

Chair Report: “Sweetwater Union High School District Fire Alarm Upgrades: A Case Study on Why Citizens Bond Oversight is Important-04-08-14

Introduction. The purpose of this report is to provide this Committee and future Citizens Bond Oversight Committees (CBOC) of this District a greater appreciation of why Bond Oversight is important, evidence that our CBOC is holding the District Accountable for their actions and transparency, and most importantly present lessons learned from this saga that unfolded beginning last July with respect to the District’s Fire Alarm System.

The author has been as meticulous as an individual can be to try and be accurate with the data and information provided. Not only is this a labor of love so that our Committee can take what is learned and turn perhaps some of it to future action, but in a small way it is to honor my father and grandfather who were both Fire fighters. They both had long careers in public service in the fields of fire protection, prevention, and suppression.

Summary and Conclusions. The Sweetwater Union High School District did not give the type of priority necessary for the safety of its students. It is only after the media and CBOC attention shone a spotlight on the actual problems did an aggressive Fire Alarm Upgrade begin system wide. The District should be commended for their actions over the last ten months in correcting the problems. Staff should be complimented in the highest manner possible.

Clearly the District was not fully transparent with the public and the CBOC on the “true” Fire Alarm situation. The District needs to “fess up” when a mistake, miscalculation, or simple oversight has been made, disclose the situation forthrightly, and ask for the public’s cooperation in getting the job done.

The Act that created our Committee was titled “ School Facilities. 55% Local Vote. Bonds, Taxes. **Accountability** Requirements.” Our job as a CBOC is to hold the District Accountable for their actions. This report holds the District Accountable for both the positive and negative actions on the Fire Alarm System.

Lessons Learned/Recommendations

There are the following lessons learned and/or recommendations for Best Practices in the future:

Recommendation 1: Each year there should an Assessment of Ballot Language Projects and Expenditures to Date

Discussion. This CBOC early on spent a lot of time dealing with “skirmishes” or diversions created for the most part by the District (eg. not filling vacant positions, 700 Form threats, threatening letters about civil behavior) on time we should

have spent on reviewing actual projects. If we had an a Annual “Report Card” on Proposition O Progress Report we might have noticed the lack of Fire Alarm Upgrades before the Channel 10 Story.

Recommendation 2: We must continue to press for more transparency from the District.

Discussion. Transparency has been probably the number one issue facing this Committee over the past two years. Our job pursuant to Education Code Section 15278 is: *“The purpose of the citizens’ oversight Committee shall be to inform the public concerning the expenditure of bond revenues. The Citizens’ oversight committee shall **actively review and report** (emphasis added) on the proper expenditure of taxpayers’ money for school construction”:*

If we are going to report on the proper expenditure of funds we must have as much information as possible. The Culture of this District is to often not release information or sugar coat it so that it becomes suspect. Individuals must be empowered to tell the unvarnished or spun truth. If this District is more open with this Committee and the public for bond and District wide matters, I think it may be surprised at the cooperation it will get.

Recommendation 3: There needs to be a more structured Priority Setting Process for Projects.

Discussion. This issue was discussed at our Special Meeting on April 1, 2014. Strategic Goals and Objectives need to specifically defined, project evaluation criteria developed, and a ranking /priority setting process for projects formalized. Then mid course adjustments can be made in light of objective criteria rather than any “wouldn’t it be nice if” type decisions.

Recommendation 4: It is important that this Committee seek out information from the public and media.

Discussion. This Committee should be commended for being independent, diverse some time in our views, but in control of our meetings and their content. While we want to trust staff, we must also seek out alternative views so that we get a well rounded set of assertions and facts. The media and public is one of the tools in our toolbox.

Next Steps.

There are a number of options for this report. We could note and file and present to future Bond Oversight Committees as guidance and information. It could be forwarded to the Civil Grand Jury for their consideration in a broader review of the Sweetwater Union High School District. Finally it could be presented to the

District for comments and acted upon with further recommendations and discussion.

I do agree with those of us who have expressed a need to move on. However I also feel that the this Committee and future CBOC's must hold the District Accountable for their actions and handling Proposition O Projects.

Prepared by: Nick Marinovich, Chair
Sweetwater Union High School District Bond Oversight Committee

Sweetwater Unified High School District Fire Alarm Upgrades: A Case Study in Why Independent Citizens Bond Oversight is Important-April 8, 2014

Introduction The purpose of this position paper is to focus on the following: 1) document the historic promises for the provision of fire alarm and related life safety upgrades associated with the Sweetwater Union High School District Proposition BB and O Bond Programs 2) outline specific past concerns raised by an Independent Audit and the Civil Grand Jury on the management of the Sweetwater Bond Programs 3) present the specific recent media attention and data uncovered by Channel 10 4) document the District's response to concerns regarding the Fire Alarm conditions 4) present a status of the outstanding Fire Alarms System at the virtual height of concerns regarding its safety.

Summary and Conclusions

The highlights of this position paper are as follows:

- Both the Proposition BB and O Bond Ballot Language specifically mentions fire alarms/safety improvements as a primary purpose for spending the bond proceeds.
- Both the Grand Jury and an Audit by the County of San Diego Office of Audit and Advisory Services found that the Proposition BB Bond Program fell far short in fulfilling identified needed system upgrades such as fire alarms. It was also indicated there were expenditures on items such as athletic improvements which were not given a high priority when the original Facility Improvement Plans were developed for the Bond Program presented to the voters.
- A significant amount of Fire Alarm Upgrades were not done for many years after the Proposition O Bond Program which was passed by voters in November 2006. \$180 million dollar in bonds were issued and proceeds received in March 2008. These Fire Alarm improvements began in earnest and at a significant pace **after** the media began to expose the extent of the Fire Alarm Upgrades required in mid 2013 or over five years after the bond proceeds were received.
- The extent of the Fire Alarm problem was very serious and potentially put students in jeopardy. The District has downplayed the seriousness of the problem. This has been in the face of such actions as requiring Fire Watches (roving personal patrols) at 13 schools. Fire Watches are required because of significant deficiencies in the fire alarm systems.
- The District was not been transparent with the public media and the Bond Oversight Committee on the extent of the Fire Alarm Problem and the

degree to which the issues impacted student safety. The Sweetwater Union High School District had not complied with the stated Bond Language of its Proposition O Program.

1. History of Support for Fire Alarm/Safety at Sweetwater

The following is a summary of the ballot language and support documentation for the two Sweetwater Bond Programs:

- Proposition “BB” Ballot Language Cited Fire Alarms as a Project. Proposition BB ballot language states the purpose of the Proposition was:

*“To relieve overcrowding, repair local schools and improve safety conditions for students in the Sweetwater Union High District, serving the communities of Bonita, Chula Vista, Imperial Beach, National City, San Ysidro and portions of San Diego, shall the District repair and upgrade school facilities, adding classrooms; **improving fire alarms**; removing asbestos, upgrading electrical wiring, renovating restrooms; and replacing worn roofs by issuing \$187 million of bonds, at interest rates within the legal limit?”*

With the State Matching Funds of \$138 million the total cost of Proposition BB projects was \$325 million.”

- Proposition “O” Ballot Language Supports Fire Alarms. The language was as follows:

*“School Classroom, Safety and Repair Measure. To improve learning/safety at every Sweetwater Union High School District campus by repairing/earthquake retrofitting classrooms/restrooms; installing upgraded **fire safety systems**, security fencing, safety lighting; upgrading science labs/computer technology; improving handicap accessibility; replacing leaky roofs, heating/air conditioning, outdated plumbing; removing asbestos, mold, and lead paint; shall the District issue \$644 million in bonds, at legal interest rates, with citizens' oversight, independent annual audits and no money for administrators' salaries?”*

- San Diego Taxpayers Association Supports Proposition “O” in order to address “urgent needs of schools in the District.” On October 13, 2006 the San Diego County Taxpayers Association Board of Directors supported Proposition “O” citing the demonstrated ability to manage school bond programs effectively and efficiently. The SDCTA specifically cited the language before the voters which said to “improve learning/**safety** at every Sweetwater Union High School District.” citing specifically the issue of fire safety systems.

2. Grand Jury and Audit Concerns about Bond Program

Both a County Grand Jury and an Audit by the County of San Diego Office of Audit and Advisory Services had concerns about the Sweetwater Bond Program. Background information is as follows:

The FY 2002/2003 Grand Jury raised concerns about Project Priorities such as gymnasiums and tennis courts completed at the expense of system repairs and upgrades. The original basis of the Proposition BB Bond Program was a "Facilities Improvement Plan Report to the School Board and Community (FIP)" prepared in 2000. This report gathered input from parents, students, school personnel and community members for each school (Site Committee) on what was needed at each school.

The table below presents a summary of the Sweetwater Facilities Improvement Plan estimated Total Costs and ADA/Life safety costs by school:

Estimated Project Costs Sweetwater Facilities Needs Assessment Master Plan (2004 Costs in Millions)			
School	Total Cost	ADA/Life Safety	%
Bonita Vista Middle	23.4	3.4	14.6%
Castle Park Middle	19.9	2.1	10.7%
Chula Vista Middle	10.7	1.0	9.8%
Granger	19.7	2.9	14.7%
Hilltop Middle	26.5	3.7	13.9%
Mar Vista Middle	24.0	3.0	12.7%
Montgomery Middle	24.7	3.6	14.4%
National City Middle	18.6	2.2	12.1%
Southwest Middle	20.6	3.2	15.6%
Bonita Vista High	40.9	4.7	11.5%
Castle Park High	46.1	4.8	10.4%
Chula Vista High	59.6	6.2	10.4%
Hilltop High	43.6	4.6	10.6%
Mar Vista High	25.9	2.5	9.5%
Montgomery High	42.7	5.0	11.8%
Palomar High	5.7	1.3	22.6%
Southwest High	44.9	4.9	11.0%
Sweetwater High	37.6	3.7	10.0%
Total	535.2	63.0	11.8%

Early on in the Proposition BB Program it was recognized that the initial project scope and cost estimates were low. What is important is that about 12% of the total costs of the Proposition BB Program was estimated for ADA/Life Safety elements.

Although the Grand Jury could find nothing illegal in the way the first phase of the bond project was completed,

“we did find that very few projects of the type indicated by the BB Bond ballot were completed. The majority of projects listed in the FIP were not completed.” (Note: This includes Fire Alarm Upgrades)

It was also mentioned that “modernizing physical education facilities” was listed 12th (of 13) priority need for Mar Vista High School and 11th (of 12) for Sweetwater High School, those two schools which were reviewed by the Grand Jury.

What is also interesting is that the Grand Jury found:

“Given the frequent contradictions discovered by the Grand Jury through documents and conversations with teachers, administrators, parents, voters, board members and District leadership, the just reached this conclusion: Consistent communication between the aforementioned is lacking.”

County of San Diego Office of Audit and Advisor Services had concerns about the Planning for Proposition BB Modernization Projects (June 23, 2003 report). The County of San Diego’s Office of Audit and Advisory Services completed an audit of the Proposition BB Program. The Audit was performed at the request of the Grand Jury.

The following is an excerpt from the Audit’s Executive Summary as it relates to the Modernization Projects which includes the Fire Alarm Upgrades:

“Initial planning conducted by the Sweetwater Union High School District (District) to produce estimated costs for the completion of identified repair, modernization and overcrowding needs at each school site was not based on a detailed analysis of factors that affect modernization projects. Consequently, the District issued a Facilities Improvement Plan (FIP) that did not present an accurate description of the funding needed for its implementation. As a result, the District is incurring additional planning expenses to produce a more accurate Long Range Facilities Master Plan (LRFMP).”

3. Media Coverage and District Actions Relative to Fire Alarm Upgrades

Last year Channel 10’s Investigative Team aired reports on Fire Alarm and Safety issues at the Sweetwater Union High School District. Here is a summary of the stories, related District responses, and material presented to the Bond Oversight Committee/Public. In addition, ancillary historical actions for these upgrades are included in the discussion:

Between August 6, 2009 and November 16, 2010, there was a significant number of Fire Alarm Upgrade Projects received for DSA approval. Subsequently, numerous extensions were requested by the District and granted by DSA. The Sweetwater Union High School District must apply for design approval by the DSA for all Fire Alarm Upgrades. DSA received applications for 19 of the schools within the District. Cost for these projects range from \$3,700 to \$1,120,000. Many of these projects were nearing their expiration date for design approval and have had their initial design approvals extended. After four years of approved design, the projects approval no longer becomes valid and a new approval process must start with an updated design and costs.

Between February 4, 2013 and June 26, 2013 the Districts Fire Alarm Consultant conducted tests of the Sweetwater Fire Alarm Systems. (Time and Alarm Systems) found numerous equipment deficiencies of the District's Fire Alarm System. There were at least 486 equipment deficiencies with 294 non working alarms and 82 non working strobes. The detailed reports are found as a link or available upon request in hard copy for specific schools.

On July 6, 2013 the District issued a Request for Bids (23-2363-GP) for Fire Alarm Upgrades for Chula Vista Middle (\$900,000), Hilltop High (\$910,000), and Montgomery High (\$585,000). The bids were due July 26. As indicated below, *none of this fire alarm bid project information was presented to the CBOC on July 10, 2013.*

On July 10, 2013 there was a meeting of the CBOC to discuss among other issues Project Status including Fire Alarm Reports. In the Project Financial Summary Report presented to the CBOC last July 10 Page 15 has a Fire Alarm Upgrades Project (\$2,662,351) with a footnote 4 which states: "On hold pending complete analysis of available funding". There was no mention of the \$2.4 million in outstanding bids for fire alarm upgrades.

On July 24, 2013 Channel 10 Story Airs: "Sexy Outweighs Safety at Sweetwater Union High School District". A whistleblower went to Channel 10 and the media report cited issues such as needed upgrades be deferred at the expense of other non-safety projects and a number of concerns about the condition of the District's fire alarms.

On July 25, 2013: The District Issued a Press Release Downplaying safety concerns about the Fire Alarms, the day after Channel 10 aired a Story: "Sexy outweighs safety at Sweetwater Union High School District"

In the July 24, 2013 Channel 10 Story there was the following information:

"The public information officer for the district, Manuel Rubio, told Team 10 that student safety is the district's top priority. Rubio said all fire systems are functioning and inspections by the districts outside contractor Simplex Grinnell (<http://www.simplexgrinnell.com>) confirm there are no safety issues and

everything is working properly.”

The story also prompted a press release the next day by the District as follows:

“Safety is, and always will be a priority for the Sweetwater Union High School District.

Fire alarm systems in Sweetwater Union High School District facilities are fully compliant and operational. *These systems meet the standards as outlined by California Education Code and local fire marshals.*

Recent claims made that district facilities are not operational are incorrect. *If the systems were not compliant, we would not be allowed to operate those sites. These claims specifically address upgrades to the systems. These upgrades all have approved plans that are being implemented as schools receive overall facility upgrades.*

In the overall facilities upgrade process, the Sweetwater District schedules fire alarm system upgrade projects once all the major work on the site is completed because of demolition of older buildings and changing needs of the site. Once new facilities and buildings are put up, the fire alarm system is also upgraded.

Fire alarms take the highest priority for the Sweetwater district. *We immediately address any issue or work order regarding fire alarms that would place a site at risk. Safety is a top priority for us and we are constantly working to ensure student and staff safety concerns.*

Finally, we encourage anyone that has information on specific concerns to let us know directly. If there are any concerns about the building and construction process, please contact the Grants and Communications Office at (619) 691-5578.”

This press release remained on the District Web site until on or about Monday November 18, 2013.

The District’s Public Information Officer downplayed Seriousness of Fire Alarm Situation resulting from Channel 10 Story on Fire Alarms in an Email to JW. August:

*I think the tone of the story is one that implies that: 1) we aren’t doing anything about the situation and 2) that alarms are not functional and operational. Both of these statements are incorrect. As I shared with you, **ALL** of our fire alarm systems in the district are fully functional and meet the standards as outlined by fire marshals. If they were not, we would not be allowed to operate those sites. What this story addresses are upgrades. I don’t think you clearly show that there is a process involved in this work. We schedule our upgrade projects once all the major work on the site is completed because of demolition of older buildings and the new buildings put up in their place are already upgraded. As far as any work with fire alarms, they take the highest priority for us. We immediately address any issue or work order regarding fire alarms that would place a site at risk. And finally, we encourage anyone that has information on specifics to let us know directly. We are always happy to walk them through the process and address any concerns.*

At the August 14, 2013 CBOC Meeting (as reflected in the adopted CBOC minutes) it was indicated by Chief Facilities Executive “All our facilities meet the minimum fire code requirements for fire alarm systems”. Also the minutes state: “In response to a question from member David Butler on the status of the Fire Alarm System, Chief Facilities Executive indicated that they have a Fire Alarm System that works but do have failures from time to time. He said that when there is failure work orders are called in and given top priority. Chief Facilities Executive also stated that “we are in Code Compliance but not upgraded. This would actually reduce the level of maintenance required by District staff.”

On August 14, 2013 Channel 10 Aired a Story: “Sweetwater Union High School District makes fire alarm upgrades”. The story indicated that Team 10 uncovered that fire alarms in the Sweetwater Union High School District have not been upgraded even though plans were approved five years ago. The district is now going through with those upgrades and community members want to know what took so long.

On October 8, 2013 Channel 10 aired a Story: “Hundreds of Fire Alarms not working in Sweetwater Union High School District” Team 10 discovered more than 300 fire alarms that were not working properly in the Sweetwater Union High School District. Documents show this was revealed to administrators when an outside contractor went through the 28 schools in the district to test the alarms. Under the California Public Records Act, Team 10 obtained copies of the four-month-long effort by Time and Alarm Systems of Mira Loma, California. These reports were posted on the Channel 10 web site.

At the October 9, 2013 CBOC meeting the Chief Facility Executive indicated that if there really was a serious fire safety issue fire watches would be required. He told the CBOC if the 10 News stories were accurate, the district would be required to start a fire watch program in the schools. "A person dedicated to walk up and down the halls to check trash cans to check waste dumpsters," Calhoun said as he described the fire watch program to the board. "To check rooms day in and day out during occupancy and report a fire manually, if needed ... we don't have to do that."

On October 10, 2013 there was a meeting between the National City Fire Department and District staff including the Chief Facilities Executive regarding the Fire Alarm Inspection Report prepared by the Sweetwater District. On October 14, 2013 National City put all four schools in the jurisdiction (Sweetwater High, Granger Junior High School, National City Adult School, and National City Middle) under Fire Watch.

Details on the National City Fire Watch requirement are found in an October 14, 2013 email from Robert Hernandez National City Battalion Chief. According to the email:

“ All schools shall have “Approved Watch Personnel” deployed to all buildings affected by the outage/problem. Fire watch shall be in the correct ratio of personnel to space. Their only duty shall be to perform constant patrols of the protected premises and keep watch for fire-CFC 2010 Chapter 9.”

On October 23, 2013 Channel 10 aired another story: “Chula Vista and National City fire departments investigate school alarms”. The report indicated that the National City and Chula Vista Fire Departments are investigating the fire alarm situation at the School Districts and in the case of National City were requiring Fire Watches. As mentioned above, Fire watches require dedicated individuals who patrol the grounds/buildings for fires as a result of a fire alarm system that is not functional. The need for Fire Watches is indicative how the seriousness of the Fire Alarm situation in the Sweetwater Union High School District has become due to neglect.

On October 27, 2013 the District issues a further press release on the Fire Alarm Upgrades. The District contends that the number of violations is really insignificant because the percentage is small when compared to the total number of equipment systems in the District, as evidence by the following excerpt from a District Press Release which stated:

*“Fire alarm systems are very complex systems that are comprised of between several hundred and often over 1,000 components per school site. Throughout the entire Sweetwater School District, there are nearly 19,000 components that make up our fire alarm systems. Among the 19,000 components, there are several critical elements that are required to ensure that local fire departments certify our schools as compliant and operational. **In the Sweetwater District, all of these critical components are fully functional and our fire alarms have been inspected by local fire agencies.**”*

These statements were made after the Channel 10 News Story which aired on October 23, 2013.

At a Special CBOC Meeting November 6, 2013 Meeting called to Tour two fire alarm schools (Montgomery High, Chula Vista High), a representative from Time and Alarm Systems told the Chair in response to a question said the Fire Alarms could be “Code Compliant” and not operational. Up until this inquiry, the word “Code Compliant” was presented to the CBOC in a positive context. Never was it indicated that Code Compliant could be system/device that is **not working.**

During a November 13, 2013 Meeting significant pending Fire Alarm Upgrades were not revealed to the Bond Oversight Committee. During Project Updates the CBOC was presented an M-1 Project Status Report for the Proposition O Bond Program indicating \$2,662,351 in “Fire Alarm Upgrades at Various Sites”.

Two days later on November 15, 2013 an agenda item docketed for the November 18, 2013 Trustees Meeting indicated a significant number of new Fire Alarm Upgrades:

Schools	Amount
Chula Vista Middle, Montgomery High, Hilltop High	\$1,500,000
Chula Vista High, Southwest Middle, Sweetwater High	\$1,162,351
10 Sites	\$2,650,000
Mar Vista High Southwest High	\$1,090,000
Total	\$6,402,351

In short, the CBOC just days before a School Board meeting was not told about significant more details about fire alarm projects

Further at the November 18, 2013 Board of Trustees meeting there was an Emergency Resolution (4268 Resolution Declaring an Emergency at Sweetwater High School, 2900 Highland Avenue, National City, for the Purpose of Undertaking Repairs in Accordance with Public Contract Code Sections 20113 and 1102) for \$27,050 in Fire Alarm Upgrades for Sweetwater High. There was no mention of this Agenda Item/Resolution at the November 15, 2013 CBOC meeting. This is especially troubling given the intense interest of the CBOC on this Fire Alarm issue and the level of media coverage.

As late as November 25, 2013 there were eleven middle and high schools under Fire Watch as summarized below:

Jurisdiction	Schools
City of San Diego	Montgomery High, Montgomery Middle, Southwest High, Southwest Middle, Mar Vista High
National City	Sweetwater High
Chula Vista	Bonita Vista High, Chula Vista High, Chula Vista Middle, Hilltop High, Otay Ranch High, Palomar High

The matrix at the end of this report provides a summary compilation of the Fire Alarm Projects in the Sweetwater Union High School District as of December 1,

2013 including: 1) DSA Submittals, approvals and extension 2) number of deficiencies identified by school 3) the Fire Watch Status at the peak of these watches on November 25, 2013. **THE MATRIX IS A SNAPSHOT OF THE CONDITION OF THE FIRE ALARMS AT THE HEIGHT OF SAFETY ISSUES.**

For example, Mar Vista High School Fire Alarm Upgrades were first received by DSA on November 16, 2010. DSA approved the Plans on June 11, 2011. DSA has approved and extension of the current design plans through June 1, 2014. The design plans expire on June 15, 2015. There were 31 deficiencies listed in the Time and Alarm Report and the school was under Fire Watch on November 25, 2013

In conclusion, this Report focuses on the history of the Fire Alarms and presents information at the height of Safety Issues. As a result of a very organized, dramatic and aggressive construction program most of the fire alarm issues have been rectified as of the publishing of this report on April 8, 2014. For this the Sweetwater Union High School District, its Chief Facility Executive and support staff should be commended. Good job!!

History of Sweetwater Union High School District Fire Alarm Upgrades: DSA Submittals/Approvals, Deficiencies & Fire Watches
(As of December 1, 2013)

School	Upgrade Cost (1)	Date Received DSA (1)	DSA Approval (2)	Sweetwater Bond Oversight Committee Report 07/22/13 (2)	Plan Expiration (2)	Time & Alarm Report # Deficiencies (3)	Fire Watch- 11/25/13 (4)
Bonita Vista Middle	\$217,362	2/23/10	9/23/10	2nd Extension thru 09/23/13;last extension requested 08/8/12 On Hold	9/23/14	24	No
Castle Park Middle	\$168,089	2/23/10	10/6/12	2nd Extension thru 10/06/13;last extension requested by Paul Woods 08/08/12; On Hold	10/6/14	26+	No
Castle Park High	\$371,751	2/22/10	9/8/10	2nd Extension thru 09/08/13;last extension requested by Paul Woods 08/16/12; On Hold	9/8/14	36	No
Chula Vista Middle	\$100,000	6/24/04	8/6/09	Final Extension thru 8/06/13	Contract Awarded	34	No
Eastlake High	\$3,700	2/18/10	10/4/12	2nd Extension thru 10/4/13; On Hold	10/14/14	43	No
Granger	\$156,138	2/23/10	11/17/10	2nd Extension thru 11/17/12; On Hold	11/17/14	10	No
Hilltop Middle	\$225,266	2/23/10	12/8/10	2nd Extension thru 12/08/13; On Hold	12/8/14	17	No
Mar Vista Middle	\$214,351	2/23/10	11/9/10	2nd Extension thru 11/9/13; On Hold	11/1/14	41	No
Montgomery Middle	\$98,886	2/23/10	12/8/10	2nd Extension thru 12/08/13; On Hold	12/8/14	11	Yes
National City Middle	\$344,000	9/24/09	11/19/10	DSA approval thru 11/9/13	11/19/14	16	Yes
Southwest Middle	\$61,449	9/15/09	12/1/09	DSA approval thru 12./31/13, per Karen Gibbs on 12/13/12	12/1/14	7	Yes
Bonita Vista High	\$401,360	2/22/10	9/8/10	2nd Extension thru 09/8/13;last extension requested 08/6/12 On Hold	9/8/14	58	Yes
Chula Vista High	\$243,779	8/25/09	12/1/09	Karen Girard Approval thru 2/1/13	12/1/13	71	Yes
Hilltop High	\$700,000	2/18/09	8/6/09	Final Extension thru 8/06/13	Contract Awarded	32	Yes
Mar Vista High	\$388,889	11/16/10	6/1/11	DSA Approval thru 6/1/14 per LPA Letter 06/10/13	6/15/15	31	Yes
Montgomery High	\$450,000	2/18/09	8/6/09	Final Extension thru 8/06/13	Contract Awarded	35	Yes
Palomar High	\$33,600	2/18/10	10/6/10	2nd Extension thru 10/6/13; On Hold	10/6/14	16	Yes
Southwest High	\$388,889	11/25/09	11/19/10	DSApproved extension thru 1/9/14 per Karen Gibbs on 1/9/13	11/19/14	20	Yes
Sweetwater High	\$1,120,000	3/2/10	7/20/10	DSA approved extension thru 12/13/13 jper Karen Gibbs on 12/31/12	7/20/14	30	Yes
Total (5)	\$5,687,509	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	532	10

(1) DSA Application Summary PDF posted on Channel 10 Web Site

(2) Sweetwater Union High School Districts Fire Alarm Upgrade Projects (Matrix submitted to CBOC 07/22/13)

(3) NFPA Testing Results Report Matrix "Sweetwater Union High District spreadsheet" Channel 10 Web site per Time & Alarm Systems

(4) Data submitted in an email to Bond Chair Nick Marinovich by Paul Woods 11/25/13

(5) Excludes Eastlake Middle (62 deficiencies, No Fire Watches) and Otay Ranch (45 deficiencies, Fire Watch) which did not have DSA approvals submitted in Matrix provided by Channel 10