora Unified School District | \$41,310,000 | 2005-11-08 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 6,588 | 10,442 | 63.1% |
| 214 | \$127,645,000 | Modesto City Schools (Modesto High School District) | \$65,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | T | 55 | 20,783 | 30,639 | 67.8% |
| 215 | \$125,271,506 | Lincoln Unified School District | \$48,500,000 | 2012-06-05 | San Joaquin | M | 55 | 5,653 | 9,488 | 59.6% |
| | | | \$50,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Joaquin | P | 55 | 12,065 | 16,925 | 71.3% |
| 216 | \$124,835,444 | Sanger Unified School District | \$30,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Fresno | S | 55 | 3,298 | 5,097 | 64.7% |
| | | | \$50,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno | S | 55 | 9,986 | 14,190 | 70.4% |
| 217 | \$123,896,004 | Vacaville Unified School District | \$194,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Solano | A | 55 | 12,095 | 19,524 | 61.9% |
| | | | \$101,300,000 | 2001-11-06 | Solano | V | 66.7 | 8,606 | 12,737 | 67.6% |
| 218 | \$123,701,248 | El Segundo Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 2,369 | 3,149 | 75.2% |
| | | | \$14,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 5,602 | 8,326 | 67.3% |
| 219 | \$123,613,423 | Santa Rosa High School District | \$77,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 29,276 | 44,707 | 65.5% |
| | | | \$175,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | I | 55 | 34,086 | 53,235 | 64.0% |
| 220 | \$123,327,878 | Jefferson School District | \$35,400,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Joaquin | J | 55 | 2,354 | 3,500 | 67.3% |
| 221 | \$123,259,554 | Lodi Unified School District | \$114,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Joaquin | L | 55 | 9,225 | 15,176 | 60.8% |
| | | | \$109,300,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Joaquin | K | 55 | 15,881 | 27,827 | 57.1% |
| | | | \$74,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento/Placer | E | 55 | 10,435 | 18,312 | 57.0% |
| 222 | \$122,091,187 | Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$17,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sacramento | C | 55 | 2,523 | 3,641 | 69.3% |
| | | North Sacramento School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$15,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento | N | 55 | 9,946 | 15,195 | 65.5% |
| | | Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$38,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Sacramento | P | 55 | 11,752 | 18,915 | 62.1% |
| 223 | \$121,230,944 | Sylvan Union School District | \$40,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | A | 55 | 9,402 | 16,526 | 56.9% |
| 224 | \$120,861,024 | Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District | \$99,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | E | 55 | 8,331 | 13,020 | 64.0% |
| 225 | \$120,613,873 | Jefferson Elementary School District | \$52,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | H | 66.7 | 3,829 | 5,597 | 68.4% |
| | | | \$67,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Mateo | M | 55 | 16,261 | 21,138 | 76.9% |
| 226 | \$119,620,000 | Patterson Joint Unified School District | \$19,500,000 | 2001-05-22 | Stanislaus | G | 66.7 | 1,341 | 1,871 | 71.7% |
| | | | \$50,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus/Santa Clara | V | 55 | 3,982 | 6,015 | 66.2% |
| 227 | \$119,051,154 | Berryessa Union School District | \$77,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | L | 55 | 10,622 | 15,320 | 69.3% |
| 228 | \$118,951,681 | Mountain View-Los Altos Union School District | \$41,300,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Clara | A | 55 | 19,480 | 25,067 | 77.7% |
| 229 | \$118,842,831 | San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta) | \$275,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo/ Monterey | L | 55 | 51,740 | 82,706 | 62.6% |
| 230 | \$118,171,144 | Chula Vista Elementary School District SFID No. 1 | \$90,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | E | 55 | 36,265 | 52,699 | 68.8% |
| 231 | \$117,331,941 | Manteca Unified School District | \$66,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Joaquin | M | 55 | 7,867 | 14,011 | 56.1% |
| | | | \$159,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Joaquin | G | 55 | 11,535 | 19,535 | 59.0% |
| 232 | \$117,305,896 | Marysville Joint Unified School District | \$37,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Yuba/Butte | H | 55 | 5,050 | 8,809 | 57.3% |
| | | | \$47,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Yuba/Butte | P | 55 | 10,081 | 16,160 | 62.4% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| 233 | \$115,550,326 | McKinleyville Union School District | \$14,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Humboldt | C | 55 | 1,723 | 3,108 | 55.4% |
| 234 | \$114,303,836 | St. Helena Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Napa | B | 55 | 2,545 | 4,186 | 60.8% |
| | | | \$30,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Napa | C | 55 | 2,782 | 4,669 | 59.6% |
| 235 | \$114,214,046 | Millbrae School District | \$30,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 2,550 | 4,361 | 58.5% |
| | | | \$30,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Mateo | X | 55 | 6,646 | 9,566 | 69.5% |
| 236 | \$113,613,862 | Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$61,600,000 | 2008-06-03 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 4,948 | 7,921 | 62.5% |
| | | | \$56,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 12,264 | 19,515 | 62.8% |
| 237 | \$113,254,239 | Palmdale Elementary School District | \$25,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Los Angeles | W | 55 | 3,848 | 6,971 | 55.2% |
| | | | \$220,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | DD | 55 | 22,328 | 30,162 | 74.0% |
| 238 | \$112,523,746 | El Rancho Unified School District | \$49,500,000 | 2003-11-04 | Los Angeles | A | 55 | 1,788 | 2,601 | 68.7% |
| | | | \$52,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | EE | 55 | 9,549 | 12,903 | 74.0% |
| 239 | \$110,730,850 | Butte-Glenn Community College District | \$84,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Butte/Glenn | A | 55 | 36,012 | 54,724 | 65.8% |
| 240 | \$110,653,662 | Larkspur-Corte Madera School District | \$19,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Marin | D | 55 | 2,725 | 3,889 | 70.1% |
| | | | \$26,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | Marin | A | 55 | 3,243 | 4,574 | 70.9% |
| 241 | \$110,438,238 | Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District | \$103,600,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 19,029 | 33,254 | 57.2% |
| 242 | \$109,707,525 | Victor Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Bernardino | E | 55 | 3,155 | 4,964 | 63.6% |
| | | | \$150,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | E | 55 | 15,167 | 22,529 | 67.3% |
| 243 | \$109,599,624 | Novato Unified School District | \$107,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Marin | A | 55 | 8,419 | 13,668 | 61.6% |
| 244 | \$107,708,706 | Washington Unified School District | \$22,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Yolo | W | 55 | 2,757 | 3,787 | 72.8% |
| | | | \$52,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Yolo | Q | 55 | 5,213 | 7,578 | 68.8% |
| | | | \$49,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Yolo | V | 55 | 6,118 | 9,072 | 67.4% |
| 245 | \$107,648,944 | Morongo Unified School District | \$48,150,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Bernardino | O | 55 | 6,563 | 11,527 | 56.9% |
| 246 | \$105,738,163 | Mill Valley School District | \$59,800,000 | 2009-11-03 | Marin | C | 55 | 5,530 | 8,261 | 66.9% |
| 247 | \$105,544,550 | Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | B | 55 | 5,382 | 8,532 | 63.1% |
| 248 | \$105,494,869 | Orchard School District | \$40,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Santa Clara | E | 55 | 391 | 553 | 70.7% |
| 249 | \$104,688,140 | Whittier City Unified School District | \$55,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | Z | 55 | 14,205 | 19,629 | 72.4% |
| 250 | \$104,451,970 | Benicia Unified School District | \$49,600,000 | 2014-06-03 | Solano | S | 55 | 3,903 | 6,147 | 63.5% |
| 251 | \$104,283,183 | Castro Valley Unified School District | \$16,800,000 | 2002-03-05 | Alameda | C | 55 | 6,861 | 9,836 | 69.8% |
| | | | \$44,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Alameda | B | 55 | 10,602 | 16,674 | 63.6% |
| 252 | \$104,093,442 | Madera Unified School District | \$70,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Madera | G | 55 | 5,894 | 10,113 | 58.3% |
| | | | \$46,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Madera | B | 55 | 6,812 | 10,729 | 63.5% |
| | | | \$32,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Madera | U | 55 | 6,812 | 11,244 | 60.6% |
| 253 | \$103,959,338 | Atascadero Unified School District | <i>Reauthorize \$58,000,000</i> | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo | B | 55 | 7,102 | 11,517 | 61.7% |
| | | | \$117,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Luis Obispo | I | 55 | 9,165 | 14,029 | 65.3% |
| 254 | \$102,617,363 | Saratoga Union School District | \$19,900,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | L | 55 | 3,903 | 6,091 | 64.1% |
| 255 | \$102,547,544 | Fort Bragg Unified School District | \$22,200,000 | 2003-11-04 | Mendocino | D | 55 | 1,946 | 2,958 | 65.8% |
| | | | \$16,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Mendocino | C | 55 | 3,329 | 4,813 | 69.2% |
| 256 | \$102,305,752 | Moreland School District | \$35,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara | J | 66.7 | 5,597 | 7,722 | 72.5% |
| | | | \$55,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Santa Clara | K | 55 | 9,035 | 13,066 | 69.1% |
| 257 | \$102,173,755 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$17,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Ventura | C | 55 | 2,030 | 3,378 | 60.1% |
| | | | \$29,445,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | R | 55 | 3,966 | 6,957 | 57.0% |
| 258 | \$100,636,381 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 3 | \$750,000,000 | 2007-03-27 | Sacramento | M | 66.7 | 60 | 74 | 81.1% |
| 259 | \$99,544,387 | Cambrian School District | \$39,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Clara | I | 55 | 3,840 | 5,299 | 72.5% |
| | | | \$20,975,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Clara | G | 55 | 5,352 | 7,254 | 73.8% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| 260 | \$99,521,902 | Ontario-Montclair School District | \$59,655,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | T | 55 | 11,472 | 17,042 | 67.3% |
| 261 | \$98,885,055 | Lancaster Elementary School District | \$63,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | L | 55 | 21,804 | 31,042 | 70.2% |
| 262 | \$98,475,600 | Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Solano | C | 55 | 11,288 | 18,784 | 60.1% |
| 263 | \$97,992,075 | Glendale Community College District | \$98,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Los Angeles | G | 55 | 14,245 | 24,507 | 58.1% |
| 264 | \$97,622,274 | Menifee Union School District | \$14,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | J | 55 | 6,853 | 11,568 | 59.2% |
| | | | \$31,460,000 | 2008-02-05 | Riverside | B | 55 | 9,561 | 16,850 | 56.7% |
| 265 | \$94,442,330 | Chico Unified School District | \$78,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Butte | E | 55 | 27,112 | 41,734 | 65.0% |
| 266 | \$94,009,388 | Santa Barbara Community College District | \$77,242,012 | 2008-06-03 | Santa Barbara | V | 55 | 28,397 | 40,308 | 70.5% |
| 267 | \$93,843,193 | Tustin Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | G | 55 | 12,792 | 19,054 | 67.1% |
| | | | \$95,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Orange | L | 55 | 18,304 | 31,266 | 58.5% |
| | | | \$135,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | S | 55 | 25,220 | 41,949 | 60.1% |
| 268 | \$91,457,354 | Encinitas Union School District | \$44,200,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | P | 55 | 17,989 | 29,200 | 61.6% |
| 269 | \$89,440,827 | Lemon Grove School District | <i>Reauthorize \$10,000,000</i> | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | R | 55 | 4,323 | 6,016 | 71.9% |
| | | | \$28,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | W | 55 | 8,635 | 11,853 | 72.9% |
| 270 | \$89,342,209 | Garvey Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | Q | 55 | 6,602 | 9,026 | 73.1% |
| 271 | \$88,155,898 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$28,400,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | D | 55 | 1,874 | 3,284 | 57.1% |
| | | | \$12,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern | H | 55 | 1,914 | 3,049 | 62.8% |
| | | | \$24,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kern | H | 55 | 4,089 | 6,007 | 68.1% |
| 272 | \$87,615,619 | Martinez Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Contra Costa | K | 55 | 6,863 | 10,474 | 65.5% |
| 273 | \$87,439,867 | Alisal Union School District | \$90,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Monterey | A | 55 | 3,414 | 5,266 | 64.8% |
| 274 | \$86,961,792 | Rincon Valley Union School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | F | 55 | 6,816 | 10,209 | 66.8% |
| | | | \$23,900,000 | 2004-03-02 | Sonoma | D | 55 | 10,302 | 16,288 | 63.2% |
| 275 | \$86,813,248 | Fowler Unified School District | \$21,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | L | 55 | 1,757 | 2,224 | 79.0% |
| 276 | \$85,947,218 | Downey Unified School District | \$248,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | O | 55 | 10,093 | 16,314 | 61.9% |
| | | | \$65,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | D | 55 | 13,257 | 19,771 | 67.1% |
| 277 | \$85,844,841 | Bassett Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | V | 55 | 1,616 | 2,589 | 62.4% |
| | | | \$20,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 2,844 | 3,876 | 73.4% |
| | | | \$23,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 4,852 | 5,995 | 80.9% |
| 278 | \$84,074,751 | San Rafael High School District (San Rafael City Schools) | \$49,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Marin | A | 55 | 15,011 | 22,208 | 67.6% |
| | | San Rafael Elementary School District (San Rafael City Schools) | \$49,300,000 | 2002-11-05 | Marin | B | 55 | 9,365 | 13,665 | 68.5% |
| 279 | \$83,978,250 | Tulare Joint Union High School District | \$45,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tulare/Kings | Q | 66.7 | 6,308 | 9,112 | 69.2% |
| 280 | \$82,530,000 | Monterey Peninsula Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Monterey | P | 55 | 15,558 | 21,745 | 71.5% |
| 281 | \$81,933,830 | South Pasadena Unified School District | \$29,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 5,754 | 7,377 | 78.0% |
| 282 | \$80,824,788 | Hanford Joint Union High School District | \$33,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 12,549 | 18,737 | 67.0% |
| 283 | \$79,714,962 | Rosemead School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | RS | 55 | 1,635 | 2,283 | 71.6% |
| | | | \$30,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | O | 55 | 4,325 | 5,893 | 73.4% |
| 284 | \$79,228,914 | Delano Joint Union High School District | \$55,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern/Tulare | C | 66.7 | 3,321 | 4,552 | 73.0% |
| 285 | \$79,060,319 | Richland School District | \$23,000,000 | 2002-06-04 | Kern | F | 66.7 | 1,006 | 1,283 | 78.4% |
| 286 | \$78,660,142 | Banning Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Riverside | L | 55 | 2,620 | 3,865 | 67.8% |
| | | | \$63,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | R | 55 | 2,635 | 4,546 | 58.0% |
| | | | \$63,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | R | 55 | 2,635 | 4,546 | 58.0% |
| 287 | \$78,645,500 | Cabrillo Unified School District | \$81,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | San Mateo | S | 55 | 3,803 | 6,730 | 56.5% |
| 288 | \$76,953,201 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$62,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | El Dorado/Placer | E | 55 | 2,074 | 3,442 | 60.3% |
| 289 | \$75,590,361 | Belmont Redwood Shores School District | \$48,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 7,017 | 10,837 | 64.8% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| | | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District | \$25,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 9,509 | 14,431 | 65.9% |
| | | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID | \$35,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | N | 55 | 6,744 | 10,539 | 64.0% |
| | | Redwood Shores SFID | \$25,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 2,312 | 3,401 | 68.0% |
| 290 | \$74,797,914 | Temecula Valley Unified School District | \$165,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | Y | 55 | 27,808 | 43,879 | 63.4% |
| 291 | \$73,884,379 | Ross Valley Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Marin | A | 66.7 | 8,565 | 12,055 | 71.0% |
| 292 | \$73,237,463 | Gateway Unified School District | \$22,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Shasta | B | 55 | 3,623 | 5,864 | 61.8% |
| | | | \$19,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | G | 55 | 7,152 | 12,396 | 57.7% |
| 293 | \$72,905,206 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Monterey | D | 55 | 3,411 | 5,200 | 65.6% |
| | | | \$18,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | A | 55 | 4,020 | 6,724 | 59.8% |
| 294 | \$72,469,625 | Visalia Unified School District | \$60,100,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | E | 55 | 26,898 | 39,806 | 67.6% |
| 295 | \$71,546,202 | Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3 | \$82,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Joaquin/Alameda | B | 55 | 4,570 | 7,028 | 65.0% |
| | | | \$43,100,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Joaquin/Alameda | S | 55 | 14,856 | 21,036 | 70.6% |
| 296 | \$71,471,900 | Siskiyou Joint Community College District | \$31,400,000 | 2005-11-08 | Siskiyou/Shasta | A | 55 | 8,912 | 14,267 | 62.5% |
| 297 | \$71,423,429 | Petaluma Joint Union High School District | \$68,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | E | 55 | 4,652 | 6,813 | 68.3% |
| 298 | \$71,376,435 | Central School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Bernardino | N | 55 | 4,141 | 6,171 | 67.1% |
| | | | \$31,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | A | 55 | 9,072 | 12,753 | 71.1% |
| 299 | \$70,713,038 | Jurupa Unified School District | \$144,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | EE | 55 | 5,824 | 9,578 | 60.8% |
| 300 | \$70,282,313 | Fullerton Joint Union High School District | \$67,940,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange/Los Angeles | AA | 55 | 21,974 | 36,437 | 60.3% |
| | | | \$175,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Orange/Los Angeles | I | 55 | 25,415 | 43,353 | 58.6% |
| 301 | \$69,358,175 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | C | 55 | 4,448 | 5,799 | 76.7% |
| | | | \$93,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | K | 55 | 7,719 | 13,452 | 57.4% |
| 302 | \$68,968,432 | San Jacinto Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | V | 55 | 4,153 | 6,198 | 67.0% |
| 303 | \$68,898,915 | Sonoma Valley Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | H | 55 | 10,581 | 15,649 | 67.6% |
| 304 | \$68,551,513 | Bellflower Unified School District | \$79,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | BB | 55 | 16,469 | 22,624 | 72.8% |
| 305 | \$68,250,300 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$44,400,000 | 2004-11-02 | Nevada | G | 55 | 24,877 | 41,666 | 59.7% |
| 306 | \$68,034,116 | Tracy Unified School District | \$51,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Joaquin/Alameda | E | 55 | 7,230 | 10,644 | 67.9% |
| 307 | \$67,402,863 | Liberty Union High School District | \$40,000,000 | 2001-03-06 | Contra Costa | A | 66.7 | 5,100 | 7,060 | 72.2% |
| 308 | \$67,060,931 | Redwood City Elementary School District | \$22,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Mateo | B | 55 | 8,946 | 13,774 | 64.9% |
| 309 | \$66,940,500 | Moreno Valley Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Riverside | G | 55 | 140 | 178 | 78.7% |
| | | | \$398,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | M | 55 | 12,348 | 19,276 | 64.1% |
| 310 | \$66,360,725 | San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District | \$18,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Santa Cruz | O | 55 | 6,298 | 10,452 | 60.3% |
| 311 | \$66,151,623 | Robla School District | \$29,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sacramento | K | 55 | 1,694 | 2,331 | 72.7% |
| 312 | \$63,151,833 | Calexico Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Imperial | J | 55 | 2,680 | 3,562 | 75.2% |
| 313 | \$62,777,350 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$49,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento | B | 55 | 5,685 | 7,793 | 73.0% |
| 314 | \$62,601,356 | Dixon Unified School District | \$29,200,000 | 2002-11-05 | Solano | J | 55 | 3,313 | 4,896 | 67.7% |
| 315 | \$62,171,725 | South Bay Union School District | <i>Reauthorize \$26,000,000</i> | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | Y | 55 | 14,123 | 18,600 | 75.9% |
| | | | \$59,400,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Diego | X | 55 | 15,219 | 19,909 | 76.4% |
| 316 | \$61,512,101 | West Kern Community College District | \$39,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | A | 55 | 2,039 | 3,509 | 58.1% |
| 317 | \$60,761,004 | Merced Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$53,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced/Madera | H | 55 | 17,048 | 30,594 | 55.7% |
| | | Merced Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$11,930,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced/Madera | J | 55 | 4,644 | 6,863 | 67.7% |
| 318 | \$60,704,806 | Taft City School District | \$20,000,000 | 2001-06-05 | Kern | E | 66.7 | 1,488 | 1,844 | 80.7% |
| | | | \$23,600,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,132 | 2,027 | 55.8% |
| 319 | \$60,682,514 | Norris School District | \$149,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kern | B | 55 | 3,017 | 5,475 | 55.1% |
| 320 | \$60,603,790 | Saugus Union School District | \$48,000,000 | 2002-06-04 | Los Angeles | E | 66.7 | 4,462 | 6,043 | 73.8% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| | | | \$148,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | EE | 55 | 11,878 | 20,351 | 58.4% |
| 321 | \$60,589,376 | Enterprise Elementary School District | \$34,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Shasta | A | 55 | 4,340 | 7,574 | 57.3% |
| 322 | \$60,088,440 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$4,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Diego | K | 55 | 1,417 | 2,238 | 63.3% |
| | | | \$34,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | San Diego | E | 55 | 2,041 | 2,878 | 70.9% |
| 323 | \$59,998,281 | Merced City Elementary School District | \$26,500,000 | 2003-11-04 | Merced | S | 55 | 4,710 | 8,021 | 58.7% |
| | | | \$60,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | M | 55 | 5,277 | 9,217 | 57.3% |
| 324 | \$59,618,596 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$114,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Nevada/Placer | U | 55 | 3,018 | 5,433 | 55.5% |
| 325 | \$59,501,108 | Kerman Unified School District | \$24,900,000 | 2008-06-03 | Fresno | K | 55 | 931 | 1,447 | 64.3% |
| | | | \$11,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | K | 55 | 2,407 | 3,387 | 71.1% |
| 326 | \$59,431,331 | Hueneme Elementary School District | \$19,600,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | T | 55 | 8,408 | 11,011 | 76.4% |
| | | | \$17,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Ventura | I | 55 | 8,681 | 11,732 | 74.0% |
| 327 | \$59,093,712 | Brentwood Union Elementary School District | \$35,000,000 | 2003-03-04 | Contra Costa | B | 66.7 | 2,968 | 4,385 | 67.7% |
| 328 | \$58,925,675 | Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Madera | R | 55 | 2,213 | 3,520 | 62.9% |
| 329 | \$58,871,980 | La Mesa Spring Valley School District | \$44,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Diego | M | 55 | 16,326 | 23,478 | 69.5% |
| 330 | \$56,495,440 | Soledad Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Monterey | C | 66.7 | 2,332 | 3,131 | 74.5% |
| 331 | \$56,240,040 | Calaveras Unified School District | \$13,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Calaveras | A | 55 | 5,241 | 9,396 | 55.8% |
| 332 | \$55,727,788 | Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Luis Obispo/ Monterey | T | 55 | 8,753 | 14,498 | 60.4% |
| 333 | \$55,269,800 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$53,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sacramento | C | 55 | 8,657 | 12,623 | 68.6% |
| 334 | \$55,197,476 | Buena Park Elementary School District | \$71,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Orange | B | 55 | 2,229 | 3,333 | 66.9% |
| 335 | \$55,144,245 | Sutter Union High School District | \$12,600,000 | 2008-06-03 | Sutter | T | 55 | 1,194 | 1,810 | 66.0% |
| 336 | \$55,029,529 | Carmel Unified School District | \$21,500,000 | 2005-11-08 | Monterey | A | 55 | 7,744 | 10,512 | 73.7% |
| 337 | \$54,801,575 | Rim of the World Unified School District | \$23,340,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | W | 55 | 8,116 | 13,171 | 61.6% |
| 338 | \$53,195,241 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$11,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Monterey/San Benito | S | 55 | 1,243 | 1,988 | 62.5% |
| | | | \$9,700,000 | 2010-11-02 | Monterey/San Benito | Z | 55 | 1,822 | 3,116 | 58.5% |
| 339 | \$52,740,241 | McFarland Unified School District | \$8,300,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | B | 55 | 649 | 833 | 77.9% |
| | | | \$25,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | M | 55 | 1,238 | 1,664 | 74.4% |
| 340 | \$52,309,823 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$16,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Kern | C | 66.7 | 1,365 | 1,917 | 71.2% |
| | | | \$8,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,551 | 2,450 | 63.3% |
| | | | \$24,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Kern | A | 55 | 1,746 | 2,793 | 62.5% |
| 341 | \$52,102,538 | Orland Joint Unified School District | \$21,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Glenn | K | 55 | 2,093 | 3,710 | 56.4% |
| 342 | \$51,806,500 | Bellevue Union School District | \$12,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | D | 55 | 1,314 | 2,111 | 62.2% |
| | | | \$19,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 3,953 | 5,755 | 68.7% |
| 343 | \$51,751,767 | Fullerton School District | \$49,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | CC | 55 | 11,710 | 19,026 | 61.5% |
| 344 | \$51,455,235 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$35,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Placer/Nevada/ | H | 55 | 8,856 | 12,872 | 68.8% |
| 345 | \$49,556,118 | North Monterey County Unified School District | \$20,750,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | E | 55 | 4,188 | 6,123 | 68.4% |
| | | | \$23,800,000 | 2013-11-05 | Monterey | H | 55 | 2,453 | 4,019 | 61.0% |
| 346 | \$48,915,401 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$7,200,000 | 2002-03-05 | Tulare | C | 55 | 1,099 | 1,576 | 69.7% |
| | | | \$20,700,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | B | 55 | 1,285 | 1,825 | 70.4% |
| | | | \$16,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | L | 55 | 1,468 | 2,503 | 58.6% |
| 347 | \$48,520,213 | Eureka Unified School District | \$49,750,000 | 2014-11-04 | Humboldt | S | 55 | 8,083 | 14,084 | 57.4% |
| | | Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$10,585,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt | S | 55 | 7,598 | 12,225 | 62.2% |
| 348 | \$47,901,154 | Turlock Unified School District | \$7,725,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | Y | 55 | 8,942 | 16,143 | 55.4% |
| 349 | \$47,721,312 | Perris Elementary School District | \$40,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Riverside | C | 55 | 1,153 | 1,738 | 66.3% |
| | | | \$25,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Riverside | S | 55 | 2,823 | 4,111 | 68.7% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| 350 | \$47,446,233 | Dinuba Unified School District | \$37,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno/Tulare | T | 55 | 2,205 | 3,290 | 67.0% |
| | | | \$14,915,000 | 2002-11-05 | Fresno/Tulare | K | 55 | 2,266 | 3,228 | 70.2% |
| 351 | \$47,279,562 | Healdsburg Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | E | 55 | 2,790 | 4,546 | 61.4% |
| | | | \$3,990,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 3,922 | 6,023 | 65.1% |
| 352 | \$47,218,516 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3 | Reauthorize \$12,655,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | L | 55 | 5,946 | 10,109 | 58.8% |
| | | | \$31,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno/Kings | E | 55 | 7,512 | 11,763 | 63.9% |
| 353 | \$47,055,127 | Woodside Elementary School District | \$13,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | D | 55 | 713 | 1,108 | 64.4% |
| | | | \$12,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Mateo | D | 55 | 1,042 | 1,522 | 68.5% |
| 354 | \$46,940,456 | Apple Valley Unified School District | \$36,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Bernardino | S | 55 | 8,818 | 15,051 | 58.6% |
| 355 | \$46,856,812 | College of the Redwoods | \$40,320,000 | 2004-11-02 | Del Norte/Humboldt/Mendocino | B/Q | 55 | 52,249 | 81,284 | 64.3% |
| 356 | \$46,776,885 | Albany Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2008-02-05 | Alameda | E | 55 | 4,667 | 6,467 | 72.2% |
| | | | \$13,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Alameda | A | 55 | 5,879 | 7,304 | 80.5% |
| 357 | \$46,437,025 | Sierra Sands Unified School District | \$50,500,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern/San Bernardino | A | 55 | 4,710 | 7,710 | 61.1% |
| 358 | \$45,814,338 | La Canada Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Los Angeles | B | 55 | 4,408 | 6,939 | 63.5% |
| 359 | \$45,609,187 | Reed Union School District | \$38,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Marin | C | 66.7 | 2,886 | 3,864 | 74.7% |
| | | | \$13,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Marin | B | 55 | 3,907 | 5,566 | 70.2% |
| 360 | \$45,388,443 | Fallbrook Union Elementary School District | \$32,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Diego | X | 55 | 6,199 | 10,731 | 57.8% |
| 361 | \$45,377,535 | Santa Rita Union Elementary School District | \$14,600,000 | 2006-11-07 | Monterey | C | 55 | 2,161 | 3,737 | 57.8% |
| 362 | \$45,011,145 | Ripon Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Joaquin | J | 55 | 2,060 | 3,535 | 58.3% |
| | | | \$25,236,190 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | G | 55 | 4,264 | 7,395 | 57.7% |
| 363 | \$44,996,950 | Conejo Valley Unified School District | \$197,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | I | 55 | 27,468 | 41,652 | 65.9% |
| 364 | \$44,957,074 | Denair Unified School District | \$8,200,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | P | 66.7 | 959 | 1,181 | 81.2% |
| | | | \$13,000,000 | 2007-11-06 | Stanislaus | K | 55 | 812 | 1,290 | 62.9% |
| 365 | \$44,887,431 | Calipatria Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Imperial | T | 55 | 422 | 705 | 59.9% |
| 366 | \$43,282,519 | Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District | \$16,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito | E | 55 | 1,813 | 2,844 | 63.7% |
| 367 | \$42,771,345 | Lakeside Union Elementary School District | \$22,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kern | I | 55 | 2,179 | 2,835 | 76.9% |
| 368 | \$42,466,491 | Scotts Valley Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Santa Cruz | A | 55 | 3,978 | 5,512 | 72.2% |
| 369 | \$41,967,824 | Castaic Union School District | \$51,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | QS | 55 | 5,682 | 8,793 | 64.6% |
| 370 | \$41,925,257 | Magnolia School District | \$16,300,000 | 2010-11-02 | Orange | I | 55 | 6,366 | 9,693 | 65.7% |
| 371 | \$41,826,550 | Salinas Union High School District | \$52,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Monterey | F | 55 | 12,746 | 21,111 | 60.4% |
| | | | \$37,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | M | 55 | 14,651 | 21,589 | 67.9% |
| | | | \$128,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | B | 55 | 14,730 | 24,428 | 60.3% |
| 372 | \$41,592,091 | Fairfax Elementary School District | \$24,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,265 | 1,708 | 74.1% |
| 373 | \$41,498,667 | Culver City Unified School District | \$106,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Los Angeles | CC | 55 | 4,706 | 6,169 | 76.3% |
| 374 | \$41,420,029 | South San Francisco Unified School District | \$162,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 13,758 | 17,743 | 77.5% |
| 375 | \$41,330,576 | Oakley Union Elementary School District | \$16,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Contra Costa | H | 55 | 5,988 | 8,670 | 69.1% |
| 376 | \$40,559,623 | Selma Unified School District | \$23,800,000 | 2006-11-07 | Fresno | A | 55 | 3,091 | 4,410 | 70.1% |
| 377 | \$40,235,788 | Lompoc Unified School District | \$38,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Santa Barbara | N | 66.7 | 9,049 | 12,993 | 69.6% |
| 378 | \$39,469,491 | Los Nietos School District | \$31,140,000 | 2008-06-03 | Los Angeles | M | 55 | 738 | 1,029 | 71.7% |
| | | | \$13,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | N | 55 | 1,285 | 1,668 | 77.0% |
| | | | \$15,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 1,300 | 1,686 | 77.1% |
| 379 | \$39,162,300 | Shasta Union High School District | \$31,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Shasta | B | 55 | 8,590 | 14,949 | 57.5% |
| 380 | \$38,326,622 | John Swett Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Contra Costa | B | 55 | 1,778 | 2,673 | 66.5% |
| | | | \$20,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Contra Costa | A | 55 | 3,950 | 5,350 | 73.8% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| 381 | \$38,068,824 | Buckeye Union School District | \$28,300,000 | 2006-11-07 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 7,910 | 12,670 | 62.4% |
| 382 | \$37,968,495 | Mount Pleasant School District | \$25,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Clara | L | 55 | 4,482 | 5,938 | 75.5% |
| 383 | \$37,565,462 | Stanislaus Union School District | \$39,800,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus | T | 55 | 7,213 | 10,214 | 70.6% |
| 384 | \$37,475,529 | Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District | \$34,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt/Lassen/Modoc/Shasta/Tehama/Trinity | A | 55 | 33,076 | 55,884 | 59.2% |
| 385 | \$37,249,566 | Galt Joint Union High School District | \$9,240,000 | 2001-10-23 | Sacramento/San Joaquin | W | 66.7 | 2,136 | 3,027 | 70.6% |
| | | | \$29,200,000 | 2005-11-08 | Sacramento/San Joaquin | B | 66.7 | 6,569 | 9,251 | 71.0% |
| 386 | \$36,933,823 | Standard School District | \$28,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | D | 55 | 2,166 | 3,342 | 64.8% |
| | | | Reauthorize \$11,200,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | Q | 55 | 4,179 | 5,923 | 70.6% |
| 387 | \$36,607,995 | Walnut Creek School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 11,876 | 18,290 | 64.9% |
| 388 | \$36,580,000 | Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Stanislaus | R | 55 | 1,998 | 3,114 | 64.2% |
| 389 | \$36,549,483 | Calistoga Joint Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma/Napa | A | 55 | 1,572 | 2,411 | 65.2% |
| 390 | \$36,395,821 | Cloverdale Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 2,096 | 3,769 | 55.6% |
| 391 | \$36,357,425 | Salinas City Elementary School District | \$80,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Monterey | T | 55 | 5,513 | 8,627 | 63.9% |
| 392 | \$35,236,360 | Huntington Beach City Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Orange | DD | 55 | 11,913 | 19,584 | 60.8% |
| 393 | \$35,173,563 | Roseville City School District | \$29,117,071 | 2002-11-05 | Placer | H | 55 | 11,174 | 18,219 | 61.3% |
| 394 | \$34,417,506 | Old Adobe Union School District | \$26,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | G | 55 | 3,391 | 5,969 | 56.8% |
| 395 | \$34,033,591 | Oroville Union High School District | \$10,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Butte | J | 55 | 6,809 | 11,379 | 59.8% |
| | | | \$12,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Butte | G | 55 | 10,978 | 18,552 | 59.2% |
| 396 | \$34,007,022 | Fruitvale School District | \$29,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | C | 55 | 2,257 | 4,009 | 56.3% |
| 397 | \$33,996,696 | Bonsall Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | San Diego | C | 55 | 2,767 | 4,218 | 65.6% |
| 398 | \$33,757,831 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | \$25,200,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt/Mendocino | L | 55 | 1,528 | 2,379 | 64.2% |
| | | | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Humboldt/Mendocino | X | 55 | 1,632 | 2,510 | 65.0% |
| 399 | \$33,642,388 | Mendota Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno | M | 55 | 628 | 785 | 80.0% |
| | | | \$19,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno | M | 55 | 856 | 1,158 | 73.9% |
| 400 | \$33,606,175 | Mark West Union School District | \$14,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 2,372 | 3,994 | 59.4% |
| | | | \$11,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | I | 55 | 2,957 | 4,348 | 68.0% |
| 401 | \$33,530,956 | Arvin Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | C | 55 | 854 | 1,105 | 77.3% |
| | | | \$15,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Kern | E | 55 | 1,114 | 1,402 | 79.5% |
| 402 | \$32,971,096 | Escalon Unified School District | \$7,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | T | 55 | 2,402 | 3,531 | 68.0% |
| | | | \$19,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Joaquin | B | 55 | 3,279 | 5,115 | 64.1% |
| 403 | \$32,609,613 | Piner-Olivet Union School District | \$20,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 3,006 | 5,429 | 55.4% |
| 404 | \$32,476,035 | Willits Unified School District | \$43,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Mendocino | B | 55 | 2,211 | 3,606 | 61.3% |
| 405 | \$32,374,479 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 1,588 | 2,844 | 55.8% |
| | | | \$9,900,000 | 2006-06-06 | Fresno/Kings/Tulare | K | 55 | 1,688 | 2,897 | 58.3% |
| 406 | \$32,182,726 | Yuba City Unified School District | \$25,670,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sutter | K | 55 | 9,383 | 15,729 | 59.7% |
| 407 | \$32,155,996 | Santa Paula Union High School District | \$39,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Ventura | Q | 55 | 5,871 | 8,340 | 70.4% |
| 408 | \$32,138,350 | Wasco Union High School District | \$6,600,000 | 2001-06-05 | Kern | F | 66.7 | 1,229 | 1,642 | 74.8% |
| | | | \$33,500,000 | 2008-06-03 | Kern | C | 55 | 1,070 | 1,640 | 65.2% |
| 409 | \$31,995,000 | Modesto City Schools (Modesto) | \$17,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | Stanislaus | S | 55 | 9,167 | 13,110 | 69.9% |
| 410 | \$31,891,738 | Fillmore Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Ventura | E | 55 | 3,650 | 5,370 | 68.0% |
| 411 | \$31,887,627 | Coast Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$12,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | San Luis Obispo | B | 55 | 2,260 | 3,159 | 71.5% |
| 412 | \$31,337,650 | Los Altos School District | \$150,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Clara | N | 55 | 8,671 | 15,106 | 57.4% |
| 413 | \$31,264,371 | Sausalito Marin City School District | \$15,900,000 | 2004-11-02 | Marin | I | 55 | 4,346 | 5,911 | 73.5% |
| 414 | \$31,121,459 | Wright Elementary School District | \$14,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,913 | 3,078 | 62.2% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| 415 | \$31,006,600 | Panama-Buena Vista Union School District | \$147,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Kern | P | 55 | 23,690 | 36,447 | 65.0% |
| 416 | \$30,723,628 | Weaver Union School District | \$9,800,000 | 2006-06-06 | Merced | B | 55 | 533 | 850 | 62.7% |
| | | | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Merced | G | 55 | 1,422 | 2,534 | 56.1% |
| 417 | \$30,034,362 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District | \$30,450,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado/Placer | J | 55 | 2,076 | 3,603 | 57.6% |
| 418 | \$29,001,414 | River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$9,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Yolo/Sacramento/Solano | V | 55 | 1,165 | 1,529 | 76.2% |
| 419 | \$28,983,448 | Siskiyou Union High School District | \$12,500,000 | 2008-11-04 | Siskiyou | Q | 55 | 4,418 | 7,659 | 57.7% |
| 420 | \$28,262,085 | West Sonoma County Union High School District | \$23,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | I | 55 | 15,375 | 20,443 | 75.2% |
| 421 | \$28,249,499 | Rio Bravo-Greeley Union School District | \$10,900,000 | 2008-02-05 | Kern | B | 55 | 957 | 1,372 | 69.8% |
| 422 | \$28,085,352 | Petaluma City School District | \$21,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 9,760 | 15,033 | 64.9% |
| 423 | \$27,937,231 | Ocean View School District | \$13,200,000 | 2006-11-07 | Ventura | J | 55 | 1,571 | 2,180 | 72.1% |
| | | | Reauthorize \$4,200,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | P | 55 | 2,530 | 3,230 | 78.3% |
| 424 | \$27,498,888 | Bennett Valley Union School District | \$10,600,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 2,976 | 4,732 | 62.9% |
| 425 | \$27,380,115 | Hughson Unified School District | \$14,300,000 | 2004-11-02 | Stanislaus | G | 55 | 2,788 | 4,146 | 67.2% |
| 426 | \$27,047,904 | Susanville Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Lassen | S | 55 | 3,025 | 4,552 | 66.5% |
| 427 | \$26,785,689 | Copper Mountain Community College District | \$19,720,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Bernardino | T | 55 | 12,455 | 17,379 | 71.7% |
| 428 | \$26,274,556 | Mendocino Unified School District | \$15,500,000 | 2006-11-07 | Mendocino | AA | 55 | 2,327 | 3,281 | 70.9% |
| 429 | \$26,258,138 | Charter Oak Unified School District | \$47,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Los Angeles | CO | 55 | 3,035 | 4,839 | 62.7% |
| 430 | \$26,234,545 | Lennox Elementary School District | \$10,700,000 | 2007-11-06 | Los Angeles | L | 55 | 682 | 881 | 77.4% |
| 431 | \$25,303,438 | Northern Humboldt Union High School District | \$25,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Humboldt | Q | 55 | 10,386 | 17,465 | 59.5% |
| 432 | \$25,118,319 | Santa Rosa Elementary School District | \$19,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Sonoma | C | 55 | 9,204 | 13,074 | 70.4% |
| | | | \$54,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 11,000 | 15,919 | 69.1% |
| 433 | \$24,913,992 | Templeton Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Luis Obispo | H | 55 | 3,017 | 5,213 | 57.9% |
| 434 | \$24,654,722 | Middletown Unified School District | \$15,300,000 | 2006-11-07 | Lake | X | 55 | 2,020 | 3,021 | 66.9% |
| 435 | \$24,651,613 | Centralia School District | \$17,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Orange | H | 55 | 5,760 | 8,589 | 67.1% |
| 436 | \$24,085,823 | Del Norte County School District | \$24,987,000 | 2008-11-04 | Del Norte | A | 55 | 5,338 | 9,265 | 57.6% |
| 437 | \$24,062,865 | Caruthers Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Fresno | C | 55 | 1,264 | 1,888 | 66.9% |
| 438 | \$23,627,819 | Beardsley School District | \$10,300,000 | 2006-06-06 | Kern | B | 55 | 1,444 | 2,383 | 60.6% |
| 439 | \$23,309,171 | Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tulare/Kings/Fresno | R | 55 | 2,221 | 3,175 | 70.0% |
| 440 | \$23,034,031 | Reef-Sunset Unified School District | \$10,830,000 | 2012-06-05 | Kings | M | 55 | 376 | 451 | 83.4% |
| 441 | \$22,997,866 | Brisbane Elementary School District | \$11,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 706 | 1,083 | 65.2% |
| 442 | \$22,781,800 | Newhall School District | \$60,000,000 | 2011-11-08 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 3,706 | 5,556 | 66.7% |
| 443 | \$22,755,350 | Bear Valley Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Bernardino | Q | 55 | 3,423 | 5,351 | 64.0% |
| 444 | \$22,720,617 | La Habra City School District | \$31,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Orange | O | 55 | 8,874 | 14,489 | 61.2% |
| 445 | \$22,704,644 | Portola Valley School District | \$6,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Mateo | J | 55 | 1,823 | 2,483 | 73.4% |
| 446 | \$22,563,738 | Mountain View School District SFID No. 1 | \$17,000,000 | 2001-05-08 | San Bernardino | V | 66.7 | 284 | 372 | 76.3% |
| 447 | \$21,944,768 | Fortuna Elementary School District | \$3,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | Humboldt | X | 55 | 1,720 | 2,329 | 73.9% |
| 448 | \$21,905,900 | Byron Union School District | \$19,700,000 | 2006-06-06 | Contra Costa | C | 55 | 1,617 | 2,767 | 58.4% |
| 449 | \$21,878,079 | Live Oak School District | \$14,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Cruz | E | 55 | 4,446 | 6,100 | 72.9% |
| 450 | \$21,800,623 | Oakdale Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | C | 55 | 28 | 44 | 63.6% |
| 451 | \$21,327,913 | Washington Union School District | \$13,000,000 | 2001-03-20 | Monterey | A | 66.7 | 1,253 | 1,704 | 73.5% |
| 452 | \$21,249,655 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$11,800,000 | 2008-06-03 | Fresno/Madera | Q | 55 | 782 | 1,171 | 66.8% |
| | | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$11,600,000 | 2008-11-04 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito | C | 55 | 2,656 | 4,040 | 65.7% |
| 453 | \$21,102,065 | Imperial Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Imperial | I | 55 | 1,265 | 1,823 | 69.4% |
| 454 | \$20,847,276 | Konocti Unified School District | \$18,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Lake | G | 55 | 5,173 | 7,219 | 71.7% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| 455 | \$20,722,992 | Needles Unified School District | \$10,900,000 | 2008-06-03 | San Bernardino | N | 55 | 623 | 1,049 | 59.4% |
| 456 | \$20,596,854 | Kernville Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Kern | D | 55 | 1,778 | 3,060 | 58.1% |
| | | | \$5,600,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | E | 55 | 2,559 | 3,770 | 67.9% |
| 457 | \$20,475,550 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Los Angeles | CF | 55 | 3,486 | 6,252 | 55.8% |
| 458 | \$20,395,744 | College School District | \$9,370,000 | 2004-11-02 | Santa Barbara | Z | 55 | 2,028 | 3,271 | 62.0% |
| 459 | \$20,024,620 | Healdsburg Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$18,820,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sonoma | H | 55 | 3,519 | 5,214 | 67.5% |
| 460 | \$19,903,225 | Porterville Unified School District FID | \$23,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tulare | A | 55 | 3,681 | 5,368 | 68.6% |
| 461 | \$19,239,800 | Hermosa Beach City School District | \$13,600,000 | 2002-11-05 | Los Angeles | J | 55 | 3,874 | 5,944 | 65.2% |
| 462 | \$19,223,227 | Kentfield School District | \$11,900,000 | 2004-03-02 | Marin | E | 55 | 3,259 | 4,630 | 70.4% |
| | | | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Marin | D | 55 | 3,314 | 4,673 | 70.9% |
| 463 | \$18,718,110 | Spreckels Union School District | \$5,335,000 | 2002-11-05 | Monterey | D | 55 | 1,594 | 2,322 | 68.6% |
| | | | \$7,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Monterey | B | 55 | 2,091 | 3,346 | 62.5% |
| 464 | \$18,654,055 | Greenfield Union School District | \$8,300,000 | 2010-06-08 | Monterey | L | 55 | 761 | 1,276 | 59.6% |
| | | | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | D | 55 | 987 | 1,623 | 60.8% |
| | | | \$10,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Monterey | C | 55 | 1,014 | 1,626 | 62.4% |
| 465 | \$18,601,934 | Shoreline Unified School District | \$9,290,000 | 2009-11-03 | Marin/Sonoma | D | 55 | 1,033 | 1,624 | 63.6% |
| 466 | \$18,494,313 | Lucia Mar Unified School District | \$21,350,000 | 2004-03-02 | San Luis Obispo | A | 55 | 13,848 | 21,869 | 63.3% |
| 467 | \$18,271,034 | Planada Elementary School District | \$1,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | O | 55 | 220 | 353 | 62.3% |
| | | | \$2,900,000 | 2008-11-04 | Merced | O | 55 | 625 | 904 | 69.1% |
| 468 | \$18,024,797 | Nevada Joint Union High School District | \$15,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Nevada | A | 55 | 16,397 | 26,704 | 61.4% |
| 469 | \$17,895,206 | Forestville Union School District | \$5,100,000 | 2001-11-06 | Sonoma | E | 66.7 | 1,041 | 1,464 | 71.1% |
| | | | \$5,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | K | 55 | 2,104 | 3,183 | 66.1% |
| 470 | \$17,688,768 | Buellton Union School District | \$3,200,000 | 2012-06-05 | Santa Barbara | V | 55 | 278 | 479 | 58.0% |
| | | | \$6,500,000 | 2004-03-02 | Santa Barbara | U | 55 | 1,176 | 1,905 | 61.7% |
| 471 | \$17,559,250 | Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District | \$72,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Los Angeles | CK | 55 | 4,394 | 7,465 | 58.9% |
| 472 | \$17,394,000 | Plumas Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Plumas | A | 66.7 | 5,206 | 7,735 | 67.3% |
| 473 | \$17,246,650 | Brawley Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2012-11-06 | Imperial | S | 55 | 4,081 | 6,096 | 66.9% |
| 474 | \$17,076,024 | Sebastopol Union School District | \$3,200,000 | 2001-06-05 | Sonoma | C | 66.7 | 2,642 | 3,398 | 77.8% |
| | | | \$9,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | H | 55 | 2,869 | 4,094 | 70.1% |
| 475 | \$16,876,900 | Duarte Unified School District | \$62,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Los Angeles | E | 55 | 4,198 | 7,008 | 59.9% |
| 476 | \$16,535,819 | Linden Unified School District | \$11,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | S | 55 | 2,099 | 3,764 | 55.8% |
| 477 | \$16,358,925 | Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District | \$9,300,000 | 2005-11-08 | Tuolumne | M | 55 | 1,563 | 2,285 | 68.4% |
| 478 | \$15,957,188 | Bret Harte Union High School District | \$18,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Calaveras | K | 55 | 6,167 | 10,295 | 59.9% |
| 479 | \$15,844,260 | Cayucos Elementary School District | \$2,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Luis Obispo | Z | 55 | 1,003 | 1,551 | 64.7% |
| | | | \$4,950,000 | 2004-11-02 | San Luis Obispo | P | 55 | 1,316 | 1,893 | 69.5% |
| 480 | \$15,829,245 | Chatom Union Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus | U | 55 | 617 | 1,007 | 61.3% |
| 481 | \$15,648,487 | Hart-Ransom Union School District | \$3,900,000 | 2007-11-06 | Stanislaus | G | 55 | 388 | 656 | 59.1% |
| 482 | \$15,636,113 | Exeter Union Elementary School District | \$6,400,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | D | 55 | 2,066 | 3,031 | 68.2% |
| 483 | \$15,152,514 | Live Oak Unified School District | \$8,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Sutter | G | 55 | 961 | 1,515 | 63.4% |
| 484 | \$15,054,650 | Mark Twain Union Elementary School District | \$9,600,000 | 2004-03-02 | Calaveras | K | 55 | 1,786 | 2,885 | 61.9% |
| 485 | \$14,840,821 | Arcata Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Humboldt | F | 55 | 4,667 | 6,195 | 75.3% |
| 486 | \$14,809,324 | Soquel Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Cruz | A | 55 | 5,118 | 7,145 | 71.6% |
| 487 | \$14,687,950 | Carpinteria Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara | U | 55 | 3,901 | 5,881 | 66.3% |
| 488 | \$14,348,660 | Holtville Unified School District | \$8,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Imperial | E | 55 | 1,282 | 1,666 | 77.0% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|-------|--------|---------|
| 489 | \$14,176,406 | River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$14,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sacramento/Solano/Yolo | U | 55 | 3,017 | 4,347 | 69.4% |
| 490 | \$13,920,663 | Ojai Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | J | 55 | 5,223 | 7,655 | 68.2% |
| 491 | \$13,753,319 | Sonora Union High School District | \$23,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tuolumne | J | 55 | 9,171 | 16,234 | 56.5% |
| 492 | \$13,664,150 | Las Lomitas School District | \$60,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | San Mateo | S | 55 | 2,305 | 3,117 | 73.9% |
| 493 | \$13,604,205 | Ross School District | \$6,750,000 | 2008-06-03 | Marin | A | 55 | 894 | 1,183 | 75.6% |
| | | | \$15,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Marin | B | 66.7 | 976 | 1,237 | 78.9% |
| 494 | \$13,426,468 | Nuview Union School District | \$39,600,000 | 2006-06-06 | Riverside | D | 55 | 622 | 1,103 | 56.4% |
| | | | Reauthorize \$4,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Riverside | V | 55 | 1,632 | 2,526 | 64.6% |
| 495 | \$13,282,548 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$14,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Kern | G | 55 | 804 | 1,145 | 70.2% |
| 496 | \$13,164,366 | Parlier Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Fresno | P | 55 | 554 | 840 | 66.0% |
| | | | \$4,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | P | 55 | 1,152 | 1,603 | 71.9% |
| 497 | \$13,138,803 | Cascade Union Elementary School District | \$12,400,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | C | 55 | 3,211 | 4,800 | 66.9% |
| 498 | \$13,018,100 | Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$9,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | Stanislaus/Merced | Z | 55 | 8,570 | 14,405 | 59.5% |
| 499 | \$12,545,726 | Amador County Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Amador | F | 55 | 8,332 | 12,752 | 65.3% |
| 500 | \$12,441,182 | Twin Hills Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2010-11-02 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,975 | 3,046 | 64.8% |
| 501 | \$12,243,611 | Winters Joint Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Solano/Yolo | R | 55 | 1,097 | 1,538 | 71.3% |
| 502 | \$11,986,238 | Chowchilla Union High School District | \$8,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Madera | N | 55 | 2,258 | 3,368 | 67.0% |
| 503 | \$11,845,946 | Pixley Union School District | \$7,800,000 | 2014-06-03 | Tulare | Z | 55 | 229 | 298 | 76.8% |
| 504 | \$11,645,964 | Oak View Union School District | \$3,700,000 | 2008-06-03 | San Joaquin | R | 55 | 380 | 568 | 66.9% |
| 505 | \$11,594,841 | Lost Hills Union School District | \$6,200,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern | G | 55 | 35 | 58 | 60.3% |
| 506 | \$11,377,659 | Eureka Unified School District | \$32,515,000 | 2002-03-05 | Humboldt | T | 55 | 5,059 | 7,881 | 64.2% |
| 507 | \$11,369,251 | Chawanakee Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Madera | Q | 55 | 1,126 | 1,976 | 57.0% |
| 508 | \$11,298,828 | Loma Prieta Schools | \$4,965,000 | 2002-03-05 | Santa Clara/Santa Cruz | K | 55 | 982 | 1,466 | 67.0% |
| 509 | \$11,076,024 | Roseland School District | \$7,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | N | 55 | 1,612 | 2,335 | 69.0% |
| 510 | \$11,020,248 | Elk Hills School District | \$7,905,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | D | 55 | 54 | 72 | 75.0% |
| 511 | \$11,016,630 | El Centro Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 2003-11-04 | Imperial | G | 55 | 1,493 | 2,522 | 59.2% |
| 512 | \$11,015,631 | La Honda-Pescadero Unified | \$15,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | San Mateo | I | 55 | 918 | 1,309 | 70.1% |
| 513 | \$10,997,538 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Imperial | G | 55 | 780 | 1,370 | 56.9% |
| 514 | \$10,852,101 | Anderson Valley Unified School District | \$15,250,000 | 2010-06-08 | Mendocino | A | 55 | 551 | 847 | 65.1% |
| 515 | \$10,799,124 | Mesa Union Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 2008-06-03 | Ventura | A | 55 | 506 | 827 | 61.2% |
| | | | \$3,900,000 | 2002-11-05 | Ventura | H | 55 | 786 | 1,204 | 65.3% |
| 516 | \$10,778,781 | Yreka Union High School District | \$8,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Siskiyou | H | 55 | 2,942 | 5,316 | 55.3% |
| 517 | \$10,667,745 | Wheatland School District | \$5,700,000 | 2008-11-04 | Yuba | R | 55 | 1,465 | 2,243 | 65.3% |
| | | | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Yuba | U | 55 | 2,250 | 3,637 | 61.9% |
| 518 | \$10,626,544 | Little Lake City School District | \$18,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Los Angeles | EE | 55 | 8,041 | 10,471 | 76.8% |
| 519 | \$10,584,415 | Keyes Union High School District | \$5,000,000 | 2005-03-08 | Stanislaus | L | 66.7 | 392 | 532 | 73.7% |
| 520 | \$10,370,863 | Midway School District | \$7,800,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kern | F | 55 | 93 | 137 | 67.9% |
| 521 | \$10,143,022 | Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District) | \$5,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt | M | 55 | 934 | 1,581 | 59.1% |
| 522 | \$10,135,688 | Helendale School District | \$20,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | San Bernardino | X | 55 | 1,707 | 2,507 | 68.1% |
| 523 | \$10,106,868 | Dehesa School District | \$5,500,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | M | 55 | 507 | 868 | 58.4% |
| | | | Reauthorize \$3,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | San Diego | D | 55 | 562 | 1,005 | 55.9% |
| 524 | \$10,055,696 | Woodlake Union High School District | \$4,500,000 | 2008-02-05 | Tulare | C | 55 | 1,592 | 2,576 | 61.8% |
| 525 | \$10,022,294 | Turlock Unified School District (Turlock Elementary School District) | \$10,950,000 | 2002-11-05 | Stanislaus/Merced | D | 55 | 8,989 | 13,048 | 68.9% |
| 526 | \$9,928,928 | Bishop Union Elementary School District | \$3,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Inyo | A | 55 | 3,740 | 5,375 | 69.6% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|-------|--------|---------|
| 527 | \$9,775,229 | Black Oak Mine Unified School District | \$6,900,000 | 2006-11-07 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 3,768 | 6,034 | 62.4% |
| 528 | \$9,373,300 | Pacheco Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | B | 55 | 2,534 | 4,548 | 55.7% |
| 529 | \$9,333,359 | Happy Valley Union Elementary School District | \$2,495,000 | 2014-06-03 | Shasta | C | 55 | 724 | 1,146 | 63.2% |
| | | | \$3,800,000 | 2008-11-04 | Shasta | E | 55 | 1,743 | 2,787 | 62.5% |
| 530 | \$9,325,466 | Delhi Unified School District | \$8,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Merced | E | 55 | 1,435 | 2,013 | 71.3% |
| 531 | \$9,295,888 | San Benito High School District | \$42,500,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Benito/Santa Clara | G | 55 | 3,524 | 6,260 | 56.3% |
| 532 | \$9,104,756 | Guerneville School District | \$6,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | Sonoma | F | 55 | 993 | 1,533 | 64.8% |
| 533 | \$9,090,600 | Dixie School District | \$30,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Marin | C | 55 | 6,083 | 8,158 | 74.6% |
| 534 | \$9,080,919 | Palo Verde Community College District | \$6,000,000 | 2005-06-07 | San Bernardino | K | 66.7 | 608 | 912 | 66.7% |
| 535 | \$8,881,981 | Arena Union Elementary School District | \$3,700,000 | 2003-11-04 | Mendocino | E | 55 | 554 | 752 | 73.7% |
| 536 | \$8,728,475 | Lakeport Unified School District | \$7,300,000 | 2001-11-06 | Lake | W | 55 | 1,246 | 1,794 | 69.5% |
| | | | \$17,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Lake | T | 55 | 1,543 | 2,405 | 64.2% |
| 537 | \$8,708,880 | Earlmarl School District | \$3,600,000 | 2012-11-06 | Tulare | H | 55 | 672 | 847 | 79.3% |
| 538 | \$8,593,856 | Solvang School District | \$11,650,000 | 2006-06-06 | Santa Barbara | J | 55 | 1,148 | 1,863 | 61.6% |
| 539 | \$8,513,574 | Wilmar Union School District | \$4,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | P | 55 | 1,075 | 1,679 | 64.0% |
| 540 | \$8,478,225 | Somis Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Ventura | S | 55 | 955 | 1,416 | 67.4% |
| 541 | \$8,214,325 | Hope Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Santa Barbara | L | 55 | 3,278 | 4,746 | 69.1% |
| 542 | \$8,126,141 | El Tejon Unified School District | \$7,120,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kern/Ventura | E | 55 | 1,257 | 2,240 | 56.1% |
| 543 | \$7,938,729 | Cold Spring School District | \$2,440,000 | 2008-11-04 | Santa Barbara | C | 55 | 987 | 1,653 | 59.7% |
| 544 | \$7,679,990 | East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sutter/Placer | W | 55 | 583 | 863 | 67.6% |
| 545 | \$7,652,500 | Pioneer Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2005-11-08 | Kings | P | 55 | 1,524 | 2,336 | 65.2% |
| 546 | \$7,624,319 | Lagunitas Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 2013-11-05 | Marin | A | 55 | 754 | 1,093 | 69.0% |
| 547 | \$7,620,450 | Anderson Union High School District | \$12,300,000 | 2012-11-06 | Shasta | C | 55 | 8,574 | 15,008 | 57.1% |
| 548 | \$7,373,975 | Sonora Elementary School District | \$7,800,000 | 2010-11-02 | Tuolumne | H | 55 | 1,867 | 3,385 | 55.2% |
| 549 | \$7,319,390 | Pierce Joint Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Colusa | A | 55 | 529 | 905 | 58.5% |
| 550 | \$7,275,600 | Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District | \$6,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno/Tulare | O | 55 | 687 | 1,113 | 61.7% |
| 551 | \$7,253,700 | Oroville City Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Butte | F | 55 | 4,742 | 7,525 | 63.0% |
| 552 | \$7,027,655 | Placerville Union School District | \$5,300,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 3,274 | 4,896 | 66.9% |
| 553 | \$6,912,423 | Big Pine Unified School District | \$4,100,000 | 2010-06-08 | Inyo | A | 55 | 360 | 581 | 62.0% |
| | | | \$2,200,000 | 2005-03-08 | Inyo | B | 66.7 | 410 | 600 | 68.3% |
| 554 | \$6,910,014 | Terra Bella Union Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | 2008-11-04 | Tulare | K | 55 | 763 | 1,133 | 67.3% |
| 555 | \$6,813,929 | Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified School District | \$4,400,000 | 2002-11-05 | Fresno/Merced | G | 55 | 1,130 | 1,676 | 67.4% |
| 556 | \$6,577,469 | Chualar Union Elementary School District | \$1,900,000 | 2001-11-06 | Monterey | K | 55 | 157 | 236 | 66.5% |
| | | | \$1,000,000 | 2004-03-02 | Monterey | A | 55 | 182 | 299 | 60.9% |
| 557 | \$6,488,708 | Maricopa Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 2004-11-02 | Kern | O | 55 | 342 | 534 | 64.0% |
| 558 | \$6,454,855 | Los Olivos School District | \$4,650,000 | 2006-11-07 | Santa Barbara | N | 55 | 469 | 763 | 61.5% |
| 559 | \$6,432,156 | Le Grand Union High School District | \$4,200,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | N | 55 | 401 | 717 | 55.9% |
| 560 | \$6,414,363 | Fortuna Union High School District | \$10,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Humboldt | D | 55 | 5,072 | 8,278 | 61.3% |
| 561 | \$6,393,614 | Oak Grove Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | K | 55 | 1,174 | 1,846 | 63.6% |
| 562 | \$6,273,946 | Gravenstein Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 2012-11-06 | Sonoma | M | 55 | 1,971 | 2,986 | 66.0% |
| 563 | \$6,094,425 | Julian Union High School District | \$2,100,000 | 2010-11-02 | San Diego | L | 55 | 1,259 | 2,086 | 60.4% |
| 564 | \$6,070,000 | Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District | \$6,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Kern | I | 55 | 223 | 285 | 78.2% |
| 565 | \$6,006,313 | Livingston Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 2005-11-08 | Merced | B | 55 | 1,002 | 1,487 | 67.4% |
| 566 | \$5,918,225 | Blue Lake Union Elementary School District | \$2,000,000 | 2010-06-08 | Humboldt | K | 55 | 528 | 821 | 64.3% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|----------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|-------|-------|---------|
| 567 | \$5,892,230 | Bayshore Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | San Mateo | C | 55 | 325 | 396 | 82.1% |
| 568 | \$5,878,839 | Gold Oak Union School District | \$8,950,000 | 2008-11-04 | El Dorado | E | 55 | 2,326 | 4,149 | 56.1% |
| 569 | \$5,642,166 | Owens Valley Unified School District | \$2,600,000 | 2005-11-08 | Inyo | A | 55 | 196 | 348 | 56.3% |
| 570 | \$5,477,575 | Greenfield Union School District | \$5,000,000 | 2002-03-05 | Kern | A | 55 | 2,242 | 3,423 | 65.5% |
| | | | \$40,000,000 | 2006-11-07 | Kern | H | 55 | 3,241 | 4,636 | 69.9% |
| 571 | \$5,474,475 | Howell Mountain School District | \$2,900,000 | 2005-11-08 | Napa | C | 55 | 663 | 939 | 70.6% |
| 572 | \$5,400,673 | Trindad Union School District | \$2,200,000 | 2012-06-05 | Humboldt | W | 55 | 568 | 697 | 81.5% |
| 573 | \$5,291,673 | Princeton Unified School District | \$2,750,000 | 2014-06-03 | Glenn/Colusa | S | 55 | 165 | 262 | 63.0% |
| 574 | \$5,143,406 | Bradley Union School District | \$1,100,000 | 2011-11-08 | Monterey | T | 55 | 33 | 54 | 61.1% |
| 575 | \$5,060,303 | Geyserville Unified School District | \$3,250,000 | 2008-02-05 | Sonoma | A | 55 | 498 | 722 | 69.0% |
| 576 | \$4,952,028 | North County Joint Union School District | \$3,350,000 | 2006-06-06 | San Benito/Santa Clara | M | 55 | 458 | 830 | 55.2% |
| 577 | \$4,765,503 | Vineland School District | \$4,000,000 | 2007-05-22 | Kern | C | 66.7 | 188 | 227 | 82.8% |
| 578 | \$4,659,865 | Valley Home Joint School District | \$1,400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Stanislaus/San Joaquin | S | 55 | 264 | 410 | 64.4% |
| 579 | \$4,570,763 | Southern Trinity Unified School District | \$2,300,000 | 2012-06-05 | Humboldt/Trinity | V | 55 | 170 | 298 | 57.0% |
| 580 | \$4,537,969 | Springville Union Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Tulare | J | 55 | 724 | 1,229 | 58.9% |
| 581 | \$4,460,838 | Franklin School District | \$2,000,000 | 2006-06-06 | Sutter | P | 55 | 452 | 724 | 62.4% |
| 582 | \$4,439,700 | Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1 | \$2,500,000 | 2012-06-05 | Butte | D | 55 | 1,058 | 1,911 | 55.4% |
| 583 | \$4,289,339 | Elverta Elementary School District | \$10,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Sacramento/Placer | L | 55 | 512 | 861 | 59.5% |
| 584 | \$3,961,942 | Round Valley Unified School District | \$4,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Mendocino | L | 55 | 290 | 398 | 72.9% |
| 585 | \$3,798,669 | Pollock Pines Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 2012-06-05 | El Dorado | K | 55 | 1,606 | 2,601 | 61.7% |
| 586 | \$3,588,520 | Merced River School District | \$1,800,000 | 2014-06-03 | Merced | L | 55 | 95 | 155 | 61.3% |
| 587 | \$3,513,780 | Strathmore Union High School District | \$3,500,000 | 2002-02-05 | Tulare | A | 66.7 | 463 | 624 | 74.2% |
| 588 | \$3,242,413 | Armona Union Elementary School District | \$2,785,000 | 2004-03-02 | Kings | S | 55 | 534 | 832 | 64.2% |
| 589 | \$3,175,110 | Corning Union School District | \$2,700,000 | 2002-03-05 | Tehama | B | 55 | 1,358 | 2,357 | 57.6% |
| 590 | \$3,116,800 | Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District | \$5,950,000 | 2008-11-04 | Humboldt/Trinity | S | 55 | 1,287 | 1,865 | 69.0% |
| 591 | \$3,104,700 | Burton Elementary School District | \$2,455,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tulare | B | 55 | 1,471 | 2,327 | 63.2% |
| 592 | \$3,103,617 | Pacific Elementary School District | \$830,000 | 2012-11-06 | Santa Cruz | M | 55 | 292 | 374 | 78.1% |
| 593 | \$2,871,725 | Big Creek Elementary School District | \$1,400,000 | 2004-11-02 | Fresno | M | 55 | 167 | 246 | 67.9% |
| 594 | \$2,860,975 | Cottonwood Union Elementary School District | \$2,200,000 | 2003-11-04 | Shasta | A | 55 | 1,111 | 1,678 | 66.2% |
| 595 | \$2,710,000 | Kings River Union Elementary School District | \$850,000 | 2006-06-06 | Tulare | N | 55 | 163 | 289 | 56.4% |
| | | | \$850,000 | 2005-11-08 | Tulare | E | 55 | 300 | 457 | 65.6% |
| 596 | \$2,580,800 | Hilmar Unified School District | \$2,000,000 | 2002-11-05 | Merced | E | 55 | 1,483 | 2,034 | 72.9% |
| 597 | \$2,396,250 | Liberty School District | \$1,920,000 | 2004-11-02 | Sonoma | L | 55 | 618 | 959 | 64.4% |
| 598 | \$2,356,651 | Grant Elementary School District | \$1,700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Shasta | A | 55 | 671 | 1,204 | 55.7% |
| 599 | \$2,328,810 | Thermalito Union School District | \$2,050,000 | 2004-11-02 | Butte | M | 55 | 1,721 | 2,999 | 57.4% |
| 600 | \$2,325,000 | Traver Joint Elementary School District | \$700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tulare/Kings | S | 55 | 124 | 170 | 72.9% |
| | | | \$700,000 | 2004-11-02 | Tulare/Kings | A | 55 | 146 | 211 | 69.2% |
| 601 | \$2,295,740 | Hydesville Elementary School District | \$1,100,000 | 2014-06-03 | Humboldt | M | 55 | 244 | 371 | 65.8% |
| 602 | \$1,998,263 | Soulsbyville Elementary School District | \$1,500,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tuolumne | B | 55 | 1,057 | 1,541 | 68.6% |
| 603 | \$1,227,403 | Stone Corral Elementary School District | \$715,000 | 2008-06-03 | Tulare | F | 55 | 46 | 71 | 64.8% |
| 604 | \$858,933 | Banta Elementary School District | \$800,000 | 2002-11-05 | San Joaquin | X | 55 | 216 | 318 | 67.9% |
| 605 | \$610,000 | Linns Valley-Poso Flat Elementary School District | \$340,000 | 2002-11-05 | Tulare/Kern | J | 55 | 191 | 266 | 71.8% |
| 606 | \$593,650 | Paradise Elementary School District | \$660,000 | 2002-03-05 | Stanislaus | X | 55 | 151 | 239 | 63.2% |
| 607 | \$573,563 | Loleta Union School District | \$456,000 | 2004-11-02 | Humboldt | K | 55 | 422 | 621 | 68.0% |

All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

| Rank | Aggregate Debt | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow | Year-Month-Day of Election | Counties | Measure | % Needed to Pass | Yes | Total | Passage |
|------|--|---|-----------------------------|---|---|---------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| 608 | \$540,938 | Peninsula Union School District | \$600,000 | 2001-11-06 | Humboldt | R | 55 | 47 | 76 | 61.8% |
| 609 | \$438,095 | Washington Colony Elementary School District | \$400,000 | 2004-03-02 | Fresno | B | 55 | 350 | 503 | 69.6% |
| 610 | \$399,925 | Mission Union School District | \$315,000 | 2004-11-02 | Monterey | E | 55 | 103 | 126 | 81.7% |
| 611 | \$239,656 | Graves Union School District | \$180,000 | 2004-11-02 | Monterey | D | 55 | 16 | 21 | 76.2% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Briggs Elementary School District | \$4,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | K | 55 | 398 | 587 | 67.8% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Center Joint Unified School District | \$500,000,000 | 2008-11-04 | Sacramento/Placer | N | 55 | 5,082 | 8,278 | 61.4% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Cinnabar Elementary School District | \$2,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sonoma | J | 55 | 494 | 808 | 61.1% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Columbia School District | \$8,600,000 | 2014-11-04 | Shasta | E | 55 | 1,984 | 3,502 | 56.7% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Colusa Unified School District | \$5,900,000 | 2014-11-04 | Colusa | A | 55 | 1,049 | 1,663 | 63.1% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Farmersville Unified School District | \$4,800,000 | 2014-11-04 | Tulare | A | 55 | 575 | 871 | 66.0% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5 | \$195,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Sacramento | G | 55 | 13,332 | 19,350 | 68.9% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Golden Plains Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno | G | 55 | 402 | 657 | 61.2% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Gustine Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Merced | P | 55 | 962 | 1,461 | 65.8% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Hollister School District | \$28,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Benito | M | 55 | 5,729 | 9,035 | 63.4% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Lake Tahoe Community College District | \$55,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | El Dorado | F | 55 | 3,784 | 6,547 | 57.8% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Laytonville Unified School District | \$5,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Mendocino | Q | 55 | 610 | 888 | 68.7% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Manchester Union Elementary School District | \$1,310,000 | 2004-11-02 | Mendocino | O | 55 | 151 | 255 | 59.2% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Mt. San Jacinto Community College District | \$295,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Riverside | AA | 55 | 76,993 | 130,897 | 58.8% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | National School District | \$26,100,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Diego | N | 55 | 4,621 | 5,880 | 78.6% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Rio School District | \$38,500,000 | 2014-11-04 | Ventura | G | 55 | 3,753 | 5,291 | 70.9% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Roseville Joint Union High School District SFID No. 1 | \$115,000,000 | 2007-04-24 | Placer | A | 66.7 | 11 | 12 | 91.7% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | San Luis Coastal Unified School District | \$177,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | San Luis Obispo | D | 55 | 18,400 | 25,636 | 71.8% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Santa Maria-Bonita School District | \$45,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Santa Barbara/SLO | T | 55 | 8,085 | 13,021 | 62.1% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Tipton Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | 2014-11-04 | Tulare | C | 55 | 211 | 353 | 59.8% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | West Hills Community College District | \$20,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera | T | 55 | 6,682 | 11,557 | 57.8% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Western Placer Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 2014-11-04 | Placer | A | 55 | 10,742 | 17,418 | 61.7% |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Willows Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2014-06-03 | Glenn | T | 55 | 140 | 177 | 79.1% |
| N/A | No statement for last 3 REF | Eastern Sierra Unified School District | \$11,100,000 | 2004-11-02 | Mono | E | 55 | 1,067 | 1,824 | 58.5% |
| N/A | No statement for 2014 REF | Gold Trail Union School District | \$1,800,000 | 2002-11-05 | El Dorado | G | 55 | 1,639 | 2,577 | 63.6% |
| N/A | No statement for 2012 REF | Columbia Union Elementary School District | \$3,600,000 | 2003-03-04 | Tuolumne | G | 55 | 937 | 1,417 | 66.1% |
| | | | \$3,700,000 | 2004-03-02 | Tuolumne | H | 55 | 1,351 | 2,404 | 56.2% |
| N/A | No statement for 2012 REF | Exeter Union High School District | \$4,350,000 | 2001-03-06 | Tulare | S | 55 | 1,268 | 1,836 | 69.1% |
| | | | \$5,100,000 | 2006-11-07 | Tulare | U | 55 | 2,486 | 3,931 | 63.2% |
| N/A | No statement for 2011 REF | South Whittier Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2004-11-02 | Los Angeles | I | 55 | 4,577 | 5,910 | 77.4% |
| N/A | No statement for 2011 REF | Barstow Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2001-11-06 | San Bernardino | C | 55 | 2,492 | 4,386 | 56.8% |
| N/A | No statement for 2011 REF | Kelseyville Unified School District | \$2,930,000 | 2001-11-06 | Lake | X | 55 | 1,361 | 1,717 | 79.3% |
| N/A | No statement for 2008 Series A, Series B | Riverbank Unified School District | \$15,200,000 | 2005-11-08 | Stanislaus | P | 55 | 1,584 | 2,426 | 65.3% |
| | \$193,536,130,619 | | \$145,386,418,737 | (Does not include the 16 reauthorizations.) | | | | 30,172,510 | 49,224,369 | 61.3% |

Appendix J

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| 1 | \$115,550,326 | McKinleyville Union School District | \$14,000,000 | 8.254 |
| 2 | \$1,242,287,328 | Poway Unified School District SFID 2007-1 | \$179,000,000 | 6.940 |
| 3 | \$47,901,154 | Turlock Unified School District | \$7,725,000 | 6.201 |
| 4 | \$586,462,596 | Escondido Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 5.984 |
| 5 | \$1,316,013,363 | Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$230,000,000 | 5.722 |
| 6 | \$21,944,768 | Fortuna Elementary School District | \$3,900,000 | 5.627 |
| 7 | \$102,617,363 | Saratoga Union School District | \$19,900,000 | 5.157 |
| 8 | \$44,887,431 | Calipatria Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 4.987 |
| 9 | \$5,143,406 | Bradley Union School District | \$1,100,000 | 4.676 |
| 10 | \$585,151,849 | Hartnell Community College District | \$131,000,000 | 4.467 |
| 11 | \$55,144,245 | Sutter Union High School District | \$12,600,000 | 4.377 |
| 12 | \$283,268,176 | Mendocino-Lake Community College District | \$67,500,000 | 4.197 |
| 13 | \$56,240,040 | Calaveras Unified School District | \$13,500,000 | 4.166 |
| 14 | \$18,271,034 | Planada Elementary School District | \$4,400,000 | 4.153 |
| 15 | \$86,813,248 | Fowler Unified School District | \$21,000,000 | 4.134 |
| 16 | \$410,528,679 | Paramount Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 4.105 |
| 17 | \$15,648,487 | Hart-Ransom Union School District | \$3,900,000 | 4.012 |
| 18 | \$58,925,675 | Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 3.928 |
| 19 | \$775,792,331 | Santa Ana Unified School District | \$200,000,000 | 3.879 |
| 20 | \$206,164,296 | Cypress School District | \$53,600,000 | 3.846 |
| 21 | \$152,477,011 | Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 3.812 |
| 22 | \$171,031,543 | Monrovia Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 3.801 |
| 23 | \$22,704,644 | Portola Valley School District | \$6,000,000 | 3.784 |
| 24 | \$3,103,617 | Pacific Elementary School District | \$830,000 | 3.739 |
| 25 | \$194,331,532 | Rocklin Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 3.737 |
| 26 | \$252,129,977 | Manhattan Beach Unified School District | \$67,480,000 | 3.736 |
| 27 | \$376,258,036 | Upland Unified School District | \$103,000,000 | 3.653 |
| 28 | \$231,561,564 | Lake Tahoe Unified School District | \$64,500,000 | 3.590 |
| 29 | \$693,368,612 | Oceanside Unified School District | \$195,000,000 | 3.556 |
| 30 | \$224,977,020 | Westside Union School District | \$63,500,000 | 3.543 |
| 31 | \$66,360,725 | San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District | \$18,900,000 | 3.511 |
| 32 | \$123,327,878 | Jefferson School District | \$35,400,000 | 3.484 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| 33 | \$679,613,798 | Alvord Unified School District | \$196,000,000 | 3.467 |
| 34 | \$79,060,319 | Richland School District | \$23,000,000 | 3.437 |
| 35 | \$144,864,388 | Ukiah Unified School District | \$43,000,000 | 3.369 |
| 36 | \$458,785,266 | Acalanes Union High School District | \$137,000,000 | 3.349 |
| 37 | \$763,039,971 | Escondido Union School District | \$228,400,000 | 3.341 |
| 38 | \$4,659,865 | Valley Home Joint School District | \$1,400,000 | 3.328 |
| 39 | \$248,810,654 | Whittier Union High School District | \$75,000,000 | 3.317 |
| 40 | \$264,291,465 | Walnut Valley Unified School District | \$79,800,000 | 3.312 |
| 41 | \$9,928,928 | Bishop Union Elementary School District | \$3,000,000 | 3.310 |
| 42 | \$7,938,729 | Cold Spring School District | \$2,440,000 | 3.254 |
| 43 | \$29,001,414 | River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$9,000,000 | 3.222 |
| 44 | \$89,440,827 | Lemon Grove School District | \$28,000,000 | 3.194 |
| 45 | \$31,891,738 | Fillmore Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 3.189 |
| 46 | \$123,701,248 | El Segundo Unified School District | \$39,000,000 | 3.172 |
| 47 | \$15,829,245 | Chatom Union Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 3.166 |
| 48 | \$11,645,964 | Oak View Union School District | \$3,700,000 | 3.148 |
| 49 | \$168,078,687 | Savanna Elementary School District | \$53,685,000 | 3.131 |
| 50 | \$129,149,014 | Glendora Unified School District | \$41,310,000 | 3.126 |
| 51 | \$208,152,688 | Hillsborough City School District | \$66,800,000 | 3.116 |
| 52 | \$45,377,535 | Santa Rita Union Elementary School District | \$14,600,000 | 3.108 |
| 53 | \$23,309,171 | Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 3.108 |
| 54 | \$67,060,931 | Redwood City Elementary School District | \$22,000,000 | 3.048 |
| 55 | \$121,230,944 | Sylvan Union School District | \$40,000,000 | 3.031 |
| 56 | \$133,249,463 | Hawthorne Elementary School District | \$44,000,000 | 3.028 |
| 57 | \$27,047,904 | Susanville Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 3.005 |
| 58 | \$861,374,033 | San Marcos Unified School District | \$287,000,000 | 3.001 |
| 59 | \$253,502,040 | Alhambra Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 2.982 |
| 60 | \$89,342,209 | Garvey Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 2.978 |
| 61 | \$5,918,225 | Blue Lake Union Elementary School District | \$2,000,000 | 2.959 |
| 62 | \$352,029,370 | Wisburn Unified School District | \$119,600,000 | 2.943 |
| 63 | \$820,128,328 | Newport-Mesa Unified School District | \$282,000,000 | 2.908 |
| 64 | \$6,094,425 | Julian Union High School District | \$2,100,000 | 2.902 |
| 65 | \$173,764,517 | Santee Elementary School District | \$60,000,000 | 2.896 |
| 66 | \$118,951,681 | Mountain View-Los Altos Union School District | \$41,300,000 | 2.880 |
| 67 | \$426,651,231 | Santa Barbara Elementary School District and Santa Barbara High School District | \$150,000,000 | 2.844 |
| 68 | \$81,933,830 | South Pasadena Unified School District | \$29,000,000 | 2.825 |
| 69 | \$157,991,399 | Piedmont Unified School District | \$56,000,000 | 2.821 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| 70 | \$552,217,504 | Centinela Valley Union High School District | \$196,000,000 | 2.817 |
| 71 | \$55,727,788 | Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 2.786 |
| 72 | \$136,933,975 | Alhambra Unified School District ESID | \$50,000,000 | 2.739 |
| 73 | \$135,544,814 | Windsor Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 2.711 |
| 74 | \$1,328,256,540 | Foothill-De Anza Community College District | \$490,800,000 | 2.706 |
| 75 | \$308,326,405 | Menlo Park City School District | \$114,100,000 | 2.702 |
| 76 | \$169,856,485 | Newark Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 2.696 |
| 77 | \$43,282,519 | Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District | \$16,100,000 | 2.688 |
| 78 | \$102,547,544 | Fort Bragg Unified School District | \$38,200,000 | 2.684 |
| 79 | \$1,316,013,363 | Chabot-Las Positas Community College District | \$498,000,000 | 2.643 |
| 80 | \$796,617,519 | Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District | \$302,000,000 | 2.638 |
| 81 | \$105,494,869 | Orchard School District | \$40,000,000 | 2.637 |
| 82 | \$1,275,122,944 | San Mateo Union High School District | \$484,000,000 | 2.635 |
| 83 | \$27,498,888 | Bennett Valley Union School District | \$10,600,000 | 2.594 |
| 84 | \$28,249,499 | Rio Bravo-Greeley Union School District | \$10,900,000 | 2.592 |
| 85 | \$41,925,257 | Magnolia School District | \$16,300,000 | 2.572 |
| 86 | \$55,029,529 | Carmel Unified School District | \$21,500,000 | 2.560 |
| 87 | \$53,195,241 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$20,900,000 | 2.545 |
| 88 | \$826,873,570 | Yosemite Community College District | \$326,174,000 | 2.535 |
| 89 | \$226,270,582 | Campbell Union High School District | \$90,000,000 | 2.514 |
| 90 | \$31,887,627 | Coast Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$12,700,000 | 2.511 |
| 91 | \$41,330,576 | Oakley Union Elementary School District | \$16,500,000 | 2.505 |
| 92 | \$436,676,161 | San Mateo-Foster City School District | \$175,000,000 | 2.495 |
| 93 | \$292,476,368 | Cabrillo Community College District | \$118,500,000 | 2.468 |
| 94 | \$315,201,893 | Las Virgenes Unified School District | \$128,000,000 | 2.463 |
| 95 | \$110,653,662 | Larkspur-Corte Madera School District | \$45,000,000 | 2.459 |
| 96 | \$5,400,673 | Trinidad Union School District | \$2,200,000 | 2.455 |
| 97 | \$26,234,545 | Lennox Elementary School District | \$10,700,000 | 2.452 |
| 98 | \$15,636,113 | Exeter Union Elementary School District | \$6,400,000 | 2.443 |
| 99 | \$239,000,672 | Montebello Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2.439 |
| 100 | \$531,607,001 | Arcadia Unified School District | \$218,000,000 | 2.439 |
| 101 | \$8,708,880 | Earlimart School District | \$3,600,000 | 2.419 |
| 102 | \$80,824,788 | Hanford Joint Union High School District | \$33,500,000 | 2.413 |
| 103 | \$835,866,713 | Desert Community College District | \$346,500,000 | 2.412 |
| 104 | \$174,482,212 | Moorpark Unified School District | \$72,500,000 | 2.407 |
| 105 | \$8,881,981 | Arena Union Elementary School District | \$3,700,000 | 2.401 |
| 106 | \$203,692,946 | Ceres Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 2.396 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| 107 | \$52,102,538 | Orland Joint Unified School District | \$21,900,000 | 2.379 |
| 108 | \$377,532,823 | San Leandro Unified School District | \$159,100,000 | 2.373 |
| 109 | \$54,801,575 | Rim of the World Unified School District | \$23,340,000 | 2.348 |
| 110 | \$304,897,627 | Westminster School District | \$130,000,000 | 2.345 |
| 111 | \$28,983,448 | Siskiyou Union High School District | \$12,500,000 | 2.319 |
| 112 | \$225,507,517 | Rialto Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 2.301 |
| 113 | \$17,246,650 | Brawley Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2.300 |
| 114 | \$23,627,819 | Beardsley School District | \$10,300,000 | 2.294 |
| 115 | \$71,471,900 | Siskiyou Joint Community College District | \$31,400,000 | 2.276 |
| 116 | \$11,298,828 | Loma Prieta Schools | \$4,965,000 | 2.276 |
| 117 | \$631,314,231 | Napa Valley Unified School District | \$278,000,000 | 2.271 |
| 118 | \$6,577,469 | Chualar Union Elementary School District | \$2,900,000 | 2.268 |
| 119 | \$336,935,436 | Merced Union High School District | \$149,450,000 | 2.255 |
| 120 | \$107,648,944 | Morongo Unified School District | \$48,150,000 | 2.236 |
| 121 | \$10,055,696 | Woodlake Union High School District | \$4,500,000 | 2.235 |
| 122 | \$4,460,838 | Franklin School District | \$2,000,000 | 2.230 |
| 123 | \$31,121,459 | Wright Elementary School District | \$14,000,000 | 2.223 |
| 124 | \$66,151,623 | Robla School District | \$29,800,000 | 2.220 |
| 125 | \$7,677,847,839 | Los Angeles Community College District | \$3,500,000,000 | 2.194 |
| 126 | \$20,395,744 | College School District | \$9,370,000 | 2.177 |
| 127 | \$102,173,755 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$46,945,000 | 2.176 |
| 128 | \$5,642,166 | Owens Valley Unified School District | \$2,600,000 | 2.170 |
| 129 | \$1,037,173,698 | Fresno Unified School District | \$479,000,000 | 2.165 |
| 130 | \$62,601,356 | Dixon Unified School District | \$29,200,000 | 2.144 |
| 131 | \$36,395,821 | Cloverdale Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2.141 |
| 132 | \$141,176,115 | El Dorado Union High School District | \$66,300,000 | 2.129 |
| 133 | \$8,513,574 | Wilmar Union School District | \$4,000,000 | 2.128 |
| 134 | \$23,034,031 | Reef-Sunset Unified School District | \$10,830,000 | 2.127 |
| 135 | \$97,622,274 | Menifee Union School District | \$45,960,000 | 2.124 |
| 136 | \$44,957,074 | Denair Unified School District | \$21,200,000 | 2.121 |
| 137 | \$14,840,821 | Arcata Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 2.120 |
| 138 | \$10,584,415 | Keyes Union High School District | \$5,000,000 | 2.117 |
| 139 | \$27,937,231 | Ocean View School District | \$13,200,000 | 2.116 |
| 140 | \$168,689,108 | Compton Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 2.109 |
| 141 | \$104,451,970 | Benicia Unified School District | \$49,600,000 | 2.106 |
| 142 | \$63,151,833 | Calexico Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2.105 |
| 143 | \$6,910,014 | Terra Bella Union Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | 2.094 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| 144 | \$22,997,866 | Brisbane Elementary School District | \$11,000,000 | 2.091 |
| 145 | \$2,295,740 | Hydesville Elementary School District | \$1,100,000 | 2.087 |
| 146 | \$186,507,025 | Inglewood Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 2.072 |
| 147 | \$91,457,354 | Encinitas Union School District | \$44,200,000 | 2.069 |
| 148 | \$345,445,867 | Azusa Unified School District | \$167,000,000 | 2.069 |
| 149 | \$409,106,363 | Carlsbad Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 2.066 |
| 150 | \$513,226,364 | Garden Grove Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 2.053 |
| 151 | \$2,871,725 | Big Creek Elementary School District | \$1,400,000 | 2.051 |
| 152 | \$46,776,885 | Albany Unified School District | \$23,000,000 | 2.034 |
| 153 | \$10,143,022 | Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District) | \$5,000,000 | 2.029 |
| 154 | \$15,844,260 | Cayucos Elementary School District | \$7,850,000 | 2.018 |
| 155 | \$24,062,865 | Caruthers Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 2.005 |
| 156 | \$18,601,934 | Shoreline Unified School District | \$9,290,000 | 2.002 |
| 157 | \$33,996,696 | Bonsall Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2.000 |
| 158 | \$134,444,863 | Dry Creek Elementary School District | \$67,300,000 | 1.998 |
| 159 | \$3,588,520 | Merced River School District | \$1,800,000 | 1.994 |
| 160 | \$4,570,763 | Southern Trinity Unified School District | \$2,300,000 | 1.987 |
| 161 | \$156,932,935 | Santa Maria Joint Union High School District | \$79,000,000 | 1.986 |
| 162 | \$485,440,600 | Rio Hondo Community College District | \$245,000,000 | 1.981 |
| 163 | \$274,588,497 | Antelope Valley Community College | \$139,000,000 | 1.975 |
| 164 | \$31,264,371 | Sausalito Marin City School District | \$15,900,000 | 1.966 |
| 165 | \$127,645,000 | Modesto City Schools (Modesto High School District) | \$65,000,000 | 1.964 |
| 166 | \$136,452,673 | Golden Valley Unified School District | \$70,000,000 | 1.949 |
| 167 | \$87,615,619 | Martinez Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 1.947 |
| 168 | \$368,903,700 | Yuba Community College District | \$190,000,000 | 1.942 |
| S-3 | \$20,191,061,654 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2006 | \$10,416,000,000 | 1.938 |
| 169 | \$401,238,363 | Redondo Beach Unified School District | \$208,000,000 | 1.929 |
| 170 | \$5,291,673 | Princeton Unified School District | \$2,750,000 | 1.924 |
| 171 | \$7,679,990 | East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District | \$4,000,000 | 1.920 |
| 172 | \$27,380,115 | Hughson Unified School District | \$14,300,000 | 1.915 |
| 173 | \$344,571,351 | Allan Hancock Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 1.914 |
| 174 | \$114,303,836 | St. Helena Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 1.905 |
| 175 | \$114,214,046 | Millbrae School District | \$60,000,000 | 1.904 |
| 176 | \$104,688,140 | Whittier City Unified School District | \$55,000,000 | 1.903 |
| 177 | \$20,722,992 | Needles Unified School District | \$10,900,000 | 1.901 |
| 178 | \$42,771,345 | Lakeside Union Elementary School District | \$22,500,000 | 1.901 |
| 179 | \$5,474,475 | Howell Mountain School District | \$2,900,000 | 1.888 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| 180 | \$179,014,870 | Milpitas Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 1.884 |
| 181 | \$235,481,421 | Union School District | \$125,000,000 | 1.884 |
| 182 | \$31,995,000 | Modesto City Schools (Modesto) | \$17,000,000 | 1.882 |
| 183 | \$11,594,841 | Lost Hills Union School District | \$6,200,000 | 1.870 |
| 184 | \$83,978,250 | Tulare Joint Union High School District | \$45,000,000 | 1.866 |
| 185 | \$182,830,440 | Franklin-McKinley School District | \$98,000,000 | 1.866 |
| 186 | \$203,011,800 | College of the Sequoias | \$110,000,000 | 1.846 |
| 187 | \$47,055,127 | Woodside Elementary School District | \$25,500,000 | 1.845 |
| 188 | \$10,106,868 | Dehesa School District | \$5,500,000 | 1.838 |
| 189 | \$165,034,070 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 1.834 |
| 190 | \$45,814,338 | La Canada Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 1.833 |
| 191 | \$36,607,995 | Walnut Creek School District | \$20,000,000 | 1.830 |
| 192 | \$229,842,020 | Los Alamitos Unified School District | \$126,000,000 | 1.824 |
| 193 | \$17,688,768 | Buellton Union School District | \$9,700,000 | 1.824 |
| 194 | \$199,921,338 | Burbank Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 1.817 |
| 195 | \$15,152,514 | Live Oak Unified School District | \$8,400,000 | 1.804 |
| 196 | \$73,884,379 | Ross Valley Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 1.802 |
| 197 | \$610,000 | Linns Valley-Poso Flat Elementary School District | \$340,000 | 1.794 |
| 198 | \$14,348,660 | Holtville Unified School District | \$8,000,000 | 1.794 |
| 199 | \$73,237,463 | Gateway Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 1.786 |
| 200 | \$491,203,694 | Fontana Unified School District | \$275,000,000 | 1.786 |
| 201 | \$60,589,376 | Enterprise Elementary School District | \$34,000,000 | 1.782 |
| 202 | \$1,225,944,294 | San Bernardino Community College District | \$690,000,000 | 1.777 |
| 203 | \$4,439,700 | Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1 | \$2,500,000 | 1.776 |
| 204 | \$20,596,854 | Kernville Union School District | \$11,600,000 | 1.776 |
| 205 | \$810,958,445 | William S. Hart Union High School District | \$458,000,000 | 1.771 |
| 206 | \$105,738,163 | Mill Valley School District | \$59,800,000 | 1.768 |
| 207 | \$387,075,741 | Gilroy Unified School District | \$219,000,000 | 1.767 |
| 208 | \$16,358,925 | Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District | \$9,300,000 | 1.759 |
| 209 | \$21,102,065 | Imperial Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 1.759 |
| 210 | \$17,895,206 | Forestville Union School District | \$10,200,000 | 1.754 |
| 211 | \$196,222,983 | San Gabriel Unified School District | \$112,150,000 | 1.750 |
| 212 | \$253,445,891 | Simi Valley Unified School District | \$145,000,000 | 1.748 |
| 213 | \$426,006,465 | New Haven Unified School District | \$245,000,000 | 1.739 |
| 214 | \$68,898,915 | Sonoma Valley Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 1.722 |
| 215 | \$119,620,000 | Patterson Joint Unified School District | \$69,500,000 | 1.721 |
| 216 | \$1,227,403 | Stone Corral Elementary School District | \$715,000 | 1.717 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| 217 | \$104,283,183 | Castro Valley Unified School District | \$60,800,000 | 1.715 |
| 218 | \$460,509,508 | Glendale Unified School District | \$270,000,000 | 1.706 |
| 219 | \$40,559,623 | Selma Unified School District | \$23,800,000 | 1.704 |
| 220 | \$26,274,556 | Mendocino Unified School District | \$15,500,000 | 1.695 |
| 221 | \$59,093,712 | Brentwood Union Elementary School District | \$35,000,000 | 1.688 |
| 222 | \$67,402,863 | Liberty Union High School District | \$40,000,000 | 1.685 |
| 223 | \$361,621,401 | Oak Grove School District | \$214,800,000 | 1.684 |
| 224 | \$475,216,369 | Dublin Unified School District | \$283,000,000 | 1.679 |
| 225 | \$41,592,091 | Fairfax Elementary School District | \$24,800,000 | 1.677 |
| 226 | \$51,806,500 | Bellevue Union School District | \$31,000,000 | 1.671 |
| 227 | \$99,521,902 | Ontario-Montclair School District | \$59,655,000 | 1.668 |
| 228 | \$2,590,426,525 | San Diego Community College District | \$1,555,000,000 | 1.666 |
| 229 | \$2,325,000 | Traver Joint Elementary School District | \$1,400,000 | 1.661 |
| 230 | \$99,544,387 | Cambrian School District | \$59,975,000 | 1.660 |
| 231 | \$221,921,615 | Napa Valley Community College District | \$133,800,000 | 1.659 |
| 232 | \$59,501,108 | Kerman Unified School District | \$35,900,000 | 1.657 |
| 233 | \$348,039,949 | Berkeley Unified School District | \$210,000,000 | 1.657 |
| 234 | \$401,112,281 | Santa Clarita Community College District | \$242,110,000 | 1.657 |
| 235 | \$131,223,713 | Lakeside Union School District | \$79,550,000 | 1.650 |
| 236 | \$222,521,372 | Oxnard Union High School District | \$135,000,000 | 1.648 |
| 237 | \$21,327,913 | Washington Union School District | \$13,000,000 | 1.641 |
| 238 | \$229,146,220 | Bonita Unified School District | \$139,920,000 | 1.638 |
| 239 | \$30,723,628 | Weaver Union School District | \$18,800,000 | 1.634 |
| 240 | \$738,912,720 | San Jose-Evergreen Community College District | \$453,000,000 | 1.631 |
| 241 | \$32,609,613 | Piner-Olivet Union School District | \$20,000,000 | 1.630 |
| 242 | \$59,431,331 | Hueneme Elementary School District | \$36,700,000 | 1.619 |
| 243 | \$926,189,450 | Mt. San Antonio Community College District | \$574,000,000 | 1.614 |
| 244 | \$24,654,722 | Middletown Unified School District | \$15,300,000 | 1.611 |
| 245 | \$2,710,000 | Kings River Union Elementary School District | \$1,700,000 | 1.594 |
| 246 | \$474,237,505 | Victor Valley Community College District | \$297,500,000 | 1.594 |
| S-2 | \$19,535,527,220 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2004 | \$12,300,000,000 | 1.588 |
| 247 | \$158,527,364 | Bakersfield City Elementary School District | \$100,000,000 | 1.585 |
| 248 | \$52,740,241 | McFarland Unified School District | \$33,300,000 | 1.584 |
| 249 | \$11,076,024 | Roseland School District | \$7,000,000 | 1.582 |
| 250 | \$20,475,550 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 1.575 |
| 251 | \$227,991,116 | Monterey Peninsula Community College District | \$145,000,000 | 1.572 |
| 252 | \$10,997,538 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 1.571 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| 253 | \$98,885,055 | Lancaster Elementary School District | \$63,000,000 | 1.570 |
| 254 | \$15,054,650 | Mark Twain Union Elementary School District | \$9,600,000 | 1.568 |
| 255 | \$282,079,314 | Kern Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 1.567 |
| 256 | \$557,569,963 | Ventura County Community College District | \$356,000,000 | 1.566 |
| 257 | \$609,746,527 | Peralta Community College District | \$390,000,000 | 1.563 |
| 258 | \$5,060,303 | Geyserville Unified School District | \$3,250,000 | 1.557 |
| 259 | \$147,827,850 | Emery Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 1.556 |
| 260 | \$60,088,440 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$38,800,000 | 1.549 |
| 261 | \$6,813,929 | Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified School District | \$4,400,000 | 1.549 |
| 262 | \$119,051,154 | Berryessa Union School District | \$77,000,000 | 1.546 |
| 263 | \$61,512,101 | West Kern Community College District | \$39,800,000 | 1.546 |
| 264 | \$124,835,444 | Sanger Unified School District | \$80,800,000 | 1.545 |
| 265 | \$68,250,300 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$44,400,000 | 1.537 |
| 266 | \$365,249,350 | Huntington Beach Union High School District | \$238,000,000 | 1.535 |
| 267 | \$6,432,156 | Le Grand Union High School District | \$4,200,000 | 1.531 |
| 268 | \$7,624,319 | Lagunitas Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 1.525 |
| 269 | \$47,218,516 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3 | \$31,000,000 | 1.523 |
| 270 | \$34,033,591 | Oroville Union High School District | \$22,400,000 | 1.519 |
| 271 | \$37,968,495 | Mount Pleasant School District | \$25,000,000 | 1.519 |
| 272 | \$11,845,946 | Pixley Union School District | \$7,800,000 | 1.519 |
| 273 | \$18,718,110 | Spreckels Union School District | \$12,335,000 | 1.517 |
| 274 | \$9,104,756 | Guerneville School District | \$6,000,000 | 1.517 |
| 275 | \$237,199,439 | Cajon Valley Union School District | \$156,500,000 | 1.516 |
| 276 | \$9,080,919 | Palo Verde Community College District | \$6,000,000 | 1.513 |
| 277 | \$21,878,079 | Live Oak School District | \$14,500,000 | 1.509 |
| 278 | \$466,687,533 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$310,500,000 | 1.503 |
| 279 | \$197,310,278 | San Lorenzo Unified School District | \$132,000,000 | 1.495 |
| 280 | \$413,747,880 | Rowland Unified School District | \$276,800,000 | 1.495 |
| 281 | \$1,352,414,905 | Oakland Unified School District | \$910,000,000 | 1.486 |
| 282 | \$519,746,489 | Pasadena Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 1.485 |
| 283 | \$228,361,629 | Oxnard School District | \$154,000,000 | 1.483 |
| 284 | \$9,333,359 | Happy Valley Union Elementary School District | \$6,295,000 | 1.483 |
| 285 | \$11,986,238 | Chowchilla Union High School District | \$8,100,000 | 1.480 |
| 286 | \$4,952,028 | North County Joint Union School District | \$3,350,000 | 1.478 |
| 287 | \$86,961,792 | Rincon Valley Union School District | \$58,900,000 | 1.476 |
| 288 | \$686,174,023 | Clovis Unified School District | \$466,000,000 | 1.472 |
| 289 | \$51,455,235 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$35,000,000 | 1.470 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| 290 | \$426,359,902 | Coachella Valley Unified School District | \$291,000,000 | 1.465 |
| 291 | \$36,580,000 | Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 1.463 |
| 292 | \$315,595,619 | Sunnyvale School District | \$216,000,000 | 1.461 |
| 293 | \$363,296,988 | College of Marin | \$249,500,000 | 1.456 |
| 294 | \$217,991,505 | Chino Valley Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 1.453 |
| 295 | \$79,228,914 | Delano Joint Union High School District | \$55,000,000 | 1.441 |
| 296 | \$16,535,819 | Linden Unified School District | \$11,500,000 | 1.438 |
| 297 | \$469,493,080 | Colton Joint Unified School District | \$327,000,000 | 1.436 |
| 298 | \$45,388,443 | Fallbrook Union Elementary School District | \$32,000,000 | 1.418 |
| 299 | \$9,775,229 | Black Oak Mine Unified School District | \$6,900,000 | 1.417 |
| 300 | \$871,952,518 | Long Beach Community College District | \$616,000,000 | 1.416 |
| 301 | \$19,239,800 | Hermosa Beach City School District | \$13,600,000 | 1.415 |
| 302 | \$32,374,479 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | \$22,900,000 | 1.414 |
| 303 | \$56,495,440 | Soledad Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 1.412 |
| 304 | \$24,651,613 | Centralia School District | \$17,500,000 | 1.409 |
| 305 | \$17,076,024 | Sebastopol Union School District | \$12,200,000 | 1.400 |
| 306 | \$117,305,896 | Marysville Joint Unified School District | \$84,000,000 | 1.396 |
| 307 | \$11,020,248 | Elk Hills School District | \$7,905,000 | 1.394 |
| 308 | \$60,704,806 | Taft City School District | \$43,600,000 | 1.392 |
| 309 | \$145,044,155 | Burlingame School District | \$104,300,000 | 1.391 |
| 310 | \$168,238,543 | Citrus Community College District | \$121,000,000 | 1.390 |
| 311 | \$540,090,941 | Southwestern Community College District | \$389,000,000 | 1.388 |
| 312 | \$6,454,855 | Los Olivos School District | \$4,650,000 | 1.388 |
| 313 | \$2,356,651 | Grant Elementary School District | \$1,700,000 | 1.386 |
| 314 | \$151,743,721 | San Carlos Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 1.379 |
| 315 | \$520,758,579 | Palo Alto Unified School District | \$378,000,000 | 1.378 |
| 316 | \$11,016,630 | El Centro Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 1.377 |
| 317 | \$168,131,451 | Baldwin Park Unified School District | \$122,100,000 | 1.377 |
| 318 | \$171,989,560 | Beaumont Unified School District | \$125,000,000 | 1.376 |
| 319 | \$189,891,898 | Imperial Valley College | \$138,600,000 | 1.370 |
| 320 | \$88,155,898 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$64,400,000 | 1.369 |
| 321 | \$10,799,124 | Mesa Union Elementary School District | \$7,900,000 | 1.367 |
| 322 | \$341,061,295 | San Joaquin-Delta Community College District | \$250,000,000 | 1.364 |
| 323 | \$26,785,689 | Copper Mountain Community College District | \$19,720,000 | 1.358 |
| 324 | \$798,489,809 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$590,000,000 | 1.353 |
| 325 | \$405,029,140 | Cupertino Union School District | \$300,000,000 | 1.350 |
| 326 | \$10,778,781 | Yreka Union High School District | \$8,000,000 | 1.347 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| 327 | \$38,068,824 | Buckeye Union School District | \$28,300,000 | 1.345 |
| 328 | \$33,606,175 | Mark West Union School District | \$25,000,000 | 1.344 |
| 329 | \$963,927,336 | San Jose Unified School District | \$719,000,000 | 1.341 |
| 330 | \$58,871,980 | La Mesa Spring Valley School District | \$44,000,000 | 1.338 |
| 331 | \$28,085,352 | Petaluma City School District | \$21,000,000 | 1.337 |
| 332 | \$68,034,116 | Tracy Unified School District | \$51,000,000 | 1.334 |
| 333 | \$1,998,263 | Soulsbyville Elementary School District | \$1,500,000 | 1.332 |
| 334 | \$239,656 | Graves Union School District | \$180,000 | 1.331 |
| 335 | \$10,370,863 | Midway School District | \$7,800,000 | 1.330 |
| 336 | \$79,714,962 | Rosemead School District | \$60,000,000 | 1.329 |
| 337 | \$22,563,738 | Mountain View School District SFID No. 1 | \$17,000,000 | 1.327 |
| 338 | \$7,027,655 | Placerville Union School District | \$5,300,000 | 1.326 |
| 339 | \$34,417,506 | Old Adobe Union School District | \$26,000,000 | 1.324 |
| 340 | \$231,449,078 | Riverside Unified School District | \$175,000,000 | 1.323 |
| 341 | \$105,544,550 | Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 1.319 |
| 342 | \$36,933,823 | Standard School District | \$28,000,000 | 1.319 |
| 343 | \$13,018,100 | Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$9,900,000 | 1.315 |
| 344 | \$118,171,144 | Chula Vista Elementary School District SFID No. 1 | \$90,000,000 | 1.313 |
| 345 | \$457,536,854 | Riverside Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 1.307 |
| 346 | \$110,730,850 | Butte-Glenn Community College District | \$84,900,000 | 1.304 |
| 347 | \$46,940,456 | Apple Valley Unified School District | \$36,000,000 | 1.304 |
| 348 | \$2,860,975 | Cottonwood Union Elementary School District | \$2,200,000 | 1.300 |
| 349 | \$193,454,220 | Hemet Unified School District | \$149,000,000 | 1.298 |
| S-1 | \$16,942,084,821 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2002 | \$13,050,000,000 | 1.298 |
| 350 | \$2,580,800 | Hilmar Unified School District | \$2,000,000 | 1.290 |
| 351 | \$33,530,956 | Arvin Union School District | \$26,000,000 | 1.290 |
| 352 | \$305,620,800 | El Monte Union High School District | \$238,000,000 | 1.284 |
| 353 | \$885,640,602 | Grossmont Union High School District | \$691,000,000 | 1.282 |
| 354 | \$62,777,350 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$49,000,000 | 1.281 |
| 355 | \$38,326,622 | John Swett Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 1.278 |
| 356 | \$45,011,145 | Ripon Unified School District | \$35,236,190 | 1.277 |
| 357 | \$125,271,506 | Lincoln Unified School District | \$98,500,000 | 1.272 |
| 358 | \$399,925 | Mission Union School District | \$315,000 | 1.270 |
| 359 | \$1,823,863,360 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$1,440,000,000 | 1.267 |
| 360 | \$3,104,700 | Burton Elementary School District | \$2,455,000 | 1.265 |
| 361 | \$39,162,300 | Shasta Union High School District | \$31,000,000 | 1.263 |
| 362 | \$11,369,251 | Chawanakee Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 1.263 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| 363 | \$873,228,148 | Palomar Community College | \$694,000,000 | 1.258 |
| 364 | \$573,563 | Loleta Union School District | \$456,000 | 1.258 |
| 365 | \$13,164,366 | Parlier Unified School District | \$10,500,000 | 1.254 |
| 366 | \$32,182,726 | Yuba City Unified School District | \$25,670,000 | 1.254 |
| 367 | \$542,600,838 | Hayward Unified School District | \$434,000,000 | 1.250 |
| 368 | \$2,396,250 | Liberty School District | \$1,920,000 | 1.248 |
| 369 | \$247,049,592 | Poway Unified School District SFID 2002-1 | \$198,000,000 | 1.248 |
| 370 | \$868,696,587 | Stockton Unified School District | \$698,500,000 | 1.244 |
| 371 | \$76,953,201 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$62,000,000 | 1.241 |
| 372 | \$734,847,585 | Mt. Diablo Unified School District | \$598,000,000 | 1.229 |
| 373 | \$171,736,938 | Vista Unified School District | \$140,000,000 | 1.227 |
| 374 | \$32,971,096 | Escalon Unified School District | \$27,000,000 | 1.221 |
| 375 | \$120,861,024 | Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District | \$99,000,000 | 1.221 |
| 376 | \$369,752,801 | Pomona Unified School District | \$303,000,000 | 1.220 |
| 377 | \$7,319,390 | Pierce Joint Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 1.220 |
| 378 | \$94,009,388 | Santa Barbara Community College District | \$77,242,012 | 1.217 |
| 379 | \$72,905,206 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 1.215 |
| 380 | \$42,466,491 | Scotts Valley Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 1.213 |
| 381 | \$47,279,562 | Healdsburg Unified School District | \$38,990,000 | 1.213 |
| 382 | \$94,442,330 | Chico Unified School District | \$78,000,000 | 1.211 |
| 383 | \$7,253,700 | Oroville City Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 1.209 |
| 384 | \$35,173,563 | Roseville City School District | \$29,117,071 | 1.208 |
| 385 | \$72,469,625 | Visalia Unified School District | \$60,100,000 | 1.206 |
| 386 | \$18,024,797 | Nevada Joint Union High School District | \$15,000,000 | 1.202 |
| 387 | \$249,286,850 | Pajaro Valley Unified School District | \$208,250,000 | 1.197 |
| 388 | \$4,765,503 | Vineland School District | \$4,000,000 | 1.191 |
| 389 | \$149,389,899 | Redlands Unified School District | \$125,500,000 | 1.190 |
| 390 | \$267,706,089 | Campbell Union School District | \$224,900,000 | 1.190 |
| 391 | \$28,262,085 | West Sonoma County Union High School District | \$23,800,000 | 1.187 |
| 392 | \$3,175,110 | Corning Union School District | \$2,700,000 | 1.176 |
| 393 | \$85,844,841 | Bassett Unified School District | \$73,000,000 | 1.176 |
| 394 | \$35,236,360 | Huntington Beach City Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 1.175 |
| 395 | \$9,325,466 | Delhi Unified School District | \$8,000,000 | 1.166 |
| 396 | \$3,242,413 | Armona Union Elementary School District | \$2,785,000 | 1.164 |
| 397 | \$46,856,812 | College of the Redwoods | \$40,320,000 | 1.162 |
| 398 | \$17,394,000 | Plumas Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 1.160 |
| 399 | \$679,484,100 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$588,000,000 | 1.156 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| 400 | \$1,224,857,399 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$1,063,000,000 | 1.152 |
| 401 | \$1,011,902,207 | East Side Union High School District | \$880,200,000 | 1.150 |
| 402 | \$8,126,141 | El Tejon Unified School District | \$7,120,000 | 1.141 |
| 403 | \$34,007,022 | Fruitvale School District | \$29,800,000 | 1.141 |
| 404 | \$12,545,726 | Amador County Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 1.141 |
| 405 | \$7,275,600 | Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District | \$6,400,000 | 1.137 |
| 406 | \$102,305,752 | Moreland School District | \$90,000,000 | 1.137 |
| 407 | \$2,328,810 | Thermalito Union School District | \$2,050,000 | 1.136 |
| 408 | \$481,068,235 | Beverly Hills Unified School District | \$424,000,000 | 1.135 |
| 409 | \$4,537,969 | Springville Union Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 1.134 |
| 410 | \$138,184,718 | Los Gatos Union School District | \$121,900,000 | 1.134 |
| 411 | \$12,441,182 | Twin Hills Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 1.131 |
| 412 | \$247,051,143 | Kern High School District | \$219,000,000 | 1.128 |
| 413 | \$439,500,784 | San Bernardino City Unified School District | \$390,000,000 | 1.127 |
| 414 | \$20,847,276 | Konocti Unified School District | \$18,500,000 | 1.127 |
| 415 | \$359,622,882 | Natomas Unified School District | \$320,380,000 | 1.122 |
| 416 | \$48,915,401 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$43,900,000 | 1.114 |
| 417 | \$49,556,118 | North Monterey County Unified School District | \$44,550,000 | 1.112 |
| 418 | \$21,905,900 | Byron Union School District | \$19,700,000 | 1.112 |
| 419 | \$112,523,746 | El Rancho Unified School District | \$101,500,000 | 1.109 |
| 420 | \$285,832,993 | Murietta Valley Unified School District | \$258,400,000 | 1.106 |
| 421 | \$37,475,529 | Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District | \$34,000,000 | 1.102 |
| 422 | \$5,386,598,170 | San Diego Unified School District | \$4,900,000,000 | 1.099 |
| 423 | \$6,912,423 | Big Pine Unified School District | \$6,300,000 | 1.097 |
| 424 | \$438,095 | Washington Colony Elementary School District | \$400,000 | 1.095 |
| 425 | \$21,800,623 | Oakdale Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 1.090 |
| 426 | \$52,309,823 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$48,100,000 | 1.088 |
| 427 | \$71,376,435 | Central School District | \$66,000,000 | 1.081 |
| 428 | \$6,488,708 | Maricopa Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 1.081 |
| 429 | \$476,750,696 | City College of San Francisco | \$441,300,000 | 1.080 |
| 430 | \$858,933 | Banta Elementary School District | \$800,000 | 1.074 |
| 431 | \$110,438,238 | Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District | \$103,600,000 | 1.066 |
| 432 | \$6,393,614 | Oak Grove Union School District (Sonoma County) | \$6,000,000 | 1.066 |
| 433 | \$244,996,820 | Chaffey Community College | \$230,000,000 | 1.065 |
| 434 | \$20,024,620 | Healdsburg Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$18,820,000 | 1.064 |
| 435 | \$13,138,803 | Cascade Union Elementary School District | \$12,400,000 | 1.060 |
| 436 | \$40,235,788 | Lompoc Unified School District | \$38,000,000 | 1.059 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| 437 | \$71,423,429 | Petaluma Joint Union High School District | \$68,000,000 | 1.050 |
| 438 | \$62,171,725 | South Bay Union School District | \$59,400,000 | 1.047 |
| 439 | \$6,273,946 | Gravenstein Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 1.046 |
| 440 | \$55,269,800 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$53,000,000 | 1.043 |
| 441 | \$513,972,730 | Fremont Union High School District | \$493,000,000 | 1.043 |
| 442 | \$51,751,767 | Fullerton School District | \$49,700,000 | 1.041 |
| 443 | \$8,214,325 | Hope Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 1.027 |
| 444 | \$109,599,624 | Novato Unified School District | \$107,000,000 | 1.024 |
| 445 | \$7,652,500 | Pioneer Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 1.020 |
| 446 | \$130,917,495 | Temple City Unified School District | \$128,800,000 | 1.016 |
| 447 | \$14,176,406 | River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$14,000,000 | 1.013 |
| 448 | \$194,308,052 | Central Unified School District | \$192,000,000 | 1.012 |
| 449 | \$120,613,873 | Jefferson Elementary School District | \$119,500,000 | 1.009 |
| 450 | \$271,550,903 | Jefferson Union High School District | \$269,900,000 | 1.006 |
| 451 | \$3,513,780 | Strathmore Union High School District | \$3,500,000 | 1.004 |
| 452 | \$97,992,075 | Glendale Community College District | \$98,000,000 | 1.000 |
| 453 | \$160,298,725 | State Center Community College District | \$161,000,000 | 0.996 |
| 454 | \$178,786,794 | Saddleback Valley Unified School District | \$180,000,000 | 0.993 |
| 455 | \$148,973,295 | Pasadena City College | \$150,000,000 | 0.993 |
| 456 | \$3,961,942 | Round Valley Unified School District | \$4,000,000 | 0.990 |
| 457 | \$33,642,388 | Mendota Unified School District | \$34,000,000 | 0.989 |
| 458 | \$14,809,324 | Soquel Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 0.987 |
| 459 | \$30,034,362 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District | \$30,450,000 | 0.986 |
| 460 | \$98,475,600 | Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 0.985 |
| 461 | \$5,892,230 | Bayshore Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 0.982 |
| 462 | \$25,303,438 | Northern Humboldt Union High School District | \$25,800,000 | 0.981 |
| 463 | \$629,269,235 | Sweetwater Union High School District | \$644,000,000 | 0.977 |
| 464 | \$87,439,867 | Alisal Union School District | \$90,000,000 | 0.972 |
| 465 | \$78,645,500 | Cabrillo Unified School District | \$81,000,000 | 0.971 |
| 466 | \$37,249,566 | Galt Joint Union High School District | \$38,440,000 | 0.969 |
| 467 | \$24,085,823 | Del Norte County School District | \$24,987,000 | 0.964 |
| 468 | \$113,613,862 | Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$118,100,000 | 0.962 |
| 469 | \$212,931,866 | Val Verde Unified School District | \$221,440,000 | 0.962 |
| 470 | \$33,757,831 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | \$35,200,000 | 0.959 |
| 471 | \$13,282,548 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$14,000,000 | 0.949 |
| 472 | \$7,373,975 | Sonora Elementary School District | \$7,800,000 | 0.945 |
| 473 | \$610,206,907 | Corona-Norco Unified School District | \$646,000,000 | 0.945 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| 474 | \$37,565,462 | Stanislaus Union School District | \$39,800,000 | 0.944 |
| 475 | \$528,196,190 | Cerritos Community College District | \$560,000,000 | 0.943 |
| 476 | \$8,478,225 | Somis Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 0.942 |
| 477 | \$188,443,331 | Tamalpais Union High School District | \$200,920,000 | 0.938 |
| 478 | \$466,526,948 | Ohlone Community College District | \$499,000,000 | 0.935 |
| 479 | \$6,070,000 | Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District | \$6,500,000 | 0.934 |
| 480 | \$60,761,004 | Merced Community College District SFID No. 1 and SFID No. 2 | \$65,430,000 | 0.929 |
| 481 | \$46,437,025 | Sierra Sands Unified School District | \$50,500,000 | 0.920 |
| 482 | \$434,377,291 | Solano Community College District | \$472,500,000 | 0.919 |
| 483 | \$10,022,294 | Turlock Unified School District (Turlock Elementary School District) | \$10,950,000 | 0.915 |
| 484 | \$47,446,233 | Dinuba Unified School District | \$51,915,000 | 0.914 |
| 485 | \$16,630,230,000 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$18,205,000,000 | 0.913 |
| 486 | \$22,755,350 | Bear Valley Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 0.910 |
| 487 | \$970,657,248 | Coast Community College District | \$1,068,000,000 | 0.909 |
| 488 | \$777,874,017 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$856,500,000 | 0.908 |
| 489 | \$21,249,655 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 1 and SFID No. 2 | \$23,400,000 | 0.908 |
| 490 | \$471,718,925 | San Ramon Valley Unified School District | \$520,000,000 | 0.907 |
| 491 | \$540,938 | Peninsula Union School District | \$600,000 | 0.902 |
| 492 | \$1,148,082,042 | San Francisco Unified School District | \$1,276,000,000 | 0.900 |
| 493 | \$593,650 | Paradise Elementary School District | \$660,000 | 0.899 |
| 494 | \$45,609,187 | Reed Union School District | \$51,000,000 | 0.894 |
| 495 | \$103,959,338 | Atascadero Unified School District | \$117,000,000 | 0.889 |
| 496 | \$474,302,513 | Rancho Santiago Community College District | \$535,000,000 | 0.887 |
| 497 | \$15,957,188 | Bret Harte Union High School District | \$18,000,000 | 0.887 |
| 498 | \$561,977,772 | Sacramento City Unified School District | \$639,000,000 | 0.879 |
| 499 | \$36,549,483 | Calistoga Joint Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 0.870 |
| 500 | \$107,708,706 | Washington Unified School District | \$123,800,000 | 0.870 |
| 501 | \$68,551,513 | Bellflower Unified School District | \$79,000,000 | 0.868 |
| 502 | \$137,433,988 | Roseville Joint Union High School District | \$158,500,000 | 0.867 |
| 503 | \$18,494,313 | Lucia Mar Unified School District | \$21,350,000 | 0.866 |
| 504 | \$565,108,064 | Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District | \$653,000,000 | 0.865 |
| 505 | \$19,903,225 | Porterville Unified School District FID | \$23,000,000 | 0.865 |
| 506 | \$548,041,186 | Palm Springs Unified School District | \$638,000,000 | 0.859 |
| 507 | \$638,935,579 | El Camino Community College District | \$744,516,464 | 0.858 |
| 508 | \$501,714,176 | West Valley-Mission Community College District | \$585,000,000 | 0.858 |
| 509 | \$9,373,300 | Pacheco Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 0.852 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| 510 | \$84,074,751 | San Rafael High School District and San Rafael Elementary School District (San Rafael City Schools) | \$98,800,000 | 0.851 |
| 511 | \$164,012,478 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 4 and SFID No. 5 | \$193,000,000 | 0.850 |
| 512 | \$168,861,989 | Compton Community College District | \$200,000,000 | 0.844 |
| 513 | \$122,091,187 | Grant Joint Union High School District, North Sacramento School District, and Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$144,900,000 | 0.843 |
| 514 | \$169,413,890 | El Monte City School District | \$203,000,000 | 0.835 |
| 515 | \$32,155,996 | Santa Paula Union High School District | \$39,000,000 | 0.825 |
| 516 | \$41,967,824 | Castaic Union School District | \$51,000,000 | 0.823 |
| 517 | \$12,243,611 | Winters Joint Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 0.816 |
| 518 | \$48,520,213 | Eureka Unified School District and Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$60,335,000 | 0.804 |
| 519 | \$32,138,350 | Wasco Union High School District | \$40,100,000 | 0.801 |
| 520 | \$221,444,499 | Anaheim City Elementary School District | \$280,300,000 | 0.790 |
| 521 | \$642,787,515 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$815,100,000 | 0.789 |
| 522 | \$581,980,406 | Los Rios Community College District | \$740,000,000 | 0.786 |
| 523 | \$155,203,888 | Mountain View Whisman Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 0.784 |
| 524 | \$55,197,476 | Buena Park Elementary School District | \$71,000,000 | 0.777 |
| 525 | \$464,756,000 | Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District | \$605,000,000 | 0.768 |
| 526 | \$378,982,038 | Victor Valley Union High School District | \$500,000,000 | 0.758 |
| 527 | \$151,141,871 | Perris Union High School District | \$199,420,000 | 0.758 |
| 528 | \$32,476,035 | Willits Unified School District | \$43,000,000 | 0.755 |
| 529 | \$82,530,000 | Monterey Peninsula Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 0.750 |
| 530 | \$8,593,856 | Solvang School District | \$11,650,000 | 0.738 |
| 531 | \$145,814,481 | Morgan Hill Unified School District | \$198,250,000 | 0.736 |
| 532 | \$11,015,631 | La Honda-Pescadero Unified | \$15,000,000 | 0.734 |
| 533 | \$47,721,312 | Perris Elementary School District | \$65,000,000 | 0.734 |
| 534 | \$22,720,617 | La Habra City School District | \$31,000,000 | 0.733 |
| 535 | \$178,970,150 | Covina Valley Unified School District | \$245,000,000 | 0.730 |
| 536 | \$10,667,745 | Wheatland School District | \$14,700,000 | 0.726 |
| 537 | \$24,913,992 | Templeton Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 0.712 |
| 538 | \$10,852,101 | Anderson Valley Unified School District | \$15,250,000 | 0.712 |
| 539 | \$104,093,442 | Madera Unified School District | \$148,500,000 | 0.701 |
| 540 | \$838,454,461 | Long Beach Unified School District | \$1,200,000,000 | 0.699 |
| 541 | \$168,683,036 | Alameda Unified School District | \$242,500,000 | 0.696 |
| 542 | \$59,998,281 | Merced City Elementary School District | \$86,500,000 | 0.694 |
| 543 | \$39,469,491 | Los Nietos School District | \$59,140,000 | 0.667 |
| 544 | \$18,654,055 | Greenfield Union School District (Monterey County) | \$28,300,000 | 0.659 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| 545 | \$5,878,839 | Gold Oak Union School District | \$8,950,000 | 0.657 |
| 546 | \$351,972,145 | Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District | \$540,000,000 | 0.652 |
| 547 | \$6,414,363 | Fortuna Union High School District | \$10,000,000 | 0.641 |
| 548 | \$428,856,070 | Desert Sands Unified School District | \$675,000,000 | 0.635 |
| 549 | \$13,604,205 | Ross School District | \$21,750,000 | 0.625 |
| 550 | \$155,512,675 | Evergreen School District | \$250,000,000 | 0.622 |
| 551 | \$7,620,450 | Anderson Union High School District | \$12,300,000 | 0.620 |
| 552 | \$69,358,175 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$113,000,000 | 0.614 |
| 553 | \$109,707,525 | Victor Elementary School District | \$180,000,000 | 0.609 |
| 554 | \$6,006,313 | Livingston Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 0.601 |
| 555 | \$13,753,319 | Sonora Union High School District | \$23,000,000 | 0.598 |
| 556 | \$476,899,876 | Fremont Unified School District | \$807,200,000 | 0.591 |
| 557 | \$10,626,544 | Little Lake City School District | \$18,000,000 | 0.590 |
| 558 | \$175,455,083 | Alum Rock Union Elementary School District | \$304,000,000 | 0.577 |
| 559 | \$71,546,202 | Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3 | \$125,100,000 | 0.572 |
| 560 | \$78,660,142 | Banning Unified School District | \$138,000,000 | 0.570 |
| 561 | \$255,319,850 | San Dieguito Union High School District | \$449,000,000 | 0.569 |
| 562 | \$75,590,361 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District, Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID, and Redwood Shores SFID | \$133,000,000 | 0.568 |
| 563 | \$26,258,138 | Charter Oak Unified School District | \$47,000,000 | 0.559 |
| 564 | \$123,259,554 | Lodi Unified School District | \$223,300,000 | 0.552 |
| 565 | \$3,116,800 | Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District | \$5,950,000 | 0.524 |
| 566 | \$59,618,596 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$114,000,000 | 0.523 |
| 567 | \$117,331,941 | Manteca Unified School District | \$225,000,000 | 0.521 |
| 568 | \$10,135,688 | Helendale School District | \$20,000,000 | 0.507 |
| 569 | \$345,344,733 | San Juan Unified School District | \$700,000,000 | 0.493 |
| 570 | \$70,713,038 | Jurupa Unified School District | \$144,000,000 | 0.491 |
| 571 | \$123,613,423 | Santa Rosa High School District | \$252,000,000 | 0.491 |
| 572 | \$276,762,860 | North Orange County Community College District | \$574,000,000 | 0.482 |
| 573 | \$113,254,239 | Palmdale Elementary School District | \$245,000,000 | 0.462 |
| 574 | \$68,968,432 | San Jacinto Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 0.460 |
| 575 | \$19,223,227 | Kentfield School District | \$41,900,000 | 0.459 |
| 576 | \$36,357,425 | Salinas City Elementary School District | \$80,000,000 | 0.454 |
| 577 | \$74,797,914 | Temecula Valley Unified School District | \$165,000,000 | 0.453 |
| 578 | \$118,842,831 | San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta) | \$275,000,000 | 0.432 |
| 579 | \$3,798,669 | Pollock Pines Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 0.422 |
| 580 | \$123,896,004 | Vacaville Unified School District | \$295,300,000 | 0.420 |
| 581 | \$4,289,339 | Elverta Elementary School District | \$10,500,000 | 0.409 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| 582 | \$60,682,514 | Norris School District | \$149,000,000 | 0.407 |
| 583 | \$13,920,663 | Ojai Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 0.398 |
| 584 | \$41,498,667 | Culver City Unified School District | \$106,000,000 | 0.391 |
| 585 | \$22,781,800 | Newhall School District | \$60,000,000 | 0.380 |
| 586 | \$249,159,550 | Sonoma County Community College District | \$661,700,000 | 0.377 |
| 587 | \$141,300,905 | Anaheim Union High School District | \$381,000,000 | 0.371 |
| 588 | \$198,521,688 | Torrance Unified School District | \$549,300,000 | 0.361 |
| 589 | \$8,728,475 | Lakeport Unified School District | \$24,300,000 | 0.359 |
| 590 | \$11,377,659 | Eureka Unified School District | \$32,515,000 | 0.350 |
| 591 | \$25,118,319 | Santa Rosa Elementary School District | \$73,000,000 | 0.344 |
| 592 | \$13,426,468 | Nuvview Union School District | \$39,600,000 | 0.339 |
| 593 | \$268,611,647 | Chaffey Joint Union School District | \$848,000,000 | 0.317 |
| 594 | \$60,603,790 | Saugus Union School District | \$196,000,000 | 0.309 |
| 595 | \$9,090,600 | Dixie School District | \$30,000,000 | 0.303 |
| 596 | \$93,843,193 | Tustin Unified School District | \$310,000,000 | 0.303 |
| 597 | \$70,282,313 | Fullerton Joint Union High School District | \$242,940,000 | 0.289 |
| 598 | \$85,947,218 | Downey Unified School District | \$313,000,000 | 0.275 |
| 599 | \$16,876,900 | Duarte Unified School District | \$62,000,000 | 0.272 |
| 600 | \$41,420,029 | South San Francisco Unified School District | \$162,000,000 | 0.256 |
| 601 | \$17,559,250 | Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District | \$72,000,000 | 0.244 |
| 602 | \$44,996,950 | Conejo Valley Unified School District | \$197,000,000 | 0.228 |
| 603 | \$13,664,150 | Las Lomas School District | \$60,000,000 | 0.228 |
| 604 | \$9,295,888 | San Benito High School District | \$42,500,000 | 0.219 |
| 605 | \$31,006,600 | Panama-Buena Vista Union School District | \$147,000,000 | 0.211 |
| 606 | \$31,337,650 | Los Altos School District | \$150,000,000 | 0.209 |
| 607 | \$41,826,550 | Salinas Union High School District | \$217,000,000 | 0.193 |
| 608 | \$14,687,950 | Carpinteria Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 0.163 |
| 609 | \$66,940,500 | Moreno Valley Unified School District | \$448,000,000 | 0.149 |
| 610 | \$100,636,381 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 3 | \$750,000,000 | 0.134 |
| 611 | \$5,477,575 | Greenfield Union School District (Kern County) | \$45,000,000 | 0.122 |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Briggs Elementary School District | \$4,500,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Center Joint Unified School District | \$500,000,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Cinnabar Elementary School District | \$2,500,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Columbia School District | \$8,600,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Colusa Unified School District | \$5,900,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Farmersville Unified School District | \$4,800,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5 | \$195,000,000 | N/A |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow |
|------|--|---|------------------------------|--|
| N/A | Not issued yet | Golden Plains Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Gustine Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Hollister School District | \$28,500,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Lake Tahoe Community College District | \$55,000,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Laytonville Unified School District | \$5,500,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Manchester Union Elementary School District | \$1,310,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Mt. San Jacinto Community College District | \$295,000,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | National School District | \$26,100,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Rio School District | \$38,500,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Roseville Joint Union High School District SFID No. 1 | \$115,000,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | San Luis Coastal Unified School District | \$177,000,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Santa Maria-Bonita School District | \$45,000,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Tipton Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | West Hills Community College District | \$20,000,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Western Placer Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Willows Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | N/A |
| N/A | No statement for 2011 REF | Barstow Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | N/A |
| N/A | No statement for 2012 REF | Columbia Union Elementary School District | \$7,300,000 | N/A |
| N/A | No statement for last 3 REF | Eastern Sierra Unified School District | \$11,100,000 | N/A |
| N/A | No statement for 2012 REF | Exeter Union High School District | \$9,450,000 | N/A |
| N/A | No statement for 2014 REF | Gold Trail Union School District | \$1,800,000 | N/A |
| N/A | No statement for 2011 REF | Kelseyville Unified School District | \$2,930,000 | N/A |
| N/A | No statement for 2008 Series A, Series B, CABs | Riverbank Unified School District | \$15,200,000 | N/A |
| N/A | No statement for 2011 REF | South Whittier Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | N/A |
| | \$193,536,130,619 | TOTAL | \$145,386,418,737 | 1.331 |

* Does not include the sixteen (16) reauthorizations approved by voters since November 7, 2000.

Appendix K

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | \$100,636,381 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 3 | \$750,000,000 | 60 | \$1,677,273 |
| 2 | \$21,800,623 | Oakdale Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 28 | \$778,594 |
| 3 | \$11,594,841 | Lost Hills Union School District | \$6,200,000 | 35 | \$331,281 |
| 4 | \$105,494,869 | Orchard School District | \$40,000,000 | 391 | \$269,808 |
| 5 | \$11,020,248 | Elk Hills School District | \$7,905,000 | 54 | \$204,079 |
| 6 | \$679,613,798 | Alvord Unified School District | \$196,000,000 | 3,437 | \$197,735 |
| 7 | \$5,143,406 | Bradley Union School District | \$1,100,000 | 33 | \$155,861 |
| 8 | \$1,316,013,363 | Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$230,000,000 | 10,477 | \$125,610 |
| 9 | \$10,370,863 | Midway School District | \$7,800,000 | 93 | \$111,515 |
| 10 | \$44,887,431 | Calipatria Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 422 | \$106,368 |
| 11 | \$136,452,673 | Golden Valley Unified School District | \$70,000,000 | 1,438 | \$94,891 |
| 12 | \$775,792,331 | Santa Ana Unified School District | \$200,000,000 | 8,426 | \$92,071 |
| 13 | \$491,203,694 | Fontana Unified School District | \$275,000,000 | 5,598 | \$87,746 |
| 14 | \$22,563,738 | Mountain View School District SFID No. 1 | \$17,000,000 | 284 | \$79,450 |
| 15 | \$79,060,319 | Richland School District | \$23,000,000 | 1,006 | \$78,589 |
| 16 | \$147,827,850 | Emery Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 1,982 | \$74,585 |
| 17 | \$352,029,370 | Wiseburn Unified School District | \$119,600,000 | 4,762 | \$73,925 |
| 18 | \$208,152,688 | Hillsborough City School District | \$66,800,000 | 2,838 | \$73,345 |
| 19 | \$115,550,326 | McKinleyville Union School District | \$14,000,000 | 1,723 | \$67,063 |
| 20 | \$693,368,612 | Oceanside Unified School District | \$195,000,000 | 10,952 | \$63,310 |
| 21 | \$157,991,399 | Piedmont Unified School District | \$56,000,000 | 2,497 | \$63,272 |
| 22 | \$23,034,031 | Reef-Sunset Unified School District | \$10,830,000 | 376 | \$61,261 |
| 23 | \$410,528,679 | Paramount Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 6,845 | \$59,975 |
| 24 | \$531,607,001 | Arcadia Unified School District | \$218,000,000 | 10,104 | \$52,614 |
| 25 | \$123,327,878 | Jefferson School District | \$35,400,000 | 2,354 | \$52,391 |
| 26 | \$11,845,946 | Pixley Union School District | \$7,800,000 | 229 | \$51,729 |
| 27 | \$169,856,485 | Newark Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 3,286 | \$51,691 |
| 28 | \$86,813,248 | Fowler Unified School District | \$21,000,000 | 1,757 | \$49,410 |
| 29 | \$426,359,902 | Coachella Valley Unified School District | \$291,000,000 | 8,938 | \$47,702 |
| 30 | \$55,144,245 | Sutter Union High School District | \$12,600,000 | 1,194 | \$46,184 |
| 31 | \$315,201,893 | Las Virgenes Unified School District | \$128,000,000 | 6,865 | \$45,914 |
| 32 | \$1,242,287,328 | Poway Unified School District SFID 2007-1 | \$179,000,000 | 28,323 | \$43,861 |
| 33 | \$861,374,033 | San Marcos Unified School District | \$287,000,000 | 20,082 | \$42,893 |
| 34 | \$199,921,338 | Burbank Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 4,663 | \$42,874 |
| 35 | \$345,445,867 | Azusa Unified School District | \$167,000,000 | 8,183 | \$42,215 |
| 36 | \$212,931,866 | Val Verde Unified School District | \$221,440,000 | 5,081 | \$41,907 |
| 37 | \$15,648,487 | Hart-Ransom Union School District | \$3,900,000 | 388 | \$40,331 |
| 38 | \$171,031,543 | Monrovia Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 4,301 | \$39,766 |
| 39 | \$235,481,421 | Union School District | \$125,000,000 | 5,958 | \$39,524 |
| 40 | \$66,151,623 | Robla School District | \$29,800,000 | 1,694 | \$39,051 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 41 | \$26,234,545 | Lennox Elementary School District | \$10,700,000 | 682 | \$38,467 |
| 42 | \$3,588,520 | Merced River School District | \$1,800,000 | 95 | \$37,774 |
| 43 | \$76,953,201 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$62,000,000 | 2,074 | \$37,104 |
| 44 | \$520,758,579 | Palo Alto Unified School District | \$378,000,000 | 14,074 | \$37,001 |
| 45 | \$475,216,369 | Dublin Unified School District | \$283,000,000 | 13,267 | \$35,819 |
| 46 | \$481,068,235 | Beverly Hills Unified School District | \$424,000,000 | 13,908 | \$34,589 |
| 47 | \$231,561,564 | Lake Tahoe Unified School District | \$64,500,000 | 6,715 | \$34,484 |
| 48 | \$460,509,508 | Glendale Unified School District | \$270,000,000 | 13,637 | \$33,769 |
| 49 | \$308,326,405 | Menlo Park City School District | \$114,100,000 | 9,158 | \$33,667 |
| 50 | \$426,006,465 | New Haven Unified School District | \$245,000,000 | 12,779 | \$33,336 |
| 51 | \$20,722,992 | Needles Unified School District | \$10,900,000 | 623 | \$33,263 |
| 52 | \$179,014,870 | Milpitas Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 5,412 | \$33,077 |
| 53 | \$264,291,465 | Walnut Valley Unified School District | \$79,800,000 | 8,018 | \$32,962 |
| 54 | \$41,592,091 | Fairfax Elementary School District | \$24,800,000 | 1,265 | \$32,879 |
| 55 | \$22,997,866 | Brisbane Elementary School District | \$11,000,000 | 706 | \$32,575 |
| 56 | \$5,291,673 | Princeton Unified School District | \$2,750,000 | 165 | \$32,071 |
| 57 | \$376,258,036 | Upland Unified School District | \$103,000,000 | 11,785 | \$31,927 |
| 58 | \$820,128,328 | Newport-Mesa Unified School District | \$282,000,000 | 26,123 | \$31,395 |
| 59 | \$11,645,964 | Oak View Union School District | \$3,700,000 | 380 | \$30,647 |
| 60 | \$61,512,101 | West Kern Community College District | \$39,800,000 | 2,039 | \$30,168 |
| 61 | \$1,328,256,540 | Foothill-De Anza Community College District | \$490,800,000 | 44,924 | \$29,567 |
| 62 | \$28,249,499 | Rio Bravo-Greeley Union School District | \$10,900,000 | 957 | \$29,519 |
| 63 | \$5,642,166 | Owens Valley Unified School District | \$2,600,000 | 196 | \$28,787 |
| 64 | \$52,740,241 | McFarland Unified School District | \$33,300,000 | 1,887 | \$27,949 |
| 65 | \$194,331,532 | Rocklin Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 7,101 | \$27,367 |
| 66 | \$6,070,000 | Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District | \$6,500,000 | 223 | \$27,220 |
| 67 | \$10,584,415 | Keyes Union High School District | \$5,000,000 | 392 | \$27,001 |
| 68 | \$4,570,763 | Southern Trinity Unified School District | \$2,300,000 | 170 | \$26,887 |
| 69 | \$47,055,127 | Woodside Elementary School District | \$25,500,000 | 1,755 | \$26,812 |
| 70 | \$104,451,970 | Benicia Unified School District | \$49,600,000 | 3,903 | \$26,762 |
| 71 | \$1,227,403 | Stone Corral Elementary School District | \$715,000 | 46 | \$26,683 |
| 72 | \$58,925,675 | Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 2,213 | \$26,627 |
| 73 | \$102,617,363 | Saratoga Union School District | \$19,900,000 | 3,903 | \$26,292 |
| 74 | \$15,829,245 | Chatom Union Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 617 | \$25,655 |
| 75 | \$87,439,867 | Alisal Union School District | \$90,000,000 | 3,414 | \$25,612 |
| 76 | \$44,957,074 | Denair Unified School District | \$21,200,000 | 1,771 | \$25,385 |
| 77 | \$4,765,503 | Vineland School District | \$4,000,000 | 188 | \$25,348 |
| 78 | \$763,039,971 | Escondido Union School District | \$228,400,000 | 30,250 | \$25,224 |
| 79 | \$409,106,363 | Carlsbad Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 16,250 | \$25,176 |
| 80 | \$29,001,414 | River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$9,000,000 | 1,165 | \$24,894 |
| 81 | \$52,102,538 | Orland Joint Unified School District | \$21,900,000 | 2,093 | \$24,894 |
| 82 | \$55,197,476 | Buena Park Elementary School District | \$71,000,000 | 2,229 | \$24,763 |
| 83 | \$513,226,364 | Garden Grove Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 21,149 | \$24,267 |
| 84 | \$56,495,440 | Soledad Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2,332 | \$24,226 |
| 85 | \$43,282,519 | Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District | \$16,100,000 | 1,813 | \$23,873 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 86 | \$79,228,914 | Delano Joint Union High School District | \$55,000,000 | 3,321 | \$23,857 |
| 87 | \$63,151,833 | Calexico Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2,680 | \$23,564 |
| 88 | \$36,549,483 | Calistoga Joint Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 1,572 | \$23,250 |
| 89 | \$60,704,806 | Taft City School District | \$43,600,000 | 2,620 | \$23,170 |
| 90 | \$835,866,713 | Desert Community College District | \$346,500,000 | 36,698 | \$22,777 |
| 91 | \$196,222,983 | San Gabriel Unified School District | \$112,150,000 | 8,651 | \$22,682 |
| 92 | \$33,642,388 | Mendota Unified School District | \$34,000,000 | 1,484 | \$22,670 |
| 93 | \$168,078,687 | Savanna Elementary School District | \$53,685,000 | 7,443 | \$22,582 |
| 94 | \$119,620,000 | Patterson Joint Unified School District | \$69,500,000 | 5,323 | \$22,472 |
| 95 | \$135,544,814 | Windsor Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 6,208 | \$21,834 |
| 96 | \$144,864,388 | Ukiah Unified School District | \$43,000,000 | 6,675 | \$21,703 |
| 97 | \$387,075,741 | Gilroy Unified School District | \$219,000,000 | 17,854 | \$21,680 |
| 98 | \$18,271,034 | Planada Elementary School District | \$4,400,000 | 845 | \$21,623 |
| 99 | \$13,426,468 | Nuview Union School District | \$39,600,000 | 622 | \$21,586 |
| 100 | \$114,303,836 | St. Helena Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 5,327 | \$21,457 |
| 101 | \$217,991,505 | Chino Valley Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 10,191 | \$21,391 |
| 102 | \$155,203,888 | Mountain View Whisman Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 7,341 | \$21,142 |
| 103 | \$45,377,535 | Santa Rita Union Elementary School District | \$14,600,000 | 2,161 | \$20,998 |
| 104 | \$252,129,977 | Manhattan Beach Unified School District | \$67,480,000 | 12,191 | \$20,682 |
| 105 | \$78,645,500 | Cabrillo Unified School District | \$81,000,000 | 3,803 | \$20,680 |
| 106 | \$130,917,495 | Temple City Unified School District | \$128,800,000 | 6,454 | \$20,285 |
| 107 | \$1,316,013,363 | Chabot-Las Positas Community College District | \$498,000,000 | 65,195 | \$20,186 |
| 108 | \$60,682,514 | Norris School District | \$149,000,000 | 3,017 | \$20,114 |
| 109 | \$469,493,080 | Colton Joint Unified School District | \$327,000,000 | 23,525 | \$19,957 |
| 110 | \$10,106,868 | Dehesa School District | \$5,500,000 | 507 | \$19,935 |
| 111 | \$59,093,712 | Brentwood Union Elementary School District | \$35,000,000 | 2,968 | \$19,910 |
| 112 | \$59,618,596 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$114,000,000 | 3,018 | \$19,754 |
| 113 | \$10,852,101 | Anderson Valley Unified School District | \$15,250,000 | 551 | \$19,695 |
| 114 | \$585,151,849 | Hartnell Community College District | \$131,000,000 | 29,768 | \$19,657 |
| 115 | \$42,771,345 | Lakeside Union Elementary School District | \$22,500,000 | 2,179 | \$19,629 |
| 116 | \$105,544,550 | Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 5,382 | \$19,611 |
| 117 | \$129,149,014 | Glendora Unified School District | \$41,310,000 | 6,588 | \$19,604 |
| 118 | \$586,462,596 | Escondido Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 30,006 | \$19,545 |
| 119 | \$102,547,544 | Fort Bragg Unified School District | \$38,200,000 | 5,275 | \$19,440 |
| 120 | \$6,577,469 | Chualar Union Elementary School District | \$2,900,000 | 339 | \$19,403 |
| 121 | \$105,738,163 | Mill Valley School District | \$59,800,000 | 5,530 | \$19,121 |
| 122 | \$304,897,627 | Westminster School District | \$130,000,000 | 15,947 | \$19,119 |
| 123 | \$171,989,560 | Beaumont Unified School District | \$125,000,000 | 9,029 | \$19,049 |
| 124 | \$24,062,865 | Caruthers Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 1,264 | \$19,037 |
| 125 | \$6,488,708 | Maricopa Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 342 | \$18,973 |
| 126 | \$62,601,356 | Dixon Unified School District | \$29,200,000 | 3,313 | \$18,896 |
| 127 | \$413,747,880 | Rowland Unified School District | \$276,800,000 | 21,971 | \$18,832 |
| 128 | \$359,622,882 | Natomas Unified School District | \$320,380,000 | 19,118 | \$18,811 |
| 129 | \$110,653,662 | Larkspur-Corte Madera School District | \$45,000,000 | 5,968 | \$18,541 |
| 130 | \$542,600,838 | Hayward Unified School District | \$434,000,000 | 29,522 | \$18,380 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 131 | \$36,580,000 | Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 1,998 | \$18,308 |
| 132 | \$5,892,230 | Bayshore Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 325 | \$18,130 |
| 133 | \$796,617,519 | Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District | \$302,000,000 | 44,064 | \$18,079 |
| 134 | \$18,601,934 | Shoreline Unified School District | \$9,290,000 | 1,033 | \$18,008 |
| 135 | \$225,507,517 | Rialto Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 12,608 | \$17,886 |
| 136 | \$173,764,517 | Santee Elementary School District | \$60,000,000 | 9,742 | \$17,837 |
| 137 | \$59,501,108 | Kerman Unified School District | \$35,900,000 | 3,338 | \$17,825 |
| 138 | \$27,937,231 | Ocean View School District | \$13,200,000 | 1,571 | \$17,783 |
| 139 | \$485,440,600 | Rio Hondo Community College District | \$245,000,000 | 27,447 | \$17,686 |
| 140 | \$4,659,865 | Valley Home Joint School District | \$1,400,000 | 264 | \$17,651 |
| 141 | \$60,088,440 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$38,800,000 | 3,458 | \$17,377 |
| 142 | \$36,395,821 | Cloverdale Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2,096 | \$17,364 |
| 143 | \$53,195,241 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$20,900,000 | 3,065 | \$17,356 |
| 144 | \$2,871,725 | Big Creek Elementary School District | \$1,400,000 | 167 | \$17,196 |
| 145 | \$377,532,823 | San Leandro Unified School District | \$159,100,000 | 22,036 | \$17,133 |
| 146 | \$36,933,823 | Standard School District | \$28,000,000 | 2,166 | \$17,052 |
| 147 | \$102,173,755 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$46,945,000 | 5,996 | \$17,040 |
| 148 | \$33,530,956 | Arvin Union School District | \$26,000,000 | 1,968 | \$17,038 |
| 149 | \$21,327,913 | Washington Union School District | \$13,000,000 | 1,253 | \$17,021 |
| 150 | \$229,842,020 | Los Alamitos Unified School District | \$126,000,000 | 13,740 | \$16,728 |
| 151 | \$21,102,065 | Imperial Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 1,265 | \$16,681 |
| 152 | \$631,314,231 | Napa Valley Unified School District | \$278,000,000 | 38,014 | \$16,607 |
| 153 | \$68,968,432 | San Jacinto Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 4,153 | \$16,607 |
| 154 | \$13,282,548 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$14,000,000 | 804 | \$16,521 |
| 155 | \$206,164,296 | Cypress School District | \$53,600,000 | 12,493 | \$16,502 |
| 156 | \$107,648,944 | Morongo Unified School District | \$48,150,000 | 6,563 | \$16,402 |
| 157 | \$23,627,819 | Beardsley School District | \$10,300,000 | 1,444 | \$16,363 |
| 158 | \$31,121,459 | Wright Elementary School District | \$14,000,000 | 1,913 | \$16,268 |
| 159 | \$134,444,863 | Dry Creek Elementary School District | \$67,300,000 | 8,282 | \$16,233 |
| 160 | \$868,696,587 | Stockton Unified School District | \$698,500,000 | 53,940 | \$16,105 |
| 161 | \$6,432,156 | Le Grand Union High School District | \$4,200,000 | 401 | \$16,040 |
| 162 | \$8,881,981 | Arena Union Elementary School District | \$3,700,000 | 554 | \$16,032 |
| 163 | \$203,692,946 | Ceres Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 12,839 | \$15,865 |
| 164 | \$15,152,514 | Live Oak Unified School District | \$8,400,000 | 961 | \$15,767 |
| 165 | \$378,982,038 | Victor Valley Union High School District | \$500,000,000 | 24,037 | \$15,767 |
| 166 | \$253,445,891 | Simi Valley Unified School District | \$145,000,000 | 16,118 | \$15,724 |
| 167 | \$30,723,628 | Weaver Union School District | \$18,800,000 | 1,955 | \$15,715 |
| 168 | \$123,701,248 | El Segundo Unified School District | \$39,000,000 | 7,971 | \$15,519 |
| 169 | \$283,268,176 | Mendocino-Lake Community College District | \$67,500,000 | 18,417 | \$15,381 |
| 170 | \$71,423,429 | Petaluma Joint Union High School District | \$68,000,000 | 4,652 | \$15,353 |
| 171 | \$476,899,876 | Fremont Unified School District | \$807,200,000 | 31,072 | \$15,348 |
| 172 | \$34,007,022 | Fruitvale School District | \$29,800,000 | 2,257 | \$15,067 |
| 173 | \$344,571,351 | Allan Hancock Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 22,996 | \$14,984 |
| 174 | \$239,656 | Graves Union School District | \$180,000 | 16 | \$14,979 |
| 175 | \$9,080,919 | Palo Verde Community College District | \$6,000,000 | 608 | \$14,936 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 176 | \$1,275,122,944 | San Mateo Union High School District | \$484,000,000 | 85,547 | \$14,906 |
| 177 | \$466,687,533 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$310,500,000 | 31,473 | \$14,828 |
| 178 | \$351,972,145 | Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District | \$540,000,000 | 23,889 | \$14,734 |
| 179 | \$32,476,035 | Willits Unified School District | \$43,000,000 | 2,211 | \$14,688 |
| 180 | \$1,037,173,698 | Fresno Unified School District | \$479,000,000 | 70,989 | \$14,610 |
| 181 | \$120,861,024 | Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District | \$99,000,000 | 8,331 | \$14,507 |
| 182 | \$30,034,362 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District | \$30,450,000 | 2,076 | \$14,467 |
| 183 | \$1,823,863,360 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$1,440,000,000 | 127,684 | \$14,284 |
| 184 | \$81,933,830 | South Pasadena Unified School District | \$29,000,000 | 5,754 | \$14,239 |
| 185 | \$405,029,140 | Cupertino Union School District | \$300,000,000 | 28,627 | \$14,149 |
| 186 | \$686,174,023 | Clovis Unified School District | \$466,000,000 | 48,588 | \$14,122 |
| 187 | \$31,887,627 | Coast Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$12,700,000 | 2,260 | \$14,110 |
| 188 | \$10,997,538 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 780 | \$14,099 |
| 189 | \$231,449,078 | Riverside Unified School District | \$175,000,000 | 16,466 | \$14,056 |
| 190 | \$32,138,350 | Wasco Union High School District | \$40,100,000 | 2,299 | \$13,979 |
| 191 | \$60,589,376 | Enterprise Elementary School District | \$34,000,000 | 4,340 | \$13,961 |
| 192 | \$224,977,020 | Westside Union School District | \$63,500,000 | 16,127 | \$13,950 |
| 193 | \$7,319,390 | Pierce Joint Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 529 | \$13,836 |
| 194 | \$6,454,855 | Los Olivos School District | \$4,650,000 | 469 | \$13,763 |
| 195 | \$3,961,942 | Round Valley Unified School District | \$4,000,000 | 290 | \$13,662 |
| 196 | \$610,206,907 | Corona-Norco Unified School District | \$646,000,000 | 44,977 | \$13,567 |
| 197 | \$315,595,619 | Sunnyvale School District | \$216,000,000 | 23,278 | \$13,558 |
| 198 | \$21,905,900 | Byron Union School District | \$19,700,000 | 1,617 | \$13,547 |
| 199 | \$89,342,209 | Garvey Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 6,602 | \$13,533 |
| 200 | \$79,714,962 | Rosemead School District | \$60,000,000 | 5,960 | \$13,375 |
| 201 | \$336,935,436 | Merced Union High School District | \$149,450,000 | 25,266 | \$13,336 |
| 202 | \$83,978,250 | Tulare Joint Union High School District | \$45,000,000 | 6,308 | \$13,313 |
| 203 | \$67,402,863 | Liberty Union High School District | \$40,000,000 | 5,100 | \$13,216 |
| 204 | \$7,679,990 | East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District | \$4,000,000 | 583 | \$13,173 |
| 205 | \$40,559,623 | Selma Unified School District | \$23,800,000 | 3,091 | \$13,122 |
| 206 | \$109,599,624 | Novato Unified School District | \$107,000,000 | 8,419 | \$13,018 |
| 207 | \$8,708,880 | Earlimart School District | \$3,600,000 | 672 | \$12,960 |
| 208 | \$121,230,944 | Sylvan Union School District | \$40,000,000 | 9,402 | \$12,894 |
| 209 | \$168,131,451 | Baldwin Park Unified School District | \$122,100,000 | 13,048 | \$12,886 |
| 210 | \$629,269,235 | Sweetwater Union High School District | \$644,000,000 | 48,916 | \$12,864 |
| 211 | \$87,615,619 | Martinez Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 6,863 | \$12,766 |
| 212 | \$21,944,768 | Fortuna Elementary School District | \$3,900,000 | 1,720 | \$12,759 |
| 213 | \$552,217,504 | Centinela Valley Union High School District | \$196,000,000 | 43,312 | \$12,750 |
| 214 | \$174,482,212 | Moorpark Unified School District | \$72,500,000 | 13,713 | \$12,724 |
| 215 | \$48,915,401 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$43,900,000 | 3,852 | \$12,699 |
| 216 | \$138,184,718 | Los Gatos Union School District | \$121,900,000 | 11,027 | \$12,531 |
| 217 | \$22,704,644 | Portola Valley School District | \$6,000,000 | 1,823 | \$12,455 |
| 218 | \$267,706,089 | Campbell Union School District | \$224,900,000 | 21,525 | \$12,437 |
| 219 | \$401,238,363 | Redondo Beach Unified School District | \$208,000,000 | 32,302 | \$12,421 |
| 220 | \$114,214,046 | Millbrae School District | \$60,000,000 | 9,196 | \$12,420 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 221 | \$33,996,696 | Bonsall Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2,767 | \$12,286 |
| 222 | \$131,223,713 | Lakeside Union School District | \$79,550,000 | 10,695 | \$12,270 |
| 223 | \$24,654,722 | Middletown Unified School District | \$15,300,000 | 2,020 | \$12,205 |
| 224 | \$17,688,768 | Buellton Union School District | \$9,700,000 | 1,454 | \$12,166 |
| 225 | \$70,713,038 | Jurupa Unified School District | \$144,000,000 | 5,824 | \$12,142 |
| 226 | \$47,721,312 | Perris Elementary School District | \$65,000,000 | 3,976 | \$12,002 |
| 227 | \$11,015,631 | La Honda-Pescadero Unified | \$15,000,000 | 918 | \$12,000 |
| 228 | \$168,689,108 | Compton Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 14,150 | \$11,921 |
| 229 | \$39,469,491 | Los Nietos School District | \$59,140,000 | 3,323 | \$11,878 |
| 230 | \$145,044,155 | Burlingame School District | \$104,300,000 | 12,259 | \$11,832 |
| 231 | \$734,847,585 | Mt. Diablo Unified School District | \$598,000,000 | 63,682 | \$11,539 |
| 232 | \$540,938 | Peninsula Union School District | \$600,000 | 47 | \$11,509 |
| 233 | \$11,298,828 | Loma Prieta Schools | \$4,965,000 | 982 | \$11,506 |
| 234 | \$103,959,338 | Atascadero Unified School District | \$117,000,000 | 9,165 | \$11,343 |
| 235 | \$26,274,556 | Mendocino Unified School District | \$15,500,000 | 2,327 | \$11,291 |
| 236 | \$194,308,052 | Central Unified School District | \$192,000,000 | 17,236 | \$11,273 |
| 237 | \$247,049,592 | Poway Unified School District SFID 2002-1 | \$198,000,000 | 21,958 | \$11,251 |
| 238 | \$5,386,598,170 | San Diego Unified School District | \$4,900,000,000 | 479,914 | \$11,224 |
| 239 | \$52,309,823 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$48,100,000 | 4,662 | \$11,220 |
| 240 | \$5,918,225 | Blue Lake Union Elementary School District | \$2,000,000 | 528 | \$11,209 |
| 241 | \$119,051,154 | Berryessa Union School District | \$77,000,000 | 10,622 | \$11,208 |
| 242 | \$798,489,809 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$590,000,000 | 71,244 | \$11,208 |
| 243 | \$14,348,660 | Holtville Unified School District | \$8,000,000 | 1,282 | \$11,192 |
| 244 | \$88,155,898 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$64,400,000 | 7,877 | \$11,192 |
| 245 | \$12,243,611 | Winters Joint Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 1,097 | \$11,161 |
| 246 | \$963,927,336 | San Jose Unified School District | \$719,000,000 | 86,695 | \$11,119 |
| 247 | \$62,777,350 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$49,000,000 | 5,685 | \$11,043 |
| 248 | \$348,039,949 | Berkeley Unified School District | \$210,000,000 | 31,723 | \$10,971 |
| 249 | \$428,856,070 | Desert Sands Unified School District | \$675,000,000 | 39,137 | \$10,958 |
| 250 | \$193,454,220 | Hemet Unified School District | \$149,000,000 | 17,757 | \$10,895 |
| 251 | \$10,143,022 | Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District) | \$5,000,000 | 934 | \$10,860 |
| 252 | \$32,609,613 | Piner-Olivet Union School District | \$20,000,000 | 3,006 | \$10,848 |
| 253 | \$99,544,387 | Cambrian School District | \$59,975,000 | 9,192 | \$10,829 |
| 254 | \$4,952,028 | North County Joint Union School District | \$3,350,000 | 458 | \$10,812 |
| 255 | \$56,240,040 | Calaveras Unified School District | \$13,500,000 | 5,241 | \$10,731 |
| 256 | \$565,108,064 | Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District | \$653,000,000 | 52,801 | \$10,703 |
| 257 | \$33,757,831 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | \$35,200,000 | 3,160 | \$10,683 |
| 258 | \$42,466,491 | Scotts Valley Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 3,978 | \$10,675 |
| 259 | \$3,103,617 | Pacific Elementary School District | \$830,000 | 292 | \$10,629 |
| 260 | \$47,446,233 | Dinuba Unified School District | \$51,915,000 | 4,471 | \$10,612 |
| 261 | \$7,275,600 | Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District | \$6,400,000 | 687 | \$10,590 |
| 262 | \$66,360,725 | San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District | \$18,900,000 | 6,298 | \$10,537 |
| 263 | \$23,309,171 | Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2,221 | \$10,495 |
| 264 | \$810,958,445 | William S. Hart Union High School District | \$458,000,000 | 77,387 | \$10,479 |
| 265 | \$16,358,925 | Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District | \$9,300,000 | 1,563 | \$10,466 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 266 | \$45,814,338 | La Canada Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 4,408 | \$10,393 |
| 267 | \$89,440,827 | Lemon Grove School District | \$28,000,000 | 8,635 | \$10,358 |
| 268 | \$548,041,186 | Palm Springs Unified School District | \$638,000,000 | 53,399 | \$10,263 |
| 269 | \$165,034,070 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 16,092 | \$10,256 |
| 270 | \$221,921,615 | Napa Valley Community College District | \$133,800,000 | 21,699 | \$10,227 |
| 271 | \$5,060,303 | Geyserville Unified School District | \$3,250,000 | 498 | \$10,161 |
| 272 | \$34,417,506 | Old Adobe Union School District | \$26,000,000 | 3,391 | \$10,150 |
| 273 | \$7,624,319 | Lagunitas Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 754 | \$10,112 |
| 274 | \$11,369,251 | Chawanakee Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 1,126 | \$10,097 |
| 275 | \$20,395,744 | College School District | \$9,370,000 | 2,028 | \$10,057 |
| 276 | \$237,199,439 | Cajon Valley Union School District | \$156,500,000 | 23,696 | \$10,010 |
| 277 | \$78,660,142 | Banning Unified School District | \$138,000,000 | 7,890 | \$9,970 |
| 278 | \$285,832,993 | Murietta Valley Unified School District | \$258,400,000 | 28,724 | \$9,951 |
| 279 | \$112,523,746 | El Rancho Unified School District | \$101,500,000 | 11,337 | \$9,925 |
| 280 | \$369,752,801 | Pomona Unified School District | \$303,000,000 | 37,338 | \$9,903 |
| 281 | \$32,374,479 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | \$22,900,000 | 3,276 | \$9,882 |
| 282 | \$4,460,838 | Franklin School District | \$2,000,000 | 452 | \$9,869 |
| 283 | \$46,437,025 | Sierra Sands Unified School District | \$50,500,000 | 4,710 | \$9,859 |
| 284 | \$51,806,500 | Bellevue Union School District | \$31,000,000 | 5,267 | \$9,836 |
| 285 | \$27,380,115 | Hughson Unified School District | \$14,300,000 | 2,788 | \$9,821 |
| 286 | \$72,905,206 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 7,431 | \$9,811 |
| 287 | \$171,736,938 | Vista Unified School District | \$140,000,000 | 17,506 | \$9,810 |
| 288 | \$368,903,700 | Yuba Community College District | \$190,000,000 | 37,608 | \$9,809 |
| 289 | \$253,502,040 | Alhambra Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 26,048 | \$9,732 |
| 290 | \$133,249,463 | Hawthorne Elementary School District | \$44,000,000 | 13,795 | \$9,659 |
| 291 | \$151,743,721 | San Carlos Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 15,774 | \$9,620 |
| 292 | \$227,991,116 | Monterey Peninsula Community College District | \$145,000,000 | 23,711 | \$9,615 |
| 293 | \$145,814,481 | Morgan Hill Unified School District | \$198,250,000 | 15,243 | \$9,566 |
| 294 | \$5,400,673 | Trinidad Union School District | \$2,200,000 | 568 | \$9,508 |
| 295 | \$513,972,730 | Fremont Union High School District | \$493,000,000 | 54,082 | \$9,504 |
| 296 | \$642,787,515 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$815,100,000 | 68,140 | \$9,433 |
| 297 | \$68,034,116 | Tracy Unified School District | \$51,000,000 | 7,230 | \$9,410 |
| 298 | \$2,295,740 | Hydesville Elementary School District | \$1,100,000 | 244 | \$9,409 |
| 299 | \$124,835,444 | Sanger Unified School District | \$80,800,000 | 13,284 | \$9,397 |
| 300 | \$239,000,672 | Montebello Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 25,476 | \$9,381 |
| 301 | \$361,621,401 | Oak Grove School District (Santa Clara County) | \$214,800,000 | 38,645 | \$9,358 |
| 302 | \$27,498,888 | Bennett Valley Union School District | \$10,600,000 | 2,976 | \$9,240 |
| 303 | \$85,844,841 | Bassett Unified School District | \$73,000,000 | 9,312 | \$9,219 |
| 304 | \$9,104,756 | Guerneville School District | \$6,000,000 | 993 | \$9,169 |
| 305 | \$436,676,161 | San Mateo-Foster City School District | \$175,000,000 | 48,116 | \$9,075 |
| 306 | \$6,910,014 | Terra Bella Union Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | 763 | \$9,056 |
| 307 | \$158,527,364 | Bakersfield City Elementary School District | \$100,000,000 | 17,657 | \$8,978 |
| 308 | \$6,912,423 | Big Pine Unified School District | \$6,300,000 | 770 | \$8,977 |
| 309 | \$27,047,904 | Susanville Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 3,025 | \$8,941 |
| 310 | \$439,500,784 | San Bernardino City Unified School District | \$390,000,000 | 49,184 | \$8,936 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 311 | \$2,590,426,525 | San Diego Community College District | \$1,555,000,000 | 289,976 | \$8,933 |
| 312 | \$8,478,225 | Somis Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 955 | \$8,878 |
| 313 | \$41,498,667 | Culver City Unified School District | \$106,000,000 | 4,706 | \$8,818 |
| 314 | \$229,146,220 | Bonita Unified School District | \$139,920,000 | 26,059 | \$8,793 |
| 315 | \$466,526,948 | Ohlone Community College District | \$499,000,000 | 53,153 | \$8,777 |
| 316 | \$31,891,738 | Fillmore Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 3,650 | \$8,737 |
| 317 | \$98,475,600 | Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 11,288 | \$8,724 |
| 318 | \$99,521,902 | Ontario-Montclair School District | \$59,655,000 | 11,472 | \$8,675 |
| 319 | \$26,258,138 | Charter Oak Unified School District | \$47,000,000 | 3,035 | \$8,652 |
| 320 | \$73,884,379 | Ross Valley Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 8,565 | \$8,626 |
| 321 | \$2,325,000 | Traver Joint Elementary School District | \$1,400,000 | 270 | \$8,611 |
| 322 | \$365,249,350 | Huntington Beach Union High School District | \$238,000,000 | 42,469 | \$8,600 |
| 323 | \$37,968,495 | Mount Pleasant School District | \$25,000,000 | 4,482 | \$8,471 |
| 324 | \$873,228,148 | Palomar Community College | \$694,000,000 | 103,380 | \$8,447 |
| 325 | \$15,054,650 | Mark Twain Union Elementary School District | \$9,600,000 | 1,786 | \$8,429 |
| 326 | \$4,289,339 | Elverta Elementary School District | \$10,500,000 | 512 | \$8,378 |
| 327 | \$10,799,124 | Mesa Union Elementary School District | \$7,900,000 | 1,292 | \$8,358 |
| 328 | \$1,225,944,294 | San Bernardino Community College District | \$690,000,000 | 147,892 | \$8,289 |
| 329 | \$24,913,992 | Templeton Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 3,017 | \$8,258 |
| 330 | \$5,474,475 | Howell Mountain School District | \$2,900,000 | 663 | \$8,257 |
| 331 | \$164,012,478 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 4 and SFID No. 5 | \$193,000,000 | 19,876 | \$8,252 |
| 332 | \$519,746,489 | Pasadena Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 64,090 | \$8,110 |
| 333 | \$7,938,729 | Cold Spring School District | \$2,440,000 | 987 | \$8,043 |
| 334 | \$71,471,900 | Siskiyou Joint Community College District | \$31,400,000 | 8,912 | \$8,020 |
| 335 | \$305,620,800 | El Monte Union High School District | \$238,000,000 | 38,435 | \$7,952 |
| 336 | \$8,513,574 | Wilmar Union School District | \$4,000,000 | 1,075 | \$7,920 |
| 337 | \$16,535,819 | Linden Unified School District | \$11,500,000 | 2,099 | \$7,878 |
| 338 | \$168,238,543 | Citrus Community College District | \$121,000,000 | 21,639 | \$7,775 |
| 339 | \$117,305,896 | Marysville Joint Unified School District | \$84,000,000 | 15,131 | \$7,753 |
| 340 | \$471,718,925 | San Ramon Valley Unified School District | \$520,000,000 | 61,035 | \$7,729 |
| 341 | \$16,630,230,000 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$18,205,000,000 | 2,153,451 | \$7,723 |
| 342 | \$13,164,366 | Parlier Unified School District | \$10,500,000 | 1,706 | \$7,717 |
| 343 | \$871,952,518 | Long Beach Community College District | \$616,000,000 | 113,784 | \$7,663 |
| 344 | \$182,830,440 | Franklin-McKinley School District | \$98,000,000 | 23,866 | \$7,661 |
| 345 | \$107,708,706 | Washington Unified School District | \$123,800,000 | 14,088 | \$7,645 |
| 346 | \$3,513,780 | Strathmore Union High School District | \$3,500,000 | 463 | \$7,589 |
| 347 | \$15,636,113 | Exeter Union Elementary School District | \$6,400,000 | 2,066 | \$7,568 |
| 348 | \$826,873,570 | Yosemite Community College District | \$326,174,000 | 109,315 | \$7,564 |
| 349 | \$67,060,931 | Redwood City Elementary School District | \$22,000,000 | 8,946 | \$7,496 |
| 350 | \$8,593,856 | Solvang School District | \$11,650,000 | 1,148 | \$7,486 |
| 351 | \$49,556,118 | North Monterey County Unified School District | \$44,550,000 | 6,641 | \$7,462 |
| 352 | \$474,237,505 | Victor Valley Community College District | \$297,500,000 | 63,581 | \$7,459 |
| 353 | \$457,536,854 | Riverside Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 61,923 | \$7,389 |
| 354 | \$41,967,824 | Castaic Union School District | \$51,000,000 | 5,682 | \$7,386 |
| 355 | \$11,016,630 | El Centro Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 1,493 | \$7,379 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 356 | \$104,688,140 | Whittier City Unified School District | \$55,000,000 | 14,205 | \$7,370 |
| 357 | \$401,112,281 | Santa Clarita Community College District | \$242,110,000 | 54,662 | \$7,338 |
| 358 | \$45,388,443 | Fallbrook Union Elementary School District | \$32,000,000 | 6,199 | \$7,322 |
| 359 | \$1,352,414,905 | Oakland Unified School District | \$910,000,000 | 185,254 | \$7,300 |
| 360 | \$13,604,205 | Ross School District | \$21,750,000 | 1,870 | \$7,275 |
| 361 | \$244,996,820 | Chaffey Community College | \$230,000,000 | 33,737 | \$7,262 |
| 362 | \$7,677,847,839 | Los Angeles Community College District | \$3,500,000,000 | 1,057,738 | \$7,259 |
| 363 | \$141,176,115 | El Dorado Union High School District | \$66,300,000 | 19,536 | \$7,226 |
| 364 | \$31,264,371 | Sausalito Marin City School District | \$15,900,000 | 4,346 | \$7,194 |
| 365 | \$557,569,963 | Ventura County Community College District | \$356,000,000 | 77,854 | \$7,162 |
| 366 | \$45,011,145 | Ripon Unified School District | \$35,236,190 | 6,324 | \$7,118 |
| 367 | \$221,444,499 | Anaheim City Elementary School District | \$280,300,000 | 31,160 | \$7,107 |
| 368 | \$55,029,529 | Carmel Unified School District | \$21,500,000 | 7,744 | \$7,106 |
| 369 | \$125,271,506 | Lincoln Unified School District | \$98,500,000 | 17,718 | \$7,070 |
| 370 | \$47,279,562 | Healdsburg Unified School District | \$38,990,000 | 6,712 | \$7,044 |
| 371 | \$426,651,231 | Santa Barbara Elementary School District and Santa Barbara High School District | \$150,000,000 | 60,594 | \$7,041 |
| 372 | \$228,361,629 | Oxnard School District | \$154,000,000 | 32,623 | \$7,000 |
| 373 | \$102,305,752 | Moreland School District | \$90,000,000 | 14,632 | \$6,992 |
| 374 | \$156,932,935 | Santa Maria Joint Union High School District | \$79,000,000 | 22,527 | \$6,966 |
| 375 | \$226,270,582 | Campbell Union High School District | \$90,000,000 | 32,505 | \$6,961 |
| 376 | \$271,550,903 | Jefferson Union High School District | \$269,900,000 | 39,115 | \$6,942 |
| 377 | \$175,455,083 | Alum Rock Union Elementary School District | \$304,000,000 | 25,343 | \$6,923 |
| 378 | \$41,330,576 | Oakley Union Elementary School District | \$16,500,000 | 5,988 | \$6,902 |
| 379 | \$97,992,075 | Glendale Community College District | \$98,000,000 | 14,245 | \$6,879 |
| 380 | \$11,076,024 | Roseland School District | \$7,000,000 | 1,612 | \$6,871 |
| 381 | \$15,844,260 | Cayucos Elementary School District | \$7,850,000 | 2,319 | \$6,832 |
| 382 | \$838,454,461 | Long Beach Unified School District | \$1,200,000,000 | 123,253 | \$6,803 |
| 383 | \$73,237,463 | Gateway Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 10,775 | \$6,797 |
| 384 | \$18,654,055 | Greenfield Union School District (Monterey County) | \$28,300,000 | 2,762 | \$6,754 |
| 385 | \$54,801,575 | Rim of the World Unified School District | \$23,340,000 | 8,116 | \$6,752 |
| 386 | \$45,609,187 | Reed Union School District | \$51,000,000 | 6,793 | \$6,714 |
| 387 | \$38,326,622 | John Swett Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 5,728 | \$6,691 |
| 388 | \$22,755,350 | Bear Valley Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 3,423 | \$6,648 |
| 389 | \$113,613,862 | Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$118,100,000 | 17,212 | \$6,601 |
| 390 | \$36,357,425 | Salinas City Elementary School District | \$80,000,000 | 5,513 | \$6,595 |
| 391 | \$41,925,257 | Magnolia School District | \$16,300,000 | 6,366 | \$6,586 |
| 392 | \$458,785,266 | Acalanes Union High School District | \$137,000,000 | 69,719 | \$6,580 |
| 393 | \$292,476,368 | Cabrillo Community College District | \$118,500,000 | 44,542 | \$6,566 |
| 394 | \$249,286,850 | Pajaro Valley Unified School District | \$208,250,000 | 37,997 | \$6,561 |
| 395 | \$28,983,448 | Siskiyou Union High School District | \$12,500,000 | 4,418 | \$6,560 |
| 396 | \$68,898,915 | Sonoma Valley Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 10,581 | \$6,512 |
| 397 | \$9,325,466 | Delhi Unified School District | \$8,000,000 | 1,435 | \$6,499 |
| 398 | \$8,126,141 | El Tejon Unified School District | \$7,120,000 | 1,257 | \$6,465 |
| 399 | \$80,824,788 | Hanford Joint Union High School District | \$33,500,000 | 12,549 | \$6,441 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 400 | \$178,970,150 | Covina Valley Unified School District | \$245,000,000 | 27,818 | \$6,434 |
| 401 | \$55,269,800 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$53,000,000 | 8,657 | \$6,384 |
| 402 | \$55,727,788 | Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 8,753 | \$6,367 |
| 403 | \$10,055,696 | Woodlake Union High School District | \$4,500,000 | 1,592 | \$6,316 |
| 404 | \$33,606,175 | Mark West Union School District | \$25,000,000 | 5,329 | \$6,306 |
| 405 | \$12,441,182 | Twin Hills Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 1,975 | \$6,299 |
| 406 | \$47,218,516 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3 | \$31,000,000 | 7,512 | \$6,286 |
| 407 | \$4,537,969 | Springville Union Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 724 | \$6,268 |
| 408 | \$168,683,036 | Alameda Unified School District | \$242,500,000 | 26,920 | \$6,266 |
| 409 | \$609,746,527 | Peralta Community College District | \$390,000,000 | 97,685 | \$6,242 |
| 410 | \$21,249,655 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 1 and SFID No. 2 | \$23,400,000 | 3,438 | \$6,181 |
| 411 | \$22,781,800 | Newhall School District | \$60,000,000 | 3,706 | \$6,147 |
| 412 | \$127,645,000 | Modesto City Schools (Modesto High School District) | \$65,000,000 | 20,783 | \$6,142 |
| 413 | \$118,951,681 | Mountain View-Los Altos Union School District | \$41,300,000 | 19,480 | \$6,106 |
| 414 | \$3,242,413 | Armona Union Elementary School District | \$2,785,000 | 534 | \$6,072 |
| 415 | \$117,331,941 | Manteca Unified School District | \$225,000,000 | 19,402 | \$6,047 |
| S-2 | \$19,535,527,220 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2004 | \$12,300,000,000 | 3,239,706 | \$6,030 |
| 416 | \$6,813,929 | Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified School District | \$4,400,000 | 1,130 | \$6,030 |
| 417 | \$59,998,281 | Merced City Elementary School District | \$86,500,000 | 9,987 | \$6,008 |
| 418 | \$120,613,873 | Jefferson Elementary School District | \$119,500,000 | 20,090 | \$6,004 |
| 419 | \$6,006,313 | Livingston Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 1,002 | \$5,994 |
| 420 | \$109,707,525 | Victor Elementary School District | \$180,000,000 | 18,322 | \$5,988 |
| 421 | \$123,896,004 | Vacaville Unified School District | \$295,300,000 | 20,701 | \$5,985 |
| 422 | \$104,283,183 | Castro Valley Unified School District | \$60,800,000 | 17,463 | \$5,972 |
| 423 | \$197,310,278 | San Lorenzo Unified School District | \$132,000,000 | 33,153 | \$5,952 |
| 424 | \$97,622,274 | Menifee Union School District | \$45,960,000 | 16,414 | \$5,948 |
| 425 | \$10,135,688 | Helendale School District | \$20,000,000 | 1,707 | \$5,938 |
| 426 | \$13,664,150 | Las Lomas School District | \$60,000,000 | 2,305 | \$5,928 |
| 427 | \$136,933,975 | Alhambra Unified School District ESID | \$50,000,000 | 23,276 | \$5,883 |
| 428 | \$20,475,550 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 3,486 | \$5,874 |
| 429 | \$2,710,000 | Kings River Union Elementary School District | \$1,700,000 | 463 | \$5,853 |
| 430 | \$255,319,850 | San Dieguito Union High School District | \$449,000,000 | 43,627 | \$5,852 |
| 431 | \$186,507,025 | Inglewood Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 31,907 | \$5,845 |
| 432 | \$169,413,890 | El Monte City School District | \$203,000,000 | 28,984 | \$5,845 |
| 433 | \$51,455,235 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$35,000,000 | 8,856 | \$5,810 |
| 434 | \$32,971,096 | Escalon Unified School District | \$27,000,000 | 5,681 | \$5,804 |
| 435 | \$110,438,238 | Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District | \$103,600,000 | 19,029 | \$5,804 |
| 436 | \$178,786,794 | Saddleback Valley Unified School District | \$180,000,000 | 31,024 | \$5,763 |
| 437 | \$69,358,175 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$113,000,000 | 12,167 | \$5,701 |
| 438 | \$20,024,620 | Healdsburg Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$18,820,000 | 3,519 | \$5,690 |
| 439 | \$17,895,206 | Forestville Union School District | \$10,200,000 | 3,145 | \$5,690 |
| 440 | \$540,090,941 | Southwestern Community College District | \$389,000,000 | 95,460 | \$5,658 |
| 441 | \$885,640,602 | Grossmont Union High School District | \$691,000,000 | 158,743 | \$5,579 |
| 442 | \$32,155,996 | Santa Paula Union High School District | \$39,000,000 | 5,871 | \$5,477 |
| 443 | \$341,061,295 | San Joaquin-Delta Community College District | \$250,000,000 | 62,465 | \$5,460 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 444 | \$6,393,614 | Oak Grove Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 1,174 | \$5,446 |
| 445 | \$19,903,225 | Porterville Unified School District FID | \$23,000,000 | 3,681 | \$5,407 |
| 446 | \$71,376,435 | Central School District | \$66,000,000 | 13,213 | \$5,402 |
| 447 | \$66,940,500 | Moreno Valley Unified School District | \$448,000,000 | 12,488 | \$5,360 |
| 448 | \$47,901,154 | Turlock Unified School District | \$7,725,000 | 8,942 | \$5,357 |
| 449 | \$104,093,442 | Madera Unified School District | \$148,500,000 | 19,518 | \$5,333 |
| 450 | \$46,940,456 | Apple Valley Unified School District | \$36,000,000 | 8,818 | \$5,323 |
| 451 | \$11,986,238 | Chowchilla Union High School District | \$8,100,000 | 2,258 | \$5,308 |
| 452 | \$82,530,000 | Monterey Peninsula Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 15,558 | \$5,305 |
| 453 | \$155,512,675 | Evergreen School District | \$250,000,000 | 29,496 | \$5,272 |
| 454 | \$37,565,462 | Stanislaus Union School District | \$39,800,000 | 7,213 | \$5,208 |
| 455 | \$189,891,898 | Imperial Valley College | \$138,600,000 | 36,501 | \$5,202 |
| 456 | \$91,457,354 | Encinitas Union School District | \$44,200,000 | 17,989 | \$5,084 |
| 457 | \$86,961,792 | Rincon Valley Union School District | \$58,900,000 | 17,118 | \$5,080 |
| 458 | \$18,718,110 | Spreckels Union School District | \$12,335,000 | 3,685 | \$5,080 |
| 459 | \$152,477,011 | Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 30,174 | \$5,053 |
| 460 | \$7,652,500 | Pioneer Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 1,524 | \$5,021 |
| 461 | \$926,189,450 | Mt. San Antonio Community College District | \$574,000,000 | 184,492 | \$5,020 |
| 462 | \$19,239,800 | Hermosa Beach City School District | \$13,600,000 | 3,874 | \$4,966 |
| 463 | \$188,443,331 | Tamalpais Union High School District | \$200,920,000 | 37,947 | \$4,966 |
| 464 | \$21,878,079 | Live Oak School District | \$14,500,000 | 4,446 | \$4,921 |
| 465 | \$679,484,100 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$588,000,000 | 138,394 | \$4,910 |
| 466 | \$123,259,554 | Lodi Unified School District | \$223,300,000 | 25,106 | \$4,910 |
| 467 | \$474,302,513 | Rancho Santiago Community College District | \$535,000,000 | 97,770 | \$4,851 |
| 468 | \$6,094,425 | Julian Union High School District | \$2,100,000 | 1,259 | \$4,841 |
| 469 | \$248,810,654 | Whittier Union High School District | \$75,000,000 | 51,521 | \$4,829 |
| 470 | \$38,068,824 | Buckeye Union School District | \$28,300,000 | 7,910 | \$4,813 |
| 471 | \$20,596,854 | Kernville Union School District | \$11,600,000 | 4,337 | \$4,749 |
| 472 | \$363,296,988 | College of Marin | \$249,500,000 | 77,102 | \$4,712 |
| 473 | \$14,176,406 | River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$14,000,000 | 3,017 | \$4,699 |
| 474 | \$528,196,190 | Cerritos Community College District | \$560,000,000 | 112,860 | \$4,680 |
| 475 | \$39,162,300 | Shasta Union High School District | \$31,000,000 | 8,590 | \$4,559 |
| 476 | \$98,885,055 | Lancaster Elementary School District | \$63,000,000 | 21,804 | \$4,535 |
| 477 | \$24,085,823 | Del Norte County School District | \$24,987,000 | 5,338 | \$4,512 |
| 478 | \$40,235,788 | Lompoc Unified School District | \$38,000,000 | 9,049 | \$4,446 |
| 479 | \$1,224,857,399 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$1,063,000,000 | 275,478 | \$4,446 |
| 480 | \$46,776,885 | Albany Unified School District | \$23,000,000 | 10,546 | \$4,436 |
| 481 | \$51,751,767 | Fullerton School District | \$49,700,000 | 11,710 | \$4,419 |
| 482 | \$113,254,239 | Palmdale Elementary School District | \$245,000,000 | 26,176 | \$4,327 |
| 483 | \$970,657,248 | Coast Community College District | \$1,068,000,000 | 224,817 | \$4,318 |
| 484 | \$24,651,613 | Centralia School District | \$17,500,000 | 5,760 | \$4,280 |
| 485 | \$37,249,566 | Galt Joint Union High School District | \$38,440,000 | 8,705 | \$4,279 |
| S-3 | \$20,191,061,654 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2006 | \$10,416,000,000 | 4,754,868 | \$4,246 |
| 486 | \$17,246,650 | Brawley Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 4,081 | \$4,226 |
| 487 | \$222,521,372 | Oxnard Union High School District | \$135,000,000 | 52,851 | \$4,210 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 488 | \$4,439,700 | Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1 | \$2,500,000 | 1,058 | \$4,196 |
| 489 | \$68,551,513 | Bellflower Unified School District | \$79,000,000 | 16,469 | \$4,162 |
| 490 | \$501,714,176 | West Valley-Mission Community College District | \$585,000,000 | 122,022 | \$4,112 |
| 491 | \$13,138,803 | Cascade Union Elementary School District | \$12,400,000 | 3,211 | \$4,092 |
| 492 | \$62,171,725 | South Bay Union School District | \$59,400,000 | 15,219 | \$4,085 |
| 493 | \$20,847,276 | Konocti Unified School District | \$18,500,000 | 5,173 | \$4,030 |
| 494 | \$16,876,900 | Duarte Unified School District | \$62,000,000 | 4,198 | \$4,020 |
| S-1 | \$16,942,084,821 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2002 | \$13,050,000,000 | 4,222,946 | \$4,012 |
| 495 | \$17,559,250 | Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District | \$72,000,000 | 4,394 | \$3,996 |
| 496 | \$858,933 | Banta Elementary School District | \$800,000 | 216 | \$3,977 |
| 497 | \$7,373,975 | Sonora Elementary School District | \$7,800,000 | 1,867 | \$3,950 |
| 498 | \$593,650 | Paradise Elementary School District | \$660,000 | 151 | \$3,931 |
| 499 | \$168,861,989 | Compton Community College District | \$200,000,000 | 43,214 | \$3,908 |
| 500 | \$399,925 | Mission Union School District | \$315,000 | 103 | \$3,883 |
| 501 | \$2,396,250 | Liberty School District | \$1,920,000 | 618 | \$3,877 |
| 502 | \$268,611,647 | Chaffey Joint Union School District | \$848,000,000 | 70,026 | \$3,836 |
| 503 | \$1,011,902,207 | East Side Union High School District | \$880,200,000 | 263,897 | \$3,834 |
| 504 | \$148,973,295 | Pasadena City College | \$150,000,000 | 39,129 | \$3,807 |
| 505 | \$149,389,899 | Redlands Unified School District | \$125,500,000 | 39,395 | \$3,792 |
| 506 | \$9,333,359 | Happy Valley Union Elementary School District | \$6,295,000 | 2,467 | \$3,783 |
| 507 | \$14,687,950 | Carpinteria Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 3,901 | \$3,765 |
| 508 | \$60,603,790 | Saugus Union School District | \$196,000,000 | 16,340 | \$3,709 |
| 509 | \$9,373,300 | Pacheco Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2,534 | \$3,699 |
| 510 | \$71,546,202 | Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3 | \$125,100,000 | 19,426 | \$3,683 |
| 511 | \$85,947,218 | Downey Unified School District | \$313,000,000 | 23,350 | \$3,681 |
| 512 | \$10,778,781 | Yreka Union High School District | \$8,000,000 | 2,942 | \$3,664 |
| 513 | \$274,588,497 | Antelope Valley Community College | \$139,000,000 | 74,966 | \$3,663 |
| 514 | \$137,433,988 | Roseville Joint Union High School District | \$158,500,000 | 37,928 | \$3,624 |
| 515 | \$31,337,650 | Los Altos School District | \$150,000,000 | 8,671 | \$3,614 |
| 516 | \$58,871,980 | La Mesa Spring Valley School District | \$44,000,000 | 16,326 | \$3,606 |
| 517 | \$282,079,314 | Kern Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 79,076 | \$3,567 |
| 518 | \$122,091,187 | Grant Joint Union High School District, North Sacramento School District, and Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$144,900,000 | 34,656 | \$3,523 |
| 519 | \$2,356,651 | Grant Elementary School District | \$1,700,000 | 671 | \$3,512 |
| 520 | \$151,141,871 | Perris Union High School District | \$199,420,000 | 43,100 | \$3,507 |
| 521 | \$31,995,000 | Modesto City Schools (Modesto) | \$17,000,000 | 9,167 | \$3,490 |
| 522 | \$94,442,330 | Chico Unified School District | \$78,000,000 | 27,112 | \$3,483 |
| 523 | \$59,431,331 | Hueneme Elementary School District | \$36,700,000 | 17,089 | \$3,478 |
| 524 | \$84,074,751 | San Rafael High School District and San Rafael Elementary School District (San Rafael City Schools) | \$98,800,000 | 24,376 | \$3,449 |
| 525 | \$32,182,726 | Yuba City Unified School District | \$25,670,000 | 9,383 | \$3,430 |
| 526 | \$203,011,800 | College of the Sequoias | \$110,000,000 | 60,387 | \$3,362 |
| 527 | \$17,394,000 | Plumas Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 5,206 | \$3,341 |
| 528 | \$94,009,388 | Santa Barbara Community College District | \$77,242,012 | 28,397 | \$3,311 |
| 529 | \$276,762,860 | North Orange County Community College District | \$574,000,000 | 84,780 | \$3,264 |
| 530 | \$118,171,144 | Chula Vista Elementary School District SFID No. 1 | \$90,000,000 | 36,265 | \$3,259 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 531 | \$638,935,579 | El Camino Community College District | \$744,516,464 | 198,034 | \$3,226 |
| 532 | \$610,000 | Linns Valley-Poso Flat Elementary School District | \$340,000 | 191 | \$3,194 |
| 533 | \$6,273,946 | Gravenstein Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 1,971 | \$3,183 |
| 534 | \$14,840,821 | Arcata Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 4,667 | \$3,180 |
| 535 | \$35,173,563 | Roseville City School District | \$29,117,071 | 11,174 | \$3,148 |
| 536 | \$8,728,475 | Lakeport Unified School District | \$24,300,000 | 2,789 | \$3,130 |
| 537 | \$17,076,024 | Sebastopol Union School District | \$12,200,000 | 5,511 | \$3,099 |
| 538 | \$48,520,213 | Eureka Unified School District and Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$60,335,000 | 15,681 | \$3,094 |
| 539 | \$36,607,995 | Walnut Creek School District | \$20,000,000 | 11,876 | \$3,083 |
| 540 | \$110,730,850 | Butte-Glenn Community College District | \$84,900,000 | 36,012 | \$3,075 |
| 541 | \$41,420,029 | South San Francisco Unified School District | \$162,000,000 | 13,758 | \$3,011 |
| 542 | \$464,756,000 | Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District | \$605,000,000 | 156,424 | \$2,971 |
| 543 | \$35,236,360 | Huntington Beach City Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 11,913 | \$2,958 |
| 544 | \$75,590,361 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District, Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID, and Redwood Shores SFID | \$133,000,000 | 25,582 | \$2,955 |
| 545 | \$738,912,720 | San Jose-Evergreen Community College District | \$453,000,000 | 252,102 | \$2,931 |
| 546 | \$19,223,227 | Kentfield School District | \$41,900,000 | 6,573 | \$2,925 |
| 547 | \$14,809,324 | Soquel Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 5,118 | \$2,894 |
| 548 | \$28,085,352 | Petaluma City School District | \$21,000,000 | 9,760 | \$2,878 |
| 549 | \$561,977,772 | Sacramento City Unified School District | \$639,000,000 | 195,616 | \$2,873 |
| 550 | \$10,667,745 | Wheatland School District | \$14,700,000 | 3,715 | \$2,872 |
| 551 | \$60,761,004 | Merced Community College District SFID No. 1 and SFID No. 2 | \$65,430,000 | 21,692 | \$2,801 |
| 552 | \$68,250,300 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$44,400,000 | 24,877 | \$2,744 |
| 553 | \$72,469,625 | Visalia Unified School District | \$60,100,000 | 26,898 | \$2,694 |
| 554 | \$74,797,914 | Temecula Valley Unified School District | \$165,000,000 | 27,808 | \$2,690 |
| 555 | \$13,920,663 | Ojai Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 5,223 | \$2,665 |
| 556 | \$9,928,928 | Bishop Union Elementary School District | \$3,000,000 | 3,740 | \$2,655 |
| 557 | \$777,874,017 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$856,500,000 | 293,603 | \$2,649 |
| 558 | \$9,295,888 | San Benito High School District | \$42,500,000 | 3,524 | \$2,638 |
| 559 | \$9,775,229 | Black Oak Mine Unified School District | \$6,900,000 | 3,768 | \$2,594 |
| 560 | \$15,957,188 | Bret Harte Union High School District | \$18,000,000 | 6,167 | \$2,588 |
| 561 | \$1,148,082,042 | San Francisco Unified School District | \$1,276,000,000 | 445,745 | \$2,576 |
| 562 | \$2,860,975 | Cottonwood Union Elementary School District | \$2,200,000 | 1,111 | \$2,575 |
| 563 | \$22,720,617 | La Habra City School District | \$31,000,000 | 8,874 | \$2,560 |
| 564 | \$247,051,143 | Kern High School District | \$219,000,000 | 97,540 | \$2,533 |
| 565 | \$141,300,905 | Anaheim Union High School District | \$381,000,000 | 55,830 | \$2,531 |
| 566 | \$5,878,839 | Gold Oak Union School District | \$8,950,000 | 2,326 | \$2,527 |
| 567 | \$8,214,325 | Hope Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 3,278 | \$2,506 |
| 568 | \$345,344,733 | San Juan Unified School District | \$700,000,000 | 139,062 | \$2,483 |
| 569 | \$25,303,438 | Northern Humboldt Union High School District | \$25,800,000 | 10,386 | \$2,436 |
| 570 | \$434,377,291 | Solano Community College District | \$472,500,000 | 179,268 | \$2,423 |
| 571 | \$3,116,800 | Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District | \$5,950,000 | 1,287 | \$2,422 |
| 572 | \$3,798,669 | Pollock Pines Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 1,606 | \$2,365 |
| 573 | \$3,175,110 | Corning Union School District | \$2,700,000 | 1,358 | \$2,338 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow |
|------|------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 574 | \$118,842,831 | San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta) | \$275,000,000 | 51,740 | \$2,297 |
| 575 | \$11,377,659 | Eureka Unified School District | \$32,515,000 | 5,059 | \$2,249 |
| 576 | \$26,785,689 | Copper Mountain Community College District | \$19,720,000 | 12,455 | \$2,151 |
| 577 | \$7,027,655 | Placerville Union School District | \$5,300,000 | 3,274 | \$2,147 |
| 578 | \$3,104,700 | Burton Elementary School District | \$2,455,000 | 1,471 | \$2,111 |
| 579 | \$476,750,696 | City College of San Francisco | \$441,300,000 | 226,642 | \$2,104 |
| 580 | \$123,613,423 | Santa Rosa High School District | \$252,000,000 | 63,362 | \$1,951 |
| 581 | \$34,033,591 | Oroville Union High School District | \$22,400,000 | 17,787 | \$1,913 |
| 582 | \$1,998,263 | Soulsbyville Elementary School District | \$1,500,000 | 1,057 | \$1,891 |
| 583 | \$28,262,085 | West Sonoma County Union High School District | \$23,800,000 | 15,375 | \$1,838 |
| 584 | \$160,298,725 | State Center Community College District | \$161,000,000 | 90,285 | \$1,775 |
| 585 | \$2,580,800 | Hilmar Unified School District | \$2,000,000 | 1,483 | \$1,740 |
| 586 | \$93,843,193 | Tustin Unified School District | \$310,000,000 | 56,316 | \$1,666 |
| 587 | \$44,996,950 | Conejo Valley Unified School District | \$197,000,000 | 27,468 | \$1,638 |
| 588 | \$198,521,688 | Torrance Unified School District | \$549,300,000 | 127,208 | \$1,561 |
| 589 | \$7,253,700 | Oroville City Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 4,742 | \$1,530 |
| 590 | \$13,018,100 | Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$9,900,000 | 8,570 | \$1,519 |
| 591 | \$249,159,550 | Sonoma County Community College District | \$661,700,000 | 164,987 | \$1,510 |
| 592 | \$12,545,726 | Amador County Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 8,332 | \$1,506 |
| 593 | \$13,753,319 | Sonora Union High School District | \$23,000,000 | 9,171 | \$1,500 |
| 594 | \$9,090,600 | Dixie School District | \$30,000,000 | 6,083 | \$1,494 |
| 595 | \$70,282,313 | Fullerton Joint Union High School District | \$242,940,000 | 47,389 | \$1,483 |
| 596 | \$573,563 | Loleta Union School District | \$456,000 | 422 | \$1,359 |
| 597 | \$2,328,810 | Thermalito Union School District | \$2,050,000 | 1,721 | \$1,353 |
| 598 | \$18,494,313 | Lucia Mar Unified School District | \$21,350,000 | 13,848 | \$1,336 |
| 599 | \$10,626,544 | Little Lake City School District | \$18,000,000 | 8,041 | \$1,322 |
| 600 | \$31,006,600 | Panama-Buena Vista Union School District | \$147,000,000 | 23,690 | \$1,309 |
| 601 | \$6,414,363 | Fortuna Union High School District | \$10,000,000 | 5,072 | \$1,265 |
| 602 | \$581,980,406 | Los Rios Community College District | \$740,000,000 | 461,192 | \$1,262 |
| 603 | \$438,095 | Washington Colony Elementary School District | \$400,000 | 350 | \$1,252 |
| 604 | \$25,118,319 | Santa Rosa Elementary School District | \$73,000,000 | 20,204 | \$1,243 |
| 605 | \$37,475,529 | Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District | \$34,000,000 | 33,076 | \$1,133 |
| 606 | \$10,022,294 | Turlock Unified School District (Turlock Elementary School District) | \$10,950,000 | 8,989 | \$1,115 |
| 607 | \$18,024,797 | Nevada Joint Union High School District | \$15,000,000 | 16,397 | \$1,099 |
| 608 | \$5,477,575 | Greenfield Union School District (Kern County) | \$45,000,000 | 5,483 | \$999 |
| 609 | \$41,826,550 | Salinas Union High School District | \$217,000,000 | 42,127 | \$993 |
| 610 | \$46,856,812 | College of the Redwoods | \$40,320,000 | 52,249 | \$897 |
| 611 | \$7,620,450 | Anderson Union High School District | \$12,300,000 | 8,574 | \$889 |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Briggs Elementary School District | \$4,500,000 | 398 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Center Joint Unified School District | \$500,000,000 | 5,082 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Cinnabar Elementary School District | \$2,500,000 | 494 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Columbia School District | \$8,600,000 | 1,984 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Colusa Unified School District | \$5,900,000 | 1,049 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Farmersville Unified School District | \$4,800,000 | 575 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5 | \$195,000,000 | 13,332 | N/A |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

| Rank | Aggregate Debt Service | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow |
|------|--|---|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| N/A | Not issued yet | Golden Plains Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 402 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Gustine Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 962 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Hollister School District | \$28,500,000 | 5,729 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Lake Tahoe Community College District | \$55,000,000 | 3,784 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Laytonville Unified School District | \$5,500,000 | 610 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Manchester Union Elementary School District | \$1,310,000 | 151 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Mt. San Jacinto Community College District | \$295,000,000 | 76,993 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | National School District | \$26,100,000 | 4,621 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Rio School District | \$38,500,000 | 3,753 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Roseville Joint Union High School District SFID No. 1 | \$115,000,000 | 11 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | San Luis Coastal Unified School District | \$177,000,000 | 18,400 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Santa Maria-Bonita School District | \$45,000,000 | 8,085 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Tipton Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | 211 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | West Hills Community College District | \$20,000,000 | 6,682 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Western Placer Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 10,742 | N/A |
| N/A | Not issued yet | Willows Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 140 | N/A |
| N/A | No statement for 2011 REF | Barstow Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2,492 | N/A |
| N/A | No statement for 2012 REF | Columbia Union Elementary School District | \$7,300,000 | 2,288 | N/A |
| N/A | No statement for last 3 REF | Eastern Sierra Unified School District | \$11,100,000 | 1,067 | N/A |
| N/A | No statement for 2012 REF | Exeter Union High School District | \$9,450,000 | 3,754 | N/A |
| N/A | No statement for 2014 REF | Gold Trail Union School District | \$1,800,000 | 1,639 | N/A |
| N/A | No statement for 2011 REF | Kelseyville Unified School District | \$2,930,000 | 1,361 | N/A |
| N/A | No statement for 2008 Series A, Series B, CABs | Riverbank Unified School District | \$15,200,000 | 1,584 | N/A |
| N/A | No statement for 2011 REF | South Whittier Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 4,577 | N/A |
| | \$193,536,130,619 | | \$145,386,418,737 | 29,940,041 | \$6,464 |

* Does not include the sixteen (16) reauthorizations approved by voters since November 7, 2000.

Appendix L

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|------|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 3 | \$750,000,000 | 60 | \$12,500,000 |
| 2 | Roseville Joint Union High School District SFID No. 1 | \$115,000,000 | 11 | \$10,454,545 |
| 3 | Oakdale Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 28 | \$714,286 |
| 4 | Lost Hills Union School District | \$6,200,000 | 35 | \$177,143 |
| 5 | Elk Hills School District | \$7,905,000 | 54 | \$146,389 |
| 6 | Orchard School District | \$40,000,000 | 391 | \$102,302 |
| 7 | Willows Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 140 | \$100,000 |
| 8 | Center Joint Unified School District | \$500,000,000 | 5,082 | \$98,386 |
| 9 | Midway School District | \$7,800,000 | 93 | \$83,871 |
| 10 | Nuview Union School District | \$39,600,000 | 622 | \$63,666 |
| 11 | Mountain View School District SFID No. 1 | \$17,000,000 | 284 | \$59,859 |
| 12 | Alvord Unified School District | \$196,000,000 | 3,437 | \$57,026 |
| 13 | Norris School District | \$149,000,000 | 3,017 | \$49,387 |
| 14 | Fontana Unified School District | \$275,000,000 | 5,598 | \$49,125 |
| 15 | Golden Valley Unified School District | \$70,000,000 | 1,438 | \$48,679 |
| 16 | Emery Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 1,982 | \$47,931 |
| 17 | Val Verde Unified School District | \$221,440,000 | 5,081 | \$43,582 |
| 18 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$114,000,000 | 3,018 | \$37,773 |
| 19 | San Jacinto Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 4,153 | \$36,118 |
| 20 | Moreno Valley Unified School District | \$448,000,000 | 12,488 | \$35,874 |
| 21 | Pixley Union School District | \$7,800,000 | 229 | \$34,061 |
| 22 | Bradley Union School District | \$1,100,000 | 33 | \$33,333 |
| 23 | Coachella Valley Unified School District | \$291,000,000 | 8,938 | \$32,558 |
| 24 | Golden Plains Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 402 | \$32,338 |
| 25 | Buena Park Elementary School District | \$71,000,000 | 2,229 | \$31,853 |
| 26 | Beverly Hills Unified School District | \$424,000,000 | 13,908 | \$30,486 |
| 27 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$62,000,000 | 2,074 | \$29,894 |
| 28 | Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District | \$6,500,000 | 223 | \$29,148 |
| 29 | Reef-Sunset Unified School District | \$10,830,000 | 376 | \$28,803 |
| 30 | Anderson Valley Unified School District | \$15,250,000 | 551 | \$27,677 |
| 31 | Mountain View Whisman Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 7,341 | \$26,972 |
| 32 | Palo Alto Unified School District | \$378,000,000 | 14,074 | \$26,858 |
| 33 | Calistoga Joint Unified School District | \$42,000,000 | 1,572 | \$26,718 |
| 34 | Alisal Union School District | \$90,000,000 | 3,414 | \$26,362 |
| 35 | Las Lomas School District | \$60,000,000 | 2,305 | \$26,030 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|------|--|---|--|--|
| 36 | Fremont Unified School District | \$807,200,000 | 31,072 | \$25,978 |
| 37 | Wiseburn Unified School District | \$119,600,000 | 4,762 | \$25,115 |
| 38 | Jurupa Unified School District | \$144,000,000 | 5,824 | \$24,725 |
| 39 | Santa Ana Unified School District | \$200,000,000 | 8,426 | \$23,736 |
| 40 | Burbank Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 4,663 | \$23,590 |
| 41 | Hillsborough City School District | \$66,800,000 | 2,838 | \$23,538 |
| 42 | Carpinteria Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 3,901 | \$23,071 |
| 43 | Mendota Unified School District | \$34,000,000 | 1,484 | \$22,911 |
| 44 | Richland School District | \$23,000,000 | 1,006 | \$22,863 |
| 45 | Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District | \$540,000,000 | 23,889 | \$22,605 |
| 46 | Culver City Unified School District | \$106,000,000 | 4,706 | \$22,524 |
| 47 | Piedmont Unified School District | \$56,000,000 | 2,497 | \$22,427 |
| 48 | Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$230,000,000 | 10,477 | \$21,953 |
| 49 | Arcadia Unified School District | \$218,000,000 | 10,104 | \$21,576 |
| 50 | Dublin Unified School District | \$283,000,000 | 13,267 | \$21,331 |
| 51 | Calipatria Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 422 | \$21,327 |
| 52 | Cabrillo Unified School District | \$81,000,000 | 3,803 | \$21,299 |
| 53 | Vineland School District | \$4,000,000 | 188 | \$21,277 |
| 54 | Union School District | \$125,000,000 | 5,958 | \$20,980 |
| 55 | Victor Valley Union High School District | \$500,000,000 | 24,037 | \$20,801 |
| 56 | Elverta Elementary School District | \$10,500,000 | 512 | \$20,508 |
| 57 | Azusa Unified School District | \$167,000,000 | 8,183 | \$20,408 |
| 58 | Temple City Unified School District | \$128,800,000 | 6,454 | \$19,957 |
| 59 | Glendale Unified School District | \$270,000,000 | 13,637 | \$19,799 |
| 60 | Fairfax Elementary School District | \$24,800,000 | 1,265 | \$19,605 |
| 61 | West Kern Community College District | \$39,800,000 | 2,039 | \$19,519 |
| 62 | Willits Unified School District | \$43,000,000 | 2,211 | \$19,448 |
| 63 | Newark Unified School District | \$63,000,000 | 3,286 | \$19,172 |
| 64 | New Haven Unified School District | \$245,000,000 | 12,779 | \$19,172 |
| 65 | Merced River School District | \$1,800,000 | 95 | \$18,947 |
| 66 | Las Virgenes Unified School District | \$128,000,000 | 6,865 | \$18,645 |
| 67 | Bayshore Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 325 | \$18,462 |
| 68 | Oceanside Unified School District | \$195,000,000 | 10,952 | \$17,805 |
| 69 | Los Nietos School District | \$59,140,000 | 3,323 | \$17,797 |
| 70 | McFarland Unified School District | \$33,300,000 | 1,887 | \$17,647 |
| 71 | Robla School District | \$29,800,000 | 1,694 | \$17,591 |
| 72 | Milpitas Unified School District | \$95,000,000 | 5,412 | \$17,554 |
| 73 | Maricopa Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 342 | \$17,544 |
| 74 | Needles Unified School District | \$10,900,000 | 623 | \$17,496 |
| 75 | Banning Unified School District | \$138,000,000 | 7,890 | \$17,490 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|------|---|---|--|--|
| 76 | Wasco Union High School District | \$40,100,000 | 2,299 | \$17,442 |
| 77 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$14,000,000 | 804 | \$17,413 |
| 78 | Los Altos School District | \$150,000,000 | 8,671 | \$17,299 |
| 79 | Desert Sands Unified School District | \$675,000,000 | 39,137 | \$17,247 |
| 80 | Soledad Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 2,332 | \$17,153 |
| 81 | Natomas Unified School District | \$320,380,000 | 19,118 | \$16,758 |
| 82 | Princeton Unified School District | \$2,750,000 | 165 | \$16,667 |
| 83 | Taft City School District | \$43,600,000 | 2,620 | \$16,641 |
| 84 | Delano Joint Union High School District | \$55,000,000 | 3,321 | \$16,561 |
| 85 | Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District | \$72,000,000 | 4,394 | \$16,386 |
| 86 | Perris Elementary School District | \$65,000,000 | 3,976 | \$16,348 |
| 87 | La Honda-Pescadero Unified | \$15,000,000 | 918 | \$16,340 |
| 88 | Newhall School District | \$60,000,000 | 3,706 | \$16,190 |
| 89 | Lennox Elementary School District | \$10,700,000 | 682 | \$15,689 |
| 90 | Tipton Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | 211 | \$15,640 |
| 91 | Brisbane Elementary School District | \$11,000,000 | 706 | \$15,581 |
| 92 | Stone Corral Elementary School District | \$715,000 | 46 | \$15,543 |
| 93 | Charter Oak Unified School District | \$47,000,000 | 3,035 | \$15,486 |
| 94 | Jefferson School District | \$35,400,000 | 2,354 | \$15,038 |
| 95 | Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 5,382 | \$14,864 |
| 96 | Duarte Unified School District | \$62,000,000 | 4,198 | \$14,769 |
| 97 | Chino Valley Unified School District | \$150,000,000 | 10,191 | \$14,719 |
| 98 | Hayward Unified School District | \$434,000,000 | 29,522 | \$14,701 |
| 99 | Tahoe Truckee Unified School District | \$30,450,000 | 2,076 | \$14,668 |
| 100 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5 | \$195,000,000 | 13,332 | \$14,626 |
| 101 | Petaluma Joint Union High School District | \$68,000,000 | 4,652 | \$14,617 |
| 102 | Paramount Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 6,845 | \$14,609 |
| 103 | Gustine Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 962 | \$14,553 |
| 104 | Lake Tahoe Community College District | \$55,000,000 | 3,784 | \$14,535 |
| 105 | Woodside Elementary School District | \$25,500,000 | 1,755 | \$14,530 |
| 106 | Salinas City Elementary School District | \$80,000,000 | 5,513 | \$14,511 |
| 107 | Corona-Norco Unified School District | \$646,000,000 | 44,977 | \$14,363 |
| 108 | San Marcos Unified School District | \$287,000,000 | 20,082 | \$14,291 |
| 109 | Vacaville Unified School District | \$295,300,000 | 20,701 | \$14,265 |
| 110 | Colton Joint Unified School District | \$327,000,000 | 23,525 | \$13,900 |
| 111 | Beaumont Unified School District | \$125,000,000 | 9,029 | \$13,844 |
| 112 | Round Valley Unified School District | \$4,000,000 | 290 | \$13,793 |
| 113 | Winters Joint Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 1,097 | \$13,674 |
| 114 | Southern Trinity Unified School District | \$2,300,000 | 170 | \$13,529 |
| 115 | Downey Unified School District | \$313,000,000 | 23,350 | \$13,405 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|------|---|---|--|--|
| 116 | Owens Valley Unified School District | \$2,600,000 | 196 | \$13,265 |
| 117 | Arvin Union School District | \$26,000,000 | 1,968 | \$13,211 |
| 118 | Fruitvale School District | \$29,800,000 | 2,257 | \$13,203 |
| 119 | Sweetwater Union High School District | \$644,000,000 | 48,916 | \$13,165 |
| 120 | Patterson Joint Unified School District | \$69,500,000 | 5,323 | \$13,057 |
| 121 | Morgan Hill Unified School District | \$198,250,000 | 15,243 | \$13,006 |
| 122 | San Gabriel Unified School District | \$112,150,000 | 8,651 | \$12,964 |
| 123 | Stockton Unified School District | \$698,500,000 | 53,940 | \$12,950 |
| 124 | Standard School District | \$28,000,000 | 2,166 | \$12,927 |
| 125 | Peninsula Union School District | \$600,000 | 47 | \$12,766 |
| 126 | Atascadero Unified School District | \$117,000,000 | 9,165 | \$12,766 |
| 127 | Keyes Union High School District | \$5,000,000 | 392 | \$12,755 |
| 128 | Novato Unified School District | \$107,000,000 | 8,419 | \$12,709 |
| 129 | Benicia Unified School District | \$49,600,000 | 3,903 | \$12,708 |
| 130 | Rowland Unified School District | \$276,800,000 | 21,971 | \$12,598 |
| 131 | Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 1,998 | \$12,513 |
| 132 | Menlo Park City School District | \$114,100,000 | 9,158 | \$12,459 |
| 133 | Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District | \$653,000,000 | 52,801 | \$12,367 |
| 134 | Gilroy Unified School District | \$219,000,000 | 17,854 | \$12,266 |
| 135 | Carlsbad Unified School District | \$198,000,000 | 16,250 | \$12,185 |
| 136 | Byron Union School District | \$19,700,000 | 1,617 | \$12,183 |
| 137 | Chaffey Joint Union School District | \$848,000,000 | 70,026 | \$12,110 |
| 138 | San Benito High School District | \$42,500,000 | 3,524 | \$12,060 |
| 139 | Alum Rock Union Elementary School District | \$304,000,000 | 25,343 | \$11,995 |
| 140 | Saugus Union School District | \$196,000,000 | 16,340 | \$11,995 |
| 141 | Denair Unified School District | \$21,200,000 | 1,771 | \$11,971 |
| 142 | Santa Clara Unified School District | \$815,100,000 | 68,140 | \$11,962 |
| 143 | Fowler Unified School District | \$21,000,000 | 1,757 | \$11,952 |
| 144 | Palm Springs Unified School District | \$638,000,000 | 53,399 | \$11,948 |
| 145 | Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District | \$99,000,000 | 8,331 | \$11,883 |
| 146 | Garden Grove Unified School District | \$250,000,000 | 21,149 | \$11,821 |
| 147 | Brentwood Union Elementary School District | \$35,000,000 | 2,968 | \$11,792 |
| 148 | South San Francisco Unified School District | \$162,000,000 | 13,758 | \$11,775 |
| 149 | Helendale School District | \$20,000,000 | 1,707 | \$11,716 |
| 150 | Ross School District | \$21,750,000 | 1,870 | \$11,631 |
| 151 | Dinuba Unified School District | \$51,915,000 | 4,471 | \$11,611 |
| 152 | Templeton Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 3,017 | \$11,601 |
| 153 | Manteca Unified School District | \$225,000,000 | 19,402 | \$11,597 |
| 154 | Lindsay Unified School District | \$43,900,000 | 3,852 | \$11,397 |
| 155 | Rio Bravo-Greeley Union School District | \$10,900,000 | 957 | \$11,390 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|------|--|---|--|--|
| 156 | Pierce Joint Unified School District | \$6,000,000 | 529 | \$11,342 |
| 157 | Briggs Elementary School District | \$4,500,000 | 398 | \$11,307 |
| 158 | West Contra Costa Unified School District | \$1,440,000,000 | 127,684 | \$11,278 |
| 159 | St. Helena Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 5,327 | \$11,263 |
| 160 | Graves Union School District | \$180,000 | 16 | \$11,250 |
| 161 | Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District | \$38,800,000 | 3,458 | \$11,220 |
| 162 | Calexico Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 2,680 | \$11,194 |
| 163 | Central Unified School District | \$192,000,000 | 17,236 | \$11,139 |
| 164 | Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District | \$35,200,000 | 3,160 | \$11,139 |
| 165 | Los Gatos Union School District | \$121,900,000 | 11,027 | \$11,055 |
| 166 | Foothill-De Anza Community College District | \$490,800,000 | 44,924 | \$10,925 |
| 167 | Dehesa School District | \$5,500,000 | 507 | \$10,848 |
| 168 | Mill Valley School District | \$59,800,000 | 5,530 | \$10,814 |
| 169 | Newport-Mesa Unified School District | \$282,000,000 | 26,123 | \$10,795 |
| 170 | Kerman Unified School District | \$35,900,000 | 3,338 | \$10,755 |
| 171 | Sierra Sands Unified School District | \$50,500,000 | 4,710 | \$10,722 |
| 172 | Riverside Unified School District | \$175,000,000 | 16,466 | \$10,628 |
| 173 | Sutter Union High School District | \$12,600,000 | 1,194 | \$10,553 |
| 174 | Cupertino Union School District | \$300,000,000 | 28,627 | \$10,480 |
| 175 | Le Grand Union High School District | \$4,200,000 | 401 | \$10,474 |
| 176 | Orland Joint Unified School District | \$21,900,000 | 2,093 | \$10,463 |
| 177 | Monrovia Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 4,301 | \$10,463 |
| 178 | Campbell Union School District | \$224,900,000 | 21,525 | \$10,448 |
| 179 | Eastern Sierra Unified School District | \$11,100,000 | 1,067 | \$10,403 |
| 180 | Washington Union School District | \$13,000,000 | 1,253 | \$10,375 |
| 181 | Lakeside Union Elementary School District | \$22,500,000 | 2,179 | \$10,326 |
| 182 | Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$48,100,000 | 4,662 | \$10,317 |
| 183 | San Dieguito Union High School District | \$449,000,000 | 43,627 | \$10,292 |
| 184 | Rio School District | \$38,500,000 | 3,753 | \$10,258 |
| 185 | Greenfield Union School District (Monterey County) | \$28,300,000 | 2,762 | \$10,246 |
| 186 | San Diego Unified School District | \$4,900,000,000 | 479,914 | \$10,210 |
| 187 | Solvang School District | \$11,650,000 | 1,148 | \$10,148 |
| 188 | Rosemead School District | \$60,000,000 | 5,960 | \$10,067 |
| 189 | Hart-Ransom Union School District | \$3,900,000 | 388 | \$10,052 |
| 190 | Livingston Union School District | \$10,000,000 | 1,002 | \$9,980 |
| 191 | Walnut Valley Unified School District | \$79,800,000 | 8,018 | \$9,953 |
| 192 | Los Olivos School District | \$4,650,000 | 469 | \$9,915 |
| 193 | Palo Verde Community College District | \$6,000,000 | 608 | \$9,868 |
| 194 | Pittsburg Unified School District | \$310,500,000 | 31,473 | \$9,866 |
| 195 | Victor Elementary School District | \$180,000,000 | 18,322 | \$9,824 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|------|--|---|--|--|
| 196 | Oak View Union School District | \$3,700,000 | 380 | \$9,737 |
| 197 | Long Beach Unified School District | \$1,200,000,000 | 123,253 | \$9,736 |
| 198 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 4 and SFID No. 5 | \$193,000,000 | 19,876 | \$9,710 |
| 199 | San Luis Coastal Unified School District | \$177,000,000 | 18,400 | \$9,620 |
| 200 | Weaver Union School District | \$18,800,000 | 1,955 | \$9,616 |
| 201 | Lake Tahoe Unified School District | \$64,500,000 | 6,715 | \$9,605 |
| 202 | Riverbank Unified School District | \$15,200,000 | 1,584 | \$9,596 |
| 203 | Clovis Unified School District | \$466,000,000 | 48,588 | \$9,591 |
| 204 | Caruthers Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 1,264 | \$9,494 |
| 205 | Imperial Unified School District | \$12,000,000 | 1,265 | \$9,486 |
| 206 | Desert Community College District | \$346,500,000 | 36,698 | \$9,442 |
| 207 | Somis Union School District | \$9,000,000 | 955 | \$9,424 |
| 208 | Mt. Diablo Unified School District | \$598,000,000 | 63,682 | \$9,390 |
| 209 | Ohlone Community College District | \$499,000,000 | 53,153 | \$9,388 |
| 210 | Palmdale Elementary School District | \$245,000,000 | 26,176 | \$9,360 |
| 211 | Baldwin Park Unified School District | \$122,100,000 | 13,048 | \$9,358 |
| 212 | Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District | \$6,400,000 | 687 | \$9,316 |
| 213 | Lynwood Unified School District | \$113,000,000 | 12,167 | \$9,287 |
| 214 | Sunnyvale School District | \$216,000,000 | 23,278 | \$9,279 |
| 215 | Los Alamitos Unified School District | \$126,000,000 | 13,740 | \$9,170 |
| 216 | Fremont Union High School District | \$493,000,000 | 54,082 | \$9,116 |
| 217 | Poway Unified School District SFID 2002-1 | \$198,000,000 | 21,958 | \$9,017 |
| 218 | Laytonville Unified School District | \$5,500,000 | 610 | \$9,016 |
| 219 | Alameda Unified School District | \$242,500,000 | 26,920 | \$9,008 |
| 220 | Simi Valley Unified School District | \$145,000,000 | 16,118 | \$8,996 |
| 221 | Murietta Valley Unified School District | \$258,400,000 | 28,724 | \$8,996 |
| 222 | Anaheim City Elementary School District | \$280,300,000 | 31,160 | \$8,996 |
| 223 | Shoreline Unified School District | \$9,290,000 | 1,033 | \$8,993 |
| 224 | Castaic Union School District | \$51,000,000 | 5,682 | \$8,976 |
| 225 | McCabe Union Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 780 | \$8,974 |
| 226 | El Rancho Unified School District | \$101,500,000 | 11,337 | \$8,953 |
| 227 | Rio Hondo Community College District | \$245,000,000 | 27,447 | \$8,926 |
| 228 | Lodi Unified School District | \$223,300,000 | 25,106 | \$8,894 |
| 229 | Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District | \$16,100,000 | 1,813 | \$8,880 |
| 230 | Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District | \$100,000,000 | 11,288 | \$8,859 |
| 231 | Dixon Unified School District | \$29,200,000 | 3,313 | \$8,814 |
| 232 | Covina Valley Unified School District | \$245,000,000 | 27,818 | \$8,807 |
| 233 | Scotts Valley Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 3,978 | \$8,798 |
| 234 | Washington Unified School District | \$123,800,000 | 14,088 | \$8,788 |
| 235 | Live Oak Unified School District | \$8,400,000 | 961 | \$8,741 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|------|---|---|--|--|
| 236 | Upland Unified School District | \$103,000,000 | 11,785 | \$8,740 |
| 237 | Lakeport Unified School District | \$24,300,000 | 2,789 | \$8,713 |
| 238 | Manchester Union Elementary School District | \$1,310,000 | 151 | \$8,675 |
| 239 | Merced City Elementary School District | \$86,500,000 | 9,987 | \$8,661 |
| 240 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$49,000,000 | 5,685 | \$8,619 |
| 241 | Chualar Union Elementary School District | \$2,900,000 | 339 | \$8,555 |
| 242 | San Ramon Valley Unified School District | \$520,000,000 | 61,035 | \$8,520 |
| 243 | Burlingame School District | \$104,300,000 | 12,259 | \$8,508 |
| 244 | Evergreen School District | \$250,000,000 | 29,496 | \$8,476 |
| 245 | Los Angeles Unified School District | \$18,205,000,000 | 2,153,451 | \$8,454 |
| 246 | Ocean View School District | \$13,200,000 | 1,571 | \$8,402 |
| 247 | Hemet Unified School District | \$149,000,000 | 17,757 | \$8,391 |
| 248 | Big Creek Elementary School District | \$1,400,000 | 167 | \$8,383 |
| 249 | Farmersville Unified School District | \$4,800,000 | 575 | \$8,348 |
| 250 | San Jose Unified School District | \$719,000,000 | 86,695 | \$8,293 |
| 251 | Santa Monica Community College District | \$590,000,000 | 71,244 | \$8,281 |
| 252 | Greenfield Union School District (Kern County) | \$45,000,000 | 5,483 | \$8,207 |
| 253 | Big Pine Unified School District | \$6,300,000 | 770 | \$8,182 |
| 254 | Southern Kern Unified School District | \$64,400,000 | 7,877 | \$8,176 |
| 255 | Westminster School District | \$130,000,000 | 15,947 | \$8,152 |
| 256 | Dry Creek Elementary School District | \$67,300,000 | 8,282 | \$8,126 |
| 257 | McKinleyville Union School District | \$14,000,000 | 1,723 | \$8,125 |
| 258 | Pomona Unified School District | \$303,000,000 | 37,338 | \$8,115 |
| 259 | Cloverdale Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2,096 | \$8,111 |
| 260 | Chatom Union Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 617 | \$8,104 |
| 261 | Pacific Grove Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 7,431 | \$8,074 |
| 262 | Windsor Unified School District | \$50,000,000 | 6,208 | \$8,054 |
| 263 | Vista Unified School District | \$140,000,000 | 17,506 | \$7,997 |
| 264 | Chawanakee Unified School District | \$9,000,000 | 1,126 | \$7,993 |
| 265 | San Bernardino City Unified School District | \$390,000,000 | 49,184 | \$7,929 |
| 266 | Liberty Union High School District | \$40,000,000 | 5,100 | \$7,843 |
| 267 | Bassett Unified School District | \$73,000,000 | 9,312 | \$7,839 |
| 268 | Enterprise Elementary School District | \$34,000,000 | 4,340 | \$7,834 |
| 269 | Oak Park Unified School District | \$46,945,000 | 5,996 | \$7,829 |
| 270 | Allan Hancock Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 22,996 | \$7,827 |
| 271 | Rialto Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 12,608 | \$7,773 |
| 272 | River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$9,000,000 | 1,165 | \$7,725 |
| 273 | Selma Unified School District | \$23,800,000 | 3,091 | \$7,700 |
| 274 | Old Adobe Union School District | \$26,000,000 | 3,391 | \$7,667 |
| 275 | Chabot-Las Positas Community College District | \$498,000,000 | 65,195 | \$7,639 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|------|---|---|--|--|
| 276 | Madera Unified School District | \$148,500,000 | 19,518 | \$7,608 |
| 277 | Middletown Unified School District | \$15,300,000 | 2,020 | \$7,574 |
| 278 | Strathmore Union High School District | \$3,500,000 | 463 | \$7,559 |
| 279 | Escondido Union School District | \$228,400,000 | 30,250 | \$7,550 |
| 280 | Larkspur-Corte Madera School District | \$45,000,000 | 5,968 | \$7,540 |
| 281 | Reed Union School District | \$51,000,000 | 6,793 | \$7,508 |
| 282 | Lakeside Union School District | \$79,550,000 | 10,695 | \$7,438 |
| 283 | Morongo Unified School District | \$48,150,000 | 6,563 | \$7,337 |
| 284 | Rocklin Unified School District | \$52,000,000 | 7,101 | \$7,323 |
| 285 | Wright Elementary School District | \$14,000,000 | 1,913 | \$7,318 |
| 286 | North County Joint Union School District | \$3,350,000 | 458 | \$7,314 |
| 287 | Napa Valley Unified School District | \$278,000,000 | 38,014 | \$7,313 |
| 288 | Bear Valley Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 3,423 | \$7,304 |
| 289 | Berryessa Union School District | \$77,000,000 | 10,622 | \$7,249 |
| 290 | Fort Bragg Unified School District | \$38,200,000 | 5,275 | \$7,242 |
| 291 | San Leandro Unified School District | \$159,100,000 | 22,036 | \$7,220 |
| 292 | Savanna Elementary School District | \$53,685,000 | 7,443 | \$7,213 |
| 293 | Conejo Valley Unified School District | \$197,000,000 | 27,468 | \$7,172 |
| 294 | Tulare Joint Union High School District | \$45,000,000 | 6,308 | \$7,134 |
| 295 | Beardsley School District | \$10,300,000 | 1,444 | \$7,133 |
| 296 | Monterey Peninsula Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 15,558 | \$7,070 |
| 297 | Tracy Unified School District | \$51,000,000 | 7,230 | \$7,054 |
| 298 | El Monte City School District | \$203,000,000 | 28,984 | \$7,004 |
| 299 | Kingsburg Joint Union High School District | \$22,900,000 | 3,276 | \$6,990 |
| 300 | San Carlos Unified School District | \$110,000,000 | 15,774 | \$6,974 |
| 301 | Jefferson Union High School District | \$269,900,000 | 39,115 | \$6,900 |
| 302 | Glendale Community College District | \$98,000,000 | 14,245 | \$6,880 |
| 303 | Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$118,100,000 | 17,212 | \$6,861 |
| 304 | East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District | \$4,000,000 | 583 | \$6,861 |
| 305 | Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District | \$302,000,000 | 44,064 | \$6,854 |
| 306 | Anaheim Union High School District | \$381,000,000 | 55,830 | \$6,824 |
| 307 | Aromas-San Juan Unified School District | \$20,900,000 | 3,065 | \$6,819 |
| 308 | Chaffey Community College | \$230,000,000 | 33,737 | \$6,817 |
| 309 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 1 and SFID No. 2 | \$23,400,000 | 3,438 | \$6,806 |
| 310 | Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 2,213 | \$6,778 |
| 311 | North Orange County Community College District | \$574,000,000 | 84,780 | \$6,770 |
| 312 | Santa Rita Union Elementary School District | \$14,600,000 | 2,161 | \$6,756 |
| 313 | Fresno Unified School District | \$479,000,000 | 70,989 | \$6,748 |
| 314 | Palomar Community College | \$694,000,000 | 103,380 | \$6,713 |
| 315 | North Monterey County Unified School District | \$44,550,000 | 6,641 | \$6,708 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|------|---|---|--|--|
| 316 | Ojai Unified School District | \$35,000,000 | 5,223 | \$6,701 |
| 317 | Arena Union Elementary School District | \$3,700,000 | 554 | \$6,679 |
| 318 | Buellton Union School District | \$9,700,000 | 1,454 | \$6,671 |
| 319 | Mendocino Unified School District | \$15,500,000 | 2,327 | \$6,661 |
| 320 | Piner-Olivet Union School District | \$20,000,000 | 3,006 | \$6,653 |
| 321 | Santa Paula Union High School District | \$39,000,000 | 5,871 | \$6,643 |
| 322 | Lagunitas Elementary School District | \$5,000,000 | 754 | \$6,631 |
| 323 | Ceres Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 12,839 | \$6,620 |
| 324 | Berkeley Unified School District | \$210,000,000 | 31,723 | \$6,620 |
| 325 | Cajon Valley Union School District | \$156,500,000 | 23,696 | \$6,604 |
| 326 | Martinez Unified School District | \$45,000,000 | 6,863 | \$6,557 |
| 327 | Geyserville Unified School District | \$3,250,000 | 498 | \$6,526 |
| 328 | Cambrian School District | \$59,975,000 | 9,192 | \$6,525 |
| 329 | Millbrae School District | \$60,000,000 | 9,196 | \$6,525 |
| 330 | Ukiah Unified School District | \$43,000,000 | 6,675 | \$6,442 |
| 331 | Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3 | \$125,100,000 | 19,426 | \$6,440 |
| 332 | Redondo Beach Unified School District | \$208,000,000 | 32,302 | \$6,439 |
| 333 | Eureka Unified School District | \$32,515,000 | 5,059 | \$6,427 |
| 334 | Kentfield School District | \$41,900,000 | 6,573 | \$6,375 |
| 335 | Poway Unified School District SFID 2007-1 | \$179,000,000 | 28,323 | \$6,320 |
| 336 | Glendora Unified School District | \$41,310,000 | 6,588 | \$6,270 |
| 337 | Porterville Unified School District FID | \$23,000,000 | 3,681 | \$6,248 |
| 338 | Holtville Unified School District | \$8,000,000 | 1,282 | \$6,240 |
| 339 | Panama-Buena Vista Union School District | \$147,000,000 | 23,690 | \$6,205 |
| 340 | El Monte Union High School District | \$238,000,000 | 38,435 | \$6,192 |
| 341 | Napa Valley Community College District | \$133,800,000 | 21,699 | \$6,166 |
| 342 | Santee Elementary School District | \$60,000,000 | 9,742 | \$6,159 |
| 343 | Parlier Unified School District | \$10,500,000 | 1,706 | \$6,155 |
| 344 | Moreland School District | \$90,000,000 | 14,632 | \$6,151 |
| 345 | Bonsall Unified School District | \$17,000,000 | 2,767 | \$6,144 |
| 346 | Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 2 | \$53,000,000 | 8,657 | \$6,122 |
| 347 | Monterey Peninsula Community College District | \$145,000,000 | 23,711 | \$6,115 |
| 348 | Mesa Union Elementary School District | \$7,900,000 | 1,292 | \$6,115 |
| 349 | Sanger Unified School District | \$80,800,000 | 13,284 | \$6,083 |
| 350 | Guerneville School District | \$6,000,000 | 993 | \$6,042 |
| 351 | Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District | \$9,300,000 | 1,563 | \$5,950 |
| 352 | Jefferson Elementary School District | \$119,500,000 | 20,090 | \$5,948 |
| 353 | Temecula Valley Unified School District | \$165,000,000 | 27,808 | \$5,934 |
| 354 | William S. Hart Union High School District | \$458,000,000 | 77,387 | \$5,918 |
| 355 | Merced Union High School District | \$149,450,000 | 25,266 | \$5,915 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|------|--|---|--|--|
| 356 | Bellevue Union School District | \$31,000,000 | 5,267 | \$5,886 |
| 357 | Healdsburg Unified School District | \$38,990,000 | 6,712 | \$5,809 |
| 358 | Saddleback Valley Unified School District | \$180,000,000 | 31,024 | \$5,802 |
| 359 | La Canada Unified School District | \$25,000,000 | 4,408 | \$5,672 |
| 360 | El Tejon Unified School District | \$7,120,000 | 1,257 | \$5,664 |
| 361 | Bakersfield City Elementary School District | \$100,000,000 | 17,657 | \$5,663 |
| 362 | San Mateo Union High School District | \$484,000,000 | 85,547 | \$5,658 |
| 363 | Compton Unified School District | \$80,000,000 | 14,150 | \$5,654 |
| 364 | Riverside Community College District | \$350,000,000 | 61,923 | \$5,652 |
| 365 | National School District | \$26,100,000 | 4,621 | \$5,648 |
| 366 | Colusa Unified School District | \$5,900,000 | 1,049 | \$5,624 |
| 367 | Coast Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$12,700,000 | 2,260 | \$5,619 |
| 368 | Barstow Unified School District | \$14,000,000 | 2,492 | \$5,618 |
| 369 | Huntington Beach Union High School District | \$238,000,000 | 42,469 | \$5,604 |
| 370 | Pollock Pines Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 1,606 | \$5,604 |
| 371 | Kings Canyon Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 16,092 | \$5,593 |
| 372 | Citrus Community College District | \$121,000,000 | 21,639 | \$5,592 |
| 373 | Western Placer Unified School District | \$60,000,000 | 10,742 | \$5,586 |
| 374 | Mount Pleasant School District | \$25,000,000 | 4,482 | \$5,578 |
| 375 | Delhi Unified School District | \$8,000,000 | 1,435 | \$5,575 |
| 376 | Ripon Unified School District | \$35,236,190 | 6,324 | \$5,572 |
| 377 | Twin Hills Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 1,975 | \$5,570 |
| 378 | Santa Maria-Bonita School District | \$45,000,000 | 8,085 | \$5,566 |
| 379 | Lincoln Unified School District | \$98,500,000 | 17,718 | \$5,559 |
| 380 | Oak Grove School District | \$214,800,000 | 38,645 | \$5,558 |
| 381 | Marysville Joint Unified School District | \$84,000,000 | 15,131 | \$5,552 |
| 382 | Manhattan Beach Unified School District | \$67,480,000 | 12,191 | \$5,535 |
| 383 | Springville Union Elementary School District | \$4,000,000 | 724 | \$5,525 |
| 384 | Stanislaus Union School District | \$39,800,000 | 7,213 | \$5,518 |
| 385 | Tustin Unified School District | \$310,000,000 | 56,316 | \$5,505 |
| 386 | Pajaro Valley Unified School District | \$208,250,000 | 37,997 | \$5,481 |
| 387 | Linden Unified School District | \$11,500,000 | 2,099 | \$5,479 |
| 388 | Rancho Santiago Community College District | \$535,000,000 | 97,770 | \$5,472 |
| 389 | Pasadena Unified School District | \$350,000,000 | 64,090 | \$5,461 |
| 390 | Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District | \$103,600,000 | 19,029 | \$5,444 |
| 391 | Long Beach Community College District | \$616,000,000 | 113,784 | \$5,414 |
| 392 | Mark Twain Union Elementary School District | \$9,600,000 | 1,786 | \$5,375 |
| 393 | Bonita Unified School District | \$139,920,000 | 26,059 | \$5,369 |
| 394 | San Diego Community College District | \$1,555,000,000 | 289,976 | \$5,363 |
| 395 | El Centro Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 1,493 | \$5,358 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|------|---|---|--|--|
| 396 | Earlilmart School District | \$3,600,000 | 672 | \$5,357 |
| 397 | Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District) | \$5,000,000 | 934 | \$5,353 |
| 398 | Healdsburg Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$18,820,000 | 3,519 | \$5,348 |
| 399 | San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta) | \$275,000,000 | 51,740 | \$5,315 |
| 400 | Valley Home Joint School District | \$1,400,000 | 264 | \$5,303 |
| 401 | Tamalpais Union High School District | \$200,920,000 | 37,947 | \$5,295 |
| 402 | Moorpark Unified School District | \$72,500,000 | 13,713 | \$5,287 |
| 403 | John Swett Unified School District | \$30,000,000 | 5,728 | \$5,237 |
| 404 | Armona Union Elementary School District | \$2,785,000 | 534 | \$5,215 |
| 405 | Planada Elementary School District | \$4,400,000 | 845 | \$5,207 |
| 406 | Ontario-Montclair School District | \$59,655,000 | 11,472 | \$5,200 |
| 407 | Belmont-Redwood Shores School District, Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID, and Redwood Shores SFID | \$133,000,000 | 25,582 | \$5,199 |
| 408 | Traver Joint Elementary School District | \$1,400,000 | 270 | \$5,185 |
| 409 | Fallbrook Union Elementary School District | \$32,000,000 | 6,199 | \$5,162 |
| 410 | Salinas Union High School District | \$217,000,000 | 42,127 | \$5,151 |
| 411 | Hughson Unified School District | \$14,300,000 | 2,788 | \$5,129 |
| 412 | Fullerton Joint Union High School District | \$242,940,000 | 47,389 | \$5,127 |
| 413 | Oak Grove Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 1,174 | \$5,111 |
| 414 | Saratoga Union School District | \$19,900,000 | 3,903 | \$5,099 |
| 415 | Cinnabar Elementary School District | \$2,500,000 | 494 | \$5,061 |
| 416 | Loma Prieta Schools | \$4,965,000 | 982 | \$5,056 |
| 417 | Yuba Community College District | \$190,000,000 | 37,608 | \$5,052 |
| 418 | South Pasadena Unified School District | \$29,000,000 | 5,754 | \$5,040 |
| 419 | San Juan Unified School District | \$700,000,000 | 139,062 | \$5,034 |
| 420 | Central School District | \$66,000,000 | 13,213 | \$4,995 |
| 421 | Hollister School District | \$28,500,000 | 5,729 | \$4,975 |
| 422 | Cerritos Community College District | \$560,000,000 | 112,860 | \$4,962 |
| 423 | Dixie School District | \$30,000,000 | 6,083 | \$4,932 |
| 424 | Pioneer Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 1,524 | \$4,921 |
| 425 | Oakland Unified School District | \$910,000,000 | 185,254 | \$4,912 |
| 426 | El Segundo Unified School District | \$39,000,000 | 7,971 | \$4,893 |
| 427 | Bellflower Unified School District | \$79,000,000 | 16,469 | \$4,797 |
| 428 | West Valley-Mission Community College District | \$585,000,000 | 122,022 | \$4,794 |
| 429 | Ross Valley Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 8,565 | \$4,787 |
| 430 | Escalon Unified School District | \$27,000,000 | 5,681 | \$4,753 |
| 431 | Coast Community College District | \$1,068,000,000 | 224,817 | \$4,751 |
| 432 | Oxnard School District | \$154,000,000 | 32,623 | \$4,721 |
| 433 | Mark West Union School District | \$25,000,000 | 5,329 | \$4,691 |
| 434 | Del Norte County School District | \$24,987,000 | 5,338 | \$4,681 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|------|---|---|--|--|
| 435 | Victor Valley Community College District | \$297,500,000 | 63,581 | \$4,679 |
| 436 | San Bernardino Community College District | \$690,000,000 | 147,892 | \$4,666 |
| 437 | River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$14,000,000 | 3,017 | \$4,640 |
| 438 | Compton Community College District | \$200,000,000 | 43,214 | \$4,628 |
| 439 | Perris Union High School District | \$199,420,000 | 43,100 | \$4,627 |
| 440 | Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District | \$5,950,000 | 1,287 | \$4,623 |
| 441 | College School District | \$9,370,000 | 2,028 | \$4,620 |
| 442 | Ventura County Community College District | \$356,000,000 | 77,854 | \$4,573 |
| 443 | Garvey Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 6,602 | \$4,544 |
| 444 | Centinela Valley Union High School District | \$196,000,000 | 43,312 | \$4,525 |
| 445 | Hydesville Elementary School District | \$1,100,000 | 244 | \$4,508 |
| 446 | Santa Clarita Community College District | \$242,110,000 | 54,662 | \$4,429 |
| 447 | Franklin School District | \$2,000,000 | 452 | \$4,425 |
| 448 | Galt Joint Union High School District | \$38,440,000 | 8,705 | \$4,416 |
| 449 | Hartnell Community College District | \$131,000,000 | 29,768 | \$4,401 |
| 450 | Howell Mountain School District | \$2,900,000 | 663 | \$4,374 |
| 451 | Paradise Elementary School District | \$660,000 | 151 | \$4,371 |
| 452 | Grossmont Union High School District | \$691,000,000 | 158,743 | \$4,353 |
| 453 | Roseland School District | \$7,000,000 | 1,612 | \$4,342 |
| 454 | Pacheco Union School District | \$11,000,000 | 2,534 | \$4,341 |
| 455 | Columbia School District | \$8,600,000 | 1,984 | \$4,335 |
| 456 | Terra Bella Union Elementary School District | \$3,300,000 | 763 | \$4,325 |
| 457 | Torrance Unified School District | \$549,300,000 | 127,208 | \$4,318 |
| 458 | Cypress School District | \$53,600,000 | 12,493 | \$4,290 |
| 459 | Sylvan Union School District | \$40,000,000 | 9,402 | \$4,254 |
| 460 | Sequoia Union High School District | \$588,000,000 | 138,394 | \$4,249 |
| 461 | Fullerton School District | \$49,700,000 | 11,710 | \$4,244 |
| 462 | Lompoc Unified School District | \$38,000,000 | 9,049 | \$4,199 |
| 463 | Grant Joint Union High School District, North Sacramento School District, and Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD) | \$144,900,000 | 34,656 | \$4,181 |
| 464 | Roseville Joint Union High School District | \$158,500,000 | 37,928 | \$4,179 |
| 465 | Sonora Elementary School District | \$7,800,000 | 1,867 | \$4,178 |
| 466 | West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3 | \$31,000,000 | 7,512 | \$4,127 |
| 467 | Franklin-McKinley School District | \$98,000,000 | 23,866 | \$4,106 |
| 468 | Apple Valley Unified School District | \$36,000,000 | 8,818 | \$4,083 |
| 469 | Southwestern Community College District | \$389,000,000 | 95,460 | \$4,075 |
| 470 | San Rafael High School District and San Rafael Elementary School District (San Rafael City Schools) | \$98,800,000 | 24,376 | \$4,053 |
| 471 | Sonoma County Community College District | \$661,700,000 | 164,987 | \$4,011 |
| 472 | San Joaquin-Delta Community College District | \$250,000,000 | 62,465 | \$4,002 |
| 473 | Peralta Community College District | \$390,000,000 | 97,685 | \$3,992 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|------|--|---|--|--|
| 474 | San Lorenzo Unified School District | \$132,000,000 | 33,153 | \$3,982 |
| 475 | Santa Rosa High School District | \$252,000,000 | 63,362 | \$3,977 |
| 476 | Wheatland School District | \$14,700,000 | 3,715 | \$3,957 |
| 477 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 1 | \$35,000,000 | 8,856 | \$3,952 |
| 478 | Westside Union School District | \$63,500,000 | 16,127 | \$3,937 |
| 479 | South Bay Union School District | \$59,400,000 | 15,219 | \$3,903 |
| 480 | Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified School District | \$4,400,000 | 1,130 | \$3,894 |
| 481 | Trinidad Union School District | \$2,200,000 | 568 | \$3,873 |
| 482 | Whittier City Unified School District | \$55,000,000 | 14,205 | \$3,872 |
| 483 | Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District | \$605,000,000 | 156,424 | \$3,868 |
| 484 | Cascade Union Elementary School District | \$12,400,000 | 3,211 | \$3,862 |
| 485 | San Mateo County Community College District | \$1,063,000,000 | 275,478 | \$3,859 |
| 486 | Gold Oak Union School District | \$8,950,000 | 2,326 | \$3,848 |
| 487 | Eureka Unified School District and Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$60,335,000 | 15,681 | \$3,848 |
| 488 | Montebello Unified School District | \$98,000,000 | 25,476 | \$3,847 |
| 489 | Pasadena City College | \$150,000,000 | 39,129 | \$3,833 |
| 490 | Mt. San Jacinto Community College District | \$295,000,000 | 76,993 | \$3,832 |
| 491 | Gateway Unified School District | \$41,000,000 | 10,775 | \$3,805 |
| 492 | Imperial Valley College | \$138,600,000 | 36,501 | \$3,797 |
| S-2 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2004 | \$12,300,000,000 | 3,239,706 | \$3,797 |
| 493 | Blue Lake Union Elementary School District | \$2,000,000 | 528 | \$3,788 |
| 494 | Sonoma Valley Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 10,581 | \$3,780 |
| 495 | El Camino Community College District | \$744,516,464 | 198,034 | \$3,760 |
| 496 | Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District | \$13,000,000 | 3,486 | \$3,729 |
| 497 | Wilmar Union School District | \$4,000,000 | 1,075 | \$3,721 |
| 498 | Banta Elementary School District | \$800,000 | 216 | \$3,704 |
| 499 | Kings River Union Elementary School District | \$1,700,000 | 463 | \$3,672 |
| 500 | Mendocino-Lake Community College District | \$67,500,000 | 18,417 | \$3,665 |
| 501 | Sausalito Marin City School District | \$15,900,000 | 4,346 | \$3,659 |
| 502 | San Mateo-Foster City School District | \$175,000,000 | 48,116 | \$3,637 |
| 503 | Santa Rosa Elementary School District | \$73,000,000 | 20,204 | \$3,613 |
| 504 | Shasta Union High School District | \$31,000,000 | 8,590 | \$3,609 |
| 505 | Chowchilla Union High School District | \$8,100,000 | 2,258 | \$3,587 |
| 506 | Buckeye Union School District | \$28,300,000 | 7,910 | \$3,578 |
| 507 | Konocti Unified School District | \$18,500,000 | 5,173 | \$3,576 |
| 508 | Bennett Valley Union School District | \$10,600,000 | 2,976 | \$3,562 |
| 509 | Siskiyou Joint Community College District | \$31,400,000 | 8,912 | \$3,523 |
| 510 | Hermosa Beach City School District | \$13,600,000 | 3,874 | \$3,511 |
| 511 | Santa Maria Joint Union High School District | \$79,000,000 | 22,527 | \$3,507 |
| 512 | La Habra City School District | \$31,000,000 | 8,874 | \$3,493 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|------|--|---|--|--|
| 513 | Castro Valley Unified School District | \$60,800,000 | 17,463 | \$3,482 |
| 514 | Rincon Valley Union School District | \$58,900,000 | 17,118 | \$3,441 |
| 515 | El Dorado Union High School District | \$66,300,000 | 19,536 | \$3,394 |
| 516 | Cayucos Elementary School District | \$7,850,000 | 2,319 | \$3,385 |
| 517 | Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 2,221 | \$3,377 |
| 518 | Spreckels Union School District | \$12,335,000 | 3,685 | \$3,347 |
| 519 | East Side Union High School District | \$880,200,000 | 263,897 | \$3,335 |
| 520 | Los Angeles Community College District | \$3,500,000,000 | 1,057,738 | \$3,309 |
| 521 | Portola Valley School District | \$6,000,000 | 1,823 | \$3,291 |
| 522 | Sacramento City Unified School District | \$639,000,000 | 195,616 | \$3,267 |
| 523 | Escondido Union High School District | \$98,000,000 | 30,006 | \$3,266 |
| 524 | Alhambra Unified School District | \$85,000,000 | 26,048 | \$3,263 |
| 525 | Live Oak School District | \$14,500,000 | 4,446 | \$3,261 |
| 526 | Forestville Union School District | \$10,200,000 | 3,145 | \$3,243 |
| 527 | Lemon Grove School District | \$28,000,000 | 8,635 | \$3,243 |
| 528 | College of Marin | \$249,500,000 | 77,102 | \$3,236 |
| 529 | Columbia Union Elementary School District | \$7,300,000 | 2,288 | \$3,191 |
| 530 | Hawthorne Elementary School District | \$44,000,000 | 13,795 | \$3,190 |
| 531 | Redlands Unified School District | \$125,500,000 | 39,395 | \$3,186 |
| 532 | Modesto City Schools (Modesto High School District) | \$65,000,000 | 20,783 | \$3,128 |
| 533 | Mt. San Antonio Community College District | \$574,000,000 | 184,492 | \$3,111 |
| 534 | Liberty School District | \$1,920,000 | 618 | \$3,107 |
| 535 | Exeter Union Elementary School District | \$6,400,000 | 2,066 | \$3,098 |
| S-1 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2002 | \$13,050,000,000 | 4,222,946 | \$3,090 |
| 536 | Mission Union School District | \$315,000 | 103 | \$3,058 |
| 537 | Gravenstein Union School District | \$6,000,000 | 1,971 | \$3,044 |
| 538 | Centralia School District | \$17,500,000 | 5,760 | \$3,038 |
| 539 | Merced Community College District SFID No. 1 and SFID No. 2 | \$65,430,000 | 21,692 | \$3,016 |
| 540 | San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District | \$18,900,000 | 6,298 | \$3,001 |
| 541 | West Hills Community College District | \$20,000,000 | 6,682 | \$2,993 |
| 542 | Yosemite Community College District | \$326,174,000 | 109,315 | \$2,984 |
| 543 | Susanville Elementary School District | \$9,000,000 | 3,025 | \$2,975 |
| 544 | Soquel Union Elementary School District | \$15,000,000 | 5,118 | \$2,931 |
| 545 | Bret Harte Union High School District | \$18,000,000 | 6,167 | \$2,919 |
| 546 | Contra Costa Community College District | \$856,500,000 | 293,603 | \$2,917 |
| 547 | Lancaster Elementary School District | \$63,000,000 | 21,804 | \$2,889 |
| 548 | Plumas Unified School District | \$15,000,000 | 5,206 | \$2,881 |
| 549 | Chico Unified School District | \$78,000,000 | 27,112 | \$2,877 |
| 550 | Rim of the World Unified School District | \$23,340,000 | 8,116 | \$2,876 |
| 551 | San Francisco Unified School District | \$1,276,000,000 | 445,745 | \$2,863 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|------|---|---|--|--|
| 552 | Pacific Elementary School District | \$830,000 | 292 | \$2,842 |
| 553 | Siskiyou Union High School District | \$12,500,000 | 4,418 | \$2,829 |
| 554 | Woodlake Union High School District | \$4,500,000 | 1,592 | \$2,827 |
| 555 | Inglewood Unified School District | \$90,000,000 | 31,907 | \$2,821 |
| 556 | Menifee Union School District | \$45,960,000 | 16,414 | \$2,800 |
| 557 | Carmel Unified School District | \$21,500,000 | 7,744 | \$2,776 |
| 558 | Campbell Union High School District | \$90,000,000 | 32,505 | \$2,769 |
| 559 | Oakley Union Elementary School District | \$16,500,000 | 5,988 | \$2,756 |
| 560 | Fillmore Unified School District | \$10,000,000 | 3,650 | \$2,740 |
| 561 | Yuba City Unified School District | \$25,670,000 | 9,383 | \$2,736 |
| 562 | Santa Barbara Community College District | \$77,242,012 | 28,397 | \$2,720 |
| 563 | Yreka Union High School District | \$8,000,000 | 2,942 | \$2,719 |
| 564 | La Mesa Spring Valley School District | \$44,000,000 | 16,326 | \$2,695 |
| 565 | Kernville Union School District | \$11,600,000 | 4,337 | \$2,675 |
| 566 | Hanford Joint Union High School District | \$33,500,000 | 12,549 | \$2,670 |
| 567 | Cabrillo Community College District | \$118,500,000 | 44,542 | \$2,660 |
| 568 | Solano Community College District | \$472,500,000 | 179,268 | \$2,636 |
| 569 | Roseville City School District | \$29,117,071 | 11,174 | \$2,606 |
| 570 | Calaveras Unified School District | \$13,500,000 | 5,241 | \$2,576 |
| 571 | Magnolia School District | \$16,300,000 | 6,366 | \$2,560 |
| 572 | Oxnard Union High School District | \$135,000,000 | 52,851 | \$2,554 |
| 573 | Happy Valley Union Elementary School District | \$6,295,000 | 2,467 | \$2,552 |
| 574 | Grant Elementary School District | \$1,700,000 | 671 | \$2,534 |
| 575 | Huntington Beach City Elementary School District | \$30,000,000 | 11,913 | \$2,518 |
| 576 | Exeter Union High School District | \$9,450,000 | 3,754 | \$2,517 |
| 577 | Sonora Union High School District | \$23,000,000 | 9,171 | \$2,508 |
| 578 | Northern Humboldt Union High School District | \$25,800,000 | 10,386 | \$2,484 |
| 579 | Chula Vista Elementary School District SFID No. 1 | \$90,000,000 | 36,265 | \$2,482 |
| 580 | Santa Barbara Elementary School District and Santa Barbara High School District | \$150,000,000 | 60,594 | \$2,475 |
| 581 | Cold Spring School District | \$2,440,000 | 987 | \$2,472 |
| 582 | Redwood City Elementary School District | \$22,000,000 | 8,946 | \$2,459 |
| 583 | Encinitas Union School District | \$44,200,000 | 17,989 | \$2,457 |
| 584 | Hope Elementary School District | \$8,000,000 | 3,278 | \$2,441 |
| 585 | Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1 | \$2,500,000 | 1,058 | \$2,363 |
| 586 | Butte-Glenn Community College District | \$84,900,000 | 36,012 | \$2,358 |
| 587 | Paso Robles Joint Unified School District | \$20,000,000 | 8,753 | \$2,285 |
| 588 | Kern Community College District | \$180,000,000 | 79,076 | \$2,276 |
| 589 | Fortuna Elementary School District | \$3,900,000 | 1,720 | \$2,267 |
| 590 | Kern High School District | \$219,000,000 | 97,540 | \$2,245 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|------|--|---|--|--|
| 591 | Little Lake City School District | \$18,000,000 | 8,041 | \$2,239 |
| 592 | Visalia Unified School District | \$60,100,000 | 26,898 | \$2,234 |
| 593 | Sebastopol Union School District | \$12,200,000 | 5,511 | \$2,214 |
| S-3 | Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2006 | \$10,416,000,000 | 4,754,868 | \$2,191 |
| 594 | Albany Unified School District | \$23,000,000 | 10,546 | \$2,181 |
| 595 | Kelseyville Unified School District | \$2,930,000 | 1,361 | \$2,153 |
| 596 | Petaluma City School District | \$21,000,000 | 9,760 | \$2,152 |
| 597 | Alhambra Unified School District ESID | \$50,000,000 | 23,276 | \$2,148 |
| 598 | Hueneme Elementary School District | \$36,700,000 | 17,089 | \$2,148 |
| 599 | Mountain View-Los Altos Union School District | \$41,300,000 | 19,480 | \$2,120 |
| 600 | Corning Union School District | \$2,700,000 | 1,358 | \$1,988 |
| 601 | Cottonwood Union Elementary School District | \$2,200,000 | 1,111 | \$1,980 |
| 602 | Fortuna Union High School District | \$10,000,000 | 5,072 | \$1,972 |
| 603 | Acalanes Union High School District | \$137,000,000 | 69,719 | \$1,965 |
| 604 | City College of San Francisco | \$441,300,000 | 226,642 | \$1,947 |
| 605 | Modesto City Schools (Modesto | \$17,000,000 | 9,167 | \$1,854 |
| 606 | Antelope Valley Community College | \$139,000,000 | 74,966 | \$1,854 |
| 607 | Brawley Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 4,081 | \$1,838 |
| 608 | Black Oak Mine Unified School District | \$6,900,000 | 3,768 | \$1,831 |
| 609 | College of the Sequoias | \$110,000,000 | 60,387 | \$1,822 |
| 610 | San Jose-Evergreen Community College District | \$453,000,000 | 252,102 | \$1,797 |
| 611 | Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2 | \$44,400,000 | 24,877 | \$1,785 |
| 612 | State Center Community College District | \$161,000,000 | 90,285 | \$1,783 |
| 613 | Linns Valley-Poso Flat Elementary School District | \$340,000 | 191 | \$1,780 |
| 614 | Walnut Creek School District | \$20,000,000 | 11,876 | \$1,684 |
| 615 | Burton Elementary School District | \$2,455,000 | 1,471 | \$1,669 |
| 616 | Julian Union High School District | \$2,100,000 | 1,259 | \$1,668 |
| 617 | South Whittier Elementary School District | \$7,500,000 | 4,577 | \$1,639 |
| 618 | Placerville Union School District | \$5,300,000 | 3,274 | \$1,619 |
| 619 | Los Rios Community College District | \$740,000,000 | 461,192 | \$1,605 |
| 620 | Copper Mountain Community College District | \$19,720,000 | 12,455 | \$1,583 |
| 621 | West Sonoma County Union High School District | \$23,800,000 | 15,375 | \$1,548 |
| 622 | Lucia Mar Unified School District | \$21,350,000 | 13,848 | \$1,542 |
| 623 | Arcata Elementary School District | \$7,000,000 | 4,667 | \$1,500 |
| 624 | Whittier Union High School District | \$75,000,000 | 51,521 | \$1,456 |
| 625 | Anderson Union High School District | \$12,300,000 | 8,574 | \$1,435 |
| 626 | Soulsbyville Elementary School District | \$1,500,000 | 1,057 | \$1,419 |
| 627 | Hilmar Unified School District | \$2,000,000 | 1,483 | \$1,349 |
| 628 | Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District | \$40,000,000 | 30,174 | \$1,326 |
| 629 | Amador County Unified School District | \$11,000,000 | 8,332 | \$1,320 |

All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

| Rank | Educational District | Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39* | Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow* | Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote |
|--------------|--|---|--|--|
| 630 | Oroville City Elementary School District | \$6,000,000 | 4,742 | \$1,265 |
| 631 | Oroville Union High School District | \$22,400,000 | 17,787 | \$1,259 |
| 632 | Turlock Unified School District (Turlock Elementary School District) | \$10,950,000 | 8,989 | \$1,218 |
| 633 | Thermalito Union School District | \$2,050,000 | 1,721 | \$1,191 |
| 634 | Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1 | \$9,900,000 | 8,570 | \$1,155 |
| 635 | Washington Colony Elementary School District | \$400,000 | 350 | \$1,143 |
| 636 | Gold Trail Union School District | \$1,800,000 | 1,639 | \$1,098 |
| 637 | Loleta Union School District | \$456,000 | 422 | \$1,081 |
| 638 | Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District | \$34,000,000 | 33,076 | \$1,028 |
| 639 | Nevada Joint Union High School District | \$15,000,000 | 16,397 | \$915 |
| 640 | Turlock Unified School District | \$7,725,000 | 8,942 | \$864 |
| 641 | Bishop Union Elementary School District | \$3,000,000 | 3,740 | \$802 |
| 642 | College of the Redwoods | \$40,320,000 | 52,249 | \$772 |
| TOTAL | | \$145,386,418,737 | 29,940,041 | \$4,856 |

* Does not include the sixteen (16) reauthorizations approved by voters since November 7, 2000.

Appendices A-L

The Public Policy Institute of California report [Fiscal Effects of Voter Approval Requirements on Local Governments](#) pointed out in 2003 that “Although ballot measures are playing a growing role in the functioning of local governments in California, there is no single, comprehensive source of information on them.” Researchers for that report obtained their data from the California Association of Realtors, the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission, California’s Coalition for Adequate School Housing (C.A.S.H.), local newspaper stories and websites, and county elections offices.

The availability of data has improved somewhat, but it still remains a time-consuming challenge to collect and synthesize it, identify and correct inconsistencies in the data, and present it in a useful format. There doesn’t seem to be any evidence that compilation of debt service data for California local school and college districts had ever been done, so that information had to be collected and compiled from Official Statements for bond issues posted on EMMA.

Sources for Data in the Appendices

Name of School District, Election Date, Amount Authorized, Letter Designation on Ballot, Percentage Threshold for Approval, Number of Yes Votes, Number of Total Votes

To ascertain data in these seven categories, the following sources were converted into spreadsheets, data was cross-referenced, and discrepancies were reconciled. Close results were cross-referenced with Election Results pages of county election office websites.

[California Secretary of State](http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/county-city-school-district-ballot-measure-election-results/) - County, City, School District & Ballot Measure Election Results at <http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/county-city-school-district-ballot-measure-election-results/>

[California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission \(CDIAC\)](http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdiac/publications/alphabetical.asp#s), affiliated with the California State Treasurer - State and Local Bond and Tax Ballot Measures at <http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdiac/publications/alphabetical.asp#s>

[California's Coalition for Adequate School Housing \(C.A.S.H.\)](http://www.cashnet.org/resource-material/bondelec.html) - School District Bond Elections - Local GO Bond Election Summary (1986-2014) at <http://www.cashnet.org/resource-material/bondelec.html>

[California Local Government Finance Almanac](http://www.californiacityfinance.com), produced by Michael Coleman - Summary Reports and Analyses of Elections - California Local Ballot Measures at <http://www.californiacityfinance.com>

[Ballotpedia](http://ballotpedia.org/School_bond_elections_in_California), an Interactive Almanac of American Politics - School Bond Elections in California at http://ballotpedia.org/School_bond_elections_in_California

Amount of Debt Service

Official Statements posted on the Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA)[®] database of the [Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board \(MSRB\)](http://emma.msrb.org), a self-regulatory organization overseen by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, at <http://emma.msrb.org>.

Acknowledgements

The [California Policy Center](#) is pursuing a vision to secure a more prosperous future for all Californians through education and fiscal reform. Its astute and experienced [team](#) of California-based directors, policy analysts, fellows, contributors, and advisors has emerged as an influential source of independent analysis and original recommendations for the State of California and local governments. Major issues recently addressed by the California Policy Center include education reform, public debt obligations, and labor issues in the public and private sectors. Projects of the California Policy Center include [Prosperity Forum](#), [Union Watch](#), and [TransparentCalifornia](#) (a joint project with the Nevada Policy Research Institute).

Kevin Dayton is a policy analyst for the [California Policy Center](#), a prolific writer, and the author of frequent postings about generally unreported California state and local policy issues on the California Policy Center's [Prosperity Forum](#) and [Union Watch](#), as well as on his own website www.LaborIssuesSolutions.com. He is a leading reporter and commentator on California state and local public policy issues on Twitter at [@DaytonPubPolicy](#). Major policy reports written by Kevin Dayton include [Legacy Issues: The Citizens for California High-Speed Rail Accountability 2014 Business Plan for the California High-Speed Passenger Train System](#) and four editions of [Are Charter Cities Taking Advantage of State-Mandated Construction Wage Rate \("Prevailing Wage"\) Exemptions?](#) — a publication that sparked high-profile policy debates in cities throughout California and in the state legislature. His 2003 journal article [Labor History in Public Schools: Unions Get 'Em While They're Young](#) endures as the leading critical analysis of that movement. Dayton is a 1992 graduate of Yale University.

Marc Joffe is the founder of [Public Sector Credit Solutions](#) and a policy analyst with the California Policy Center. Joffe founded Public Sector Credit Solutions in 2011 to educate policymakers, investors and citizens about government credit risk. PSCS research has been published by the California State Treasurer's Office, the Mercatus Center and the Macdonald-Laurier Institute among others. Prior to starting PSCS, Marc was a Senior Director at Moody's Analytics. He has an MBA from New York University and an MPA from San Francisco State University.

Ed Ring is the executive director for the California Policy Center. He is also the editor of [ProsperityCalifornia.org](#) and [UnionWatch.org](#). Previously, as a consultant and full-time employee primarily for start-up companies in the Silicon Valley, Ring has done financial accounting for over 20 years, and brings this expertise to his analysis and commentary on issues of public sector finance. From 1995 to 2009 Ring was the editor of EcoWorld, a website covering environmental issues from a free-market perspective. Ring has an MBA in Finance from the University of Southern California, and a BA in Political Science from UC Davis.