



# Proposition O Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee September 9, 2015

## **Special Meeting**

## **UN-ADOPTED MINUTES**

1. Call to Order: (NM) Chair-Marinovich, called meeting to order,

#### 2. Role Call & Pledge of Allegiance: 6:07pm

**Present:** R. Munoz, D. Gutowski, T. McKearney, K. O'Neill, D. Yamane, N. Marinovich, D. Butler, R. Carriedo,

Absent: E. Guerrero

District Staff Present: Moisés Aguirre, Paul Woods, Karl Bradley

3. Public Comment(s): There were no public comments

## 4. Role of the Citizens Bond Oversight Committee

Members were asked by the Chair on why they joined the Bond Oversight Committee

**Ms. Yamane**: Joined to make sure projects were faithful to the ballot language. Projects need to be on time and on budget.

Mr. Carriedo: Help assess whether the funding was being used properly.

**Mr. Guerrero**: Joined four years ago after having served on the PTS at Eastlake High School. Sensed the funding was going in the wrong direction. Wanted to bring his background on I.T. and program management to support the bond program.

**Mr. Gutowski**: has a background in county and wants believes the backbone of our society are good schools. Wants to work to bring better teamwork between CBOC and Staff.

**Mr. Marinovich**: believes in public service, is a genetic public servant and believes in this as an idealist.

**Mr. Butler**: His wife thought he needed something to do in retirement. He believes the CBOC can do more than just review expenditures.

**Mr. Munoz**: Vested interest in SUHSD, was a student here, and worked here. Left about 15 years ago at its worst when board members were running projects.

**Mr. O'Neill**: Interest was in oversight, he has a construction firm working mostly in public and private university projects and hospitals. Emphasized that the awards from the San Diego County Taxpayers Association was not due to the efforts of the Board of Trustees of district staff, rather, the awards were due to the CBOC.

#### 5. <u>Issues of Interest/Role of Bond Oversight Committee/Facilities Subcommittee</u>

**Mr. McKearney**: He mentions how others see the CBOC, some want expanded role, some want a limited role. In order to avoid a conflict in trust between advisory and oversight functions, the CBOC needs a Superintendent and Facilities Advisory Committee, in contrast to the CBOC.

**Mr. Muñoz**: historically, the Board of Trustees and staff run the show, regardless of codes or students.

Mr. Carriedo: Often our advice, note: BOT accepts it.

**Mr. Guerrero**: CBOC has lots of expertise, volunteers to improve the district. No one likes to be wronged.

**Mr. O'Neill**: There are over 200 years of experience on the CBOC. Need serious trimming. Role of CBOC is not to advise the Board of Trustees, it is responsible to talk to the public. SUHSD is a business. There has been information requested for 9 months.

Mr. McKearney: It's all about the Board of Trustees.

**Mr. Gutowski**: loves looking analytics, would like to look at portables as infrastructure, he would focus on opportunities at hand.

**Mr. O'Neill**: The Board of Trustees sets the direction; staff can listen to the CBOC and then advise the CBOC.

**Mrs. Yamane**: There is a disconnect, the new Board of Trustees doesn't seem to understand the opportunities this board can bring.

Mr. McKearney: Need a joint meeting.

Public: has great respect, where is the change we were promised, we have the numbers of the press.

**Mr. Munoz**: it's possible the district may not pass another bond.

Mr. O'Neill: we could look at how to retract the remaining bond authorization.

Mr. Marinovich: felt marginalized at last facilities subcommittee meeting.

Meeting adjourns at 7:50p.m.

Note: The Audiotape from the meeting malfunctioned. These meeting minutes are taken from the meeting notes prepared by Mr. Aguirre.